

HISTORY

OF

CIVILIZATION IN ENGLAND.

BT

HENRY THOMAS BUCKLE.

IN FIVE VOLUMBS.

VOLUME I.



LEIPZIG:
F. A. BROCKHAUS.
1865.

TO

MY MOTHER

I DEDICATE

THIS

THE FIRST VOLUME

OF

MY FIRST WORK.

ANALYTICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS.

CHAPTTR I.

STATEMENT	OF	THE	RESOUR	CES FOR	INVESTI	SATING HIS-
TORY,	AND	PROO	FS OF	THE REC	ULARITY	OF HUMAN
ACTION	8. T	HESE	ACTION	S ARE GO	OVERNED	BY MENTAL
AND PH	YSIC	AL LA	ws: TH	EREFORE	BOTH SE	TS OF LAWS
MUST	BE S	TUDIE	D, AND	THERE	CAN BE	NO HISTORY
WITHO	UT T	HE NA	TURAL	SCIENCES	3.	

P	AGE
Materials for writing history	l 3
Narrow range of knowledge possessed by historians	35
Object of the present work	6
Human actions, if not the result of fixed laws, must be due to	
chance or to supernatural interference	8
Probable origin of free-will and predestination 9	-11
Theological basis of predestination, and metaphysical basis of	
free-will	-16
The actions of mee are caused by their antecedents, which	
exist either in the human mind or in the external world . 17-	-18
Therefore history is the modification of man by nature, and of	
nature ly man	19
Statistics prove the regularity of actions in regard to murder	
and other crimes	
Similar proof respecting suicides 25	-27
Also respecting the number of marriages annually contracted 29-	30
And respecting the number of letters sent undirected	30
The historian must ascertain whether mind or nature has most influenced human actions, and therefore there can be no	
history without physical science	-32
NOTE A. Passages from Kant on free-will and necessity 33	35

CHAPTER II.

INFLUENCE EXERCISED BY PHYSICAL LAWS OVER THE ORGANIZATION OF SOCIETY AND OVER THE CHARACTER OF INDIVIDUALS.

	PAGE
Man is affected by four classes of physical agents, namely.	
climate, food, soil, and the general aspect of nature	34,35
Operation of these agents on the accumulation of wealth	38 -47
Their operation on the distribution of wealth .	47 59
Illustrations of these principles from Iteland	59-62
From Hindustan	64 - 75
From Egypt .	76 85
From Central America	s 56,
And from Mexico and Peru	57 8g ⁴
Operation of physical laws in Brazil .	93- 99
Influence of the general aspects of nature upon the imagin	
ation and the understanding	100-4110
Under some aspects, nature is more prominent than man,	
under others man more than nature	110
In the former case the imagination is more stimulated than	
the understanding and to this class all the earliest ci-	
vilizations belong	110 - 111
The imagination is excited by earthquakes and volcanoes .	112-114
And by danger generally	114 - 116
Also by an unhealthy climate, making life precarious	116 -120
From these causes the civilizations exterior to Europe are	
mainly influenced by the imagination, those in Europe by	
the understanding	120-121
This proposition illustrated by a comparison between Hin-	
dustan and Greece	121-135
Further illustration from Central America	13 , 136
Chemical and physiological note on the connexion between	
food and animal heat	136-139
CHAPTER III.	
-	
EXAMINATIONS OF THE METHOD EMPLOYED BY MET	-YHYA
SICIANS FOR DISCOVERING MENTAL LAWS.	
In the last chapter, two leading facts have been established.	
which broadly separate Europe from other parts of the	
world	140 - 144
Hence it appears that of the two classes of mental and	
physical laws the mental are the more important for the	
history of Europe	144145
Examination of the two metaphysical methods of generalizing	
mental laws	145 - 153
Failure of these methods	153 - 154

CHAPTER IV.

MENTAL LAWS ARE EITHER MORAL OR INTELLECTUAL	L. COM-
	S. AND
	ACH ON
THE PROGRESS OF SOCIETY.	
	PAGE
The historical method of studying mental laws is superior	PAGE
to the metaphysical method	155160
the progress of society is twofold, moral and intellectual	160-161
Comparison of the moral with the intellectual element .	101
There is no evidence that the natural faculties of man im-	
prove	162-163
Progress, therefore, depends on an improvement in the cir-	
cumstances under which the facultics come into play	164
the standard of action having varied in every age, the	
causes of action must be variable	1/ 5
But magral truths have not changed .	167
And intellectual truths are constantly changing	160-167
Intellectual truths are the cause of progress .	167
Ignorant men are mischievous in proportion to their sincerity	16~169
Idustrations of this from Rome and Spain .	170 - 173
The diminution of religious persecution is owing to the pro-	
gress of knowledge	174-175
The diminution of the warlike spirit is a wing to the same	
canee	175 - 177
Il istrations from Russia and Lurkey	179-151
As civilization advances, men of intellect avoid becoming	
oldiers	153
Idustrations of this from ancient brocce and modern Europe	1-1-1-6
The three principal wass in which the progress of knowledge	
has terrored the warlike spirit are	*4.7 ****
1 the inventor of grapewder. The discoveries made by political economists.	157—193
•	202-205
The application of steam to purposes of travelling .	205-209
Interesce to be drawn as to the causes of social progress	200-204
CHAPTER V.	
INQUILY INTO THE INITIANCE EXERCISED BY REL	IGION,
LITERATURE, AND GOVERNMENT	
Recapitulation of preceding arguments	210
Moral teelings influence individuals but do not affect society	
in the aggregate	211 + 212
this being as yet little understood, historians have not col-	
lected proper materials for writing history	213
Reasons why the present history is restricted to England .	215 - 215
Comparison of the history of England with that of France .	218 - 219
With that of Germany	220 - 221

	PAGE
With that of the United States of America .	223 - 224
Necessity of ascertaining the fundamental laws of intellectual	
progress	22
Much may be gained in that respect from studying the his-	
tories of Germany, America, France, Spain, and Scotland	226-229
Deductive spirit in Scotland	229-234
Influence of religion on the progress of society ,	235 - 236
Illustration from the efforts of missionaries	236 -2 17
Illustration from the Hebrews	237 - 240
Illustration from the early history of Christianity	240-243
And from Sweden and Scotland	244-246
	247-252
Influence of literature on the progress of society	
Influence of government on the progress of society .	252-253
Illustrated by repeal of the corn laws	253 254
The best legislation abrogates former legislation	255-256
The interference of politicians with trade has injured trade	256-259
Legislators have caused smuggling with all its attendant	
crimes	259-260
They have also increased hypocrisy and perjury .	261-262
By their laws against usury they have increased usury	262-264
By other laws they have hindered the advance of knowledge	264-265
·	
England has been less interfered with in these ways than	
other nations, and is therefore more prosperous than they	265-267

GENERAL INTRODUCTION.

CHAPTER I.

STATEMENT OF THE BESOURCES FOR INVESTIGATING HISTORY AND PROOFS OF THE REGULARITY OF HUMAN ACT... THEREFORE BOTH SETS OF LAWS THOUT THE NATIONAL SCIENCES.

is that upon which most has been written, and which has always been most popular. And it seems to be the general opinion that the success of historians has, on the whole, been equal to their industry; and that if on this subject much has been studied, much also is understood.

This confidence in the value of history is very widely diffused, as we see in the extent to which it is read, and in the share it occupies in all plans of education. Nor can it be denied that, in a certain point of view, such confidence is perfectly justifiable. It cannot be denied that materials have been collected which, when looked at in the aggregate, have a rich and imposing appearance. The political and military annals of all the great countries in Europe, and of most of those out of Europe, have been carefully compiled, put together in a convenient form, and the evidence on which they rest has been tolerably well sifted. Great attention has been paid to the history of legislation, also to that of religion, while considerable, though inferior, labour has been employed in tracing the progress of science, of literature, of the fine arts, of useful

inventions, and, latterly, of the manners and comforts of the people. In order to increase our knowledge of the past, antiquities of every kind have been examined; the sites of ancient cities have been laid bare, coins dug up and deciphered, inscriptions copied, alphabets restored, hieroglyphics interpreted, and, in some instances, long-forgotten languages reconstructed and re-arranged. Several of the laws which regulate the changes of human speech have been discovered, and, in the hands of philologists, have been made to elucidate even the most obscure periods in the early migration of nations. Political economy has been raised to a science, and by it much light has been thrown on the causes of that unequal distribution of wealth which is the most fertile source of social disturbance. Statistics have been so sedulously cultivated, that we have the most extensive information, not only respecting the material interests of men, but also respecting their moral peculiarities, such as, the amount of different crimes, the proportion they bear to each other, and the influence exercised over them by age, sex, education, and the like. With this great movement physical geography has kept pace: the phenomena of climate have been registered, mountains measured, rivers surveyed and tracked to their source, natural productions of all kinds carefully studied, and their hidden properties unfolded while every food which sustains life has been chemically analysed, its constituents numbered and weighed, and the nature of the connexion between them and the human frame has, in many cases, been satisfactorily ascertained. At the same time, and that nothing should be left undone which might enlarge our knowledge of the events by which man is atfected, there have been instituted circumstantial researches in many other departments; so that in regard to the most civilized people, we are now acquainted with the rate of their mortality, of their marriages, the proportion of their births, the character of their employments, and the fluctuations both in their wages and in the prices of the commodities necessary to their existence. These and similar facts have been collected, methodized, and are ripe for use. Such results, which form, as it were, the

anatomy of a nation, are remarkable for their minuteness; and to them there have been joined other results less minute, but more extensive. Not only have the actions and characteristics of the great nations been recorded, but a prodigious number of different tribes in all the parts of the known world have been visited and described by travellers, thus enabling us to compare the condition of mankind in every stage of civilization, and under every warnety of circumstance. When we moreover add, that this curiosity respecting our fellow-creatures is apparently insatiable; that it is constantly increasing; that the means of gratifying it are also increasing, and that most of the observations which have been made are still preserved: when we put all these things together, we may form a faint idea of the immense value of that vast body of facts which we now possess, and by the aid of which the progress of mankind is to be investigated.

But it, on the other hand, we are to describe the use that has been made of these materials, we must draw a very different picture. The unfortunate peculiarity of the history of man is, that although its separate parts have been examined with considerable ability, hardly any one has attempted to combine them into a whole, and ascertain the way in which they are connected with each other In all the other great fields of maury, the necessity of generalization is universally admitted, and noble efforts are being made to rise from particular facts in order to discover the laws by which those facts are governed. So far, however, is this from being the usual course of historians, that among them a strange idea prevails, that their business is merely to relate events, which they may occasionally enliven by such moral and political reflections as seem likely to be useful. According to this scheme, any author who from indolence of thought, or from natural incapacity, is unfit to deal with the highest branches of knowledge, has only to pass some years in reading a certain number of books, and then he is qualified to be an historian; he is able to write the history of a great people, and his work becomes an authority on the subject which it professes to treat.

The establishment of this narrow standard has led to results very prejudicial to the progress of our knowledge. Owing to it, historians, taken as a body, have never recognized the necessity of such a wide and preliminary study as would enable them to grasp their subject in the whole of its natural relations Hence the singular spectacle of one historian being ignorant of political economy; another knowing nothing of law; another nothing of ecclesiastical affairs and changes of opinion; another neglecting the philosophy of statistics, and another physical science although these topics are the most essential of all, masmuch as they comprise the principal circumstances by which the temper and character of mankind have been affected, and in which they are displayed These important pursuits being, however, cultivated, some by one man, and some by another, have been isolated rather than united the aid which might be derived from analogy and from mutual illustration has been lost, and no disposition has been shown to concentrate them upon history, of which they are, properly speaking, the necessary components

Since the early part of the eighteenth century, a few great thinkers have indeed arisen, who have deplored the backwardness of history, and have done everything in their power to remedy it. But these instances have been extremely rare, so rare, that in the whole literature of Europe there are not more than three or four really original works which contain a systematic attempt to investigate the history of man according to those exhaustive methods which in other branches of knowledge have proved successful, and by which alone empirical observations can be raised to scientific truths.

Among historians in general, we find, after the sixteenth century, and especially during the last hundred years, several indications of an increasing comprehensiveness of view, and of a willingness to incorporate into their works subjects which they would formerly have excluded. By this means their assemblage of topics has become more diversified, and the mere collection and relative position of parallel facts has occasionally suggested generalizations

no traces of which can be found in the earlier literature of Europe. This has been a great gain, in so far as it has familiarized historians with a wider range of thought, and encouraged those habits of speculation, which, though liable to abuse, are the essential condition of all real knowledge, because without them no science can be constructed.

But, notwithstanding that the prospects of historical literature are certainly more cheering now that in any former age, it must be allowed that, with extremely few exceptions, they are only prospects, and that as yet scarcely anything has been done towards discovering the principles which govern the character and destiny of nations. What has been actually effected I shall endeavour to estimate in another part of this introduction at present it is enough to say, that for all the higher purposes of human thought history is still innserably deficient, and presents that confused and anarchical appearance natural to a subject of which the laws are unknown, and even the foundation unsettled 1

Our acquaintance with history being so imperfect, while our materials are so numerous, it seems desirable that something should be done on a scale far larger than has hitherto been attempted, and that a strenuous effort should be made to bring up this great department of inquiry to a level with other departments, in order that we may maintain the balance and harmony of our knowledge. It is in this spirit that the present work has been conceived. To make the execution of it fully equal to the conception is impossible, still I hope to accomplish for the history of man something equivalent, or at all events analogous, to what has been effected by other inquirers for the different branches of natural science. In regard to nature, events apparently the most irregular and capricious have been explained, and have been shown to be in accordance with

¹ A living writer, who has done more than any other to raise the standard of history, contemptuously notices 'l'incohérente compilation de faits déjà improprement qualifiée d'histoire.' COMIR, Philosophie Positive, vol v p 18. There is much in the method and in the conclusions of this great work with which I cannot agree, but it would be unjust to deny its extraordinary merits.

certain fixed and universal laws. This has been done because men of ability, and, above all, men of patient, untiring thought, have studied natural events with the view of discovering their regularity: and if human events were subjected to a similar treatment, we have every right to expect similar results. For it is clear that they who affirm that the facts of history are incapable of being generalized, take for granted the very question at issue. Indeed they do more than this. They not only assume what they cannot prove, but they assume what in the present state of knowledge is highly improbable. Whoever is at all acquainted with what has been done during the last two centuries, must be aware that every generation demonstrates some events to be regular and predictable, which the preceding generation had deciared to be irregular and unpredictable so that the marked tendency of advancing civilization is to strengthen our belief in the universality of order, of method and of law. This being the case, it follows that if any facts, or class of facts, have not yet been reduced to order, we, so far from pronouncing them to be irreducible, should rather be guided by our experience of the past, and should admit the probability that what we now call inexplicable will at some future time be explained. This expectation of discovering regularity in the midst of confusion is so familiar to scientific men, that among the most ensinent of them it becomes an article of faith; and if the same expectation is not generally found among historians, it must be ascribed partly to the being of inferior ability to the investigators of nature, and partly to the greater complexity of those social phenomena with which their studies are concerned.

Both these causes have retarded the creation of the science of history. The most celebrated historians are manifestly inferior to the most successful cultivators of physical science: no one having devoted himself to history who in point of intellect is at all to be compared with Kepler, Newton, or many others that might be named.

² I speak merely of those who have made history then main pursuit Bacon wrote on it, but only as a subordinate object, and it evidently cost him nothing like the thought which he devoted to other subjects

And as to the greater complexity of the phenomena, the philosophic historian is opposed by difficulties far more formidable than is the student of nature; since, while on the one hand, his observations are more liable to those causes of error which arise from prejudice and passion, he, on the other hand, is unable to employ the great physical resource of experiment, by which we can often simplify, even the most intricate problems in the external world.

It is not, therefore, surprising that the study of the movements of Man should be still in its infancy, as compared with the advanced state of the study of the movements of Nature. Indeed the difference between the progress of the two pursuits is so great, that while in physics the regularity of events, and the power of predicting them, are often taken for granted even in cases still unproved, a similar regularity is in history not only not taken for granted, but is actually demed. Hence it is that whoever wishes to raise history to a level with other branches of knowledge, is met by a preliminary obstacle; since he is told that in the affairs of men there is something mysterious and providential, which makes them impervious to our investigations, and which will always hide from us their future course. To this it might be sufficient to reply, that such an assertion is gratuitous; that it is by its nature incapable of proof; and that it is moreover opposed by the notorious fact that everywhere else increasing knowledge is accompanied by an increasing confidence in the uniformity with which, under the same circumstances, the same events must succeed each other. It will, however, be more satisfactory to probe the difficulty deeper, and inquire at once into the foundation of the common opinion that history must always remain in its present empirical state, and can never be raised to the rank of a science. We shall thus be led to one vast question, which indeed lies at the root of the whole subject, and is simply this: Are the actions of men, and therefore of societies, governed by fixed laws, or are they the result either of chance or of supernatural interference? The discussion of these alternatives will suggest some speculations of considerable interest.

For, in reference to this matter, there are two doctrines, which appear to represent different stages of civilization. According to the first doctrine, every event is single and isolated, and is merely considered as the result of a blind chance. This opinion, which is most natural to a perfectly ignorant people, would soon be weakened by that extension of experience which supplies a knowledge of those uniformities of succession and of co-existence that nature constantly presents If, for example, wandering tribes, without the least tincture of civilization, lived entirely by hunting and fishing, they might well suppose that the appearence of their necessary food was the result of some accident which admitted of no explanation. The irregularity of the supply, and the apparent caprice with which it was sometimes abundant and sometimes scantv. would prevent them from suspecting anything like method in the arrangements of nature; nor could their minds even conceive the existence of those general principles which govern the order of events, and by a knowledge of which we are often able to predict their future course. But when such tribes advance into the agricultural state, they, for the first time, use a food of which not only the appearance, but the very existence, seems to be the result of their own act. What they sow, that likewise do they reap. The provision necessary for their wants is brought more immediately under their own control, and is more palpably the consequence of their own labour. They perceive a distinct plan, and a regular uniformity of sequence in the relation which the seed they put into the ground bears to the corn when arrived at maturity. They are now able to look to the future, not indeed with certainty, but with a confidence infinitely greater than they could have felt in their former and more precarious pursuits.3 Hence there arises a dim idea of the stability of events; and for the first time there begins to dawn upon the mind a faint conception of what

³ Some of the moral consequences of thus diminishing the precariousness of food are noticed by M. Charles Comte in his Trasté de Législation, vol. ii. pp. 273-275. Compare MILU's History of India, vol. ip. 180, 181. But both these able writers have omitted to observe that the change facilitates a perception of the regularity of phenomena.

at a later period are called the Laws of Nature. Every step in the great progress will make their view of this more clear. As their observations accumulate, and as their experience extends over a wider surface, they meet with uniformities that they had never suspected to exist, and the discovery of which weakens that doctrine of chance with which they had originally set out. Yet a little further, and a taste for abstract reasoning springs up; and then some among them generalize the observations that have been made, and despising the old popular opinion, believe that every event is linked to its antecedent by an inevitable connexion, that such antecedent is connected with a preceding fact; and that thus the whole world forms a necessary chain, in which indeed each man may play his part, but can by no means determine what that part shall be.

Thus it is that, in the ordinary march of society, an increasing perception of the regularity of nature destroys the doctrine of Chance, and replaces it by that of Necessary Connexion. And it is, I think, highly probable that out of these two doctrines of Chance and Necessity there have respectively arisen the subsequent dogmas of Free Will and Predestination. Nor is it difficult to understand the manner in which, in a more advanced state of society, this metamorphosis would occur. In every country, as soon as the accumulation of wealth has reached a certain point, the produce of each man's labour becomes more than sufficient for his own support: it is therefore no longer necessary that all should work; and there is formed a separate class, the members of which pass their lives for the most part in the pursuit of pleasure; a very few, however, in the acquisition and diffusion of knowledge. Among these last there are always found some who, neglecting external events, turn their attention to the study of their own minds4; and such men, when possessed of great abili-

On the relation between this and the previous creation of wealth. see TREMEMANN, Grachichte der Philosophie, vol. i. p. 30 'Ein gewisser Grad von Cultur und Wohlstand ist eine nothwendige äussere Bedingung der Entwicklung des philosophischen Geistes So lange der Mensch noch mit den Mitteln seiner Existenz und der Befriedigung seiner thierischen Bedürfnisse beschäftigt ist, so lange geht die Entwickelung und Bildung seiner Geisteskräfte nur langsam von

ties, become the founders of new philosophies and new religions, which often exercise immense influence over the people who receive them. But the authors of these systems are themselves affected by the character of the age in which they live It is impossible for any man to escape the pressure of surrounding opinions; and what is called a new philosophy or a new religion is generally not so much a creation of fresh ideas, but rather a new direction given to ideas already current among contemporary thinkers. Thus, in the case now before us, the doctrine of Chance in the external world corresponds to that of Free Will in the internal: while the other doctrine of Necessary Connexion is equally analogous to that of Predestination; the only difference being that the first is a development by the metaphysician, the second by the theologian. In the first instance, the metaphysician, setting out with the doctrine of Chance, carries into the study of the mind this arbitrary and irresponsible principle, which in its new field

statten, und er nahert sich nur Schritt vor Schritt einer freiern Vernunftthätigkeit? 'Daher finden wir, dass man nur in denen Nationen anfing zu philosophiren, welche sich zu einer beträchtlichen Stute des Wohlstandes und der Cultur emporgehoben hatten ' Hence, as I shall endeavour to prove in the next chapter, the immense importance of the physical phenomena which precede and often control the metaphysical. In the history of the Greek mind we can distinctly trace the passage from physical to metaphysical inquiries. See GROTE's History of Greece, vol is p 519, edit 1947. That the atomic doctrine, in its relation to chance was a natural precursor of Platoniam, is remarked in Broussais, Examen des Doctrines M dicutes, vol. 1 pp. 53, 54, an able though one-sided work. Compare, respecting the Chance of the atomists, RITTER'S History of Ancient Philosophy, vol. 1 p. 553, an hypothesis, as Ritter says, 'destructive of all inner energy,' consequently antagonistic to the psychological hypothesis which subsequently sprang up and conquered it. That physical researches came first, is moreover attested by Diogenes Lacritus Mist, St 21/03/212; τρία, φυσικού, ήθικου, διαλεκτικού - ουσικού μεύ, το περί κύσμου, και τών εν αύτων ήθικον δέν το περιβίου καί του πόρε ήμας διανεκτικόν δεν το αμφοτέρων τους λόγους πρεσήξεδον και μεγρί μεν 'Αργελαού το συσικον είδος ήν, από δε Σωνοατούς, ως προείσηται, το ήθικου τ από δε Χήνωνός τος Ειεάτου, το διστεντίνου De Vitis Philosophorum Prowim, segm 14, vol 1 p 12 compare lib. 11 segm 16, vol 1 p 89.

Beausobre has some good remarks on this in his learned work Histoire Critique de Manichee, vol i. p. 179, where he says that the great religious heresies have been founded on previous philosophies Certainly no one acquainted with the history of opinions will admit the sweeping assertion of M. Stahl that 'la philosophie d'un peuple a sa racine dans sa théologie.' KLINEATH, Travaux, vol. ii. p 454, Paris. 1843.

becomes Free Will; an expression by which all difficulties seem to be removed, since perfect freedom, itself the cause of all actions, is caused by none, but, like the doctrine of Chance, is an ultimate fact admitting of no further explanation. In the second instance, the theologian taking up the doctrine of Necessary Connexion recasts it into a religious shape; and his mind being already tull of conceptions of order and of uniformity, he naturally ascribes such undeviating regularity to the prescience of Supreme Power; and thus to the magnificent notion of One God there is added the dogma that by Him all things have from the beginning been absolutely pre-determined and preordained.

These opposite doctrines of free will and predestination of alo, no doubt, supply a safe and simple solution of the obscurities of our being; and as they are easily understood, they are so suited to the average capacity of the human mind, that even at the present day an immense majority of men are divided between them; and they have not only corrupted the sources of our knowledge, but have given rise to religious sects, whose mutual animosities have disturbed society, and too often embittered the rela-

'Also ist ein Wille, dem die blose gesetzgebende Form der Maxima allein zum Gesetze dienen kann, ein freier Wille.' Artik der praktischen Vernunft in Kant's Werke, vol iv p 128. 'Hat selber für sich eigentlich keinen Bestimmungsgrund' Metap'ysik der Sitten in Werke, vol. v. p 12 'Die unbedingte Causalität der Ursache.' Kritik der retien Vernunft in Werke, vol. ii. p. 339 See also Prolegomena zu goden Edwingen Metaphysik in vol. ii. p. 265

these doctrines, when treated according to the ordinary hole of reasoning, not only oppose but exclude each other, would aniversally admitted if it were not for a desire generally felt to certain parts of each it being thought dangerous to give up will on account of weakening moral responsibility, and equally dangerous to give up predestination on account of impugning the power of God Various attempts have therefore been made to reconcile liberty with necessity, and make the freedom of man harmonize with the foreknowledge of the Deity. Compare on this point a remarkable letter from Locke to Molyneux (Locke's Works, vol vini. p. 305), with the argument in one of Bentley's Sermons (Monk's Life of Hentley, vol. it. pp. 7, 80 also Ritten's Hist, of Ancient Philosophy, vol. 1v. pp. 143, 144, TRNNEMANN, tiesch. der Philosophie, vol. iv. pp. 301-304, Copleston's Inquiry into the Doctrines of Necessity and Predestination, pp. 6, 7, 46, 69, 70, 85, 92, 108, 136; MOSHELM's Ecclemastical Hist., vol. i. p. 207, vol. ii. p. 96, NEARDER'S Hist of the Church, vol. iv. pp. 294, 389-391, Bishop of Lincoln on Tertulian, 1845, p. 323; Hodgson on Buddhesm, in Transac of Asiatic Society, vol. it. p. 232.

tions of private life. Among the more advanced European thinkers there is, however, a growing opinion that both doctrines are wrong, or, at all events, that we have no sufficient evidence of their truth. And as this is a matter of great moment, it is important, before we proceed further, to clear up as much of it as the difficulties inherent in these subjects will enable us to do.

Whatever doubts may be thrown on the account which I have given of the probable origin of the ideas of free will and predestination, there can, at all events, be no dispute as to the foundation on which those ideas are now actually based. The theory of predestination is founded on a theological hypothesis, that of free will on a metaphysical hypothesis. The advocates of the first proceed on a supposition for which, to say the least of ite they have as yet brought forward no good evidence. They require us to believe that the Author of Creation, whose beneficence they at the same time willingly allow, has, notwithstanding His supreme goodness, made an arbitrary distinction between the elect and the non-elect; that He has from all eternity doomed to perdition millions of creatures vet unborn, and whom His act alone can call into existence: and that He has done this, not in virtue of any principle of justice, but by a mere stretch of despotic power.8 This doctrine owes its authority among Protestants to the dark though powerful mind of ('alvin: but in the early Church it was first systematically methodized by Augustin, who appears to have borrowed it from the

Fiven Ambrose, who never went so far as Augustin, states principle in its repulsive nakedness 'Deus quos dignat vocat, and vult religiosos facit' Nearder, vol iv p 287. Calvin de lares 'first God, in predestinating from all eternity one part of mankind to everlasting happiness, and another to endless unsery, was led to make this distinction by no other motive than his own good pleasure and free will.' Moshelm's Eccles. Hist., vol. in p 103. see also p. 100, and Carwither's Hist. of the Church of England, vol i. p. 552.

On the Manichean origin of Augustin's opinions, compare Potter, Esprit de l'Egise, vol. il. p. 171, Paris, 1821; Tomline's Refutation of Cateinism, 1817, pp. 571—576, Souther's Book of the Church 1824, vol. 1 pp. 301 302; Matter, Hist. du Gnosticisme, 1828, vol. 1. p. 225. However, Beausobre (Histoire de Manichee, vol. ii. pp. 33—40) seems to have proved a difference between the election of Augustin and that of Basildes

Manicheans. At all events, and putting aside its incompatibility with other notions which are supposed to be fundamental, 10, it must, in a scientific investigation, be regarded as a barren hypothesis, because, being beyond the province of our knowledge, we have no means of ascertaining either its truth or its inchood.

The other doctrine, which has long been celebrated under the name of Free Will, is connected with Arminianism; but it in reality rests on the metaphysical dogma of the supremacy of human consciousness. Every man, it is alleged; feels and knows that he is a free agent nor can any subtleties of argument do away with our consciousness of possessing a free will 11 Now the existence of this supreme jurisdiction, which is thus to set at defiance all the ordinary methods of reasoning, involves two assumptions of which the first, though possibly true, has never been proved, and the other is unquestionably false. These assumptions are, that there is an independent faculty called consciousness, and that the dictates of that faculty are infallible. But, in the first place, it is by no means certain that consciousness is a faculty; and some of the ablest thinkers have been of opinion that it is

¹ On the absurdity of 'an eminipotent arbitrary Deity,' and on the incongruity of such a combination with 1927 (\$227, \$22 dixion, see Cubwonth's Intellect Syst., yol 6, pp. 45, 419, yol 111 p. 241, yol 12 p. 140 See also Theodie in Kant's Berke, yol vi pp. 141, 142, and Metaphusik der Sitten in yol v. p. 332 upon 'den gottlichen Zweck in Anschung des menschlichen Geschlechts'.

¹¹ Johnson said to Boswell, 'Sir, we know our will is free, and there's an end on't' Boswell's Life of Johnson, edit Croker, 1848. p. 203 'La question Sommes-nous libres' me parait au-dessous de la discussion. Elle est résolue par le témoignage de la conscience attestant que dans certains cas nous pourrions faire le contraire de ce que nous faisons' Cousin, Hist. de la Philosophie, I. Série, vol 1. pp 190, 191 Die Freiheit des Menschen, als moralischen Wesens, grundet sich auf das sittliche Bewnsstseyn.' TENNEMANN, Gesch. der Philosophie, vol v. p 161 That this is the only ground for believing in the freedom of the will is so evident, that we need not notice the mystical proof of Philo (RITTER'S Ancient Philosophy, vol. 1v p. 447), nor the physical one of the Basilidian monads (Bratsober, Hist, de Manichee, vol. n. p 23), still less the argument of Bardesames, who thought to demonstrate freedom by the variety of human customs! MATTER, Hist du Gnosticisme, vol. i p 323, which should be compared with Bundach's Physiologie comme Science d'Observation, vol v p 50, Paris, 1839

merely a state or condition of the mind. ¹² Should this turn out to be the case, the argument falls to the ground; since, even if we admit that all the faculties of the mind, when completely exercised, are equally accurate, no one will make the same claim for every condition into which the mind itself may be casimally thrown. However, waiving this objection, we may, in the second place, reply, that even if consciousness is a faculty, we have the testimony of all history to prove its extreme fallibility. ¹⁸ All

22 Mr. James Mill (Analous of the Mind, vol. i. pp 171, 172; says that consciousness and belief are the same, and that great error has arisen from calling 'consciousness a feeling distinct from all other feelings,' According to Locke (Essay concerning Human Understanding, book in chap 1, Works, vol. i. p. 54), 'consciousness is the perception of what passes in a mans (wn mind Brown allidosophy of the Mind, op 67 (6) denies that consciousness is a faculty and Sir W. Hamilton complains of 'Reid's degradation of consciousness into a special faculty' Notes to Read's Works, pp. 223, 297, 373 M Cousin (Hist, de la Pados pher, II Série, vol i p. 131) pronounces consciousness to be 'phénomène complexe,' and at p. 94, 'la condition nécessaire de l'intelligence c'est la conscience ' while a still later writer (JOBERT'S New System of Philosophy, vol. 1, p. 25) declares that we have the consciousness of our consciousness - this is certain. The statement in Alciphron, Dialogue vii (BERRELEY's Works, vol 1 pp 505, 506) is equally unsatisfactory and what still further perplexes the question is the existence of what is now recognised as 'double consciousness' See on this extraordinary phenomenon Elliotson's Physiology, pp. 367-369, 1165, Mayo's Physiology, pp. 195, 196, PRICHARD'S Treatise on Invanity, pp 4.0, 451 CALPENTER'S Human Physiology, p 279

This requires explanation. Consciousness is infallible as to the fact of its testimony, but fallible as to the fact. It. It. That we are conscious of certain phenomena, is a proof that those phenomena exist in the mind, or are presented to it, but to say that this demonstrates the truth of the phenomena is to go a step further, and not only offer a testimony, but also pass a judgment. The moment we do this, we introduce the element of fallibility because consciousness and judgment put together cannot be always right, inasmuch as judgment is often wrong.

The late Blanco White, a thinker of considerable subtlety, says 'The important distinction between libertas a no-resulte and libertas a coactione, is seldom attended to Nothing whatever can force my will every man is more or less conscious of that fact, but at the same time we are, or may be, equally conscious that we are never decided without a motive.' Life of B WHITE, by Hinself, 1845, vol. ni. p. 90 But how can a man be conscious that 'nothing whatever can force his will?' This is not consciousness, but judgment: it is a judgment of what may be, not a consciousness of what is. If there is any meaning in the word 'consciousness,' it must refer solely to the present, and can never include future contingencies as to what may be or can be

the great stages through which, in the progress of civilization, the human see has successively passed, have been characterized by the pental peculiarities or convictions, which have their impress upon the religion, the philosophy, and the morals of the age. Each of these convictions has been to one period a matter of faith, to another a matter for derision; and each of them has, in its own epoch; been as intimately bound up with the minds of men, and become as much a part of their consciousness is that opinion which we now term freedom of the . Yet it is impossible that all these products of consciousness can be true, because many of them contradict each other. Unless, therefore, in different ages there are different standards of truth, it is clear that the testimony of a man's consciousness is no proof of an opinion being true; for if it were so, then two propositions diametrically opposed to each other might both be equally accurate. Besides this, another view may be drawn from the common operations of ordinary life. Are we not in certain circumstances conscious of the existence of spectres and phantoms; and yet is it not generally admitted that such beings have no existence at all? Should it be attempted to refute this argument by saying that such consciousness is apparent and not real, then I ask, What is it that judges between the consciousness which is genuine and that which is spurious? 15 If this boasted

¹⁴ As Herder says, 'Was diese Nation ihrem Gedankenkreise unentbehrlich hart, duran hat jene nie gedacht oder halt es gar für schadlich' Ineen zur Gesch der Menschheit, vol. n. p. 130

Plato was struck by the extreme difficulty of finding a standard in the human mind whereby we may test the truth or falsehood of spectral phenomena and dreams. And the only conclusion to which this consummate thinker could arrive, was that whatever appears true to the individual mind is true for him; which however, is an evasion of the problem, not a solution of it. See the Thereteus, where Plato, as usual, puts his own speculations into the mouth of Socrates. He opens the question at the beginning of sec 39 (PLATORIS Opera, vol. in p. 426, edit. Bekker, Lond. 1926), My τοιικό οπόλιπωμε. 2000 κργείτου οπόλου. Επίπται δε ευπνίων πε περί και όσου των τι ήμε του και μαίσει, αξ. These are the supposed sources of error, abut Socrates, after discussing them, and entangling Thereteus in a maze, sums up at the end of sec. 45, p. 434, ακηθης έρα μαίσι ή, ἐμή πέθη πε. See further, p. 515, on the formation of erroneous judgments, and respecting the assertions made by many of the Greeks that πάσα παιστερμοτίτης the assertions made by many of the Greeks that πάσα παιστερμοτίτης the assertions made by many of the Greeks that πάσα παιστερμοτίτης the assertions made by many of the Greeks that πάσα παιστερματι με the context of the Greeks that πάσα παιστερμοτίτης the assertions made by many of the Greeks that πάσα παιστερμοτίτης the context of the conte

faculty deceives us in some things, what security have we that it will not deceive us in others? If there is no security, the faculty is not trustworthy. If there is a security, then, whatever it may be, its existence shows the necessity for some authority to which consciousness is subordinate, and thus does away with that doctrine of the supremacy of consciousness on which the advocates of free will are compelled to construct the whole of their theory. Indeed, the uncertainty as to the existence of consciousness as an independent faculty, and the manner in which that faculty, if it exists, has contradicted its own suggestions, are two of the many reasons which have long since convinced me that metaphysics will never be raised to a science by the ordinary method of observing individual minds; but that its study can only be successfully prosecuted by the deductive application of laws which must be discovered historically, that is to say, which must be evolved by an examination of the whole of those vast phenomena which the long course of human affairs presents to our view

Fortunately, however, for the object of this work, the believer in the possibility of a science of history is not called upon to hold either the doctrine of predestined events, or that of freedom of the will; 16 and the only

τασια αληθης and τάσα δοξο αληθής, compare (Codworth, vol. ni. p 379, vol. iv p. 118. For physiological considerations concerning the preservation of consciousness in dreams and in insanity see BROUSSAIS, Examen des Dontrines Medicules, vol. 1. p. 406, his Cours de Phrénologie, p 49, Esquinol, Maladies Mentales, vol 1 p 97, vol 11. p. 790, SIMON'S Pathology, p. 204, HOLLAND'S Medical Notes, p. 434; HENLE, Anatomie Génerale, vol. n. p 287, Bundach, Traite de Physiologie, vol v p 223 See, too, the passages in Tennemann which connect this difficulty with the theory of representation (beschickte der Philosophie, vol. 1. p. 357, vol. 1i. pp. 119, 159, vol. 111. p. 406, vol. iv. p 418); and the attempt of Berkeley (Worls, vol. i. pp. 93, 101, 176) to turn it into a defence of his own system, on the ground that our belief, respecting the external world may be as false when we are awake as when we dream. The solution offered by the Stones is merely a verbal and unproved distinction: διαφέρει δε φαντασια κοί φαντασμα φάντασμα μέν γαρ εστι όδικησις διανοίας οδα γίνεται κατα τούς όπιθοτί σαλτασία δε έστι τύπωσις εν ψυχή τουτέστιν αλλοιωσις, ως δ Αρύσιτπος εν τη δυωδεκατή περί ψυχής υφισταται. Diog. Laert, de Vitis Philos. lib. vii. segm. 50, vol. i. p. 395.

16 Meaning by free will, a cause of action residing in the mind, and exerting itself independently of motives. If any one says that

positions which, in this stage of the inquiry, I shall expect him to concede the following That when we perform an action, we strorm it in consequence of some motive or motives; that those motives are the results of some antecedures; and that, therefore, if we were acquainted with the whole of the antecedents, and with all the laws of their movements. We could with unerring certainty predict the whole of their immediate results. This, unless I am greatly mistaken, is the view which must be held by every man whose mind is unbiased by system. and who forms his opinions according to the evidence actually before him. 17 If, for example, I am intimately acquainted with the character of any person. I can frequently tell how he will act under some given circumstances. Should I fail in this prediction, I must a cribe my error not to the arbitrary and capricious freedom of his will, not to any supernatural pre-arrangement, for of neither of these things have we the slightest proof, but I must be content to suppose either that I had been misinformed as to some of the circumstances in which he was placed, or else that I had not sufficiently studied the ordinary operations of his mind. It, however, I were capable of correct reasoning, and it, at the same time, I had a complete knowledge both of his disposition and of all the events by which he was surrounded. I should be

we have this power of acting without motives, but that in the practical exercise of the power we are always guided by motives either emerous or unconscious. If any one says this he asserts a barren proposition, which does not interfere with my views, and which may or may not be true, but which most assuredly no one has ever yet increaded in proving

That is according to the phonomenal evidence presented to the understanding, and estimated by the ordinary logic with which the understanding is conversant. But Kant has made a most remarkable attempt to avoid the practical consequences of this, by asserting that freedom, being an idea produced by the reason, must be referred to transcendental lows of the reason, that is to laws which are removed from the domain of experience, and cannot be verified by observation. In regard, however, to the scientific conceptions of the understanding (as distinguished from the Reason) he fully admits the existence of a Necessity destructive of Liberty. In Note A., at the end of the chapter, I shall put together the most important passages in which Kant unfolds this view.

able to foresee the line of conduct which, in consequence of those events, he would adopt. 16

Rejecting, then, the metaphysical dogma of free will, and the theological dogma of predestined events, 10 we are driven to the conclusion that the actions of men, being determined solely by their antecedents, must have a character of uniformity, that is to say, must, under precisely the same results. And as all antecedents are either in the mind or out of it, we clearly see that all the variations in the results, in other words, all the changes of which history is full, all the vicissitudes of the human race, their progress or their decay, their happiness or their misery, must be the fruit of a double action; an action of external phenomena upon the mind, and another action of the mind upon the phenomena.

These are the materials out of which a philosophic history can alone be constructed. On the one hand, we

18 This is, of course, an hypothetical case, merely given as an illustration. We never can know the whole of any man's antecedents, or even the whole of our own, but it is certain that the nearer we approach to a complete knowledge of the antecedent, the more likely we shall be to predict the consequent

The doctrine of providential interference is bound up with that of predestination, because the Deity, foreseeing all things, must have foreseen His own intention to interfere. To deny this foresight, is to limit the omniscience of God. Those, therefore, who hold that, in particular cases, a special providence interrupts the ordinary course of events, must also hold that in each case the interruption had been predestined, otherwise they impeach one of the livine attributes. For, as Thomas Aquinas puts it (Nearmer's History of the Church, vol. viii. p. 176), 'knowledge, as knowledge, does not imply, indeed, causality, but in so far as it is a knowledge belonging to the artist who forms, it stands in the relation of causality to that which is produced by his art'.

The same argument is stated by Alciphron, though not quite so conclusively, Dialogue vii see 20 in Berkeley 8 Works, vol 1. p. 515, and as to the impossibility of Omniscence having new knowledge or an afterthought, see Hitchcock's Religion of Geology, 1851, pp. 267, 328, an ingenious work, but one which leaves all the real difficulties untouched. Compare Ritter's Hist. of Ancient. Philos. vol. iv. pp 326, 327, with Tennemann, Gesch der Philos. vol. vi. pp 151, 342—345, vol ix. pp. 81—94, vol. xi. p. 178, and in particular, the question raised (vol. viii. p. 242). 'Ob das Vorherwissen Gottes die Ursache der künftigen Dinge sey, oder nicht' It was to meet all this, that some asserted the eternity of matter, and others the existence of two original principles, one good and one evil Beausobur, Histoire de Manichée, vol. n. pp. 145, 146, 252, 336

have the human mind obeying the laws of its own existence, and, when uncontrolled by external agents, developing itself according to the conditions of its organization. On the other hand, we have what is called Nature, obeying likewise its laws; but incessantly coming into contact with the minds of men, exciting their passions, stimulating their intellect, and therefore giving to their actions a direction which they would not have taken without such disturbance. Thus we have man modifying nature, and nature modifying man; while out of this reciprocal modification all events must necessarily spring.

The problem immediately before us, is to ascertain the method of discovering the laws of this double modification: and this, as we shall presently see, leads us into a preliminary inquiry as to which of the two modifications is the more important; that is to say, whether the thoughts and desires of men are more influenced by physical phenomena, or whether the physical phenomena are more influenced by them. For it is evident that whichever class is the more active, should if possible be studied before the other, and this, partly because its results will be more prominent, and therefore more easy to observe; and partly because by first generalizing the laws of the greater power we shall leave a smaller residue of unexplained facts than if we had begun by generalizing the laws of the lesser power. But, before entering into this examination, it will be convenient to state some of the most decisive proofs we now possess of the regularity with which mental phenomena succeed each other. By this means the preceding views will be considerably strengthened; and we shall, at the same time, be able to see what those resources are which have been already employed in elucidating this great subject.

That the results actually effected are extremely valuable, is evident, not only from the wide surface which the generalizations cover, but also from the extraordinary precautions with which they have been made. For while most moral inquiries have depended on some theological or metaphysical hypothesis, the investigations to which I allude are exclusively inductive; they are based on col-

lections of almost innumerable facts, extending over many countries, thrown into the clearest of all forms, the form of arithmetical tables; and family, they have been put together by men who, being for the most part mere government officials, had no particular theory to maintain, and no interest in distorting the truth of the reports they were directed to make.

The most comprehensive inferences respecting the actions of men, which are admitted by all parties as incontestable truths, are derived from this or from analogous sources; they rest on statistical evidence, and are expressed in mathematical language. And whoever is aware of how much has been discovered by this single method, must not only recognize the uniformity with which mental phenomena succeed each other, but must, I think, feel sangume that still more important discoveries will be made. so soon as there are brought into play those other powerful resources which even the present state of knowledge will abundantly supply. Without, however, anticipating future inquiries, we are, for the moment, only concerned with those proofs of the existence of a uniformity in human affairs which statisticians have been the first to bring forward.

The actions of men are by an easy and obvious division separated into two classes, the virtuous and the vicious; and as these classes are correlative, and when put together compose the total of our moral conduct, it follows that whatever increases the one, will in a relative point of view dimnish the other; so that it we can in any period detect a uniformity and a method in the vices of a people, there must be a corresponding regularity in their virtues; or if we could prove a regularity in their virtues, we should necessarily infer an equal regularity in their vices; the two sets of actions being, according to the terms of the division, merely supplementary to each other.²¹ Or, to express this proposition in another way.

²⁰ DUPAU, Traite de Statistique, pp. 75, 144.

A Some moralists have also established a turd class of actions which they call indifferent, as belonging neither to virtue nor to vice and hence there arose the famous doctrine of probability, set up by

it is evident that if it can be demonstrated that the bad actions of men vary in obedience to the changes in the surrounding society, we shall be obliged to infer that their good actions, which are it were, the residue of their bad ones, vary in the same manner; and we shall be forced to the further conclusion, that such variations are the result of large and general causes, which, working upon the aggregate of society, must produce certain consequences, without regard to the volition of those particular men of whom the society is composed.

Such is the regularity we expect to find, if the actions of men are governed by the state of the society in which they occur; while, on the other hand, if we can find no such regularity, we may believe that their actions depend on some capricious and personal principle peculiar to each man as free will or the like. It becomes, therefore, in the righest degree important to ascertain whether or not there exists a regularity in the entire moral conduct of a given society; and this is precisely one of those questions for the decision of which statistics supply us with materials of immense value.

For, the main object of legislation being to protect the innocent against the guilty, it naturally followed that European governments, so soon as they became aware of the importance of statistics, should begin to collect evidence respecting the crimes they were expected to punish. This evidence has gone on accumulating, until it now forms of itself a large body of literature, containing, with the commentaries connected with it, an immense array of facts, so carefully compiled, and so well and clearly digested, that more may be learned from it respecting

reveral eminent Romish casuists, and hotly attacked by Pascal. But this, if we put aside its worst feature, namely its practical bearings, is merely a question of definition. inasmuch as every indifferent act must lean on the effec either of evil or of good, and may therefore be referred to the category to which it inclines; and certainly every increase of vice diminishes virtue relatively, though not always absolutely. Among the Greek philosophers there was a schism on this point. Αραπεί δέ ούτος (i. e. the Stoics) μηδέν μέσον είναι άρετῆς καί κατίας τῶν περιπατητικών μεταξο αρετῆς καί κατίας είναι λεγάντων τὴν ποκοπη. Inop. Laert. de Vitis Philosophorum, lib. wii. segm. 127, vol. 1 p. 445.

22

the moral nature of Man than can be gathered from all the accumulated experience of preceding ages.²² But as it will be impossible in this Introduction to give anything like a complete statement of those inferences which in the actual state of statistics, we are authorized to draw, I shall content myself with examining two or three of the most important, and pointing out the connexion between them

Of all offences, it might well be supposed that the crime of murder is one of the most arbitrary and irregular. For when we consider that this, though generally the crowning act of a long career of vice, is often the immediate result of we seems a sudden impulse; that when premeditated its committal, even with the least chance of impunity, requires a rare combination of favourable circumstances for which the criminal frequently wait; that he has thus to bide his time, and look for opportunities he cannot control; that when the time has come, his heart may fail him; that the question whether or not he shall commit the crime may depend on a balance of conflicting motives, such as fear of the law, a dread of the penalties held out by religion, the prickings of his own conscience, the apprehension of future remorse, the love of gain, jealousy, revenge, desperation; - when we put all these things together, there arises such a complication of causes, that we might reasonably despair of detecting any order or method in the result of those subtle and shifting agencies by which murder is either caused or prevented. But now, how stands the fact? The fact

²² I say this advisedly and whoever has examined these subjects must be aware of the way in which writers on morals repeat the commonplace and hackneyed notions of their predecessors; so that a man, after reading everything that has been written on moral conduct and moral philosophy, will find himself nearly as much in the dark as when his studies first began. The most accurate investigators of the human mind have hitherto been the poets, particularly Homer and Shakespeare; but these extraordinary observers mainly occupied themselves with the concrete phenomena of life; and if they analyzed, as they probably did, they have concealed the steps of the process, so that now we can only verify their conclusions empirically. The great advance made by the statisticians consists in applying to these inquiries the doctrine of averages, which no one thought of doing before the eighteenth century.

is, that murder is committed with as much regularity and bears as uniform a relation to certain known circumstances, as do the movements of the tides, and the rotations of the seasons. Quetelet, who has spent his life in collecting and methodizing the statistics of different countries, states, as the sesult of his laborious researches, that, in everything which concerns crime, the same numbers re-occur with a constancy which cannot be mistakens and that this is the case even with those crimes which reem quite independent of human foresight, such, for instance, as murders, which are generally committed after aquarrels arising from circumstances apparently casual. Nevertheless, we know from experience that every year there not only take place nearly the same number of murders, but that even the instruments by which they are committed are employed in the same proportion." 23 This was the language used in 1835 by confessedly the first statistician in Europe, and every subsequent investigation has confirmed its accuracy. For later inquiries have ascertained the extraordinary fact, that the uniform reproduction of crime is more clearly marked, and more capable of being predicted, than are the physical laws connected with the disease and destruction of our bodies. Thus, for instance, the number of persons accused of crime in France between 1826 and 1844 was, by a singular coincidence, about equal to the male deaths which took place in Paris during the same period, the difference being that the fluctuations in the amount of crime were actually smaller than the fluctuations in the mortality; while a similar regularity was observed in each separate offence.

⁷³ *Dans tout ce qui se rapporte aux crimes, les mêmes nombres i reproduisent avec une constance telle, qu'il serait impossible de l' méconnatire, même pour ceux des crimes qui sembleraient devoir papper le plus à toute prévision humaine, tels que les meurtres, puisqu'ils se commettent, en général, à la suite de rixes qui naissent sans motifs, et dans les circonstances, en apparence, les plus fortuites cependant l'expérience prouve que non seulement les meurtres sont annuellement à peu près en même nombre, mais encore que les instrumens qui servent à les commettre sont employés dans les mêmes proportions.' Quetelet sur l'Homme, Paris, 1835, vol. i. p. 7; see also vol. il. pp, 164, 247

all of which obeyed the same law of uniform and periodical repetition.²⁴ - 5

This, indeed, will appear strange to those who believe that human actions depend more on the peculiarities of each individual than on the general state of society. But another circumstance remains behind still more striking. Among public and registered crimes there is none which seems so completely dependent on the individual as suicide. Attempts to murder or to rob may be, and constantly are, successfully resisted; baffled sometimes by the party attacked, sometimes by the officers of justice. But an attempt to commit suicide is much less liable to interruption. The man who is determined to kill himself, is not prevented at the last moment by the struggles of an enemy; and as he can easily guard against the interference of the civil power, 25 his act becomes as it were isolated; it is cut off from foreign disturbances, and seems more

24 Thus in twenty years' observations, the number of persons accused of various crimes in France, and registered under their respective ages, scarcely varies at any age from year to year, comparing the proportion per cent, under each age with the totals. The number of persons accused in all France, in the years 1826 to 1844, was about equal to the deaths of males registered in Paris, but singularly shough, the former results are more regular than the latter, notwithstanding the accidental causes which might affect them, — notwithstanding even a revolution in Paris, which convulsed society and brought in a new dynasty' Brown on the Uniform Action of the Human Will, in The Assurance Magaine, no. viii., July 1852, pp. 34°, 350. That the variations in crime are less than those of mortality, is also noticed in Statistique Morale, pp 18, 34, in Memoires de l'Academie de Beigique, vol. xxi., Bruxelles, 1848, 4to.

25 The folly of lawgivers thinking that by their enactments they can diminish suicide, is exposed by M. C. Comte in his Traite de Legislation, vol. i. p. 486 See also some good remarks by Jefferson. in his observations on criminal law in Appendix to Jefferson's Memory by Randolph, vol. i. pp. 126, 127. Heber (Journey through India, vol i. pp. 389, 390) found that the English Government had vainly attempted to check the suicides frequently committed at Benares by drowning;" and in our country the interference of legislators is met by the persury of jurors, since, as Bentham says, English juries do not hesitate to violate their oaths by declaring the suicide to be non compos-Principles of Penal Law, in BENTHAM'S Worls, edit. Bowring 1843, vol. i. pp. 479, 480. In regard to the determination of the individual. and the impossibility of baffling his intention, there are cases recorded of persons who, being deprived of the ordinary means of destruction, put an end to life by holding their breath, while others effected their purpose by turning back the tongue so as to exclude air from the larynx. Elliotson's Human Physiology, pp 491, 492.

clearly the product of his own volition than any other offence could possibly be. We may also add that, unlike crimes in general; is rarely caused by the instigation of confederates; so that men not being goaded into it by their companions, are uninfluenced by one great class of external associations which might hamper what is termed the freedom of their will. It may, therefore, very naturally be thought impracticable to refer suicide to general principles, or to detect anything like regularity in an offends which is so eccentric, so solitary, so impossible to received by legislation, and which the most vigilant police can do nothing to diminish. There is also another obstacle that impedes our view: this is, that even the best evidence respecting suicide must always be very imperfect. In cases of drowning, for example, deaths are liable to be returned as suicides which are accidental; while, on the other hand, some are called accidental which are voluntary.26 Thus it is, that self-murder seems to be not only capricious and uncontrollable, but also very obscure in regard to proof; so that on all these grounds it might be reasonable to despair of ever tracing it to those general causes by which it is produced.

These being the peculiarities of this singular-crime, it is surely an astonishing fact, that all the evidence we possess respecting it points to one great conclusion, and can leave no doubt on our minds that suicide is merely the product of the general condition of society, and that the individual felon only carries into effect what is a necessary consequence of preceding circumstances.²⁷ In a

²⁶ This also applies to other cases besides those of drowning. See Taxlon's Medical Juriagradence. 1846, pp. 587, 597; and on the difficulty of always distinguishing a real suicide from an apparent one, see Esquinol., Maladies Mentales, vol. 1. p. 575. From a third to a half of all suicides are by drowning. Compare Durau, Traité de Statistique, p. 304; Wirslow's Anotomy of Suicide, 1840, p. 277, Querklet, Statistique Morale, p. 66. But among these, many are no doubt involuntary; and it is certain that popular opinion grossly exaggerates the length of time during which it is possible to remain under water. Brodie's Surgery, 1846, pp. 89—92.

^{21 &#}x27;Tout semble dépendre de causes déterminées. Ainsi, nous touvons annuellement à pau près le même nombre de suicides, non-reulement en général, mais encore en faisant la distinction des sexes, celle des auxes, ou même celle des instruments employés pour se dé-

given state of society, a certain number of persons must put an end to their own life. This is the general law; and the special question as to who shall commit the crime depends of course upon special laws; which, however, in their total action, must obey the large social law to which they are all subordinate. And the power of the larger law is so irresistible, that neither the love of life nor the fear of another world can avail anything towards even checking its operation. The causes of this remarkable regularity I shall hereafter examine; but the existence of the regularity is familiar to whoever is conversant with moral statistics. In the different countries for which we have returns, we find year by year the same proportion of persons putting an end to their own existence: so that, after making allowance for the impossibility of collecting complete evidence, we are able to predict, within a very small limit of error, the number of voluntary deaths for each ensuing period; supposing, of course, that the social circumstances do not undergo any marked change. Even in London, notwithstanding the vicissitudes incidental to the largest and most luxurious capital in the world, we find a regularity greater than could be expected by the most sanguine believer in social laws; since political excitement, mercantile excitement, and the misery produced by the dearness of food, are all causes of suicide, and are all constantly varying.28 Nevertheless, in this vast metropolis, about 240 persons every year make away with themselves; the annual suicides oscillating, from the pressure of temporary causes, between 266, the highest, and 213, the lowest. In 1846, which was the great year of excitement caused by the railway panic, the suicides in London were 266; in 1847 began

truire. Une année reproduit si fidèlement les chiffres de l'année qui a précédé, qu'on peut prévoir ce qui doit arriver dans l'année qui va suivre.' QUETELET, Statistique Morale, 1848, p. 35, see also p. 40.

²⁸ On the causes of suicides, see Bundach's Traité de Physiologie, vol. v. pp. 476—478; and Forry's Climate and its Endemic influences, p. 329. The latest researches of M. Casper confirm the statement of earlier statisticians; that suicide is more frequent among Protestants than among Catholics. Casper, Denkwürdigkeiten zur medizinischen Statistik, Berlin, 1846, p. 189.

a slight improvement, and they fell to 256; in 1848 they were 247; in 1839 they were 218; and in 1650 they were 229.20

Such is some, and only some, of the evidence we now possess respecting the regularity with which, in the same state of society, the same crimes are necessarily reproduced. To appreciate the full force of this evidence, we must semember that it is not an arbitrary selection of particular facts, but that it is generalized from an exhansilve statement of criminal statistics, consisting of many millions of observations, extending over countries in different grades of civilization, with different laws, different orinions, different morals, different habits. If we add to this, that these statistics have been collected by persons specially employed for that purpose, with every means of arriving at the truth, and with no interest to deseive, it surely must be admitted that the existence of crime according to a fixed and uniform scheme, is a fact more clearly attested than any other in the moral history of man. We have here parallel chains of evidence formed with extreme care, under the most different circumstances, and all pointing in the same direction; all of them forcing us to the conclusion, that the offences of men are the result not so much of the vices of the individual offender as of the state of society into which that individual is thrown.30 This is an inference resting on broad and tangible proofs accessible to all the world; and as such cannot be overturned, or even impeached, by any of those hypotheses with which metaphysicians and theologians have hitherto perplexed the study of past events.

Those readers who are acquainted with the manner in

^{2.} See the tables in the Assurance Magazine, no. iv. p. 309, no. v. p. 34, no. vnii. p. 350. These are the only complete consecutive returns of London suicides yet published; those issued by the police being imperfect. Assurance Magazine, no. v. p. 53. From inquiries made for me at the General Register Office, in January 1856, I learnt that there was an intention of completing the yearly returns, but I do not know if this has since been done.

^{10 &#}x27;L'expérience démontre en effet, avec toute l'évidence possible, cette opinion, qui pourra sembler paradoxale au premier abord, que c'est la societé qui prépare le crime, et que le coupable n'est que l'instrument qui l'execute. Quartaint sur l'Homme, vol. il. p. 325.

which in the physical world the operations of the laws of nature are constantly disturbed, will expect to find in the moral world disturbances equally active. Such aberrations proceed, in both instances, from minor laws, which at particular points meet the larger laws, and thus alter their normal action. Of this, the science of mechanics affords a good example in the instance of that beautiful theory called the parallelogram of forces; according to which the forces are to each other in the same proportion as is the diagonal of their respective parallelograms. 31 . This is a law pregnant with great results; it is connected with those important mechanical resources, the composition and resolution of forces; and no one acquainted with the evidence on which it stands, ever thought of questioning its truth. But the moment we avail ourselves of it for practical purposes, we find that in its action it is warped by other laws, such as those concerning the friction of air, and the different density of the bodies on which we operate, arising from their chemical composition, or, as some suppose, from their atomic arrangement. Perturbations being thus let in, the pure and simple action of the mechanical law disappears. Still, and although the results of the law are incessantly disturbed, the law itself remains intact.32 Just in the same way, the great social law, that the moral

⁸¹ The diagonal always giving the resultant when each side represents a force; and if we look on the resultant as a compound force, a comparison of diagonals becomes a comparison of compounds.

A law of nature being merely a generalization of relations, and having no existence except in the mind, is essentially intangible, and therefore, however small the law may be, it can never admit of exceptions, though its operation may admit of innumerable exceptions. Hence, as Dugald Stewart (Philosophy of the Mind, vol. ii. p. 211) rightly says, we can only refer to the laws of nature by a sort of figure or metaphor. This is constantly lost sight of even by authors of repute; some of whom speak of laws as if they were causes, and therefore liable to interruption by larger causes; while other writers pronounce them to be 'delegated agencies' from the Deity. Compare Protty's Bridgenater Treatise, pp. 218, 435, 495; SADLER'S Law of Population, vol. ii, p. 67; BURDACH'S Physiologie, vol. i. p. 160 Mr. Paget, in his able work, Lectures on Pathology, vol, i. p. 481, vol. ii. p. 542, with much greater accuracy calls such cases 'apparent exceptions' to laws; but it would be better to say, 'exceptions to the operations of laws.' The conjust clearly proves that Mr Paget distinctly apprehends the difference; but a 'alight' alteration of this kind would prevent confusion in the minds of ordinary readers.

actions of men are the product not of their volition, but of their antecedents, is itself liable to disturbances which trouble its operation without affecting its truth. And this is quite sufficient to explain those slight variations which we find from year to year in the total amount of crime produced by the same country. Indeed, looking at the fact that the moral world is far more abundant in materials had the physical world, the only ground for astomshment to that these variations should not be greater; and from the circumstance that the discrepancies are so trifling we may form some idea of the produgious energy of those vast at laws, which, though constantly interrupted, seem the other products and which, when examined that the discrepancies are so trifling we may form some idea of the produgious energy of those vast at laws, which, though constantly interrupted, seem the physical variations, scarcely undergo any sensible perturbation.

Nor is it merely the crimes of men which are marked by this uniformity of sequence. Even the number of marriages annually contracted, is determined, not by the temper and wishes of individuals, but by large general facts, over which individuals can exercise no authority. It is now known that marriages bear a fixed and definite relation to the price of corn;²⁴ and in England the expe-

24 'It is curious to observe how intimate a relation exists between the price of food and the number of marriages'.... 'The relation that subsists between the price of food and the number of marriages is not confined to our gwin country; and it is not improbable that, had we the means of ascertaining the facts, we should see the like result in every evaluated community. We possess the necessary returns

²³ Mr. Rawson, in his Ligury into the Statistics of Crime in England and Wa'er (published in the Journal of the Statistical Society, vol it pp. 316 -314), says, p 327. No greater proof can be given of the possobility of arriving at certain constants with regard to crime, than the fact which appears in the fellowing table, that the greatest variati n which has taken place during the last three years, in the proportion of any class of criminals at the same period of life, has not exceeded a half per cent' See also R port of British Association for 1899, Transact of Sect p. 118. Indeed, ad writers who have examined the evidence are forced to admit this regularity, however they may wish to explain it. M. Dulau (Train de Sabrtique p 144) says, 'Les faits or l'ordre moral sont, aussi bien que ceux de l'ordre naturel, le produit de causes constantes et régulières, &c., and at p. 367, 'C'est ainsi que le monde moral se presen e a nous, de ce point de vue, comme offrant, de même que le monde physique, un ensemble continu d'effets dus à des causes constantes et régulières, dont il appartient surtout à la statistique de constater l'action.' See to the same effect Moreau-Christophe, des Prisons en France, Paris, 1838, pp. 53, 189.

rience of a century has proved that, instead of having any connexion with personal feelings, they are simply regulated by the average earnings of the great mass of the people: 35 so that this immense social and religious institution is not only swayed, but is completely controlled, by the price of food and by the rate of wages. In other cases, uniformity has been detected, though the causes of the uniformity are still unknown. Thus, to give a curious instance, we are now able to prove that even the berrations of memory are marked by this general character of necessary and invariable order. The post-offices of London and of Paris have latterly published returns of the immber of letters which the writers, through forgetfulness. to direct; and, making allowance for the difference of circumstances, the returns are year after year copies of each other. Year after year the same proportion of letter-writers forget this simple act: so that for each successive period we can actually foretell the number of persons whose memory will fail them in regard to this trifling and, as it might appear, accidental occurence.36

To those who have a steady conception of the regularity of events, and have firmly seized the great truth that the actions of men, being guided by their antecedents, are in reality never inconsistent, but, however capricious they may appear, only form part of one vast scheme of universal order, of which we in the present state of knowledge can barely see the outline—to those who understand this, which is at once the key and the basis of history, the facts just adduced, so far from being strange, will be precisely what would have been expected and ought long since to have been known. Indeed, the progress of inquiry is becoming so rapid and so earnest,

from France; and these fully bear out the view that has been given. PORTER'S Progress of the Nation, vol. ii. pp. 244, 245, London, 1888.

^{33 &#}x27;The marriage-returns of 1850 and 1851 exhibit the excess which since 1750 has been invariably observed when the substantial earnings of the people are above the average.' Journal of Significal Society, vol. xv p. 185.

²⁶ See Somerville's Physical Geography, wol. ii. pp. 409—411, which, says this able writer, proves that forgettalness as well as free will is under constant laws. But this is using the word free will' in a sense different from that commonly employed.

that I entertain little doubt that before another century has elapsed, the chain of evidence will be complete, and it will be as rare to find an historian who denies the undeviating regularity of the moral world, as it now is to find a philosopher who denies the regularity of the material world.

actions being regulated by law, have been derived from statistics; a branch of knowledge which, though still in its in a large than all the sciences put together. But although the statisticians have been the first to investigate the statisticia

Achenwall, in the middle of the eighteenth century, is usually considered to be the first systematic writer on statistics, and is said to have given them their present name. See Lawis, Methods of Obscreation and Reasoning in Politics, 1852, vol. i. p. 72, Biographie Unicerrelle, vol i. p. 140, DUFAU, Traite de Statistique, pp. 9, 10. Even so late as 15th), the Bishop of Llandaff wrote to Sir John Sinclair, I must think the kingdom is highly indebted to you for bringing forward a species of knowledge (statistics) wholly new in this country, though not new in other parts of Europe.' Sixchain's Correspondence, vol. 1, p 230. Sinclair, notwithstanding his industry, was a man of slender powers, and did not at all understand the real importance of statistics, of which, indeed, he took a mere practical view. Since then statistics have been applied extensively to medicine; and still more recently, and on a smaller scale, to philology and to jurisprudence. Compare Bouttaaun, Philosophie Médicale, pp. 96, 186; RE-NOUARD. Hest, de la Medicine, vol. ii. pp. 474, 475; Esquirol, Maia. dies Mentales, vol. li. pp. 885-667; HOLLAND's Medical Notes, pp 5, 472, VOURL'S Pathological Anatomie, pp. 15-17, SIMON'S Pathology, p. 180; PRILLIPE on Scrafula, pp. 70, 118, &c.; PRICHARD'S Physical Hist. ef Mankind, vol. Iv. p. 414; ESCHBACH, Etude du Droit, pp. 392-394.

timate connexion between human actions and physical laws; so that if physical science has not hitherto been brought to bear upon history, the reason is, either that historians have not perceived the connexion, or else that, having per-ceived it, they have been destitute of the knowledge by which its workings can be traced. Hence there has arisen an unnatural separation of the two great departments of inquiry, the study of the internal and that of the external. and although, in the present state of European literature, there are some unmistakable symptoms of a desire to break down this artificial barrier, still it must be admitted that as yet nothing has been actually accomplished brards effecting so great an and. The moralists, the theory ans, and the methaphysicians, continue to prosecute their stildies without much respect for what they deem the inferior labours of scientific men; whose inquiries, indeed, they frequently attack, as dangerous to the interests of religion, and as inspiring us with an undue confidence in the resources of the human understanding. On the other hand. the cultivators of physical science, conscious that they are an advancing body, are naturally proud of their own success; and, contrasting their discoveries with the more stationary position of their opponents, are led to despise pursuits the barrenness of which has now become notorious

It is the business of the historian to mediate between these two parties, and reconcile their hostile pretensions by showing the point at which their respective studies ought to coalesce. To settle the terms of this coalition, will be to fix the basis of all history. For since history deals with the actions of men, and since their actions are merely the product of a collision between internal and external phenomena, it becomes necessary to examine, the relative importance of those phenomena; to inquire into the extent to which their laws are known; and to ascertain the resources for future discovery possessed by these two great classes, the students of the mind and the students of nature. This task I shall endeavour to accomplish in the next two chapters: and if I do so with anything approaching to success, the present work will at least

have the merit of contributing something towards filling up that wide and dreary chasm, which, to the hindrance of our knowledge, separates subjects that are intimately related, and should never be disunited.

NOTE A.

*Der. Begriff der Freiheit ist ein reiner Vernunftbegriff, der aben darum für die theoretische Philosophie transcendent, d. i ein solcher Int. dem kein angeriessenes Beispiel in irgend einer moglichen Erfahrung gegeben werden kann, welcher also keinen Gegenstand einer uns möglichen theoretischen Erkenntniss ausmacht, und schlechterdings micht für ein constitutives, sondern lediglich als regulatives, und zwar ifur bles negatives Princip der speculativen Vernumit gelten kann, im praktisenen to brauche derselben aber seine Realität durch proktische Grundsatze beweist, die, als Gesetze, eine Causalitat der teinen Vernnutt, uusbhangig von allen empirischen Bedingungen (dem Sinnlie ben überhauptt die Willkuhr zu bestimmen, und einen reinen Willen in uns beweisen, in welchem die sittlichen Begriffe und Gesetze thren I raprung haben.' Metaphesik der Sitten in Kant's Werke. vol v pp 20,21. Wurden die Gegenstande der Sinnenwelt für Dinge an sich selbst genommen, und die iden angeführten Naturgesetze für treactie der Dinge an sich selbst, so ware der Widerspruch' (i. e. between Liberty and Necessity) 'unvermeidlich Ebenso, wenn das subject der Freiheit gleich den übrigen tiegenstanden als blose Er-- heinung vorgestellt würde, so kennte ebensowohl der Widerspruch a cht vermieden werden, denn es wurde chandasselbe von einerlei in genstande in derselben Bedeutung zugleich bejaht und verneint werden. Ist aber Naturnothwendigkeit blos auf Erscheinungen bezogen and in their blos auf Dinge an sich selbet, so entspringt kein Winerspruch, wenn man gleich beide Arten von Causalität annimmt ouer zagibt, so schwer oder unmöglich es auch sein möchte, die von der letzteren Art begreiflich zu machen Natur also und Freiheat chendenselben Dange, aber in verschiedener Besiehung, einmal als Lischemung, das audremal als einem Dinge an sich selbst ohne Widerspruch beigelegt werden konnen.'. . . Nun kann ich ohne Widerspruch sagen - alle Handlungen vernünftiger Wesen, sofern sie Erscheinungen sind (in irgend einer Erfahrung angetroffen werden), stehen unter der Naturnothwendigkeit, ebendieselben Handlungen aber blos respective auf das vernünftige Subject und dessen Vermogen, nach bloser Vernunft zu handeln, sind frei! Prolegomena zu reder knieteren Metaphysik, in KANE's Werke, vol. in pp. 268-270 Denn ein Geschöpf zu sein und als Naturwesen blos dem Willen seines Urhebers zu folgen, dennoch aber als freihandelndes Wesen twelches seinen vom äussern Einfluss unabhängigen Willen hat, der dem ersteren vielfältig zuwider sein kann), der Zurechnung fähig zu sein, und seine eigene That doch auch zugleich als die Wirkung eines noheren Wesens anzusehen, ist eine Vereinbarung von Begriffen, die

Beekit I

wir zwar in der Idee einer Welt, als des höchsten Gutes, zusammen denken müssen, die aber nur der einsehen kann, welcher bis zur Kenntniss der überstänlichen (intelligiblen) Welt durchdringt und die Art emsicht, wie sie der Sinnenwelt zum Grunde liegt. Thepdicec, in Kant's Werke, vol. vi. p. 149. 'Nun wollen wir arnehmen, die durch unsere Kritik nothwendig gemachte Unterscheiden er Dinge, als Gegenstände der Erfahrung, von ebendenselben in Dingen an sich selbst, wäre gar nicht gemacht, so müsste der Gründsatz der Causalität und mithin der Naturmechanismus in Bestimmung derselben durchaus von allen Dingen überhaupt als wirkenden Urnaghan gelten. Von eben demselben Wesen also, z. B der menschlichen Seele, würde ich niche sagen können, ihr Wille sei frei, und er sei dock zugleich der Naturnothwendigkeit unterworfen, die nichtefrei, ohne in einen offenbaren Widerspruch zu gerathen; weil ich die Seele in beiden Satzen in eben derselben Bedeutung, nambich als Ding überhaupt fals Sache an sich selbst) genommen habe und, ohne vorherzehende Kritik anch nicht anders nehmen konnte. Wenn aber die Kritik nicht geirrt hat, da sie das Object in zweierlei Bedeutung nehmen lehrt, nämlich als Erschemung, oder als Ding an sich selbst, wenn die Deduction threr Verstandesbegriffe richtig ist, mithin auch der Grundeatz der Causalität nur auf Dinge im ersten Sinne genommen, namlick so fern sie Gegenstände der Erfahrung eind, geht oben dieselben aber nach der zweiten Bedeutung ihm nicht unterworfen sind, so wird eben der selbe Wille in der Erscheinung (den sichtbaren Handlungen) als dem Naturgesetze nothwendig gemäss und so fern nicht frei, und doch andererseits, als einem Dinge an sich selbst angehörig, je nem nicht unterworfen, mithin als frei gedacht, ohne dass hierbei ein Widerspruch vorgeht.' Aritik der reinen beinunft, in Kant's Beile, vol in p. 24. 'Und hier reigt die zwar gemeine, aber betrugliche Vorans setzung der absoluten Realität der Erscheinungen sogleich ihren nachtheiligen Einfluss, die Vernunft zu verwirren. Denn sind Erscheinungen Dinge an sich selbst, so ist Freiheit nicht zu retten. Alsdaum ist Natur die vollstandige und an sich hipreichend bestimmende ['reache jeder Begebenheit, und die Bedingung derselben ist jederzeit nur in der Beihe der Erschemungen enthalten, die sammtihret Wir kung unter dem Naturgesetze nothwendig sind. Wenn dagegen Erscheinungen für Nichts mehr gelten, als sie in der That sind, namlich nicht für Dinge an sich, sondern blose Vorstellungen die nach empirischen Gesetzen zusammenhängen, so müssen sie selbst noch Grunde haben, die nicht Erschemungen sind.' Hier habe ich vin die Anmerkung machen wollen, dass, da der durchgängige Zasammenhang aller Erscheinungen in einem Context der Natur ein unnachlassin be-Gesets 1st. dieses alle Freiheit nothwendig umsturzen musste, wenn man der Realität der Erscheinungen hartnäckig anhangen wollte. Daher auch diejenigen, welche hierin der gemeinen Meinung folgen, niemals dahin haben gelangen konnen, Natur und Freiheit mit einander zu vereinigen ' Kritik, in Werke, vol. ii. p. 419, 420, Finally, at p. 433.º 'Man muss wohl bemerken, dass wir hierdurch nicht der Wirklichkeit der Freiheit, als eines der Vermügen, welche die Ursacl e von den Erscheinungen unserer Sinnenwell enthalten, haben darthun wollen. Denn ausser dass dieses gar keine transcandentale lietrach tung, die blos mit Begriffen zu thon hat, gewien sein wurde, so konnte es auch nicht gelingen, indem wir ans der Erfahrung memals auf Etwas, was gar nicht nach Erfahrungsgezetren gedacht werden muss, schliessen können. Ferner haben wir auch gur nicht einmal die Mogi chkeit der Freiheit beweisen wollen, denn duse ware mich

nicht gelungen, weil wir überhaupt von keinem Realgrunde und keiner Causalität aus blosen Begriffen a priori die Möglichkeit erkeinen können. Die Freiheit wird hier nur als transcendentale Idee behandelt, wodurch die Vernunft die Reihe der Bedingungen in der Erscheinung übreh das sinnlich Unbedingte schlechthin anzuheben denkt, dese ich aber in eine Antinomie mit ihren eigenen Gesetzen, welche streit, empirischen Gebrauche des Verstandes vorschrebt, verwickelt. Eine nun diese Antinomie auf einem blosen Scheine beruhe, und dass Natur der Causalität aus Freiheit wenigstens meht widerstreite, das war das Einzige, was wir leisten konnten und woran es uns auga genrig und allein gelegen war.

These research prove that Kant saw that the phenomenal reality of Free Well is an indefensible doctrine, and as the present work is an investigation of the laws of phenomena, his transcendental phinosophy does not affects my conclusions. According to Kant's view (and with which I am inclined to agree) the ordinary metaphysical and theological treatment of this dark problem is purely empirical, and therefore has no value. The denial of the supremacy of consciousness follows as a natural consequence and is the result of the Kantan philosophy, and not, as is often said, the base of it

CHAPTER II.:

INFLUENCE EXFECISED BY PHYSICAL LAWS OVER THE ORGANIZATION OF SOCIETY AND OVER THE CHARACTER OF INDIVIDUALS

If we inquire what those physical agents are by which the human race is most powerfully influenced, we shall find that they may be classed under four heads namely, Climate, Food, Soil, and the General Aspect of Nature, by which last. I mean those appearances which, though presented chiefly to the sight, have, through the medium of that or other senses, directed the association of ideas. and hence in different countries have given rise to different habits of national thought. To one of these four classes. may be referred all the external phenomena by which Man has been permanently affected. The last of these classes, or what I call the General Aspect of Nature, produces its principal results by excuting the imagination, and by suggesting those unnumerable superstitions which are the great obstacles to advancing knowledge. And as, in the infancy of a people, the power of such superstitions is supreme, it has happened that the various Aspects of Nature have caused corresponding varieties in the popular character, and have imparted to the national religion peculiarities which, under certain circumstances, it is impossible to efface. The other three agents, namely, Climate, Food, and Soil, have, so far as we are aware, had no direct influence of this sort; but they have, as I am about to prove, originated the most important consequences in

regard to the general organization of society, and from them there have followed many of those large and conspicuous differences between nations, which are often ascribed to some fundamental difference in the various races into whice mankind is divided. But while such original distinctions of race are altogether hypothetical, the discrepancies which are caused by difference of climate, food, and soil, are capable of a satisfactory explanation, and, when understood, will be found to clear up many of the difficulties which still obscure the study of history. I purpose, therefore, in the first place, to examine the laws of these three vast agents in so far as they are connected with Man in his social condition; and having traced the working of those laws with as much precision as the present state of physical knowledge will allow, I shall then examine the remaining agent, namely, the General Aspect of Nature, and shall endeavour to point out the most important divergencies to which its variations have, in different countries, naturally given rise.

Beginning, then, with climate, food, and soil, it is evident that these three physical powers are in no small degree dependent on each other: that is to say, there is a very close connexion between the climate of a country and the food which will ordinarily be grown in that country, while at the same time the food is itself influenced by the soil which produces it, as also by the elevation or depression of the land, by the state of the atmosphere, and, in a word, by all those conditions to the assemblage

I cordially subscribe to the remark of one of the greatest thinkers of our time, who says of the supposed differences of race. 'Of all vulgar modes of escaping from the consideration of the effect of social and moral influences on the human mind, the most vulgar is that of attributing the diversities of conduct and character to inherent natural differences.' Millia Prin iples of Political I onomy vol. i. 330. Ordinary writers are constantly falling into the error of assuming the existence of this difference, which may or may not exist, but which most assuredly has never been proved. Some singular instances of this will be found in Alison's History of Europé, vol. ii. p. 336, vol. vil. vil. pp. 525, 526, vol. xiii. p. 347, where the historian thinks that by a few strokes of his pen he can settle a question of the greatest difficulty, connected with some of the most intricate problems in physiology. On the supposed relation between race and temperament, see Comps. Philosophic Positice, vol. ii. p. 355.

of which the name of Physical Geography is, in its largest sense, commonly given.²

The union between these physical agents being thus intimate, it seems advisable to consider them not under their own separate heads, but rather under the separate heads of the effects produced by their united action. In this way we shall rise at once to a more comprehensive view of the whole question; we shall avoid the confusion that would be caused by artificially separating phenomena which are in themselves inseparable; and we shall be able to see more clearly the extent of that remarkable influence, which, in an early stage of society, the powers of Nature exercise over the fortunes of Man

Of all the results which are produced among a people by their chimate, tood, and soil, the accumulation of wealth is the earliest, and in many respects the most important. For although the progress of knowledge eventually accelerates the increase of wealth, it is nevertheless certain that, in the first formation of society, the wealth must accumulate before the knowledge can begin. As long as every man is engaged in collecting the materials necessary for his own subsistence, there will be neither leisure nor taste for higher pursuits; no science can possibly be created, and the utmost that can be effected will be an attempt to economise labour by the contrivance of such rude and imperfect instruments as even the most barbarous people are able to invent.

In a state of society like this, the accumulation of wealth is the first great step that can be taken, because without wealth there can be no leisure, and without leisure there can be no knowledge. If what a people consume is always exactly equal to what they possess, there will be no residue, and therefore, no capital being accumulated,

² As to the proper limits of physical geography, see PRICHARD on Ethnology, in Report of the British Association for 1847, p. 235. The word climate I always use in the marrow and popular sense Dr. Forrar and many previous writers, make it hearly colleged with 'physical geography.' 'Climate consisters the associate of all the external physical circumtances appertaining to consiste of all the external physical circumtances appertaining to consiste the same locality in its relation to organic nature' FORR's Climate of the Thirteen as New York, 1842; p. 127.

there will be no means by which the unemployed classes may be maintained. But if the produce is greater than the consumption, an overplus arises, which, according to well-known principles, increases itself, and eventually becomes a find out of which, immediately or remotely, every one is supported who does not create the wealth upon which he lives. And now it is that the existence of an intellectual class first becomes possible, because for the first time there exists a previous accumulation, by means of which men can use what they did not produce, and are thus enabled to devote themselves to subjects for which at an earlier period the pressure of their daily wants would have left them no time.

Thus it is that of all the great social improvements the accumulation of wealth must be the first, because without if there can be neither taste nor leisure for that acquisition of knowledge on which, as I shall hereafter prove, the progress of civilization depends. Now, it is evident that among an entirely ignorant people, the rapidits with which wealth is created will be solely regulated by the physical peculiarities of their country. At a later period, and when the wealth has been capitalized, other causes come into play; but until this occurs, the progress can only depend on two circumstances, first on the energy and regularity with which labour is conducted, and secouldy on the returns made to that labour by the bounty of nature. And these two causes are themselves the result of physical antecedents. The returns made to labour are governed by the fertility of the soil, which is itself regulated partly by the admixture of its chemical components, partly by the extent to which, from rivers or from other natural causes, the soil is irrigated, and partly by the heat and humidity of the atmosphere. On the other hand, the energy and regularity with which labour is conducted, will be entirely dependent on the influence of climate-This will display itself in two different ways. The first,

By unemployed clauses, I mean that Adam Smith balls the unproductive classes; and that he both impressions are strictly speaking inaccurate, the word 'unemployed' seems to convey more clearly than any other the idea in the tax.

which is a very obvious, consideration, is, that if the heat is intense, men will be indisposed, and in some degree unfitted, for that active industry which in a milder climate they might willingly have exerted. The other scheideration, which has been less noticed, but is equally suportant, is, that climate influences labour not only by snervating the labourer or by invigorating him, but also by the effect it produces on the regularity of his habits.4 Thus we find that no people living in a very northern latitude have ever possessed that steady and unfunching industry for which the inhabitants of temperate regions are remarkable. The reason of this becomes clear, when we remember that in the more northern countries the severity of the weather, and, at some seasons, the deficiency of light, render it impossible for the people to continue their usual ont-of-door employments. The result is, that the workingclasses, being compelled to cease from their ordinary pursuits, are rendered more prone to desultory habits; the chain of their industry is as it were broken, and they lose that impetus which long-continued and uninterrupted practice never fails to give. Hence there arises a national character more fitful and capricious than that possessed by a people whose climate permits the regular exercise of their ordinary industry. Indeed, so powerful is this principle, that we may perceive its operation even under the most opposite circumstance- It would be difficult to conceive a greater difference in government, laws, religion, and manners, than that which distinguishes Sweden and Norway on the one hand, from Spain and Portugal on the other. But these four countries have one great point In all of them, continued agricultural inin common. dustry is impracticable. In the two southern countries, labour is interrupted by the heat, by the dryness of the weather, and by the consequent state of the soil. In the two northern countries, the same effect is produced by the severity of the winter and the shortness of the days.

⁴ This has been entirely neglected by the three most philosophical writers on climate. MONTESQUIEU, HUME, and M. CHARLES CONTE in his Traite de Legislation. It is also omitted in the remarks of M GUIZOT on the influence of climate. Civilisation on Facope, p. 97.

The consequence is, that these four nations, though so different in other respects, are all remarkable for a certain instability and fickleness of character; presenting a striking co to the more regular and settled habits which are ablished in countries whose climate subjects the working classes to fewer interruptions, and imposes on them the necessity of a more constant and unremitting employment.

These are the great physical causes by which the creation of wealth is governed. There are, no doubt, other circumstances which operate with considerable force, and which, in a more advanced state of society, possess an equal, and sometimes a superior, influence. But this is at a later period; and looking at the history of wealth in its earliest stage, it will be found to depend entirely on soil and climate: the soil regulating the returns made to any given amount of labour; the climate regulating the energy and constancy of the labour itself. It requires but a hasty glance at past events, to prove the immense power of these two great physical conditions. For there is no instance in history of any country being civilized by its own efforts, unless it has possessed one of these conditions in a very favourable form. In Asia, civilization has always been confined to that vast tract where a rich and alluvial soil has secured to man that wealth without some share of which no intellectual progress can begin. This great region extends, with a few interruptions. from the east of Southern China to the western coasts of Asia Minor, of Phonicia, and of Palestine. To the north of this immense belt, there is a long line of barren country which has invariably been peopled by rude and wandering tribes, who are kept in poverty by the ungenial nature of the soil, and who, as long as they remained on it, have never emerged from their uncivilized state. How entirely this

⁵ See the admirable remarks in LATRO'S Desmark, 1852, pp. 204, 366, 367; though Norway appears to be a better illustration than Denmark In Ray's science Societie, vol. i. pp 195, 196, there are some calculations respecting the average loss to agricultural industry caused by changes in the weather; but no notice is taken of the connexion between these chagues, when abraps, and the tone of the national character

depends on physical causes, is evident from the fact that these same Mongolian and Tartarian hordes have, at different periods, founded great monarchies in China, in India, and in Persia, and have, on all set, occasions, attained a civilization nowise inferior to that possessed by the most flourishing of the ancient kingdoms. For in the fertile plains of Southern Asia, nature has supplied all the materials of wealth; and there it was that these barbarous tribes acquired for the first time some degree of refinement, produced a national literature, and organized a national polity; none of which things they, in their native land, had been able to effect. In the same way, the Arabs in their own country have, owing to the extreme aridity of their soil," always been a rude and uncultivated people; for in their case, as in all others, great ignorance is the fruit of great poverty. But in the seventh century they conquered Persia;9 in the eighth century they conquered the best part of Spain;10 in the ninth century they conquered the Punjaub, and eventually nearly the whole

⁶ This expression has been used by different geographers in different senses, but I take it in its common acceptation, without reference to the more strictly physical view of Ritter and his followers in regard to Central Asia. See PRICHARD'S Physical History of Mankind, vol. iv. p. 278, edit. 1844. At p. 92, Prichard makes the Himalaya the southern boundary of Central Asia.

² There is reason to believe that the Tartars of Thibet received even their alphabet from India See the interesting Essay on Tartarian Coins in Journal of Aviatre Society, vol. iv. pp. 276, 277; and on the Scythian Alphabet, see vol. xii. p. 236.

[&]quot;In Someeville's Physical treography, vol. i. p. 132, it is said that in Arabia there are 'no rivers,' but Mr. Wellsted (Tracete in Arabia, vol. ii p. 469) mentions one which empties itself into the sea five miles west of Aden On the streams, in Arabia, see Meiners über die Finchtbarkeit der Lander, vol. i, pp. 149, 150. That the sole deficiency is want of irrigation appears from Burckhardt, who says (Tracets in Arabia, vol. i p. 240), 'In Arabia, wherever the ground can be irrigated by wells, the sands may be soon made productive.' And for a striking description of one of the cases of Oman, which shows what Arabia might have been with a good river system, see Journal of Geographical Society, vol. vii. pp. 106, 107.

o Mr. Morier (Journal of Geog. Soc. vol. vit. p. 230) says, the conquest of Persia by the Saracens A. D. Sij. However, the fate of Persia was decided by the battles of Kudresto and Rabbound, which were fought in 638 and 641. see Maticonnis Burgo of Persia, vol. i. pp. xvi. 139, 142.

¹⁰ In 712 HALLAR'S Middle Ages, vol. i. p. 369

of India. 11 Scarcely were they established in their fresh settlements, when their therefore teemed to undergo a great change. They have in their original land were little else than roung strages, were now for the first time able to accumulate wealth, and, therefore, for the first time did they make some progress in the arts of civilization. In Arabia they had been a mere race of wandering shepherds; in their new abodes they became the founders of mighty empires — they built cities, endowed schools, collected libraries; and the traces of their power are still to be seen at Cordova, at Bagdad, and at Delhi 13 Pre-

They were established in the Punjaub early in the ninth century, but did not conquer Guterat and Malwa until his hundred years later. Compare Wilson's note in the Lishin Purana, pp. 481, 482, with Agadic Researches, vol. ix. pp. 187, 188, 203, On their progress in the mura southern part of the Peninsula, see Journal & Asiatic

So vety, vol. 11, pp. 222, 223, vol iv pp 28- 10.

13 A rate depastoral varbarians Dickinson on the Arabac Language, in Journal of Linut, Society, vol. v. p. 323. Compare Reynies. Frontnue des rabes, pp. 27, 29; where, however, a very simple question is ly complicated. The old Persian writers bestowed on them the commons appellation of 'a band of naked hrard-eaters' Malcolm's Hest of Persia, vol i. p. 133 Indeed, there are few things in history better proved than the barbarism of a people whom some writers wish to invest with a romantic interest. The eulogy passed on them by Mainers is rather suspicious, for he concludes by saying, 'die Eroberungen der Araber waren höchst selten so blutig und zerstörend, als die Eroberungen der Turtaren, Person, Türken, u. s. w. in ältern und neuern Zeiten waren. Fruenttarkeit der Länder vol. i. p. 153. If this is the best that can be said, the comparison with Tartars and Turks does not prove much, but it is singular that this learned author should have forgotten a nassage in Diodorus Siculus which gives a pleasant description of them nineteen centuries ago on the eastern side Bibliother, Hist, lib is vol is p 137, 270,31 or Stav Agστοινόν, και πολλην της ημόρου χώρας κατατρεγοντές λίζατ υνώσιμε Φο

The only branch of knowledge which the Arabians ever raised to a science was astronomy, which began to be cultivated under the caliphs about the middle of the eighth century, and went on improving until 'la ville de Bagdad fut, pendant le dixième siècle, le théâtre principal de l'astronomie ches les orientaux' Montucla, Histoire des Mathematiques, vol. 1. pp. 355, 364. The old Pagan Arabs, like most barbarous people living in a clear atmosphere, had such an empirical acquaintance with the celestial phenomena as was used for practical purposes; but there is no evidence to justify the common opinion that they studied this subject as a science. Dr. Dorn (Transactions of the Assite Society, vol. ii p. 371) says, 'of a scientific knowledge of astronomy ambratism no traces can be discovered.' Beansobre (Histoire de Maste). 1. 3. 30) is quite enthusastic about the philosophy of the Assite.

cisely in the same manner, there is adjoining Arabia at the north, and only separated from a elsewhere by the narrow waters of the Red Arabia in the same latitude, extends westward until it reaches the shores of the Atlantic. 14 This enormous tract is, like Arabia, a barren waste; 15 and therefore, as in Arabia, the inhabitants have always been entirely uncivilized, acquiring no knowledge,

this fact, he quotes a long passage from a life of Mohammed wristen early in the eighteenth century by Boulainvilliers, whom he scalls undes plus beaux genies de France. It this is an accurate description those who have read the works of Boulainvilliers will think that France was badly off for men of genius, and as to his life of Mohammed, it is little better than a romance the author was ignorant of Arabic, and knew nothing which had not been already communicated by Maracci and Pococke. See Biographic Universities vol v p. 3/1

In regard to the later Arabian astronomers, one of their great merits was to approximate to the value of the annual procession much closer than Ptolemy had done. See GEART'S History of Physical

Astronomy , 1852, p. 319.

14 Indeed it goes beyond it 'the trackless sands of the Sahara desert, which is even prolonged for miles into the Atlantic Ocean in the form of sandbanks.' Somenville's Physical Geography, vol. i. p. 149. For a singular instance of one of these sandbanks being formed into an island, see Journal of Geograph. Society, vol. il. p. 284. The Sahara desert, exclusive of Bornou and Darfour, covers an area of 194,000 square leagues, that is, nearly three times the size of France, or twice the size of the Mediterranean. Compare LYBLL's Geology, p. 64, with Somenville's Connexion of the Sciences. p. 24. As to the probable southern limits of the plateau of the Sahara, see RICHARDSON'S Mission to Central Africa, 1853, vol. ii pp 146, 156, and as to the part of it adjoining the Mandingo country, see Musco PARK's Travels, vol. 1. pp. 237, 238. Respecting the country south of Mandara, some scanty information was collected by Denham in the neighbourhood of Lake Tchad. DENHAM'S Northern and Central Africa, pp. 121, 122, 144-146.

15 Bachardson, who travelled through it south of Tripoli, notices its 'features of sterility, of unconquerable barrenness' Richardson's Sahara, 1848, vol. i. p. 86, and see the striking picture at p 409. The long and dreary route from Mourzouk to Yeou, on Lake Tchad, is described by Denham, one of the extremely few Europeans who have performed that hazardous journey Denham's Central Africa, pp 2-60. Even on the shore of the Tchad there is hardly any vegetation, 'a coarse grass and a small bell-flower being the only plants that I could discover,' p. 80 Compare his remark on Bornou, p. 317. The condition of part of the desert in the fourteenth entry, is described in the Travels of Inn Batala, p 23, and the account given by Diodogue Signal Compared with the account given by Diodogue Signal Compared to the temple of Ammon. Biolify.

simply because they have accumulated no wealth.16 this great desert it is a stern part, irrigated by the waters of the Niet to correspond of which covers the sand with a right allows a steel, that yields to labour the most abandant, and indeed the most extraordinary, returns. 17 The consequence is, that in that spot, wealth was rapidly accumulated, the cultivation of knowledge quickly followed, and this narrow strip of land 18 became the seat of Egyptian civilization; a civilization which, though grossly exaggerated, 19 forms a striking contrast to

热的 ardson, who travelled in 1850 from Tripoli to within a few days of Lake Tchad, was struck by the stationary character of the people. He says, neither in the desert nor in the kingdoms of (entral Africa is there any march of civilization. All goes on according to a certain routine established for ages past' Mis ion to Central Africa, vol. i. pp. 304, 305. See similar remarks in Palline Tracets

in Kordofan; pp 108, 109.

" Abd-Allatif, who was in Egypt early in the thirteenth century, gives an interesting account of the rising of the Nile, to which Egypt owes its fertility. ABD-ALLATD, Relation de l'Egupte, pp. 329-340. 374-376, and Appendix, p. 504 See also on these periodical mundations. Wilkingon's Ancient Eguptiane vol. iv. pp. 101-104; and on the half-astronomical half-theological notions connected with them, pp. 372-377, vol. v. pp. 291, 292 Compare on the religious importance of the Nile Buxsus's Egypt, vol 1 p 409. The expression, therefore, of Herodotas (book ii. chap v. vol. 1 p 444), δώσον τοῦ ποταμοῦ, 18 true in a much larger sense than he intended, since to the Nile Egypt owes all the physical peculiarities which distinguish it from Arabia and the great African descrt. Compare Herren's African Nations, vol. ii, p. 58; BRYRINR, Leonomic des Arabes, p 3. Postan's on the Note and Indus, in Journal of Anato: So cety, vol. vin. p. 275, and on the difference between the soil of the Nile and that of the surrounding desert, see Volumer, Foyage en Surve et en Egypte, vol 1 p 14.

" The average breadth of the valley from one mountain-range to the other, between Cairo in Lower, and Edfoo in Upper Egypt, is only about seven miles; and that of the cultivable land whose limits depend on the inundation, scarcely exceeds five and a half.' WILKINson's Ancient Equipments, vol. i. p. 216. According to Gerard, the mean width of the valley between Syene and Cairo is about nine

miles.' Note in HERRER'S African Nations, vol. n. p. 62.

" I will give one instance of this from an otherwise sensible writer, and a man too of considerable learning 'As to the physical knowledge of the Egyptians, their cotemporaries gave them credit for the astonishing power of their magic; and as we cannot suppose that the instances recorded in Scripture were to be attributed to the exerthe instance representation of a more in the powers, we must conclude that they were in possession of a more into the conclude the laws and combinations of nature than what is the most learned men of the present age. Hanitron pp. 61, 62. It is a shame that such nonsense should be write in the nineteenth century; and yet the barbarism of the other nations of Africa, none of which have been able to work out their own progress, or emerge, in any degree, from the ignorance to which the penury of nature has domed them.

These considerations clearly prove that of the two primary causes of civilization, the fertility of the soil is the one which in the ancient world exercised most influence. But in European civilization, the other great cause, that is to say, climate, has been the most powerful; and this, as we have seen, produces an effect partly on the capacity of the labourer for work, partly on the regularity or irregular of his habits. The difference in the result has currently corresponded with the difference in the cause for, although all civilization must have for its antecedent the accumulation of wealth, still what subsequently occurs will be in no small degree determined by the conditions under which the accumulation took place. In Asia, and in Africa, the condition was a fertile soil, causing an abundant return; in Europe, it was a happier climate, causing more successful labour. 5 In the former case, the effect depends on the relation between the soil and its produce; in other words, the mere operation of one part of external nature upon another. In the latter case, the effect depends on the relation between the climate and the labourer; that is, the operation of external nature not upon itself, but upon man Of these two classes of relations, the first, being the less complicated, is the less liable to disturbance, and therefore came sooner into play. Hence it is, that, in the march of civilization, the priority is unquestionably due to the most fertile parts of Asia and Africa. But although their civilization was the earliest. it was very far, indeed, from being the best or most permanent. Owing to circumstances which I shall presently state, the only progress which is really effective depends,

a still more recent author (Vys. on the Pyramids, vol. 1, p. 25) assures us that 'the Egyptians, for especial purposes, were endowed with great wisdom and science.' Science properly so called, the Egyptians had none and as to their wisdom. It is considerable enough to distinguish them from barbarous parts in the old Hebrews, but it was inferior to that of the Greeks, the old Hebrews but it below that of medern Europe.

not upon the bounty of nature, but upon the energy of Therefore the Living civilization of Europe which, in its earliest the was governed by climate, has shown a capacity of development unknown to those civilizations which were originated by soil. For the powers of nature notwithstanding their apparent magnitude, are limited and stationary; at all events, we have not the slightest proof that they have ever increased, or that they will ever be able to increase. But the powers of man, so far as experience and analogy can guide us, are unlimited; nor are we possessed of any evidence which authorizes us to assign even an imaginary boundary at which the human intellect will, of necessity, be brought to a stand. And as this power what the mind possesses of increasing its own resources, is a peculiarity confined to man, and one emmently distinguishing him from what is commonly called external nature, it becomes evident that the agency of chimate, which gives him wealth by stimulating his labour, Lamore favourable to his ultimate progress than the agency of soil, which likewise gives him wealth, but which does so, not by xciting his energies, but by virtue of a mere physical relation between the character of the soil and the quantity or value of the produce that it almost spontaneously affords.

Thus far as to the different ways in which climate, and soil affect the creation of wealth. But another point of equal, or perhaps of superior, importance remains behind. After the wealth has been created, a question arises as to how it is to be distributed; that is to say, what proportion is to go to the upper classes, and what to the lower. In an advanced stage of society, this depends upon several circumstances of great complexity, and which it is not necessary here to examine.²⁰ But in a very early stage

² Indeed many of them are still unknown, for, as M. REV justly observes, most writers pay too exclusive an attention to the production of wealth, and inspect the laws of its distribution. REV. Science. Science, vol. in. 2712. In confirmation of this, I may mention the theory of reat. Arish was put discovered about half a century ago, and which is consented with a sty subtle arguments that it is not yet generally adopted in the second to the second the second to the second t

of society, and before its later and refined complications have begun, it may, I think, be proved that the distribution of wealth is, like its creation, governed entirely by physical laws: and that those laws are moreover so active as to have invariably kept a vast majority of the inhabitants of the fairest portion of the globe in a condition of constant and inextricable poverty. If this can be demonstrated, the immense importance of such laws is manifest. For since wealth is an undoubted source of power, it is evident that, supposing other things equal, an inquiry into the distribution of wealth is an inquiry into the distribution of power, and, as such, will throw great light on the origin of those social and political inequalities, the play and opposition of which form a considerable part of the history of every civilized country.

If we take a general view of this subject, we may say that after the creation and accumulation of wealth have once fairly begun, it will be distributed among two classes. those who labour, and those who do not labour; the latter being, as a class, the more able, the former the more numerous. The fund by which both classes are supported is immediately created by the lower class, whose physical energies are directed, combined, and as it were gronomized. by the superior skill of the upper class. The reward of the workmen is called their wages; the reward of the contrivers is called their profits. At a later period, there will arise what may be called the saving class; that is, a body of men who neither contrive nor work, but lend their accumulations to those who contrive, and in return for the loan, receive a part of that reward which belongs to the contriving class In this case, the members of the saving class are rewarded for their abstinence in retraining from spending their accumulations, and this reward is termed the interest of their money; so that there is made a threefold division-Interest. Profits, and Wages. But this is a subsequent arrangement, which can only take place to any

the ratio between the cost or labour and the profits of stock, is the highest generalization we have resched respecting the distribution of wealth but it cannot be consistently admitted by any one who holds that rent enters into price.

extent when wealth has been considerably accumulated; and in the stage of society we are now considering, this third, or saving class, can hardly be said to have separate existence. For our present purpose, therefore, it is enough to decertain what those natural laws are, which, as soon as wealth is accumulated, regulate the proportion in which it is distributed to the two classes of labourers and employers.

Now, it is evident that wages being the price paid for labour, the rate of wages must, like the price of all other commodities, vary according to the changes in the market. If the supply of labourers outstrips the demand, wages will fall; if the demand exceeds the supply, they will rise. Supposing, therefore, that in any country there is a given amount of wealth to be divided between employers and worked, every increase in the number of the workmen walkstend to lessen the average reward each can receive. And if we set aside those disturbing causes by which all general views are affected, it will be found that, in the long-run, the question of wages is a question of population; for although the total sum of the wages actually paid depends upon the largeness of the fund from which they are drawn, still the amount of wages received by each man must diminish as the claimants increase, unless, owing to other circumstances, the fund itself should so advance as to keep pace with the greater demands made upon it. 22

4

In a still more arranged stage, there is a fourth division of wealth and part of the structure of labour is absorbed by rent. This, however, is not an except of frice, but a consequence of it; and in the ordinary march of affairs, considerable time must clapse before it can begin. Rent, in the proper sense of the word, is the price paid for using the natural and indestructible powers of the soil, and must not be confused with rent commonly so called; for this last also includes the profits of stock. I notice this, because several of the opponents of Ricardo have placed the beginning of rent too early, by overlooking the fact that apparent rent is very often profits disguised.

²⁴ Wages depend, then, on the proportion between the number of the labouring population, and the capital or other funds devoted to the purchase of labour; we will say, for shortness, the capital. If wages are higher at one time or place than at another, if the subsistence and comfort of the class of hired labourers are more ample, it is, and can be, for no other easien than because capital hears greater proportion to population. It is not the absolute amount of

To know the circumstances most favourable to the increase of what may be termed the wages-fund is a matter of great moment, but is one with which we are not immediately concerned. The question we have now before us, regards not the accumulation of wealth, but its distribution; and the object is, to ascertain what those physical conditions are, which, by encouraging a rapid growth of population, over-supply the labour-market, and thus keep the average rate of wages at a very low point.

Of all the physical agents by which the increase of the labouring classes is affected, that of food is the most active and universal. If two countries, equal in all other respects, differ solely in this—that in one the national food is cheap and abundant, and in the other scarce and dear, the population of the former country will inevitably increase more rapidly than the population of the latter. And, by a parity of reasoning, the average rate of wages will be lower in the former than in the latter, simply because the labour-market will be more amply stocked. An inquiry, therefore, into the physical laws on which the food of different countries depends is for our present

accumulation or of production that is of importance to the labouring class, it is not the amount even of the funds deflect for the labourers, it is the proportion between those that any the numbers among whom they are shared. The second class can be bettered in no other way than by's second on this as its foundation, is, for all permisers purposes delusion? Mill's Principles of Political Economy, 1845, vol. i p. 42.

See also vol. ii pp. 264, 265, and McCulson's Political Economy pp. 379, 380. Ricardo, in his I saw on the Influence of a Lon Price of this question. The rise or fall of wages is common to all states of society, whether it be the stationary, the advancing, or the retrograde state. In the stationary state, it is regulated wholly by the increase or falling-off of the population. In the advancing state, it depends on whether the capital or the population salvance at the more rapid course. In the retrograde state, it depends on whether population or capital decrease with the greater rapidity. Ricandows Works, p. 379.

²² The standard of comfort being of course supposed the same.

²⁴ No point is better established, than that the supply of labourers will always ultimately be in proportion to the means of supporting them? Principles of Political Economy, then xxi., in Ricand's Works.

p. 176 Compare Seith's Wealth of Waters, book 1 chap. xi. p. 86, and M'Culloch's Political Economy, p. 222.

purpose, of the greatest importance; and fortunately it is one respecting which we are able, in the present state of chemistry and physiology, to arrive at some precise and definite conclusions.

The food consumed by man produces two, and only two, effects necessary to his existence. These are, first supply him with that animal heat without which the functions of life would stop; and secondly, to repair the waste constantly taking place in his tissues, that is, in the machanism of his frame. For each of these separate purposes there is a separate food. The temperature of our body is kept up by substances which contain no nitrogen. and are called non-azotized; the incessant decay in our organism is repaired by what are known as azotized substance in which nitrogen is always found. 25 In the former case. The carbon of non-azotized food combines with the oxiden we take in, and gives rise to that internal combustion by which our animal heat is renewed. In the latter case. mirogen having little affinity for oxygen.26 the nitrogenous or azotized tood is, as it were, guarded against tombustion; 27 and being thus preserved, is able

26 'Of all the clements of the animal body, nitrogen has the feeblest attraction for oxygen; and, what is still more remarkable, it deprives all combustible elements with which it combines, to a greater or less extent, of the power of combining with oxygen, that is, of undergoing sombustion.' Liebte's Letters on Chemistry, p. 372.

The doctrine of what may be called the protecting power of some substances is still imperfectly understood, and until late in the eight-eenth century, he existence was hardly suspected. It is now known to be connected with the general theory of poisons. See Tunxin's Chemistry, vol. i. p. 516. The this we must probably ascribe the fact, that several poisons which are taked when applied to a wounded surface, may be taken into the stomach with impunity. Brodie's Physical Physica

to perform its duty of repairing the tissues, and supplying those losses which the human organism constantly suffers in the wear and tear of daily life.

These are the two great divisions of food; 28 and if we inquire into the laws which regulate the relation they bear to man, we shall find that in each division the most important agent is climate. When men live in a hot country, their animal heat is more easily kept up than when they live in a cold one, therefore they require a smaller amount of that non-azotized food, the sole business of which is to maintain at a certain point the temperature of the body. In the same way, they, in the hot country, require a smaller amount of azotized tood, because on the whole their bodily exertions are less frequent, and on that account the decay of their lessues is less rapid. 29

siological Researches, 1851, pp. 137-145. It seems more reasonable to refer this to chemical laws than to hold, with Sir Benjamin Brodie, that some poisons destroy life by paralysing the muscles of respiration without immediately affecting the action of the heart.

28 Prout's well-known division into saccharine, oily, and albuminous, appears to me of much inferior value, though I observe that is adopted in the last edition of ELLIOISON'S Human Physiology, pp. 05, 160. The division by M Lepchlette into the alimens solides at his boissons' is of course purely empirical Lepekleties, Physiologic Médicale, vol. 11. p. 100, Paris 1-32 in regard to Prout's efficient outcompare Burdach's Trait de Plistologie, vol. ix. p. 210, with Washers's Physiology, p. 452

2º The evidence of an universal connexion in the animal frame between exertion and decay, is now almost complete. In regard to the muscular system, see CARPENIE & Hungan Physiology, pp. 440, 441, 781 edit. 1846 There is strong reason to believe the waste or decomposition of the muscular tissue to be in exact proportion to the degree in which it is exerted ' This perhaps would be generally anticipated even in the absence of direct proof, but what is more interesting, is that the same principle holds good of the nervous system. The human brain of an adult contains about one and a half per cent. of phosphorts; and it has been accertained, that after the mind has been much exercised, phosphates are excreted, and that in the case of infismmation of the brain their excretion (by the kidneys) is very considerable: See PASET'S Lectures on Surgical Pathology, 1853, vol. i. pp. 6, 7, 484; CARPENTER'S Human Physiology, pp. 192, 193, 222 . Schon's Animal Chemistry, vol. it. p. 426; HENLE, Anatomis Generale, vol. ii. p. 172. The reader may also consult respecting the phosphorus of the brain, the recent very able work of MM. Robin et Verdeil. Chimie Anatomique, vol. i. p. 215, vol. ii. p. 365, Paris, 1853 According to these writers (vol. ni. p 445), its existence in the brain was first announced by Hensing, in 1779.

Since, therefore, the inhabitants of hot climates do in their natural and ordinary state, consume less food than the inhabitants of cold ones, it inevitably follows that, provided other things remain equal, the growth of population will be more rapid in countries which are hot than in those which are cold. For practical purposes, it is immercial whether the greater plenty of a substance by which the people are fed arises from a larger supply, or whether it arises from a smaller consumption. When men eat less, the result will be just the same as if they had more; because the same amount of nutriment will go further, and thus population will gain a power of increasing more quickly than it could do in a colder country, where, even if provisions were equally abundant, they, owing to the climate, would be sooner exhausted.

This is the first point of view in which the laws of climate are, through the medium of food, connected with the laws of population, and therefore with the laws of the distribution of wealth. But there is also another point of view which follows the same line of thought, and will be strengthen the argument just stated. This is, that in cold countries, not only are men compelled to ear more than in hot ones, but their food is dearer, that is to say, to get it is more difficult, and requires a greater expenditure of labour. The reason of this I will state as briefly as possible, without entering into any details beyond these which are absolutely necessary for a right understanding of this interesting subject.

The objects of food are, as we have seen, only two: namely, to keep up the warmth of the body, and repair the waste in the tissues.³⁰ Of these two objects, the former

Though both objects are equally essential, the former is mustly the more pressing, and it has been ascertained by experiment, which we should expect from theory, that when animals are started to death, there is a progressive decline in the temperature of their societies, so that the proximate cause of death by starystion is not withches, but cold. See Williams's Principles of Medicine, p. 352 and the connexion between the less of animal heat and the appearance of train mortes in the contractile parts of the body, see Vouri's Passoluncal Anatomy of the Hamps Rody, p. 532 Compare the important and thoughtful workers Tardeson, Physiologic man Secure d'Observation, vol y pp. 144–436, vol 1x. p. 231

is effected by the oxygen of the air entering our lungs, and is travel through the system, combining with the cartin which we take in our food. This combination of oxigin and carbon never can occur without producing a constraint amount of heat, and it is in this way that the human frame is maintained at its necessary temperature. The producing a constraint of a law familiar to chemists, carbon

21 Until the last twenty or five-and-twenty years, it used to be supposed that this combination took place in the lungs; but more careful experiments have made it probable that the oxygen unites with the carbon in the circulation, and that the blood-corpuscules are the carriers of the oxygen, Compare Liebic's Animal Chemistry, p. 78, Letters on Chemistry, pp 335, 336; Turner's Chemistry, vol. ii. p, 1319, Müller's Physiology, vol 1 pp. 92, 159. That the combination does not take place in the air-cells is moreover proved by the fact that the lungs are not hotter than other parts of the body. See Muller, vol : p 348, Thomson's Animal Chemistry, p. 633; and BRODIE'S Physiol Resear thes, p. 33 Another argument iff favour of the red corpuscules being the carriers of oxygen, is that they are most abundant in those classes of the vertebrata which maintain the highest temperature, while the blood of invertebrata contains very few of them, and it has been doubted if they even exist in the lower articulata and mollusca See Carpenter's Human Physiol., pp. 109, 532. GRANI'S Comparative Anatomy, p. 472. Elliotson's Ruman Phyviol. p 159 In regard to the different dimensions of corpuscules, see HENLE, Anatomie Generale, vol. 1 pp. 457-467. 494; 495; BLAIN-VILLE, Physiologie Compares, vol 1 pp 298, 299, 901-304; Melen Ed-WARDS, Zoologie, part 1 pp 54-56; Fourth Report of British Ausociation, pp 117, 118, Simon's Animal Chemistry, vol. i. pp. 103, 104, and, above all, the important observations of Mr. Gulliver (CARPETER. pp 105, 106) These additions to our knowledge, besides being connected with the laws of animal heat and of nutrition, will, when generalized, assist speculative minds in raising pathology to a science. In the mean time I may mention the relation between an examination of the corpuscules and the theory of inflammation which Hunter and Broussais were unable to settle this is, that the proximate cause of inflammation is the obstruction of the vessels by the adhesion of the pale corpuscules. Respecting this striking generalisation, which is still on its trial, compare, Williams's Frinciples of Medicine, 1848, pp. 258-265, with Pagkt's Surgical Pathology, 1833, vol 1 pp. 313-317; Jones and Stevening's Pathological Anatomy, 1854, pp 24, 105, 106. The difficulties connected with the scientific study of inflammation are evaded in VOGEL'S Pathological Anatomy, p. 418; a work which appears to me to have been greatly overrated.

E On the amount of heat disengaged by the union of carbon and oxygen, see the experiments of Dulong, in Liebto's Animal Chemistry, p. 44; and those of Despretz, in Thomson's Animal Chemistry, p. 634. Just in the same way, we find that the temperature of plants is maintained by the combination of oxygen with earbon: see Balfour's Bogany, pp 231, 232, 322–323. As to she amount-of-heat caused generally by chemical combination, there is in the same well worth reading by Dr Thomas Andrews in Report of British Association for 1849,

and oxygen, like all other elements, will only unite in core tain definite proportions 35; so that to keep up a la tain definite proportions :: so that to keep up a libalance, it is needful that the food which contain carbon should vary according to the amount of orden taken in: white it is equally needful that we she increase the quantity of both of these constituents whenever a greater external cold lowers the temperature of the body. Now it is obvious that in a very cold climate, this necessity of providing a nutriment more highly carbonized will arise in two distinct ways. In the first place, the air being tlenser, men imbibe at each inspiration a greater volume of oxygen than they would do in a climate where the sair is rarefied by heat.34 In the second place, cold accelerates their respiration, and thus obliging them to inhale more frequently than the inhabitants of hot countries, increases the amount of oxygen which they on an average take in 45 On both these grounds the consumption of

pp 63-76. See also Report for 1852, Transact of Sec. p. 40, and Lienic and Kopp's Reports on the Prom ex of Chemistry, vol. 1 p 34, sol iti, p. 16, vol. iv p. 20. also Pouller, Elemens de Physique, Paris. 1833, red.-i. part. i. p. 411

The law of definite proportions, which, since the brilliant discoveres by Dallowells the corner-stone of chemical knowledge, is laid down with admirable clearness in Turner's Elements of Chemistry vol. 1, pp. 144-451. Compare Brande's Chemistry, vol. 1, pp. 139-144, Civies Brande's Chemistry, vol. 1, pp. 139-144, Civies Brande's Connection of the Sciences, pp. 120, 121. But none of these writers have considered the law so maline patiently as M. A. Comer, Philosophic Positics, vol. 11 pp. 125, the of the best chapters in his very profound, but ill-understood, work.

Ainsi, dane des temps égaux, la quantité d'oxygene consommée par le même animai est d'autant plus grande que la température ambiante est moins élevés? Robin et Verdeil, thome Asatomies, vol. ii p. 44. Compare Sinors Lectures on Pathology, 1850, p. 188, for the diminished quantity of respiration in a high temperature, though one may question Mr. Sinor's inference that the effort the blood is more venous in hot countries than in cold ones. This is not making allowance for the difference of diet, which corrects the difference of temperature

The consemption of oxygen in a given time may be expressed by the number of respirations' Likenic's Letters on Chemistry, p. They and see Thomson's Animal Chemistry, p. 611. It is also certain, that exercise increases the number of respirations; and birds, which has the most active of all animals, consume more oxygen than any others. MILIER EDWARDS, Establish, part. 1, p. 88, part is 371, FLOURING, Trassux de Carlery up. 138, 184, 265, 266. Compare on the connexions between respiration and the locomotive organs, Beclaud, Anatomic

proper becomes greater: it is therefore requisite that insurantial of carbon should also be greater; since the union of these two elements in cartain definite proportions; be temperature of the body and the balance of the human frame can alone be maintained.

Proceeding from these chemical and physiological principles, we arrive at the conclusion, that the colder the country is in which a people live, the more highly carbonized will be their food. And this, which is a purely scientific inference has been verified by actual experiment. The inhabitants of the polar regions consume large quantities of whale-oil and blubber; while within the tropics such food would soon put an end to life, and therefore the ordinary diet consists almost entirely of fruit, rice, and other vegetables. Now it has been ascertained by careful analysis, that in the polar food there is an excess of carbon, in the tropical food an excess of oxygen. Without entering into details, which to the majority of readers would be distasteful, it may be said generally, that the oils contain about six times as much carbon as the fruits, and that they have in them very little oxygen; 37 while starch, which is the most universal, and,

ternerale pp 49, 44, Burdaun, Trait de Phasiotogie, vol ix. pp. 485, 554,—559, Carus Comparative Anatomy, vol 1 pp. 89, 168, 356, vol. 11 pp. 142, 160, Grani's Comparative Anatomy, pp. 455, 495, 532, 537, Kumer Jones's Animal Kingdom, pp. 309, 440, 692, 714, 7267 Owen's Invertebrata, pp 322, 315, 386, 503. Thus too it has been experimentally ascertained, that in human beings exercise increased the amount of carbonic-acid gas Mayo's Haman Physiology, p. 64; Liebig and Kopp's Reports, vol 11 p 359

If we now put these facts together, their bearing on the propositions in the text will become evident, because, on the whole, there is more exercise taken in cold climates than in hot ones, and there must therefore be an increased respiratory action. For proof that greater exercise is both taken and required, compare Wrangel's Fo ar Expedition, pp. 79, 102, Richardson's Arctic Expedition, vol. i. p. 385, Simpson's North Chart of America, pp. 49, 88, which should be contrasted with the contempt for such amusements in hot countries Indeed, in polar regions all this is so essential to preserve a normal state, that scurvy can only be kept off in the nothern part of the American continent by taking considerable exercise: see Charle, History of Greenland, vol. i. pp. 46, 62, 238.

36 See the note at the end of this chapter.

^{2.} The fruits used by the inhabitants of southern climes do not contain, in a fresh state, more than 12 per cent, of carbon; while the blubber and train-oil which feed the inhabitants of polar regions con-

in reference to nutrition, the most important constituent in the regardle world, 36 is nearly half expren. 34 The conexion between this circumstance

The stance of the circumstance and the contract of the stance of the contract of contr

tain 65 to 80 per cent of that element. Liberta's Letters on Chemistry, p. 3203, see also p. 375, and Tunner's Chemistry, vol in p. 1315. According to Prout (Maro's Human Physio! p. 136), 'the proportion of circles 'fa 'odly bodies varies from about 60 to 80 per cent'. The quality of cill and fat habitually consumed in cold countries is remised by the consumed of Countries in the cold of Siberia, 'fat is their greatest delicacy. They eat it is the consumed of Siberia, 'fat is their greatest delicacy. They eat it is the consumed of Siberia, 'fat is their greatest delicacy. They eat it is the consumed of Siberia, 'fat is their greatest delicacy. They can be also Simport's theory by on the North Coast of America, pp. 147, 404.

They ext it is their greatest delicacy. They ext it is to plantage shape, raw, meltod, fresh, or spoilt. See also Simper a biscopy of on the North Coast of America, pp. 147, 404.

18 the common that no plant is destitute of it Lindley's Botany, vol. i. h. 111; at p. 121, 'starch is the most common of all vegetable production. By Lindley adds (vol. i. p. 292), that it is difficult to distinct the grains of starch secreted by planta from symblasts. See to on the starch-granules, first noticed by M. Lindley, Reports on Holany by the Ray Society, pp. 223-370, and respecting its predominance in the vegetable world, compart Thomson's Chemistry of Vegetables, pp. 539-652; 873. Beandy's Chemistry, vol. 11, pp. 1206; Turkmay's Chemistry, vol. 16, pp. 97, 98, 132.

¹⁹ The oxygen is 49°39 out of 100. See the table in Liento's Letters on Chemistry, p. 379. Amidin, which is the soluble part of starph, contains 53°33 per cent, of oxygen. See Thomson's Chemistry of Vertiblet, p. 654, on the authority of Prout, who has the reputation of being an accurate experimenter.

Of which a single whate will yield 'cent vingt tonness'x.' CUVIER, Rèque Animal, vol. i. p. 207. In regard to the solid food. Sir J. Richardsom (Agretic Expedition, 1851, vol. i. p. 243) says that the inhabitants of the Aratic regions only maintain themselves by shasing whates and 'consuming blumber?

cases, still it is seident that the nearer a people approach to either extensions the more subject will they be to the conditions by with that extremity is governed. It is evident that, as a general rule, the colder a country in the more its food will be carbonized; the warmer it is, the more its food will be oxidized. 41 At the same time, carbonized food, being chiefly drawn from the animal would. is more difficult to obtain than oxidized food, which is drawn from the vegetable world. 42 The result has been, that among nations where the coldness of the climate renders a highly carbonized duet essential, there is for the most part displayed, even in the infancy of society, a bolder and more adventurous character, than we find among those other nations whose ordinary nutriment, being highly oxidized, is easily obtained, and indeed is supplied to them, by the bounty of nature, grainitously and without a struggle.43 From this original divergence * there follow many other consequences, which, however, I am not now concerned to trace, my present object being

⁴¹ It is said, that, to keep a person in health, his food, even in the temperate parts of Europe, should contain 'a full eighth more carbon in winter than in summer' Lieuto's Animal Chemistry, p. 16.
42 The most highly carbonized of all foods are undoubtedly fighted by animals, the most highly oxidized by vegetables. In the verticle kingdom there is, however, so much carbon, that its predomption, accompanied with the rarity of nitrogen, has induced chemical vitalists to characterize plants as carbonized and applials as accurated. But we have here to attend to a double antithed. Vegetables are carbonized in so far as they are non-axotized, but for a carbonized in so far as they are non-axotized, but for a cold countries. Besides this, it is important to observe that the conformation of vegetables is most abundant in the woody and unnutritious plat, which is not eaten; which are not only eaten, but are, in cold countries, greedily devoured.

Sir J. Malcolm (History of Persia, vol. ii. p. 360), speaking of the cheapness of vegetables in the East, says, 'in some parts of Persia fruit has harily any value' Cuvier, in a striking passage (Righe Animal, vol. i. pp. 73, 74), has contrasted vegetable with animal food, and thinks that the former, being so easily obtained, is the more natural. But the truth is that both are equally natural: though when Cuvier wrote scarcely anything was known of the laws which govern the relation between climate and food. On the skill and energy required to obtain food in cold countries, see Weaffort's Polar Expedition, pp. 70, 71, 191, 192, Simpron's Discoveries on the North Coast of America, p. 249; Chantz, History of Greenland, vol. i. pp. 22, 32, 105, 131, 154, 155, vol. ii. pp. 203, 283, 224.

merely to post out how this difference of facts the proportion in which wealth is distributed to the different classes.

The war in which this proportion is actually altered has, I hope, been made clear by the preceding argument; but it may be useful to recapitulate the facts on which the argument is based. The facts, then, are simply these. The rate of wages fluctuates with the population; mcreasing when the labour-market is under-supplied, diminishing when it is over-supplied. The population itself, though affected by many other circumstances, does undoubtedly fluctuate with the supply of food; advancing when the supply is plentiful, halting or receding when the supply is scanty. The food essential to life, is scarcer in cold countries than in hot ones, and not only is it scarcer, but more of it is required; 44 so that on both grounds smaller encouragement is given to the growth of that population from whose ranks the labour-market is stocked. To express, therefore, the conclusion in its simplest form, we may say, that there is a strong and constant tendency in hot countries for wages to be low, in cold countries for them to be high.

Applying now this great principle to the general course of history, we shall find proofs of its accuracy in every direction. Indeed, there is not a single instance to the contrary. Man Asia, in Africa, and in America, all the ancient civilizations were seated in hot climates: and in all of them the rate of wages was very low, and there-

[&]quot; Cabanis (Rapports du Physique et du Moral, p. 313) says, 'Dans les temps et dans les pays froids on mange et l'on agit davantage. That much food is eaten in cold countries, and little in hot ones, is mentioned by numerous travellers, none whom are aware of the cause. See Simpson's Discoe. on the North Coast of America , p. 218; Custine's Russie. vol. iv p. 66; Whanobl's Expedition, pp 21, 397; CLANTZ, History of Greenland, vol. 1. pp 145, 360; RICHARDSON'S Central Africa, vol. ii. p. 46; RICHARDSON'S Sakara, vol i. p. 137; DENHAR's Africa, p. 37; Journal of Asiatic Society, vol. v. p. 144, vol vili. p. 188; BURCKHARDT'S Trassis in Arabia, vol. ii. p. 265; Niebung, Description de l'Arabie, p. 45; Ulloa's louage to South America, vol. i. pp. 403, 408; Journal of Geograph. Society, vol. in. p. 283, vol. vi p. 85, vol. zix. p. 121; SPIX and MARTIUS'S Trassit in Brant, vol. i. p. 164; SOUTHER'S History of Brazil, vol iii. p. 848; Volume, Vanage en Syrie et en Egypte, vol 1. pp. 379, 380, 460; Low's Sarawak, p. 140.

fore the condition of the labouring classes very depressed In Europe, for the first time, civilization areae in a colder the distribution of wealth rendered more equal than was possible in countries where an excessive abundance of food stimulated the growth of population. This difference produced, as we shall presently see, many social and political consequences of immense importance. But before discussing them, it may be remarked that the only apparent exception to what has been stated, is one which strikingly verifies the general law. There is one instance, and only one, a great European people possessing a very cheap partonal tood. This people, I need hardly say, are the trish. In Ireland the labouring classes have for more than two hundred years been principally fed by potatoes, which were introduced into their country late in the sixteenth, or early in the seventeenth century.45 Now, the peculiarity of the potato is, that until the appearance of the late disease, it was, and perhaps still is, cheaper than any other food equally wholesome. It we compare its reproductive power with the amount of nutriment contained in it, we find that one acre of average land sown with potatoes will support twice as many persons as the same quantity of land sown with reeat. The consequence is, that in a country where men live on

⁴⁵ Meyen (Geography et Plants 1846, p. 313) says that the potato was introduced into Ireland in 1856, but according to Mr. McCulloch (Dectaonary of Commerce, 1849, p. 1948), spotatoes, it is commonly thought, were not introduced into Ireland till 1646, when a small quantity was sent by Sir Walter Raleigh to be planted in a garden on his estate in the vicinity of Y ughali. Compare Lounous's Encyclop, of Agriculture, p. 845 hinst planted by Sir Walter Raleigh on his estate of Youghall, nor Cork

⁴⁶ Adam Smith (Wealth of Nations, book 1 chap, xi, p. 67) supposes that it will support three times as many, but the statistics of this great writer are the weakest part of his work, and the more careful calculations made since he wrote bear out the statement in the text. It admits of demonstration that an acre of potatoes will feed double the number of people that can be fed from an acre of wheat. Loudon's Enciety, of Ameritance, 5th edit, 1814, p. 845. So, too, in MCULLOCH's Diet, p. 1818, 'an acre of potatoes will feed double the number of individuals that can be fed from an acre of wheat.' The daily average consumption of an able-bodied labourer in Ireland is estimated at nine and a half pounds of potatoes for men, and seven and a half for women. See Phillips on Scrofula, 1846, p. 177.

potatoes, the population will, if other things are tolerably equal, increase twice as fast as in a country where the live on treat. And so it has actually occurred the a very years ago, when the face of affairs was enfirely, altered by postilence and emigration, the postilatian of Ireland was, in round numbers, increasing annually three per cent.; the population of England during the same period increasing one and a half per cent.47 The result was, that in these two countries the distribution of wealth was altogether different. Even in England the growth of population is somewhat too rapid; and the labour-market being overstocked, the working classes are not sufficiently paid for their labour.48 But their condition is one of sumptuous splendour. compared to that in which only a few years ago the Irish were forced to live The misery in which they were plunged has no doubt always been aggravated by the ignorance of their rulers, and by that scandalous misgovernment which, until very recently formed one of the darkest blots on the glory of England. The most active cause, however, was, that their wages were so low as to debar them, not only from the comforts, but from the common decencies of civilized life; and this fevil condition was the natural result of that cheap and abundant tood, which encouraged the people to so rapid an increase, that the labour-market was constantly gorged. 49 So far was this carried, that an intelligent observer who travelled through Ireland twenty years ago, mentioned that at that time the average wages were fourpence a day, and that even this wretched pit-

MALTHUS, Essay on Population vol. 1, pp. 421, 425, 431, 435, 441,
 MCULLOCR's Political Economy, pp. 381-382

The lowest agricultural wages in our time have been in England about is, a day; while from the evidence collected by Mr. Thoraton in 1845, the highest wages then paid were in Lincolnshire, and were rather more than 13s. a week; those in Yorkshire and Northumberland being nearly as high. THOREXCON OF Population, pp. 12-15, 24, 25. Godwin, writing in 1820, estimates the average at 1s, 6d. a day. Godwin on Population, p. 574. Mr. Phillips in his work On Scrofula, 1846, p. 345, says, 'at present the ratio of wages is from 9s. to 10s.'

The most miserable part, namely Connaught, in 1733, contained 242,160 inhabitants, and in 1821, 1,110,229. See Sadler's Laws of Population, vol. ii. p. 490.

tance could not always be relied upon for regular employment. 30

Such have been the consequences of cheap food in a country which, on the whole, possesses greater natural resources than any other in Europe. And if we investigate on a larger scale the social and economical condition of nations, we shall see the same principle everywhere at work. We shall see that, other things remaining equal, the food of a people determines the increase of their numbers, and the increase of their numbers determines the rate of their wages. We shall moreover find, that

50 Mr. Inglis, who in 1834 travelled through Ireland with a particular view to its economical state, says, as the result of very careful inquiries. I am quite confident, that if the whole yearly earnings of the lakourers of Ireland were divided by the whole number of labourers, the result would be under this sum - Four pence a day for the labourers of Ireland 'INOLIS, but new throughout Ireland in 1834 Lond 1835, 2nd edit vol. n p 300 At Balinasloe, in the county of Galway, 'A gentleman with whom I was accidentally in company offered to procure, on an hours warning, a couple of hundred labourers at fourpence even for tempotary employment.' INGLIS, vol 11 p. 17 The same writer says (vol 1 p 263), that at Trales 'it often happens that the labourers, after working in the canal from five in the morning until eleven in the forenoon, are discharged for the day with the pittance of twopence' Compare, in CLONCURKY'S Recelle -Tions, Dublin, 1849, p. 310, a letter from Dr. Doyle written in 1829. describing Ireland as 'a country where the market is always overstocked with labour, and in which a man's labour is not worth, at an average, more than threspence a day '

"It is singular that so acute a thinker as Mr. Kay should, in his otherwise just remarks on the Irish, entirely overlook the effect produced on their wages by the increase of population. Kan's So tol Condition of the People, vol 1 pp > 9, 92, 223, 346-324. This is the more observable, because the discarantages of cheap food have been noticed not only by several common writers, but by the highest of all authorities on population, Mr. Malthus see the sixth edition of his Essay on Population, vol 1 p. 469, vol. ii. pp. 123, 124, 383, 384. If these things were oftener considered, we should not hear so much about the idleness and levity of the Celtic race; the simple fact being, that the Irish are unwilling to work, not because they are Celts, but because their work is badly paid. When they go abroad, they get good wages, and therefore they become as industrious as any other people. Compare Journal of Statistical Society, vol. vii. p. 24, with TROBETOR on Over Population, p. 425, a very valuable work. Even in 1799. it was observed that the Irish as soon as they left their own country became industrious and energetic. See Participentary History. vol. xxxiv. p. 222. So too, in North America, 'they are most willing to work hard ' LYELL's Second Visit to the United States . 1849, vol i. p. 187.

when the wages are invariably low, 52 the distribution of wealth being thus very unequal, the distribution is political tower and social influence will also be very unequal; in the words it will appear that the normal and average relation between the upper and lower classes will, in its trigm, depend upon those peculiarities of nature, the operations of which I have endeavoured to indicate. 53 After putting all these things together, we shall. I trust, be able to discern, with a clearness hitherto unknown, the intimate connexion between the physical and moral world; the laws by which that connexion is governed; and the reasons why so many ancient civilizations reached a certain stage of development, and then fell away, unable to resist the pressure of nature, or make head against those

¹² By low wages I mean low reward of labour, which is of course independent both of the cost of labour and of the money-rate of wages.

¹³ In a recent work of considerable ingenuity (DOURLEDAY'S Trac-Law of Population, 1847, pp. 2'—2'4, 69, 78, 123, 124, &c.) it is noticed that countries are more populous when the ordinary food is vegetable than when it is animal, and an attempt is made to explain this on the ground that a poor diet is more favourable to fecundity than a rich one. But though the fact of the greater increase of population is indisputable, there are several reasons for being dissatisfied with Mr. Doubleday's explanation

^{• 1}st. That the power of propagation is heightened by poor living, is a proposition which has never been established physiologically, while the observations of trivellers and of governments are not sufficiently numerous to establish it statistically.

²nd. Versable diet is as generous for a hot country as animal duet is for a sold country; and since we know that, notwithstanding the difference of food and climate, the temperature of the body varies intile between the equator and the poles (compare Lients's Asimal Chimistry, p. 19; HOLLAND'S Medical Notes, p. 473, POULLEY, Elémens de Physique, vol. i. part. i. p. 414. BURDACU'S Traite de Physiologie, vol ix. p. 663), we have no reason to believe that there is any other normal variation, but should rather suppose that, in regard to all essential Impetious, vegetable diet and external heat are equivalent to animal diet and external cold.

³rd. Even conceding, for the sake of argument, that vegetable food increases the procrentive power, this would only affect the number of births, and not the density of population; for a greater number of births may be, and often are, remedied by a greater mortality; a point in regard to which Godwin, in trying to refute Malthus, falls into serious error. Godwin on P. 317.

falls into serious error. Gonwar on Population. p. 317.

Since writing the above, I have found that these views of Mr.

Doubleday's were in a great measure anticipated by Fourier. See
Rev. Science Sociale, vol 1, p. 185.

exical obstacles by which their progress was effectually

It in the first place, we turn to Asia, we shall see an admirable illustration of what may be called the collision between internal and external phenomena. Owing to circumstances already stated. Asiatic civilization has always been confined to that rich tract where alone wealth could be easily obtained. This immense zone comprises some of the most fertile parts of the globe; and of all its provinces. Hindostan is certainly the one which for the longest period has possessed the greatest civilization.54 And as the materials for forming an opinion respecting India are more ample than those respecting any other part of Asia,55 I purpose to select it as an example, and use it to illustrate those laws which, though generalized from political economy, chemistry, and physiology, may be verified by that more extensive survey, the means of which history alone can supply

In India, the great heat of the climate brings into play that law already pointed out, by virtue of which the ordinary food is of an oxygenous rather than of a carbonaceous character. This, according to another law, obliges the people to derive their usual diet not from the animal, but from the vegetable would, of which starch is the most important constituent. At the same time the high temperature, incapacitating men for arduous labour, makes

[&]quot;I use the word 'Hindostan' in the popular source, as extending south to Cape Comorin, though, properly speaking, it only includes the country north of the Nerbudda Compare Mill's History of India, vol. ii. p. 11: Menness über die Londer in Auch, vol. i p. 224. The word itself is not found in the old Sanskrit, and is of Persian origin. Habstu's Preface to the Genigo Laws, pp. xx. xx. Aunti: Researches, vol. iii. pp. 348, 369.

³⁵ So that, in addition to works published on their philosophy, religion, and jurisprudence, a learned geographer stated several years ago, that 'kein anderes asiatisches Reich ist in den letzten drey Jahrhunderten von so vielen und so einsichtwollen Europhern durchreint und beschrieben worden, als Hindostan' MRIBERS Lander in Asien, vol. 1 p. 225. Since the time of Meiners, such evidence has become still more precise and extensive; and is, I think, too much neglected by M Rhode in his valuable work on India. 'Bem Zulent' dieser Arbeit gemass, betachten wir hick-nur Werke der Hindus albeit, oder Aussige aus denseiben als Quellen.' Rhode, Religion Hung der Hindus, vol 1 p. 43.

necessary a food of which the returns will be abundant and which will contain much nutriment in a street which, if the preceding views are correct ought to be found in the ordinary food of the Indian nations. So they all are. From the earliest period the most general food in India has been rice, 56 which is the most nutritive of all the cerealia; 57 which contains an enormous proportion of starch; 58 and which yields to the labourer an average return of at least sixtyfold. 59

Thus possible is it, by the application of a few physical laws, to anticipate what the national food of a country will be, and therefore to anticipate a long train of ulterior consequences. What in this case is no less remarkable, is that though in the south of the peninsula, rice is not so much used as formerly, it has been replaced, not by animal food, but by another grain called ragi. 40 The original

^{**} This is ovident from the frequent and familiar mention of it in tremarkable relic of antiquity, the Institutes of Menu. See the Institutes, in Borks of Ser W. Jorks, vol. in pp. 87, 132, 150, 200, 215, 566, 400, 403, 434. Thus too, in the enumeration of foods in Vishau Patrana pp. 46, 47, vice is the first mentioned. See further evidence in Bohlent Dus alto Indian, vol. 1 p. 22, vol. ii. pp. 159, 160, Wilson's Theatre of the Hindus, vol. 1 part ii. pp. 15, 16, 37, 92, 91, vol. ii. part, ii. p. 35, part, iii. p. 64, Notes on the Mahatharata, in Journal of toutic Society, vol. vii. p. 141; Travels of the Batista in Fourteenth tentury, p. 164, Colebbrooke's Digest of Hondu Lase, vol. 1 p. 499, vol. ii. pp. 44, 48, 430, 569, vol. iii. pp. 11, 145, 205, 206, 207, 260, 364, 30. Anatic Researches, vol. vii. pp. 299, 302. Ward on the Hindoos, vol. i. p. 209, vol. iii. p. 105.

of the cerealist Somewille's Physical Geography, vol. ii. p. 220.

¹¹ contains from 83'8 to 83'07 per cent. of starch. Brands's Chemistry, vol. ii. p. 1624, Thomson's Chemistry of Organic Bodies, p. 883.

[&]quot;It is difficult to collect sufficient evidence to strike an average, but in Egypt, according to Savary, rice 'produces eighty bushels for one.' Loudon's Encyclop. of Agriculture, p. 173. In Tennascrim, the yield is from 80 to 100. Low's History of Tennascrim, in Journal of Auntic Society; vol. iii. p. 29. In South America, 230 fold, according to Spix and Martius [Travels in Brazil, vol. ii p. 79), or from 300 to 100, according to Southey (History of Brazil, vol. iii. pp. 658, 806). The lowest estimate given by M. Meyen is forty fold, the highest, which is marth rice in the Philippine Islands, 400 fold. Maxen's tecorraph of Fluxits. 1846, p. 301.

⁽coornable of Pasts, 1846, p. 301.

Carocanus of Lineus, and, considering its importance, it has been

rice, however, is so smited to the circumstances I have described, that it is still the most general food of nearly all the hottest countries of Asia, 61 from which at different times it has been transplanted to other parts of the world. 42

In consequence of these peculiarities of climate, and of food, there has arisen in India that unequal distribution of wealth which we must expect to find in countries where the labour-market is always redundant. 63 If we examine the earliest Indian records which have been preserved -

strangely neglected by botanical writers. The best account I have seen of it is in Buchanan's Journey through the Countries of Mysore, Canara, and Makehar. vol. 1. pp 100-104, 285, 286, 375, 376, 403, vol 11. pp 103, 104, vol 111. pp 239, 240, 296, 297. In the large cities. millet is generally used, of which 'a quantity sufficient for two meals may be purchased for about a halfpenny.' Gibson on Indian Agriculture, in Journal of Assatic Society, vol. iii. p. 100.

(4 Marsden's History of Samatra, pp. 56, 59, Raffles, History of Jara, vol. i. pp. 39, 106, 119, 129, 240, Percival's Ceylon, pp. 357. 364, Transact. of Society of Bombay, vol. n. p. 155; Transac. of Avia'; Society, vol. 1. p. 510; Journal of Anatic Society, vol. i. pp. 228, 24". vol. ii. pp. 44, 64, 251, 257, 262, 336, 344, vol. iii. pp. 8, 25, 300, 340, vol. iv. pp. 82, 83, 104, vol. v. pp 241, 246, Asiatic Researches, vol. v pp. 124, 239, vol xii. p. 148, vol xvi pp. 171, 172, Journal of Geograph. Society, vol. ii. p. 80, vol. iii. pp. 124, 295, 300, vol. v. p. 263, vol. vni pp. 341, 359, vol. xix. pp. 132, 137

62 Rice, so far as I have been able to trace it, has travelled westward. Besides the historical evidence, there are philological probabilities in favour of its being indigenous to Asia, and the Sanscrit name for it has been very widely diffused. Compare HUMBOLDT'S (asmos, vol. ii. p. 472, with Charpend's History of the Indian Archipelago vol. 1. p. 358. In the fourteenth century, it was the common food on the Zanguebar coast, and is now universal in Madagascar. Trucile of Ibn Batuta in Fourteenth Century, p. 36; ELLIS's History of Manuapas ar, vol. i. pp. 39, 297-304, vol n. p. 292, Journal of Geograph, Society, vol. iii. p 212. From Madagascar its seeds were, according to M'CULLOCH's Dictionary of Commerce, p 1105, carried to Carolina late in the seventeenth century It is now cultivated in Micaragua (Squish's Central America, vol. 1 p. 38) and in South America, (Herderson's Hest, of Brazil, pp. 292, 307, 395, 440, 488, where it is said to grow wild. Compare MEYEN's Geography of Plants, pp. 291, 297, with AZARA. l'oyages dans l'Amerique Meridionale, vol. i. p 100, valii, p. 80 The ancient Greeks, though acquainted with rice, did not guittvate it. and its cultivation was first introduced into Europe by the Arabs

See Humboldt, Nowcelle Espagne, vol. ii. pp. 408, 410.

See Humboldt, Nowcelle Espagne, vol. ii. pp. 408, 410.

So far as food is concerned, Diodorus Sionius notices the remarkable fertility of India, and the consequent accumulation wealth See two interesting passages in Bibliothec. Hint like 11, 101, 111, pp. 40, 50, 108, 109. But of the economical laws of Marthagen, he, like all

the micient writers, was perfectly ignorant

records between two and three thousand years old - we find evidence of a state of things similar to that which now exists, and which, we may rely upon it, always has existed ever since the accumulation of capital once fairly began. We find the upper classes enormously rich, and the lower classes miserably poor. We find those by whose labour the wealth is created receiving the smallest possible share of it; the remainder being absorbed by the higher ranks in the form either of rent or of profit. And as wealth is, after; intellect, the most permanent source of power, it has naturally happened that a great inequality of wealth has been accompanied by a corresponding inequality of social and political power. It is not, therefore, surprising that from the earliest period to which our knowledge of India extends, an immense majority of the people, pinched by the most galling poverty, and just living from hand to mouth, should always have remained m a state of stupid debasement, broken by incessant misfortune, cronching before their superiors in abject submission, and only fit either to be slaves themselves or to he led to battle to make slaves of others."4

To ascertain the precise value of the average rate of wages in India for any long period, is impossible; because, although the amount might be expressed in money, still the value of money, that is, its purchasing power, is subject to incalculable fluctuations, arising from changes in the cost of production. 63 But, for our present purpose, there is a method of investigation which will lead to results far more accurate than any statement could be that depended merely on a collection of evidence respecting the wages

out in Transer's Rederions sur la Formation et la Distribution des Richesses in Chirese, vol. v. pp. 51, 52. Compare Ricando's Work, pp. 14, 45, 461, 253, 270, 461, with M. CLLOCH's Principles of Political Economy, pp. 285, 291, 317

ci An able and very learned apologist for this miserable people says, 'The serellity so generally ascribed to the Hindu is never more conspicuous than when he is examined as an evidence. But if it be admitted that he acts as a slave, why blame him for not possessing the virtues of a free man? The oppression of ages has taught him implicit submission' VANS KENNEDY, in Transactions of the Society of Bonibay, vol. 11. p. 144. Compare the observations of Charles Hamilton in Assatic Agencies, vol. i. p. 303.

** The Assatishing of having a standard of value, is clearly pointed

themselves. The method is simply this: that inasmuch as the wealth of a country tan only be divided into wages, rent, profits, and interest, and inasmuch as interest is on an average an exact measure of profits, 6° it follows that if among any people rent and interest are both high, wages must be low. 67° If, therefore, we can ascertain the current interest of money, and the proportion of the produce of the soil which is absorbed by rent, we shall get a perfectly accurate idea of the wages; because wages are the residue, that is, they are what is left to the labourers after rent, pronts, and interest have been paid.

Now it is remarkable, that in India both interest and rent have always been very high. In the *Institutes of Menu*, which were drawn up about B. c. 900,68 the lowest legal interest for money is fixed at fifteen per cent., the highest at sixty per cent.69 Nor is this to be considered

ever the proposition is stated rather too absolutely, since the risks arising from an insecure state of society must be taken into ponsideration. But that there is an average ratio between interest and profits is obvious, and is distinctly laid down by the Samscrit jurists See COLEBROOKE's Inject of Hindu Law, vol. 1, pp. 72, 81.

¹² Ricardo (Principles of Political Francing, chap. vi. in Works, p. 65) says 'whatever increases wages, necessarily reduces profits.' And in chap xv p, 122, 'whatever raises the wages of labour, lowers the profits of stock'. In several other places he makes the same assertion, very much to the discomfort of the ordinary reader, who knows that in the United States, for instance, wages and profits are both high But the ambiguity is in the language, not in the thought, and in these and similar passages Ricardo by wages meant cost of labour, in which sense the proposition is quite accurate. If by wages we mean the reward of labour, then there is no relation between wages and profits; for when sent is low, both of them may be high, as is the case in the United States That this was the view of Ricardo is evident from the following passage 'Profits, it cannot be too often repeated, depend on wages, not on nominal but real wages; not on the number of pounds that may be annually paid to the labourer, but on the number of days' work necessary to obtain those pounds.' Political Economy, chap. vii., RICARDO'S Works, p. 82 Compare Mill's Principles of Political Economy, vol. i. p. 509, vol. 11 p. 225.

⁶⁸ I take the estimate of Mr. Elphinstone (History of India, pp 225-228) as midway between Sir William Jones (Works, vol. 2, p. 56) and Mr. Wilson (Rig Veda Sanhita vol. 1 p. xlvii.).

Mailtude of Ment. chap. vii. sec. 140-142, in Ment. of Str W Jores, vol. iii. p. 295. The subsequent Sancciff recognize nearly the same rate of interest, the mittages of the per cent. See Colebrooke's Digest of Hindu. 29, 36, 43, 98, 99, 237. vol. ii p. 70.

as a mere ancient law now faller into muse. So far from that, the *Institutes of Manu* are still the basis of Indian jurisprudence;⁷⁰ and we know on very good authority, that in 1810 the interest paid for the use of money varied from thirty-six to sixty per cent.⁷¹

Thus much as to one of the elements of our present calculation. As to the other element, namely, the rent, we have information equally precise and trustworthy. In England and Scotland, the rent paid by the cultivator for the use of land is estimated in round numbers, taking one farm with another, at a fourth of the gross produce. In France, the average proportion is about a third. It while in the United States of North America it is well known to be much less, and, indeed, in some parts, to be merely nominal. But in India, the legal rent, that is, the lowest

16 In COLEBBOOKE's Digest vol. i. p. 454, and vol. ni. p. 22%, Menu is called 'the highest authority of memorial law' and 'the founder of memorial law.' The most recent historian of India, Mr. Liphinstone, says (Hist. of India, p. 83), 'The code of Menu is still the basis of the Hindu jurisprudence, and the principal features remain unaltered to the present day.' This remarkable code is also the basis of the laws of the Burmese, and even of those of the Laos. Journal of the Assatic Society, vol. ii. p. 271, vol. iii. p. 28, 296, 332, vol. v. p. 252.

Hee, in Mill's History of India, vel. 1 p 317, the report of a committee of the House of Commons in 1810, in which it is stated that the roots paid 'the heavy interest of three, four, and five per cent. per month.' Ward, writing about the same time, mentions as much as seventy-five per cent, being given, and this apparently without the lender incurring any extraordinary risk. Ward on the Himdoos, vol. ii. p. 190.

Compare the table in Loudon's Energy pedia of Agriculture, p. 778, with MAVON's note in TUSERN'S Free Hundred Points of Hundraden, p. 195, Lond. 1813, and M'Culloon's Statistical Account of the British Empire, 1847, vol. 1, p. 550

This is the estimate I have received from persons well acquainted with Franch agriculture. The rant, of course, varies in each separate instance, according to the natural powers of the soil, according to the extent to which those powers have been improved, and according to the facilities for bringing the produce to market. But, notwithstanding these variations, there must be in every country an average rent, depending upon the operation of general causes.

of only to the immense supply of land preventing the necessity of only the those inferior soils which older countries are glad to use the theorem willing to pay a rent for the right of using. In the latter, profits and wages (1 e. the reward of the labourer, to the cost of labour) are both high, which would be impossible if feat were also high

rate recognized by the law and usage of the country, is one-half of the produce; and even this cruel regulation is not strictly enforced, since in many cases rents are raised so high, that the cultivator not only receives less than half the produce, but receives so little as to have scarcely the means of providing seed to sow the ground for the next harvest. 75

The conclusion to be drawn from these facts is mani-Rent and interest being always very high, and interest varying, as it must do, according to the rate of profits, it is evident that wages must have been very low; for since there was in India a specific amount of wealth to be divided into rent, interest, profits, and wages, it is clear that the first three could only have been increased at the expense of the fourth; which is saying, in other words, that the reward of the labourers was very small in proportion to the reward received by the upper classes. And though this, being an inevitable inference. does not require extraneous support, it may be mentioned that in modern times, for which alone we have direct evidence, wages have in India always been excessively low, and the people have been, and still are, obliged to work for a sum barely sufficient to meet the exigencies of life 76

¹³ See RAMMOHUN ROY on the Indicial and Revenue Systems of India, 1832. pp. 59—61, 63, 69, 92, 94. At p. 69, this high authority says of the agricultural peasantry of Bengal. 'In an abundant season, when the price of corn is low, the sale of their whole crops is required to meet the demands of the landholder, leaving little or nothing for seed of subsistence to the labourer or his family.' In Cashmere, the sovereign received half the produce of the rice-crop, leaving the other half to the cultivator. Moorecours Notices of Cashmere, in Journal of George Society, vol. ii. p. 266.

Heber (Journey through India, vol. 1. pp. 209, 336, 352, 259) gives some curious instances of the extremely low rate at which the natives are glad to work. As to the ordinary wages in India in the resent century, see Journal of Asiatic Society, vol. 1 p. 255, vol. v. p. 171; RAMMOHUN BOY on the Judicial and Rasinus Systems, pp. 103–106, Sykes's Statistics of the Deccan, in Reports of the Birtlah form, vol vi p. 321; Wand's View of the Hindoon, vol. iii. p. 258000K's Digest of Hindu Law, vol. ii. p. 184. On was supposed in the fullest information will be found in Beauty, work Journey through the Mysore, Canara, and Machania. 135, 134, 171, 175, 216, 217, 299, 380, 415, vol. iii. pp. 35, 181, 226, 298

This was the first great consequence induced in India by the cheapness and abundance of the national food. The But the evil by no means stopped there. In India, as in every other country, poverty provokes contempt, and wealth produces power. When other things are equal, it must be with classes of men as with individuals, that the richer they are, the greater the influence they will possess it was therefore to be expected, that the unequal distribution of wealth should cause an unequal distribution of power; and as there is no instance on record of any class possessing power without abusing it, we may easily understand how it was that the people of India, condemned to poverty by the physical laws of their climate, should

321, 349, 367, 398, 428, 553. I wish that all travellers were equally mainute in recording the wages of labour; a subject of far greater imagestance than those with which they usually fill their books

On the other hand, the riches possessed by the upper classes have, owing to this mal-distribution of wealth, been always enormous and sometimes incredible. See Founts's Orien'al Menders, vol it p 297. Bontann, Das atte Indien, vol ii. p. 119. Travels of Ibn Batuta, p 41. WARD's Hindoor, vol. iii. p. 178. The autobiography of the Emperor Jehangueir contains such extraordinary statements of his immense wealth, that the Editor, Major Price, thinks that some error must have been made by the copyist; but the reader will find in GROTE'S History of Greece (vol. xii. pp 229, 24) evidence of the treasures which it was possible for Asiatic rulers to collect in that state of society. The working of this unequal distribution is thus stated by Mr. GLYN (Transac. of Anutic Sucreta, vol. i. p. 482): 'The nations of Europe have very little idea of the actual condition of the inhabitants of Hindustan; they are more wretchedly poor than we have any notion of. Europeans have hitherto been too apt to draw their as of the wealth of Hindustan from the gorgeous pomp of a perors, sultans, nawabs, and rajahs; whereas a more intimate and accurate when of the real state of society would have shown that These prince of nobles were engrossing all the wealth of the country, who is great body of the people were earning but a bare subsistence," the first body of the people were earning but a bare subsistence, and hardly able to supply the few with the necessaries of life, much less with its luxuries.

Turner, travelled in 1783 through the north-east of Bengal, says: "Indeed, the extreme poverty and wretchedness of these people will forcible appear, when we recollect how little is necessary for the

Trans. travelled in 1785 through the north-east of Bengal, says: 'Indeed, the extreme poverty and wretchedness of these people will forcibly appear, when we recollect how listle is necessary for the substitute of a peasant in these regions. The value of this can sale arount to more than one penny per day, even allowing him to the food of two pounds of holled rice with a due proportion argetables, fish, and chilt.' Tenne's Embassy to Tibet.

The times, who travelled in Hindostan in the fourteenth than the fourteenth of the first of the Ratuta, p. 194.

have fallen into a integradation from which they have never been able to escape. A few instances may be given to illustrate, rather than to prove, a principle which the preceding arguments have. I trust, placed beyond the possibility of dispute.

To the great, body of the Indian people the name of Sudras is given;⁷⁸ and the native laws respecting them contain some minute and curious provisions. If a member of this despised class presumed to occupy the same scat as his superiors, he was either to be exiled or to suffer a painful and ignominious punishment.⁷⁴ If he spoke of them with contempt, his mouth was to be burned;⁸⁰ if he actually insulted them, his tongue was to be slit;⁸¹ if he molested a Brahmin, he was to be put to death;⁸² if he sat on, the same carpet with a Brahmin, he was to be maimed for life,⁸³ if, moved by the desire of instruction, he even listened to the reading of the sacred books, burning oil was to be poured into his ears;⁸⁴ if, however, he

The Sudras are estimated by Ward (Lock of the Hindons, Fol. in p. 281) at 'three-fourths of the Hindons.' At all events, they comprise the whole of the working-classes, the Vaisyas not being busbandmen, as they are often called, but landlords, owners of cattle, and traders Compare Institutes of Menu chap, ix sec. 326-333, in Works of Sir W. Jones, vol. in. pp. 380, 381, with Colebbook's Impet vol. i p. 15, from which it appears that the Yaisyas were always the masters, and that the Sudra was to 'rely on agriculture for his subsistence.' The division, therefore, between 'the industrious and the servile' (El-Phinstonk's History of India, p. 12) is too broadly stated, and we must, I think, take the definition of M Rhode. 'Die Kaste der Sudras umfasst die ganze arbeitende, oder um Lohn dienende Chasse des Volks.' Reity, Bildung der Hindus, vol. ii. p. 561.

^{&#}x27;' Either be banished with a mark on his hinder parts, or the king shall cause a gash to be made on his batton battudes of Menu, chap. viii. sec. 281, in Works of Sir W. Jones J. III. p. 313. See also Ward's liew of the Hindoos, vol. iii p. 6.

no Menu, chap. viii. sec. 271, in JONYS'S Borks, p. 314

me 'If a Sudra gives much and frequent molest the magistrate shall put him to death' Haller ode of Genter Laws, p. 262,

^{**} HALMED'S Code of Gentoo Laws, p. 207. As to the case of striking a Brahmin, see RAMMORIUS ROY on the Verts, p. 227. 2nd edit, 1837 And if a Sooder listens to the Belds of the Shapers, only oil, heated as before, shall be poured into his ears; wax shall be melted together, and the orifice of histopped up therewith. HALHED, p. 262 Campara 18 Man, chap, iv. sec. 99, chap, x. sec. 102-111, in Johnston, pp. 174, 398

committed them to memory, he was to be killed; 85 if he were guilty of a crime, the punishment for it was greater than that inflicted on his superiors;86 but if he himself were murdered, the penalty was the same as for killing a dog, a cat, or a crow.87 Should he marry his daughter to a Brahmin, no retribution that could be exacted in this world was sufficient; it was therefore announced that the Brahmin must go to hell, for having suffered contamination from a woman immeasurably his inferior. 94 Indeed, it was ordered that the mere name of a labourer should be expressive of contempt, so that his proper standing might be immediately known. 89 And lest this should not be enough to maintain the subordination of society, a law was actually made forbidding any labourer to accumulate wealth; " while another clause declared, that even though his master should give him freedom, he would in reality still be a slave; 'for,' says the lawgiver - 'for

[&]quot;5 HALHED, p. 262: 'the magistrate shall put him to death. In Mrichchakati, the judge says to a Sudra. 'If you expound the Vedas. will not your tongue be cut out? Wilson's Theatre of the Hondus. vol. i. part. ii. p. 170.

^{*} WARD's View of the Hendous, vol. iv p. 308. To this the only exception was in the case of theft. Mill's History of India. vol 1 pp 193, 260. A Brahmin could 'on no account be capitally pumshed'

trialic Researches, vol. xv. p. 44. *1 Menu, chap, xi, sec. 122, in Works of Sir W. JONES, vol. in. p. 422.

[&]quot; 'A Brahmin, if he take a Sudra to his bed as his first wife. sinks to the regions of torment' Institutes of Mesu, chap in sec. 17. in Jones, vol. iii. p. 121 Compare the denial of funeral rites, in COLEBROOKES Divest of Hindu Law, vol. ifi. p. 328. And on the different hells invested by the Hindu clergy, see Vichnu Parana, p. 207. WARD'S View of the Bindons, vol. ii. pp. 182, 183. COLEMAN'S Mythology of the Bentus, p. 113. The curious details in RHODE, do Rengino Briding der Hindus, vol. p. pp. 392, 393, rather refer to Buddhism, and should be compared with Journal Assatique, I. serie, vol. viii. pp. 80,

^{51,} Paris, 1826.

Menu. chi sei sec. 31, in Jones, vol. in. p. 87; also noticed in RHOBE, Reliq Bildung, vol iii. p. 561: 'acin Name soll schon Verachtung ausdrücken.' So, too, Mr. Elphinstone (History of India, p. 17): the proper name of a Sudra is directed to be expressive of contempt,' Compess Ontoines Du Duoir, in Chueres de Michelet, vol n. p. 3-7.

p. s. sec. 129, in Jones, vol. iii. p. 401 This law is the property of India, vol. i. p. 195) as an evidence in the people, which Mr. Wilson (note in p. 194) vainly alternots to evade

of a state which is matural to him, by whom can he be divested? *91

By whom, indeed, could be be divested? I ween not where that power was by which so vast a miracle could be worked. For in India, slavery, abject, eternal slavery, was the natural state of the great body of the people; it was the state to which they were doomed by physical laws utterly impossible to resist. The energy of those laws is, in truth, so invincible, that wherever they have come into play, they have kept the productive classes in perpetual subjection. There is no instance on record of any tropical country, in which wealth having been extensively accumulated, the people have escaped their fate; no instance in which the heat of the climate has not caused an abundance of food, and the abundance of food caused an unequal distribution, first of wealth, and then of political and social power. Among nations subjected to these conditions, the people have counted for nothing; they have had no voice in the management of the state. no control over the wealth their own industry created. Their only business has been to labour; their only duty to obey. Thus there have been generated among them, those habits of tame and servile submission, by which, as we know from history, they have always been characterized. For it is an undoubted fact, that their annals furnish no instance of their having turned upon their rulers. no war of classes, no popular insurrections, not even one great popular conspiracy. In those rich and fertile countries there have been many changes, but all of them have been from above, not from below. The defence element has been altogether wanting. There have been in abundance, wars of kings, and wars of dynasties. There have been revolutions in the government wohnted in the palace, revolutions on the throne; but no revolutions among the people;92 no mitigation of that hard lot which

A Sudra, though emane pated by his master, a particule and from a state of servitude, for of a state which is make whom can he be divested? Institutes of Menu, then the Works of Sir W. JONES, vol. 11. p. 333.

² An intelligent observer says, 'It is also making his the people of Asiatic countries have to do in the revolutions of fair

nature, rather than man, assigned to them. Nor was it until civilization arose in Europe, that other physical laws came into operation, and therefore other results were produced. In Europe, for the first time, there was some approach to equality, some tendency to correct that enormous disproportion of wealth and power, which formed the essential weakness of the greatest of the more ancient countries. As a natural consequence, it is in Europe that everything worthy of the name of civilization has originated; because there alone have attempts been made to preserve the balance of its relative parts. There alone has society been organized according to a scheme, not indeed sufficiently large, but still wide enough to include all the different classes of which it is composed, and thus, by leaving room for the progress of each, to secure the permanence and advancement of the whole.

The way in which certain other physical peculiarities confined to Europe, have also accelerated the progress of Man by diminishing his superstition, will be indicated towards the end of this chapter; but as that will involve an examination of some laws which I have not vet noticed, it seems advisable, in the first place, to complete the inquiry now before us; and I therefore purpose proving that the line of argument which has been just applied to India, is likewise applicable to Egypt, to Mexico, and to Peru. For by thus including in a single survey, the most constituous civilizations of Asia, Africa, and America, we shall be able to see how the preceding principles hold good of different and distant countries; and we shall be possessed of evidence sufficiently comprehensive to test the accuracy of those great laws which, without such precantion, I might be supposed to have generalized from scanty and imperfect materials.

The reasons why, of all the African nations, the Egyptians alone were civilized, have been already stated, and

government, They are never guided by any great and common imputed, and take no part in events the most interesting and the logical country and their own prosperity. M. MURDO on the logical country and their own prosperity. W. MURDO on the logical country and the static Society, vol i. p. 250.

It is remarks in HERDER'S Ideas aur Geschichte, vol. iti. p. 114; and even in ALISON'S ILavory of Furupe, vol. x. pp 419, 420.

have been shown to depend on those physical peculiarities which distinguish them from the surrounding countries, and which, by facilitating the acquisition of wealth, not only supplied them with material resources that otherwise they could never have obtained, but also secured to their intellectual classes the leisure and the opportunity of extending the boundaries of knowledge. It is, indeed, true that, notwithstanding these advantages, they effected nothing of much moment; but this was owing to circumstances which will be hereafter explained; and it must, at all events, be admitted that they raised themselves far above every other people by whom Africa was inhabited.

The civilization of Egypt being, like that of India, caused by the fertility of the soil, and the climate being also very hot, 93 there were in both countries brought into play the same laws; and there naturally followed the same results. In both countries we find the national food cheap and abundant, hence the labour-market over-supplied; nence a very unequal division of wealth and power; and hence all the consequences which such inequality will inevitably produce. How this system worked in India, I have just attempted to examine; and although the materials for studying the former condition of Egypt are much less ample, they are still sufficiently numerous to prove the striking analogy between the two civilizations, and the identity of those great principles which regulated the order of their social and political development.

If we inquire into the most important circumstances which concerned the people of ancient Egypt, we shall see that they are exactly the counterpart of those that have been noticed in India. For, in the first have as regards their ordinary food, what rice is to the most fertile parts of Asia, that are dates to Africa. The palmtree is found in every country from the Tigris to the Atlantic; 4 and it supplies millions of human beings with

on the climate of Egypt.

1 It is, however, unknown in South Africation the Palmacce in Lindlan's Vegetable Kingdom
MEYEN'S Georg of Plants, p 337

their daily food in Arabia, 96 and in nearly the whole of Africa north of the equator. 96 In many parts of the great African desert it is indeed unable to bear fruit; but naturally it is a very hardy plant, and produces dates in such profusion, that towards the north of the Sahara they are eaten not only by man, but also by domestic animals. 97 And in Egypt, where the palm is said to be of spontaneous growth, 98 dates, besides being the chief sustenance

"" Of all eatables used by the Arabs, dates are the most favourite' Burchiard's Travets in Arabia, vol. 1 p. 56. See also, for proof of their abundance in the west of Arabia, vol. 1, pp. 103, 157, 238, vol. 1 pp. 103, 157, 238, vol. 1 pp. 103, 157, 238, vol. 1 pp. 103, 150, 118, 204, 210, 214, 253, 300, 331. And on the dates of Oman and the east of Arabia, see Wellstein's Travels in Arabia, vol. 1, pp. 188, 189, 236, 276, 290, 349. Compare Niesuns, Inscription de l'Arabie, pp. 142, 296. Indeed, they are so important, that the Arabs have different names for them according to the stages of their growth. Diewhari says, 'La dénomination bitah précède le nem borr car la dates se nomme d'abord tala, en suite l'abid. puis bidish, puis boss, puis rojab, et enfin tam? De Sax v's note le Arab. All atti Relation de l'Egypte, p. 74, and see p. 118. Other notices of the dates of Arabia will be found in Travels of Ibn Batuta in Fourie with tenture, p. 36; Journal of Asiatic Soc. vol. vii. p. 286; Journal of Langan. Soc. vol. iv. p. 201, vol. vi. pp. 53, 55, 58, 66, 68, 74, vol. v. p. 32, vol. iv., p. 147, 151

** Heeren (Trade of the African Nations, vol 1 p. 151) apposes that in Africa dates are comparatively little known south of 2 north lat. But this learned writer is certainly mistaken, and a reference to the following passages will show that they are common as far down as the parallel of Lake Tchad, which is nearly the southern limit of our knowledge of Central Africa, Denham's Central Africa, p. 295, CLAPPERTON'S Journal, in Appendix to Denham, pp. 34, 54, CLAPPERTON'S Second Expedition, p. 159. Further east they are somewhat scarcer, but are found much more to the south than is supposed

by Hoeren: see Patture's Kordofan, p 220.

"Dates are not only the principal growth of the Fezzan cases, but the main subsistence of their inhabitants. All live on dates men, women, and children, horses, asses, and camels, and sheep. folds, and dogs." Bromanson's Transle in the Sahara, vol in p. 323, and use yol. i. p., 343: as to those parts of the desert where the palm will not bear, see vol. i. pp. 337, 405, vol. ii. pp. 291, 364. Respecting the dates of western Africa, see Journal of the proceedy, vol. xii. p. 304.

*It flourished spontaneously in the valley of the Nile.' WILKINSON'S Ancient Eyyptians, vol. ii. p. 372. As further illustration of the largortance to Africa of this beautiful plant, it may be mentioned, that from the high-palm there is prepared a peculiar beverage, which is ta great request. On this, which is called palmination of the Niger, pp. 71, 116.

**Transa's Medical Espedition to the Niger, pp. 71, 116.

**July Set of Africa, 1812, pp. 55, 56; LAIRD and OLD
**Late of Africa of Africa, 1837, vol. 11 p. 170, 213,

**Ashance, pp. 68, 100, 152, 293, 386, 392. But I

of the people, are so plentiful, that from a very early period they have been commonly given to camels, the only beasts of burden generally used in that country. 99

From these facts, it is evident that, taking Egypt as the highest type of African civilization, and India as the highest type of Asiatic civilization, it may be said that dates are to the first civilization, what rice is to the second. Now it is observable, that all the most important physical occuliarities tound in rice are also found in dates. In regard to their chemistry, it is well known that the chief principle of the nutriment they contain is the same in both; the starch of the Indian vegetable being merely turned into the sugar of the Egyptian. In regard to the laws of climate, their affinity is equally obvious; since dates, like rice, belong to hot countries, and flourish most in or near the tropics. 100 In regard to their increase, and the laws of their connexion with the soil, the analogy is also exact, for dates, just the same as rice, require little labour, and yield abundant returns, while they occupy so small a space of land in comparison with the nutriment thematord, that upwards of two hundred palm-trees are sometimes planted on a single acre. 101

Thus striking are the similarities to which, in different countries, the same physical conditions naturally give rise. At the same time, in Egypt, as in India, the attainment of civilization was preceded by the possession of a highly fertile soil; so that, while the exuberance of the land regulated the speed with which wealth was create the abundance of the food regulated the proportions thich the wealth was divided. The most fertile to the speed with the most fertile to the speed with the speed with the most fertile to the speed with the speed with the most fertile to the speed with the speed with the most fertile to the speed with the speed

doubt if this is the same as the palm-wine mentioned in Rive Bo'ana, 1849, p 532. Compare Tucker's Expedition to the Zalis, 224, 356

[&]quot;WILKINGON'S Ancient Egyptians, vol. in. pp. 45-178. See also on the abundance of dates, the extracts from an Arabian geographer in QUATREMERE, Recherches sur l'Eyypte, pp. 220, 221.

respecting the geographical limits of their power of the Justice's Botany, edit Wilson, 1849, p 784.

planted with 400 trees. With Mile, a teldan (Mile) have the with 400 trees. With Mison's Anvent At Moorzuk au entre date palm is only Richardson's Contral Africa, vol. i. p. 111.

the Said; 102 and it is precisely there that we find the greatest display of skill and knowledge, the splendid remains of Thebes, Carnac, Luxor, Dendera, and Edfor. 103 It is also in the Said, or, as it is often called, the Thebaid, that a food is used which multiplies itself even more rapidly than either dates or rice. This is the dhourra, which until recently was confined to Upper Egypt, 104 and of which the productive power is so remarkable, that it yields to the labourer a return of two hundred and forty for one. 105 In Lower Egypt the dhourra was formerly unknown; but, in addition to dates, the people made a sort of bread from the lotos, which sprang spontaneously out of the rich soil of the Nile. 106 This must have been

in' On the remarkable fertility of the Said, see Abb-Allatii, Relation de l'Egypte, p. 3.

103 The superiority of the ruins in Southern Egypt over those in the northern part is noticed by Heeren (African Nations, vol 1 p 601 and must indeed, be obvious to whoever has studied the monuments. In the Said the Coptic was preserved longer than in Lower Egypt and is known to philologists by the name of Misr. See Quatremfile. Recksrokes sur la Langue de l'Egypte, pp. 20, 41, 42. See also on the Saidist, pp. 134-140, and some good remarks by Dr Prichard (Physical Hist. vol. ii. p 2021; who, however, adopts the paradoxical opinion of Georgi respecting the origin of the language of the Thebaid.

104 Abd-Allattif (Relation de l'Egypte, p. 32) says, that in his time it was only cultivated in the Said. This curious work by Abd-Allatti was writen in A. D. 1203. Relation, p. 423. Meiners thinks that Herodotts and other ancient writers refer to the dhourra without mentioning it: 'diese Durra muss daher im Herodot, wie in andern alten richriftstellern vorstiglich verstanden werden, wenn von hundert, zwey indert, und mehrfältigen Früchten, welche die Erde trage, die Redickeller und mehrfältigen Früchten, welche die Erde trage, die Redickeller und her her der Lander, vol i. p. 139. According to Volume, is is the Holcus Arundinaceus of Linnaus, and appears to the to millet; and though that accurate traveller distinguishes them./I observe that Captain Haines, in a recent memoir, them as being the same. Compare Haines in Journal of them as being the same. Compare Haines in Journal of them as being the same. Compare Haines in Journal of them as being the same.

"The return is in general not less than 240 for one, and the average price is about \$1, 9d. the ardeb, which is scarcely 3d per build? Hamilton's Egyptiaca, p. 420. In Upper Egypt, 'the dours contained almost the whole subsistence of the peasantry.' p. 419.

A. Hamilton says, 'I have frequently counted 3,000 grains in dours and each stalk has in general four or five ears.'

dours and each stalk has in general four or five ears.'

Μυηται ό ποταμος, καί τα τεδια πεναγιση, φυεται Ada, τα Αίγύπτιοι καλεούσι λίωτου: ταύτα έπεαν a very cheap and accessible food; while to it there was joined a profusion of other plants and herbs, on which the Egyptians chiefly lived. 107 Indeed so inexhaustible was the supply, that at the time of the Mohammedan invasion there were, in the single city of Alexandria, no less than four thousand persons occupied in selling vegetables to the people. 108

From this abundance of the national food, there resulted a train of events strictly analogous to those which took place in India. In Africa generally, the growth of population, though on the one hand stimulated by the heat of the chinate, was on the other hand checked by the poverty of the soil. But on the banks of the Nile this restraint no longer existed, 109 and therefore the laws already noticed came into uncontrolled operation. By virtue of those laws, the Egyptians were not only satisfied with a cheap tood, but they required that food in comparatively small quantities; thus by a double process, increasing the limit to which their numbers could extend. At the same time the lower orders were able to rear their

οσουωσι, αυαίτουσι πρός ηγιού και δπειτα το εν του μεσου του λασου τή μηγών ενν ευθούες, πτισανπές ποιεθνται εξ αύτου αρτους όπτομε πυρι Herodot, 11 92, vol 1 p. 688.

Wilkinson's Ancient Fourthans, vol. ii. pp. 370-372, 400, vol. iv. p. 59. Abd-Allatti gives a curious account of the different vegetables grown in Egypt early in the thirteenth century? Relation, pp. 16-30, and the notes of De Sacy, pp. 37-134. On the xbuyor of Herodotus there are some botanical remarks worth reading in the Correspondence of Sir J. E. Shith, vol. 11. pp. 224-232, but I doubt the assertion of Sir J. that Herodotus 'knew nothing of any other kind of xbuyor Egypt than that of the ordinary bean.'

When Alexandria was taken by Amer, the lieutenant of the Caliph Omer, no less than 4000 persons were engaged in solling a getables in that city. Wickinson's Ancient Egyptians, vol. ii. p. 275, and see vol. 1 p. 277, vol. iv p 60. Nubuhr (Description de l'Arabid, p. 136) says that the neighbourhood of Alexandria is so fertile that the froment y rend le centuple. See also on its rich vegetation, Matter, Historie de l'Ecolé d'Alexandrie, vol. 1, p. 52.

The encouragement given to the increase of population by the fertility arising from the inundation of the Nile, is observed by many writers, but by none so judiciously as Malthus; Assay on Population, vol 1. pp. 161-168. This great work, the principles of which been grossly misrepresented, is still the best which has been on the important subject of population; though the assument of sufficient reading, often errs in his illustration unfortunately, had no acquaintance with those through the same property of the property of the same property of the s

offspring with the greater ease, because, owing to the high rate of temperature, another considerable source of expense was avoided; the heat being such that, even for adults, the necessary clothes were few and slight, while the cainer of the working-classes went entirely naked; affording a striking contrast to those colder countries where, to preserve ordinary health, a supply of warmer and more costly covering is essential. Diodorus Siculus, who travelled in Egypt nineteen centuries ago, says, that to bring up a child to manhood did not cost more than twenty drachmas, scarcely thirteen shillings English money; a circumstance which he justly notices as a cause of the populousness of the country.

To compress into a single sentence the preceding remarks, it may be said that in Egypt the people multiplied rapidly, because while the soil increased their supplies, the climate lessened their wants. The result was, that Egypt was not only far more thickly peopled than any other country in Africa, but probably more so than any in the ancient world. Our information upon this point is indeed somewhat scanty, but it is derived from sources of unquestioned credibility. Herodotus, who the more he is understood, the more accurate he is found to be, 111 states that in the raign of Amasis there were said to have been twenty thousand inhabited cities. 112 This may, perhaps.

του ποταμού τη γώτη γεωμίνα, και τά απο τής

says, 'The deeper and more comprehensive the researches of the moderns have been on ancient history, the more have their regard and statem for Herodotus increased' His ministe information respecting keypt and Asia Minor is now admitted by all competent geometry and I may add, that a recent and very able traveller has certain proofs of his knowledge sign of the western parts Erman's valuable work. Travels in Siberia, vol 1.

be considered an exaggeration; but what is very obserable is, that Diodorus Siculus, who travelled in Early four canturies after Herodotus, and whose jealousy of the reputation of his great predecessor made him anxious to discrept him statements 113 does nevertheless, on this important point, confirm them. For he not only remarks that Egypt was at that time as densely inhabited as any existing country, but he adds, on the authority of records which were then extant, that it was formerly the most populous in the world, having contained, he says, upwards of eighteen thousand cities. 114

These were the only two ancient writers who, from personal knowledge, were well acquainted with the state of Egypt; 115 and their testimony is the more valuable because it was evidently drawn from different sources; the information of Herodotus being chiefly collected at Memphis, that of Diodorus at Thebes. 116 And whatever dis-

χώρης το σι αιδριστοισι. και πόλις έν αύτη γενίσθαι τάς έκθας τότε δισαυρίας τάς οίχεομενας. Herodot, book ii, chap, clxxvill vol. i. pp. 881.

112 Diodorus, who, though an honest and painstaking man, was in every respect inferior to Herodotus, says, impertinguity enough, δτα με, οὸν Πρόδοτος καὶ τινες τῶν τος Αιγωττιών πραξεώς συντάξαμένων ετχεδιακανικ, έκουσιως προκρίτωντες της ἀνηθείας το παρασζολογείν, και μόσος πλαττιν φυχαγωγίας ένικα, παρτάσμεν. Bibliotik. Hist. book i chap. lxix, vol. i. p. 207. In other places he alludes to Harodotus in the same tone, without actually mentioning him.

114 Πορυφυβρωπία δε τό μέν παλαιόν πολύ τροέσχε πάνταιν τών γνωριζομένων τόπων κατά την οίκουμένην, και καθ ήμας δι φιδενός των ακλων δοκεί δείπεσθαι. επί μέν γάρ των άρχατων χρόνων δης εκφιρας εξιολόγους, και πόλεις πλαίους των μυριων και ύπτακαχιδιών, ως εν ταις αγαγαψαίς δράν εστι κατακεχωρισμένου, Diod. Sic. Biology. Hist. book 1, chap. xxxi. vol. 1 p. 89.

113 Notwithstanding the positive assertions of M. Matter (Hest. de l'Ecote d'Alexandrie, vol. ii. p. 285; compare Hist. de Unosticione, vol. 1. p. 48), there is no good evidence for the supposed travels in Egypt of the earlier Greeks, and it is even questionable if Plato ever visited that country. (Whether he ever was in Egypt is doubtful.' BUNSER'S Egypt, vol. is p. 60.) The Romans took little interest in the subject (BUNSER, vol. p. 60.) The Romans took little interest in the subject (BUNSER, vol. p. 50-158), and, says M. Bunsen, p. 152, with Diodorus all systematic inquiry into the history of Egypt took, not only on the part of the Greeks, but of the ancients in gan wal.' Mr. Leake, in an essay of the Greeks, but of the ancients in gan wal.' Mr. Leake, in an essay of the Ancients made no addition knowledge of African sography. Journal of Geography vol. ii p. 9.

vol n pp. 202-207, and as to the difference between the trad

crepancies there may be between these two accounts, they are both agreed respecting the rapid increase of the neoria. and the servile condition into which they had fallen. Indeed, the mere appearance of those huge and costly buildings, which are still standing, are a proof of the state of the nation that erected them. To raise structures so stupendous,112 and yet so useless,118 there must have been tyranny on the part of the rulers, and slavery on the part of the people. No wealth, however great, no expenditure. however lavish, could meet the expense which would have been incurred, if they had been the work of free men, who received for their labour a fair and honest reward. 119 But in Egypt, as in India, such considerations were disregarded, because everything tended to favour the upper ranks of society and depress the lower. Between the two there was an immense and impassable gap.120 If a member of the industrious sisses changed his usual employment, or was known to pay attention to political matters, he was severely punished: 121 and under no circumstances was the

Thebes and Memphis, see MATTER, Histoire de l'Ecole d'Alexandire. vol. i. p. 7. The power and importance of the two cities fluctuated, both being at different periods the capital Bunsan's Luypt, vol. n. pp 54, 55, 244; 445, 446; Yran on the Pyranuds, vol. iii, pp. 27, 100; SHARPE's History of Egyp', vol. i. pp. 9, 19, 24-34, 167, 185.

11 Sir John Herschel (Disc. on Natural Philosophy, p. 60) calculates that the great pyramid weighs twelve thousand seven hundred and sixty million pounds. Compare Lynni's Principles of Geology. p 459, where the still larger estimate of six million tons is given. But according to Perring, the present quantity of masonry is 0.316,000 tone; or 82,110,000 cubic feet. See BUNSEN'S Egypt, vol. 11. p. 155. London, 1854, and VYSK on the Pyramids, 1840, vol. ii. p. 113

"" Many fanciful hypotheses have been put forward as to the purpose for which the pyramids were built; but it is now admitted that they were neither more nor less than tombs for the Egyptian kings! See Bunsan's Eggpt, vol. ii. pp. xvii. 88, 105, 872, 389, and Shanpl's History of Egypt, vol. i. p. 21.

110 For an estimate of the expense at which one of the pyramids could be built in our time by European workman, see lyse on the Puramids, vol. ii, p. 26s. On account, however, of the number of districting causes, such calculations have little value.

Those who complain that in Europe this interval is still too

derive a species of satisfaction from studying the old a civilizations.

Socient Egyptians, vol ii. pp. 8, 9 Nor was any meddle with political affairs, or to hold any civil in the civil and a studying the old in the civil and a studying the old in the civil and in any other employment than the one to which he had

possession of land allowed to an agricultural labourer, to mechanic; or indeed to any one except the king the clergy; and army.123 The people at large were little better han beasts of burden; and all that was expected from them was an unremitting and unrequited labour. If they neglected their work, they were flogged; and the same' punishment was frequently inflicted upon domestic servants, and even upon women. 123 These and similar regulations were well conceived; they were admirably suited to that vast social system, which, because it was based on despotism, could only be upheld by cruelty Hence it was that, the industry of the whole nation being at the absolute command of a small part of it, there arese the possibility of rearing those vast edifices, which inconsiderate observers admire as a proof of civilization, 124 but which, in reality, are evidence of a state of things altogether deprayed and unhealthy; a state in which the skill and the arts of an imperfect refinement injured those whom they ought to have benefited; so that the very resources which the people had created were turned against the people themselves.

That in such a society as this, much regard should be paid to human suffering, it would indeed be idle to expect. 126 Still, we are startled by the reckless prodigality

been brought up. a severe punishment was instantly inflicted upon him.' Compare Diod. Sic. Bibliothec. Hist. book 1. chap. lxxiv. vol. 1. p. 223.

¹²² WILKINSON'S Ancient Egyptians, vol. 1. p. 263, 361, ii. p. 2 Shabpe's History of Egypt, vol. ii. p. 24.

¹² WILKINSON'S Ancient Egyptians. vol. ii. pp. 41, 42, vol. iii. p. 69, vol. iv. p. 131. Compare Ammianus Marcellinus, in Harteton's Egyptiaca, p. 309.

¹²⁴ Visz on the Puramids, vol. i. p. 61, vol. ii. p. 92.

^{120 &#}x27;E:n König ahmte den andern nach, oder suchte filn zu übertreffen, indess das gutmithige Volk seine Lebenstage am Baue dieser Monumente verzehren musste. So entstauden wahrscheinlich die Pyramiden und Obelisken Ægyptens. Nur in den ältesten Zeiten wurden sie gebauet deun die hatese Zeit und jede Nation, die ein nät eliches Gewerbe treiben lernte, fastete keine Pyramiden mehr. Weit grecht also, dass Pyramiden ein Kennzischen von der Glückscheid und Aifklarung des alten Ægyptens seyn sollten, sind sie sprichiches Denkmal von dem Aberglauben und der Glückscheide von der Arnen. die da baueten, als der Ehrzeit see also p. 293, and some admirable remarks in Volker von der

with which, in Egypt, the upper classes squandered away the labour and the lives of the people. In this respect as the monuments yet remaining abundants, the stand alone and without a rival. We may to some destroy of the almost incredible waste, when we hear had two thousand men were occupied for three years in carrying a single stone from Elephantine to Sais: 126 that the Canal of the Red Sea alone cost the lives of a hundred and twenty thousand Egyptians; 127 and that to build one of the pyramids required the labour of three hundred and sixty thousand men for twenty years. 125

If, passing from the history of Asia and Africa, we now turn to the New World, we shall meet with tresh proof of the accuracy of the preceding views. The only parts of America which before the arrival of the Europeans were in some degree civilized, were Mexico and Peru; 129 to which may probably be added that long and narrow tract which stretches from the south of Mexico to the Isthmus of Panama. In this latter country, which is now known as Central America, the inhabitants, aided by

I spite, vol. i pp. 240, 241. Even M Bursen, notwith-standing his idiniration, says of one of the pyramids, the in sery of the people, dready grievously oppressed, was aggravated by the construction of this gigantic building.... The bones of the oppressors of the people who for two whole generations harassed hundreds of thousands from day to day,' &c. Burszy's Lyopt, vol. ii p. 178, a cornect and enthusiastic work.

1.6 Kristoğto kroutov mir to titra trea fingeres he a contravent of the atone which the Egyptians sometimes carried, see Bussing Egypt, vol. i. p. 379; and as to the machines employed, and the use of inclined roads for the transit, see Viscon the Pyramids, vol. i. p. 197, vol. iii. pp. 14, 38.

477 Wilkitsson's Ancient Egyptuns, vol. i. p. 70° but this learned writer is unwilling to believe a statement so adverse to his law unite Egyptians. It is likely enough that there is some exaggeration still not one can dispute the fact of an enormous and unprovided waste of human life.

126 Τριάκοντα μεν γαο ταί εξ μυσιάνες ανοσύν. Ο πους τους τους γιωνι Κέττουργιακε περαγήσευσαν, τό οι πάν κατο που τις είχε μογις ξεών είκοσε διελθύντων. Diod. See Bibliothe 10 hours, chapter the bart of 188.

the considered as polished states. History America, book a Bourntron's Works, p. 504 Sec. to the same effect Justicely Secrety, vol. v. p. 3.5

the fertility of the soil, 130 seem to have worked out for thems. It cain amount of knowledge; since the ruins the possession of a mechanical and too considerable to be acquired by any national and coordinate to be acquired by any national and coordinate to the accounts we have of such puldings as Copan, Palenque, and Uxmal, make it highly probable that Central America was the ancient seat of a civilization in all essential points similar to those of India and Egypt; that is to say, similar to them in respect to the unequal distribution of wealth and power, and the thraldom in which the great body of the people consequently remained. 132

But although the evidence from which we might estimate the former condition of Central America is almost entirely lost, 123 we are more fortunate in regard to the

The Compare Squires's Central America, vol. i. pp. 34, 244, 358, 421, vol. ii. p. 307, with Journal of Geograph, Soviety, vol. iii. p. 59, vol. viii. pp. 319-323

¹⁴¹ Mr. Squier (Central America, vol. ii p. 68), who explored Nicaragua, says of the statues, 'the material, in every case, is as black basalt, of great hardness, which, with the hert of modern tools, can only be cut with difficulty.' Mr. Stephens (Central America, vol. ii p odo) found at Palenque elegant specimens of art and models for study.' See also vol. iii. pp 276, 389, 406, vol. iv. p. 293. Of the paintings at Chichen he says (vol. 1v. p. 311), 'they exhibites freedom of touch which could only be the result of discipling that training under masters.' At Copan (vol. 1. p. 151), 'it would be impossible, with the best instruments of modern times, to cut stones more perfectly.' And at Uxmal (voi. 11. p. 431), throughout, the laying and polishing of the stones are as perfect as under the rules of the best modern masonry.' Our knowledge of Gentral America is almost entirely derived from these two writers; and although the work of Mr Stephens is much the more minute, Mr. Squier says (vol., il. p. 306). what I believe is quite true, that until the appearance of his own book in 1853, the monuments in Nicaragua were entirely unknown. Short descriptions of the remains in Guatemala and Yucatan will be found in LARBEAUDIBRE's Mexique et Guatemala, pp. 308-327, and in Journal of Geograph, Society, vol. iii pp. 60-63.

Mainkind, vol v p 348 'a great and industrious, though perhaps, as the writer above cited (Gallatin) observes, an enslaved population. Splendid temples and palaces attest the power of the priests and nobles, while as usual no trace remains of the huts in which dwelt the mass of the nation.

the mass of the nation.?

Dr M'Culloch (Researches concerning the Aboriginal story of America, pp 272-340) has collected from the Spanish the same meagre statements respecting the early condition of Central state and history properly so called, notifing its

histories of Mexico and Peru. There are still existing considerable and authentic materials, from his e y form an opinion on the ancient state countries, and on the nature and extent at a Before, however, entering upon this subscience which determined the localities of American civilization; or, in other words, why it was that in these countries alone, society should have been organized into a fixed and settled system, while the rest of the New World was peopled by wild and ignorant barbarians. Such an inquiry will be found highly interesting, as affording further proof of the extraordinary, and indeed irresistible, force with which the powers of nature have controlled the formers of man.

The first circumstance by which we must be struck, is that in America, as in Asia and Africa, all the original civilizations were seated in hot countries; the whole of Peru proper being within the southern tropic, the whole of Central America and Mexico within the northern tropic. How the heat of the climate operated on the social and political arrangements of India and Egypt, I have attempted to examine; and it has, I trust, been proved that the result was brought about by diminishing the wants and requirements of the people, and thus producing a very unequal distribution of wealth and power. But, besides this, there is another way in which the average temperature of a country affects its civilization, and the discussion of which I have reserved for the present moment, because it may be more clearly illustrated in America than elsewhere. Indeed, in the New World, the scale on which Nature works, being much larger than in the Old, and her forces being more overpowering, it is evident that

known; nor is it even certain to what family of nations the inhabitants belonged, though a recent author can find 'la civilisation guatemalianne ou misseco-zapotèque et mayaquiche vivante pour nous encontains les ruines de Mitla et de Palenque' Verque et Guatemate per Larenaudiere, p. 8, Paris, 1843. Dr. Piichard, too, refers the sales in Central America to 'the Mayan race.' see PRICHARD outliebery, in Report of British Association for 1847, p. 252.

her operations on mankind may be studied with greater adjuntage than in countries where she is weaker, and where the consequences of her movements are less consequences.

will bear in mind the immense influence which man national food has been shown to exercise, and understand how, owing to the pressure of physical phenomena, the civilization of America was, of necessity, confined to those parts where alone it was found by the discoverers of the New World. For, setting aside the chemical and geognostic varieties of soil, it may be said that the two causes which regulate the fertility of every country are heat and moisture. 184 Where these are abundant, the land will be exuberant; where they are deficient, it will be sterile. This rule is, of course, in application subject to exceptions, arising from physical conditions which are independent of it; but if other things are equal, the rule is invariable. And the vast additions which, since the construction of isothermal lines; have been made to our knowledge of geographical botany, enable us to lay this down as a law of nature, proved not only by arguments drawn from vegetable physiology, but also by a careful study of the proportions in which plants are actually distributed in different countries. 185

A general survey of the continent of America will illustrate the connexion between this law and the subject now before us. In the first place, as regards moisture, all the great rivers in the New World are on the restern coast, none of them on the western. The causes of this

¹³⁴ Respecting the connection between the vegetable productions of a country and its geognostic peculiariues, little is yet known; but the read "may compare Meyen's Geography of Pionts, p. 64; with Reports on Botany by the Ray Society. 1846, pp. 70, 71. The chemical laws of soil are much better understoed, and have a direct practical bearing on the use of manures. See Terren's Chemistry, vol. ii. pp. 1310—1314, Brand: "Chemistry, vol. i. pp. 130—1314, Brand: "Chemistry, vol. i. p. 691, vol. ii. pp. 315, 328, vol. ii. pp 463, vol. iv. pp. 438, 442, 446.

^{12.} As to the influence of heat and moisture on the geographical distribution of plants, see Henglow's Botany, pp. 295—300, and Batterious Botany, pp. 560—563. Meyen (Geog. of Plants, pp. 263) and therefore, after allowing for local circumstances, bring the vegetation of islands also under the law of nature, according to which the

remarkable fact are unknown; ¹³⁶ but it is certain that neither in North, nor in South America, does one siderable river empty itself into the Pacifics walk on opposite side there are numerous rivers, some o nor ous magnitude, all of great importance, the La Plata, the San Francisco, the Amazon the Mississippi, the Alabama the Saint John, he canate, the Susquehannah, the Delaware, the Hudson, the Saint Lawrence. By this vast water-system the soil is towards the east constantly irrigated: ¹³⁷ but towards the west there is in North America only one river of value, the Oregon; ¹³⁸ while in South America, from the Isthmus of Panama to the Straits of Magellan, there is no great river at all

number of species constantly increases with increasing heat and corresponding humidity. On the effect of temperature alone, compare a note in Erman's Scheria, vol. 1, pp. 64, 65, with Reports on Hotany by the Ray Society, pp. 339, 340. In the latter work, it is supposed that heat is the most important of all single agents, and though this is probably true, still the influence of humidity is immende. I may mention as an instance of this, that it has been recently accretined that the oxygen used by seeds during germination, is not, always taken from the air, but is obtained by decomposing water. See the ourious experiments of Edwards and Colin in Likeley's Botany, vol. ii, pp. 261, 2-2, London, 1848, and on the direct noutishment which water supplies to vegetables, see Burdsch's great work, Traté de Physiologie, vol. ix pp. 254, 398.

The There is a difference between the watersheds of the eastern and western ranges, which explains this in part, but not entirely, and even if the explaination were more satisfactory than it is, it is too proximate; see the phenomenon to have much scientific value, and

must itself be referred to higher geological considerations

10 Of this trigation some idea may be formed from an estimate that the Amsaon drains an area of 2,500,000 square miles; that the mouth is ninety-six miles wide, and that it is navigable 2,200 miles from its mouth. Somenville's Physical Geography, vol. i. p. 423. Indeed, it is said in an Essay on the Hydrography of South America (Journal of Geograph, Society, vol. ii. p. 250), that 'with the exception of one interpretage of three miles, water flows, and is for the most part navigable, between Buenos Ayres, in 35° south latitude, to the mouth of the Orinoco, in nearly 9° north. See also on this riversystem, vol. v. p. 93, vol. x. p. 267. In regard to North America, Mr. Bogers (Geology of North America, p. 8, Brit. 1882) t. r. 1834) says, the area drained by the Mississippi and all its tributaries is computed at 1,099,000 square miles? Compare Richardson's Arche Expedition, vol. ii. p. 164.

. In The Oregon, or Columbia as it is sometimes called, forms a remarkable hotanical line, which is the boundary of the Californian

flore. See Reports on Botany by the Ray Saietu, p. 113.

But as to the other main cause of fertility, namely heat, we find in North America a state of things precisely the reverse. There we find that while the irrigation is on the east, the heat is on the west. 139 This difference of temperature between the two coasts, is probably connected with come great meteorological law; for in the whole of the northern hemisphere, the eastern part of continents and of islands is colder than the western. 140 Whether, however, this is owing to some large and comprehensive cause, or whether each instance has a cause peculiar to itself, is an alternative, in the present state of knowledge, impossible to decide; but the fact is unquestionable, and its influence upon the early history of America is extremely curious. In consequence of it, the two great conditions of fertility have not been united in any part of the continent north of Mexico. The countries on the one side have wanted heat; those on the other side have wanted irrigation. The accumulation of wealth being thus impeded, the progress of society was stopped; and until, in the sixteenth century, the knowledge of Europe as brought to bear upon America, there is no instance of any people north of the twentieth parallel resching even that imperfect civilization to which the inhabitants of India and of Egypt easily attained.141 On the other hand,

¹¹⁹ For proof that the mean temperature of the wastern coast of North America is higher than that of the eastern coast one Journal of Geograph Society, vol. 1x. p. 380, vol. xi. pp. 168, 21 * Hursoldf', to Nowelle Espagne, vol. 1. pp. 42, 336; Richardson's societ Expedition, vol. ii. pp. 214, 215, 219 259, 260. This is well like ated by the botanical fact, that on the west coast the Conifers grow as high as 65° or 70° north latitude, while on the east their northern distinct is 60° See an Essay on the Morphology of the Conifers, in Respite on Botany by the Ray Society, p. 8, which should be compared with Formy on the Climits of the United States and its Endemic Influences, New York, 1442-p. 89

^{160 &#}x27;Writers on climate have remarked that the eastern coasts of continents in the northern hemisphere have a lower mean temperature than the western coasts.' RICHARDSON on North American Zoology, p. 129, Brit. Assoc. for 1836 see also Report for 1841, Sections, p. 28; DAVIS'S China. vol. 111. pp. 140, 141, Journal of Geograph. Society, vol. xxii p. 176

The little that is known of the early state of the Morth-American tribes has been brought together by Dr. M. Calloab in his learned work, Researches concerning America, pp. 123-124, that they lived together without laws and civil regulations. In that

south of the twentieth parallel, the continent suddenly changes its form, and, rapidly contracting, becomes a small strip of land, until it reaches the Isthmus of Panama. This narrow tract was the centre of Mexican civilization: and a comparison of the preceding arguments will easily show why such was the case; for the peculiar configuration of the land secured a very large amount of coast, and thus gave to the southern part of North America the character of an island. Hence there arose one of the characteristics of an insular climate, namely, an increase of moisture caused by the watery vapour which springs from the sea. 142 While, therefore, the position of Mexico near the equator gave it heat, the shape of the land gave it humidity; and this being the only part of North America in which these two conditions were united, it was likewise the only part which was at all civilized. There can be no doubt that if the sandy plains of California and southern Columbia, instead of being scorched into sterility, had been irrigated by the rivers of the east, or if the rivers of the east had been accompanied by the heat of the west, the result of either combination would have been that exuberance of soil by which, as the history of the world

partial the world, the population has probably never been fixed; and we now know that the inhabitants of the north east of Asia have at different times passed over to the north-west of America, as in the case of the Tschuktschi, who are found in both continents. Indeed, Dobell was so struck by the similarity between the North-American tribes and some he met with hearly as far west as Tomsk, that he believed their crigin to be the same. See Donell's Tracels in Kinderhala and Siberia, 1830, vol. ii. p. 112. And on this question of intercourse between the two continents, compare Chante's History of Greenland, vol. i. pp. 259, 260, with Richardson's Arrite Expedition, vol. i. pp. 362, 363, and Prichard's Physical History of Mankind, vol. iv. pp. 458, 463, vol. v. pp. 371, 378.

relation between amount of rain and extent of coast, and in Europe, where alone we have extensive meteorological records, the connexion has been proved statistically. 'If the quantity of rain that falls in different parts of Europe is measured, it is found to be less, other things being equal, as we recede from the sea-shore.' Meteorology, 1845, p. 139. Compare pp. 91. 94 Hence, or doubt, the greater rarity of rain as we advance north from Mexico. 'Au nord du 20° surtout depuis les 22° au 30° de latitude, les pluies, que ne durent que pendant les mois de juin, de juillet, d'août et de septembre, cont pas d'interieur du pays.' Humboldt, la Nouvelle Espagne, voir p.

decisively proves, every early civilization was preceded. But inasmuch as, of the two elements of fertility, one was deficient in every part of America north of the twentieth parallel, it followed that, until that line was passed, civilization could gain no resting-place; and there never has been found, and we may confidently assert never will be found, any evidence that even a single ancient nation, in the whole of that enormous continent, was able to make much progress in the arts of life, or organize itself into a fixed and permanent society.

Thus far as to the physical agents which controlled the early destinies of North America. But in reference to South America, a different train of circumstances came into play; for the law by virtue of which the eastern toasts are colder than the western, is not only inapplicable to the southern hemisphere, but is replaced by another law precisely the reverse North of the equator, the east is colder than the west, south of the equator, the east is hotter than the west. 143 If now, we connect this fact with. what has been noticed respecting the vast river-system which distinguishes the east of America from the west, it becomes evident that in South America there exists that cooperation of heat and humidity in which North America is deficient. The result is, that the soil in the eastern part of South America is remarkable for its exuberance, not only within the tropic, but considerably beyond it: the south of Brazil, and even part of Uruguay, possessing a fertility not to be found in any country of North America situated under a corresponding latitude.

On a hasty view of the preceding generalizations, it might be expected that the eastern side of South America, being thus richly endowed by nature, 144 would have been

^{148 &#}x27;The difference between the climates of the east and west counts of continents and islands, has also been observed in the southern hemisphere, but here the west coasts are colder than the east, while in the northern hemisphere the east coasts are the solder.' Maxim's Geography of Plants, 1846, p. 21.

ever published on South America, was struck by this supposite of the eastern coast, and he mentions that fruits, which struck is all and are very abundant, such as the grape and fig. resistant from the east coast, succeed very poorly in a lower position.

the seat of one of those civilizations, which, in other parts of the world, similar causes produced. But if we look a little further, we shall find that what has just been pointed out, by no means exhausts even the physical bearings of this subject, and that we must take into consideration at third great agent, which has sufficed to neutralize the natural results of the other two, and to retain in barbarism the inhabitants of what otherwise would have been the most flourishing of all the countries of the New World.

The agent to which I allude is the trade-wind; a striking phenomenon, by which, as we shall hereafter see, all the civilizations anterior to those of Europe were greatly and injuriously influenced. This wind covers no less than 56° of latitude: 28° north of the equator, and 28° south of it,145 In this large tract, which comprises some of the most fertile countries in the world, the trade-wind blows, during the whole year, either from the north-cast or from the south-east. 146 The causes of this regularity are now well understood, and are known to depend partly on the displacement of air at the equator, and partly on the motion of the earth, for the cold air from the poles is constantly flowing towards the equator, and thus producing northerly winds in the northern hemisphere, and southerly winds in the southern. These winds are, however, deflected from their natural course by the movement of the earth. as it revolves on its axis from west to east.

side of the continent. Darwin's Journal of Researches., Lond. 1840, p. 268. Compare MEYEN's trong of Plants, pp. 28, 188. So that the proposition of Daniell (Meteorological Essays, p. 104, sec. xiv.) is expressed too generally, and should be confined to continents north of the equator.

The wade-winds sometimes reach the thirtieth parallel. See Dawnin's Meteorological Essays. p. 46c Dr Traille (Physical Geography, Eddin 1838, p. 200), says. 'they extend to about 30° on each side of the equator.' but I believe they are rarely found so high; though Robertson is certainly wrong in supposing that they are peculiar to the tropics; History of America, book iv in ROBERTSON'S Workings, 781

the task and in the southern from the south-east. Mayra's Geog. of Tast 1. 2. Compare Walsa's Brazil, vol. i p. 112, vol. ii. p. 494; an from the south-east of the Guif of Mexico, see Formy's Climate 2. 206. Dr. Forry says that it has given to the growth of the south an inclination from the sea.

And as the rotation of the earth is, of course, more rapid at the equator than elsewhere, it happens that in the neighbourhood of the equator the speed is so great to outstrip the movements of the atmosphere from the poles, and forcing them into another direction, gives rise to those easterly currents which are called trade-winds. 147 What, however, we are now rather concerned with, is not so much an explanation of the trade-winds, as an account of the way in which this great physical phenomenon is connected with the history of South America.

The trade-wind, blowing on the eastern coast of South America, and proceeding from the east, crosses the Atlantic ocean, and therefore reaches the land surcharged with the vapours accumulated in its passage. These vapours, on touching the shore, are, at periodical intervals, condensed into rain; and as their progress westward is checked by that gigantic chain of the Andes, which they are unable to pass, 148 they pour the whole of their moisture on Brazil, which, in consequence, is often delugated by the most destructive torrents. 149 This abundant sup-

¹⁴⁷ Respecting the causes of the trade-winds, see Sommavilles Connexion of the Physical Sciences, pp. 136, 137, LESLIE'S Natural Philosophy, p 519, DANIELL'S Meteorological Essays, pp. 44, 102, 476-481; KAEMTZ's Meteorology, pp 37-39, PROUT's Bridgewater Tredtise, pp. 254-256. The discovery of the true theory is often ascribed to Mr. Daniell; but Hadley was the real discoverer. Note in Prout. p. 257. The monsoons, which popular writers frequently confuse with the trade-winds, are said to be caused by the predominance and, and by the difference between its temperature and that of the sea: see KARRIZ, pp. 42-45. On what may be called the conversion of the trades into monsoons, according to the laws very recently promulgated by M. Dove, see Report of British Association for 1847 (Transac, of Sections, p 30) and Report for 1848, p. 94. The monsoons are notice in Humboldt's Cosmos, vol ii. p 495, Accatic Researches, vol xvil. part. i. p 261, TRIELWALI'S History of tirever, vol. vii. pp. 11, 15; Journal of Geograph Society, vol. ii. p. 90, vol. iv. pp. 8, 9, 149, 149, 169, vol. xi p 162, vol xv. pp. 146-149, vol. xvi. p. 185, wil. xviii. pp. 67, 68, vol. xxiii p. 112, Low's Sarawak, p. 30.

VILLE'S Principles of Geology, pp. 201, 714, 715: see also Boundary Physical Geography, vol. ii. p 71. And on this contain power of the Cordillers of the Andes. see ARARA, Yoyayer San Triple Miridionals, vol. i. p. 33. According to Dr. Tschudi, the term chain is properly the Andes, and the western the Cordillers by distinction is rarely made. Tschudi's Irarels in Principles.

p. 335, Darwin's Journal, pp. 11, 33; Serx and Marrier's Propell in

ply, being aided by that vast river-system peculiar to the eastern part of America, and being also accompanied by heat has stimulated the soil into an activity unequalled in any other part of the world. 180 Brazil, which is nearly as large as the whole of Europe, is covered with a vegetation of incredible profusion. Indeed, so rank and luxuriant is the growth, that Nature seems to riot in the very wantonness of power. A great part of this immense country is filled with dense and tangled forests, whose noble trees, blossoming in unrivalled beauty, and exquisite with a thousand hues, throw out their produce in endless prodigality. On their summit are perched birds of gorgeous plumage, which nestle in their dark and lofty recesses. Below, their base and trunks are crowded with brushwood; creeping plants, innumerable parasites, all rming with life. There, too, are myriads of insects every va reptiles of strange and singular form; pents and ards, spotted with deadly beauty: all of which find means of existence in this vast workshop and repository of Nature. And that nothing may be wanting to this land of marvels, the forests are skirted by enormous meadows, with, recking with heat and moisture, supply nourishment to countless herds of wild cattle, that browse and fatten on their herbage; while the adjoining plains, rich in another form of life, are the chosen abode of the subtlest and most ferocious animals, which prey on each other, but which it might almost seem no human power can hope to extirpate. 151

Brazil, vol. 11. p. 113, GARDNEB's Travels in Brazil, pp. 53, 99, 114, 175, 233, 294.

Dr. Gardner, who looked at these things with the eye of a botantic says that near Rio de Janeiro the heat and moisture are sufficient to compensate even the poorest soil, so that 'rocks, on which edirectly a trace of earth is to be observed, are covered with vellouiss, 'fillandsias, melastomaces, cacti, orchides, and ferns, and all in the vigour of life.' Gardner's Tracels in Brant, p. 9. See also out this combination, Walesi's Brant, vol. in. pp 297, 298, a curious description of the rainy season: 'For eight or nine hours a day,'dutting some weeks. I never had a dry shirt on me; and the condition of the sum of the distribution of the rain, which was very rare, there shone out the some places a burning sum; and we went smoking along, the west stalling by the heat, as if we were dissolving into vapour.'

Such is the flow and abundance of life by which Brazil is marked above all the other countries of the carth. 152 But, amid this pomp and splendour of Nature, no place is left for Man. He is reduced to insignificance by the majesty with which he is surrounded. The forces that oppose him are so formidable that he has never been able to make head against them, never able to rally against their accumulated pressure. The whole of Brazil, notwithstanding its immense apparent advantages, has always remained entirely uncivilized; its inhabitants wandering savages, incompetent to resist those obstacles which the very bounty of Nature had put in their way. For the natives, like every people in the infancy of society, are averse to enterprise; and being unacquainted with the arts by which physical impediments are removed. have never attempted to grapple with the fine ties by stopped their social progress. Indeed a c different are so serious, that during more than three supports the resources of European knowledge have been with employed in endeavouring to get rid of them. Along the coast of Brazil, there has been introduced from Europe a certain amount of that civilization, which the natives by their own efforts could never have reached. But such civilization, in itself very imperfect, has never pene-

In SWAINSON'S Geography of immals, pp 75-87, with Cuvier, Reme Animal, vol 1 p. 460, vol. 11 pp. 28, 65, 66, 89, vol. iv pp. 51, 75, 258, 320, 384, 485, 561, vol v. pp 40, 195, 272, 331, 553, Array, American Meridionale, vol. 1. pp 244-388, and the greater part of vols. ii and iv., Winckler. Geschichte der Botanik, pp. 378, 576-578, Souther's Hestory of Brazil, vol. 1 p. 27, vol. iii, pp. 181, 828, Gardner's Brazil, pp. 18, 32-34, 41- 44, 131, 330; Spix and Marrius's Brazil, vol. i. pp. 207-299, 238-249, vol. ii. pp. 131, 160-162, and as to the forests, which are among the wonders of the world, Bourk ville's Physical Groy vol. 11. pp. 204-206, Prichard, Passical History, vol. v. p. 497, Darwin's Journal, pp. 11, 24; Walley, vol. i. pp. 145, vol. ii pp. 29, 30, 253.

132 This extraordinary richness has excited the autonishment of all who have seen it Mr. Walsh, who had travelled in some very fertile countries, mentions the exceeding fecundity of nature which characterizes Brazil.' WALSR's Brazil, vol. M. p. 19. And programment naturalist. Mr. Darwin, says (Journal, p. 29), 'lin any person fond of natural history enjoys in his walks a covantage, by always having something to attract his attractions are so numerous that he is scarcely able to walk at all.'

trated the recesses of the country; and in the interior there is still found a state of things similar to that which has always existed. The people, ignorant, and therefore brutal, practising no restraint, and recognizing no law, continue to live on in their old and inveterate barbarism. 153 In their country the physical causes are so active, and do their work on a scale of such unrivalled magnitude that it has hitherto been found impossible to escape from the effects of their united action. The progress of agriculture is stopped by impassable forests, and the harvests are destroyed by innumerable insects. 154 The mountains are too high to scale, the rivers are too wide to bridge; every thing is contrived to keep back the human mind. and repress its rising ambition. It is thus that the energies of Nature have hampered the spirit of Man. Nowhere else is there so painful a contrast between the grandeur of the external world and the littleness of the internal And the mind, cowed by this unequal struggle, has not only best unable to advance, but without foreign aid it would undoubtedly have receded. For even at present, with all the improvements constantly introduced from Europe, there are no signs of real progress; while not-

but occasionally a disgusting account of the savage natives in that part of Brazil couth of 16°, to which his observations were limited. And as to the habitants of other parts, see Handerson's History of Brazil, pp. 28, 107, 173, 248, 315, 473; M'Culloch's Researches concerning Americals p. 77, and the more recent account of Dr. Martius, in Journal of Geograph. Society, vol. ii. pp. 191-199. Even in 1817, it was rare to see mative in Rio de Janeiro (Spix and Martius's Travels in Brazil, pp. 61, 62) says, that 'more than one nation of Indians in Brazil' have returned to that savage life from which they had apparently been reclaimed.

Is Sir C. Lyell (Principles of (ceology. p. 682) notices 'the incredible number of insects which lay waste the crops in Brazil;' and Mr. Swainson, who had travelled in that country, says 'The red ants of Brazil are so destructive, and at the same time so prolific, that they frequently dispute possession of the ground with the husbandman, deft all his skill to extirpate their colonies, and fairly compel him to there his fields uncultivated.' Swainson on the Geography and Commitgation of Animals, p. 87. See more about these insects in Davies Journal, pp. 37—43; SOUTHEY's History of Brazil, vol i. pp. 116, 283—335, 343, vol. ii. pp. 365, 642, vol. iii. p. 876; SPIX and Travels in Brazil, vol. i. p. 259, vol. ii. p. 117; CUVIEE, Regne Emimal, vol. iv. p. 330.

withstanding the frequency of colonial settlements, less than one-fiftieth of the land is cultivated. 185 The habits of the people are as barbarous as ever; and as to heir numbers, it is well worthy of remark, that Brazil, the country where, of all others, physical resources are most powerful, where both vegetables and animals are most abundant, where the soil is watered by the noblest rivers, and the coast studded by the finest harbours— this immense territory, which is more than twelve times the size, of France, contains a population not exceeding six millions of people. 186

These considerations sufficiently explain why it is, that in the whole of Brazil there are no monuments even of the most imperfect civilization; no evidence that the people had, at any period, raised themselves above the state in which they were found when their country was first discovered. But immediately opposite to Brazil there is another country, which, though situated in the same continent, and lying under the same latitude, is subjected to different physical conditions, and therefore was the scene of different social results. This is the celebrated kingdom of Peru, which included the whole of the southern tropic, and which, from the encumstances just stated, was naturally the only part of South America where any thing approaching to civilization could be attained. In Brazil. the heat of the climate was accompanied by a twofold irrigation, arising first from the immense river-system incidental to the eastern coast; and secondly, from the abundant moisture deposited by the trade-winds. From this combination there resulted that unequalled fertility,

¹¹⁵ The cultivated land is estimated at from 11 2 to 2 per cent. See M'Culloch's Geog. Det., 1849, vol. 1. p. 430

During the present century, the population of Brazil as been differently stated at different times, the highest computation being 7,000,000, and the lowest 4,000,000 Comp. Humsoldt, Now. Ellipse, vol. 11 ps. 855, Gardner 8 Reaut, p. 12: McCllock's Geog. Dict. 1849, vol. 1 pp. 430, 434. Mr Walsh describes Brazil as 'abounding in lands of the most exuberant fertility, but nearly destitute of intastitants.' Walsh's Brazil, vol. i p 248. This was in 1828 and 1829, since which the European population has increased; but the whole, 6,000,000 seems to be a fair estimate of what can be known approximatively. In Alison's History, vol. x. p. 437, the number given is 5,000,000, but the area also is rather understated

which, so far as Man was concerned, defeated its own ends, stopping his progress by an exuberance, which, had it been less excessive, it would have aided. For, as we have clearly seen, when the productive powers of Nature are carried beyond a certain point, the imperfect knowledge of uncivilized men is unable to cope with them, or in any way turn them to their own advantage. If, however, those powers, being very active, are nevertheless confined within manageable limits, there arises a state of things similar to that noticed in Asia and Africa; where the profusion of Nature, instead of hindering social progress, favoured it, by encouraging that accumulation of wealth, without some share of which progress is impossible

In estimating, therefore, the physical conditions by which civilization was originally determined, we have to Took, not merely at the exuberance, but also at what may be called the manageability of Nature: that is, we have to consider the ease with which the resources may be msed, as well as the number of the resources themselves Applying this to Mexico and Peru, we find that they were the countries of America where this combination most happily occurred. For though their resources were much less numerous than those of Brazil, they were far more easy to control; while at the same time the heat of the climate brought into play those other laws by which, as I have attempted to show, all the early civilizations were greatly influenced. It is a very remarkable fact, which, I believe, has never been observed, that even in reference to latitude, the present limit of Peru to the south corresponds with the ancient limit of Mexico to the north; while, by a striking, but to me perfectly natural coincidence, both these boundaries are reached before the tropical line is passed; the boundary of Mexico being 216 N. lat., that of Peru 221 2 " S. lat. 157

victica being the most southerly point of the present Peruvian county though the conquests of Peru, incorporated with the empire, extended far into Chili, and within a tow degrees of Patagonia. In regard, to Mexico the northern limit of the empire was 21° on the Atlanta coast, and 19° on the Pacific. PRISCOLY'S Hotery of Mexico, vol. 1 p. 2.

Such is the wonderful regularity which history, when comprehensively studied, presents to our view. And if we compare Mexico and Peru with those countries of the Old World which have been already noticed, we shall find, as in all the civilizations anterior to those of Europe, that their social phenomena were subordinate to their physical laws. In the first place, the characteristics of their national food were precisely those met with in the most flourishing parts of Asia and Africa. For although few of the nutritious vegetables belonging to the Old World were found in the New, their place was supplied by others exactly analogous to rice and dates; that is to say, marked by the same abundance, by the same facility of growth, and by the same exuberant returns; therefore, followed by the same social results. In Nexico and Peru, one of the most important articles of food has always been maize, which, we have every reason to believe," was peculiar to the American continent. 158 This, like rice and dates, is emmently the product of a hot climate; and although it is said to grow at an elevation of upwards of 7000 feet. 159 it is rarely seen beyond the fortieth parallel,100 and its exuberance rapidly diminishes with the

138 A question has been raised as to the Asiatic origin of maize RRYNIER, Economic des Anders, pp. 94, 95. But later and more careful researches seem to have ascertained beyond much doubt that it was unknown before America was discovered. Compare MEYER'S Geography of Plants, pp. 44, 303, 304; WALCHENARR'S note in AZABA, Amérique Meridionale, vol. i p. 184, CUNIER, Progres des Sciences Naturelles, vol ii. p. 354, CUNIER, I loges Historiques, vol. ii p. 178, LOUDON'S Encyclopædia of Agriculture, p. 829, McCulloca's Dict of Commerce, 1849, p. 831. The casual notices of maize by Intilixochiti, the native Mexican historian, show its general use as an article of food before the arrival of the Spaniards: see Intilixochiti, Medicia des Chichimeques, vol. i. pp. 53, 64, 240 vol. ii. p. 19.

15 'Maize, indeed, grows to the height of 7,200 feet above the level of the sea, but only predominates between 3,000 and 6,000 of the tion.

Lindley's Vegetable Kingdom. 1847, p. 112 This refers to the tropical parts of South America, but the Zes Mais is said to have trained on the slopes of the Pyrenees at an elevation of 3,000 to 100 test. See Austen on the Forty Days' Maize, in Report of Britishader, for 1849, Trans. of Sec. p. 68.

140 M. MEYER (Geog. of Plants, p 302) and Mr. Batarers 15, p. 567) suppose that in America 40° is about its limit; and the case in regard to its extensive cultivation: but it is grown containly as high as 52°, perhaps as high as 54°, north latitude: see than 1808's Actic Fryndium, 1851, vol. ii. pp. 49, 234.

diminution of temperature. Thus, for example, in New Cornia, its average yield is seventy or eighty fold; 161 m Mexico Proper the same grain yields three or four hundred fold, and, under very favourable circumstances, even eight hundred fold. 162

A people who derived their sustenance from a plant of such extraordinary fecundity, had little need to exercise their industrious energies; while at the same time they had every opportunity of increasing their numbers, and thus producing a train of social and political consequences similar to those which I have noticed in India and in Egypt. Besides this, there were, in addition to maize, other kinds of food to which the same remarks are applicable. The potato, which, in Ireland, has brought about such injurious effects by stimulating the growth of population, is said to be indigenous to Peru; and although this is denied by a very high authority. 163 there is, at all events, no doubt that it was found there in great abundance when the country was first discovered by the Europeans. 164 In Mexico, potatoes were unknown till the

^{101 &#}x27;Sous la zone temperée, entre les 3) et 38 degrés de latitude, par exemple dans la Nouvelle Californie, le mais ne produit, en général, année commune, que 70 à 80 grains pour un 'HUMBOLDI, la Nouvelle Fapayse, vol in p. 375.

¹² La fécondité du Tiaolli, ou mais mexicain, est au-delà de tout ce que l'on peut imaginer en Europe. La plante, favorisée par de fortes chaleurs et par heaucoup d'humidite, acquiert une hauteur de deux à trois mètres. Dans les belles plaines qui s'étendent depuis ban Juan del Rio à Queretaro, par exemple dans les terres de la grande métaire de l'Esperansa, une fanègue de mais en produit quelquefois huit cents. Des terrains fertiles en donnent année commune trois à quatre cents. Humbolder, Nour Espaque, vol in p. 374. Keatly the same estimate is given by Mr. Ward see Waad's Mexico, vol. ii. p. 230. In Central America (Guatemala), maize rething these hundred for one. Mexique et fin temala par Larenau-priss. 227.

Nous, Espagne, vol. ii. p 400. On the other hand, Cuvier (Histoire des Reienges, Nouverles, part. ii. p 185) peremptorily says 'il est impossible de douter qu'elle ne soit originaire du Pérou see also his Elegas Restoriques, vol ii. p. 171. Compare Winckler. Gesch der Rolling, p. 22: 'Von einem gewissen (arate unter den Gewächsen Peres alt dem Namen papas aufgeführt'

compare Teamuni's Travels in Peru, pp. 178, 368, 386, ULLOA's Loyage to South America, vol. 1, pp. 287, 288. In southern Peru, at the height

arrival of the Spaniards; but both Mexicans and Peruvians lived to a great extent on the produce extent on the productive newers as the contract of the co banana; a vegetable whose reproductive powers at extraordinary, that nothing but the precise and uninpeachable testimony of which we are possessed could make them at all credible. This remarkable plant is, in America, intimately connected with the physical laws of climate; since it is an article of primary importance for the subsistence of man whenever the temperature passes Ertain point. 165 Of its nutritive powers, it is enough to say, that an acre sown with it will support more than nity persons, whereas the same amount of land sown with wheat in Europe will only support two persons. 166 As to the exuberance of its growth, it is calculated that, other circumstances remaining the same, its produce is forty-four times greater than that of potatoes, and a hundred and thirty-three times greater than that of wheat. 167

It will now be easily understood why it was that, in all important respects, the civilizations of Mexico and Peru were strictly analogous to those of India and Egypt. In these four countries, as well as in a few others in Southern Asia and Central America, there existed an amount of knowledge, despicable indeed if tried by an European standard, but most remarkable if contrasted with the gross ignorance which prevailed among the adjoining and cotemporary nations. But in all of them there was the

of 13,000 or 14,000 teet, a curious process takes place, the starch of the potato being frozen into sacchaine. See a valuable paper by Mr. Bollaert in Journal of twoqraph, Society, vol. xxi. p. 712.

^{16:} Humboldt (None, Espagne, vol. 11 p. 359) says, 'partost on la chaleur moyenne de l'année excède vingt-quatre degrés de l'alle, le fruit du bananier est un objet de culture du plus grait pour la subsistance de l'homme'. Compare Bulloca's Meden, 231.

16: M'CULLOCH'S fre graph loce', 1849, vol. in p. 312.

Projection of the state of the

same inability to diffuse even that scanty civilization which there ally possessed; there was the same utter absence of thing approaching to the democratic spirit, there was the same despotic power on the part of the upper classes, and the same contemptible subservience on the part of the lower. For, as we have clearly seen, all these civilizations were affected by certain physical causes, which, though favourable to the accumulation of wealth, were unfavourable to a just subdivision of it. And as the knowledge of men was still in its mfancy," it was found i impossible to struggle against these physical agents, or prevent them from producing those effects on the social organization which I have attempted to trace. Both in Mexico and in Peru, the arts, and particularly those branches of them which minister to the luxury of the wealthy classes, were cultivated with great success. The houses of the higher ranks were filled with ornaments and utensils of admirable workmanship; their chambers were hung with splendid tapestries, their dresses and their personal decorations betrayed an almost incredible expense: their jewels of exquisite and varied form; their rich and flowing tobes embroidered with the rarest feathers, collected from the most distant parts of the empire: all supplying evidence of the possession of unlimited wealth, and of the ostentations prodigality with which that wealth was wasted.169 Immediately below this class came the people:

The only science with which they had much acquaintance was astronomy, which the Mexicans appear to have cultivated with considerable success. Compare the remark of La Place, in Humboldt. Noncelle Expanse, vol. 1 p. 22, with Pricards Physial History, vol. 7, 223, 329; M'Culloch's Researches, pp. 201-22s. Larenauding pp. 51, 52; Humboldt's Common, vol. 1 p. 456, Journal 1 and Society, vol. vii. p. 3 llowever, their astronomy, as might expected, was accompanied by astrology see Ixililizochiel. History less Colchimogues, vol. 1, p. 105, vol. 1, pp. 44, 111

¹⁴⁹ The works of art produced by the Mexicans and Peruvians are underrated by Bobertson, who, however, admits that he had never agen 126. History of America, book vn. in Ropenison's Works, pp. 205. But during the present century considerable attention has been paid to this subject; and in addition to the evidence of skill side, coastly extravagance collected by Mr. Prescott (History of Peru, vol. 1, pp. 25, 142, History of Meri a, vol. 1, pp. 27, 28, 122, 256, 270, 207, vol. 2, pp. 115, 116), I may refer to the testimony of M Humboldi, the only traveller in the New World who has possessed a

and what their condition was, may be easily imagined. In Peru the whole of the taxes were paid by theme, the nobles and the clergy being altogether exempt. 170 mas, in such a state of society, it was impossible for the people to accumulate property, they were obliged to defray the expenses of government by their personal labour, which was placed under the entire command of the state. 171 At the same, the rulers of the country were well aware that ha system like this, feelings of personal indepenace were incompatible; they therefore contrived laws by which, even in the most minute matters, freedom of action was controlled. The people were so shackled, that they could neither change their residence, nor alter their clothes, without permission from the governing powers. To each man the law prescribed the trade he was to follow, the dress he was to wear, the wife he was to marry, and the amusements he was to enjoy. 172 Among

competent amount of physical as well as historical knowledge. Humboldt, Nouvelle Expagne, vol 11, p. 483, and elsewhere. Compare Mr. Pentland's observations on the tombs in the neighbourhood of Titicaca (Journ of freeg Soc, vol x. p. 554) with McCulloch's Researcher, pp. 364-366, Merque, par Larkmaudiere, pp. 41, 42, 66, Ulloa's Nouth America, vol 1 pp. 465, 466.

public functionaries, and the numerous body of the priesthood we all exempt from taxation. The whole duty of defraying the expenses of the government belonged to the people.' PRESCOTT'S History of

Peru, vol. 1 p 56.

171 Ondegardo emphatically says 'Solo el traham de las personas era el tributo que se dava, porque ellos no poreta dara cosa.' Prescort's Pera, vol. 1. p. 57. Compare M'Cultude Recearcher, p. 359. In Mexico the state of things was just the laste.' Le petit peuple, qui ne possédait point de biens-fonds, et int ne faisait point de commerce, payait sa part des taxes en travalle différents genres; c'était par lui que les terres de la couronne de la diférents genres; c'était par lui que les terres de la couronne de la cultivées, les ouvrages publics exécutés, et les diverses malins appartenantes à l'appareur construites ou entretenues.' LAREMADDIRES.

construites ou entretenues.' LAREMADDING 19 Prescott notices this with surprise possible inter the circumstances, it was in truth perfectly natural. He force, vol. 1 p. 159), 'Under this extraordinary folly all, advanced in many of the social refinements, well stilled area and agriculture, were unacquainted, as we have seen, 'They had nothing that deserved to be called property. They had nothing that deserved to be called property. They had nothing that deserved to be called property. They had nothing that deserved to be called property. They had nothing that deserved to be called property. They had not craft, could engage in no labour, no amusement as was specially provided by law. They could not re-idence or their dress without a hierarchy for the government abject in other countries—that of selecting their own with

the Mexicans the course of affairs was similar, the same physical conditions being followed by the same social results. In the most essential particular for which history can be studied, namely, the state of the people, Mexico and Peru are the counterpart of each other. For though there were many minor points of difference, 173 both were agreed in this, that there were only two classes—the upper class being tyrants, and the lower class being slaves. This was the state in which Mexico was found when it was discovered by the Europeans, 174 and towards which it must have been tending from the earliest period. And so insupportable had all this become, that we know, from the most decisive evidence, that the general disaffection it produced among the people was one of the causes which, by facilitating the progress of the Spanish invaders, hastened the downfall of the Mexican empire 17.

The further this examination is carried, the more striking becomes the similarity between those civilizations which flourished anterior to what may be called the European epoch of the human mind. The division of a nation into castes would be impossible in the great European countries; but it existed from a remote antiquity in Egypt, in India, and apparently in Persia. 176 The very same institution

The Mexicans being, as Prichard says (Physical Rectory, vol v. p 467), of a more cruel disposition than the Peruvians, but our information is too limited to enable us to determine whether this was mainly owing to physical causes or to social ones. Herder preferred the Peruvian civilization: 'der gebildetste Staat dieses Welttheils, Peru. Ideen zur Geschichte der Menschhoit, vol 1. p. 33.

^{17.} See in HUMBOLDT's Nouvelle Espagne, vol. i p. 101, a striking summary of the state of the Mexican people at the time of the

Spanish conquest: see also History of America, book vin. in ROBERTBOOK Server, 19 307.

111 Court History of the Conquest of Marico vol. i. p. 34.

Compared to the Conquest of Herico vol. i. p. 34.

Compared to the Conquest of Herico vol. ii. p. 34.

To The were castes in Persia is stated by Firdousi, and his assisting at the saide its general probability, ought to outweigh the allowed his cook historians who, for the most part, knew little of all beautry except their own. According to Malcolm, the existence of the time of Jemsheed is confirmed by 'some Mahomedan authors, but he does not say who they were. Malcolm's Historia of Persia pp 505, '906. Several attempts have been made, but very unsweet the type of the persia in the person in which castes were first instituted compare totalic Researches, vol vi p 24, Herren's Ajir an

was rigidly enforced in Peru;177 and what proves how consonant it was to that stage of society, is; that in Mexico. where castes were not established by law, it was nevertheless a recognized custom that the son should follow the occupation of his father. 178 This was the political symptom of that stationary and conservative spirit, which, as we shall hereafter see, has marked every country in which the upper classes have monopolized power. The religious symptom of the same spirit was displayed in that inordinate reverence for antiquity, and in that hatred of change, which the greatest of all the writers on America has well pointed out as an analogy between the natives of Mexico and those of Hindostan 179. To this may be added, that those who have studied the history of the ancient Egyptians, have observed among that people a similar tendency. Wilkinson, who is well known to have paid great attention to their monuments, says that they were more unwilling than any other nation to alter their religious worship;180 and Hero-

Nations, vol. ii. p. 121. Bunnen's $F_{J}npt$, vol. ii. p. 410, Rammonus Roy on the Veds, p. 26°

miting the introduction of new customs in matters relating gods? Wilkinson's Author Lyppiaus, vol. ni. p. 262, p. 275. Thus. too, M. Bunsen notices the tenacity Lyppiaus adhered to old manners and customs? But vol. n. p. 44. See also some remarks on the difference.

¹²⁷ PRESCOTT'S History of Peru, vol. 1, pp. 143, 156, 124 PRESCOTT'S History of Mexico, vol. 1, p. 124

¹º Les Américains, comme les habitans de l'Indonstan, et comme tous les peuples qui ont gémi long-temps sons le despotisme civil et religieux, tiennent avec une opiniatreté extraordinaire a leurs habitudes, a leurs mœurs, à leurs opinions. . . . Au Mexique, comme dans l'Indonstan, il n'étoit pas permis aux fidèles de changer la moindre cnose aux figures des idoles font ce qui appartenoit au rite des Aztèques et des Hindous étoit assujéti & des lois immuables ' Hum. BOLDT, Nouv. L. spagne, vol. 1. pp. 95,.97. Turgot (wurres, vol. ii. pp. 226, 313, 314) has some admirable remarks on this fixity of opinion natural to certain states of society becalso Headen's Ideen zur Geschichte, vol. 111. pp. 34, 35; and for other illustrations of this unpliancy of thought, and adherence to old customs, which many writers suppose to be an Eastern peculiarity, but which is far more widely spread and is, as Humboldt clearly saw, the result of an unequal distribution of power, compare Turner's Embassa to Liber p 41; Forest's Oriental Memores vol. 1 pp 15 164 vol n p 236, MILL's History of radia vol. 11. p. 214, ELPHINSTON'S History of India, p. 48; OTTER Clarke, vol. it p 109, Transac, of Anatic Society, vol. it. p. 64 of Asiat, Society, vol. viii p. 116

dotus, who travelled in their country two thousand three hundred years age, assures us that, while they preserved old customs, they never acquired new ones. 181 In another point of view, the similarity between these distant countries is equally interesting, since it evidently arises from the causes already noticed as common to both. In Mexico and Peru, the lower classes being at the disposal of the upper, there followed that frivolous waste of labour which we have observed in Egypt, and evidence of which may also be seen in the remains of those temples and palaces that are still found in several parts of Asia. Both Mexican's and Peruvians creeted immense buildings, which were as useless as those of Egypt, and which no country could produce, unless the labour of the people were il.-paid and ill-directed.452. The cost of these monuments of varity is unknown; but it must have been enormous, since the Americans, being ignorant of the use of iron, 18 were unable to employ a resource by which, in the construction of large works, labour is greatly abridged. Some particulars, however, have been preserved, from which an idea may be formed on this subject. To take, for instance, the palaces of their kings, we find that in Peru, the erection of the royal residence occupied, during fifty years, 20,000 men. 154 while that of Mexico cost the labour of no less

spirit and the love of novelty among the Greeks, in Ritten's History of Ancient Palosophy, vol 1v pp 625, 126

¹⁴ Herodot, book ii chap 79 - manoinian o, yestiyasini munian, akkov willing tray reservat, and see the note in Buch, vol. 1 p 600, toward; priores interpretes explicarunt annalesas, homeos Schweighwuserus rectius intellexit instituta a more. In the same way, in Timæus. Plato represents an Egyptian priest taying to Solon, Erryvi; or ratific ista, grows of 1/17, our iste. And when Solon asked what he meant, Riot ista, was the reply, tax buyar tasts, obligating your activities specific appared activities of the contract o Chap. v. in Platones Opera, vol vn p 242, edit. Bekker, Lone 1836,

Mexicans appear to have been even more wantenly produgal that Travians. See, respecting their immense paramids, one of which holids, had a base 'twice as broad as the largest Egyptian PCULLOCK'S Hessarches, pp 232—250. BULLOCK'S Mexico, pp. 354-414. HUMBOLDT'S Nouvelie Foj v. v. vol. 1 pp. 240-241. COTT'S Historia et Mexico vol. 1, p. 117, vol. in. p. 341; and Paul

rie, 1801, vol. iv p 145 See also Haux, Traite de neres Par Heatre et Freu, vol. i p 18

than 200,000: striking facts, which, if all other testimonies had perished, would enable us to appreciate the condition of countries in which, for such insignificant purposes,* such vast power was expended. 185

The preceding evidence, collected from sources of unquestioned credibility, proves the force of those great physical laws, which, in the most flourishing countries out of Europe, encouraged the accumulation of wealth, but prevented its dispersion; and thus secured to the upper classes a monopoly of one of the most important elements of social and political power. The result was, that in all those civilizations the great body of the people derived no benefit from the national improvements; hence, the basis of the progress being very narrow, the progress itself was very insecure is: When, therefore, unfavourable circumstances arose from without, it was but natural that the whole system should fall to the ground. In such countries, society, being divided against itself, was unable to stand. And there can be no doubt that long before the crisis of their actual destruction, these one-sided and irregular civilizations had begun to decay: so that their own degeneracy aided the progress of toreign invaders, and secured the overthrow of those ancient kingdoms, which, under a sounder system might have been easily saved

Mr. Prescott (History of Vexico, vol 1 p 1/3) says, 'We are not informed of the time occupied in beliding this palace, but 200,000 workmen, it is said, were employed on it. However this may be, it is certain that the Texcucan monarchs, like those of Asia and ancient Egypt, had the control of immense masses of men, and would sometimes turn the whole population of a conquered city including the women, into the public works. The most gigantic monuments of architecture which the world has witnessed would never have been reared by the hands of freemen.' The Mexican historian, Ixtilixochitl, gives a carious account of one of the royal palaces. See his Histoire des Chickinsiques translated by Ternaux-Compans, Paris, 1840, vol. 1 pp. 257-262, chap xxxvii.

This may be illustrated by a good remark of M. Master, to the effect that when the Egyptians had once lost their race of bings, it was found impossible for the nation to reconstruct itself.

Historic de Theric d. historichi, vol 1 p 68; a striking passed. In Persia, again, when the feeling of leyalty decayed, so also deficient of national power. Matcolm's History of R. H. p 130. The history of the most civilized parts of Europeans a picture exactly the reserve of this.

Thus far as to the way in which the great civilizations exterior to Europe have been affected by the peculiarities of their food, climate, and soil. It now remains for me to examine the effect of those other physical agents to which I have given the collective name of Aspects of Nature, and which will be found suggestive of some very wide and comprehensive inquiries into the influence exercised by the external world in predisposing men to certain habits of thought, and thus giving a particular tone to religion, arts, literature, and, in a word, to all the principal manifestations of the human mind. To ascertain how this is brought about, forms a necessary supplement to the investigations just concluded. For, as we have seen that climate, food, and soil mainly concern the accumulation and distribution of wealth, so also shall we see that the Aspects of Nature concern the accumulation and distribution of thought. In the first case, we have to do with the material interests of Man; in the other case, with his intellectual interests. The former I have analyzed as far as I am able, and perhaps as far as the existing state of knowledge will allow 147 But the other, namely, the relation between the Aspects of Nature and the mind of Man, involves speculations of such magnitude, and requires such a mass of materials drawn from every quarter, that I feel very apprehensive as to the result; and I need hardly say, that I make no pretensions to anything approaching an exhaustive analysis, nor can I hope to do more than generalize a few of the laws of that complicated, but as yet unexplored, process by which the external world has affected the human mind, has warped its natural movements, and too often checked its natural progress.

The Aspects of Nature, when considered from this point of view, are divisible into two classes the first class being those which are most likely to excite the imagination; and the other class being those which address themselves to the understanding commonly so called, that is, to the mere logical operations of the intellect. For although it is true

¹⁸⁷ Linear, in regard to the physical and economical generalizations. As to the situature of the subject, I am conscious of many deficiencies, particularly in respect to the Mexican and Perusian histories.

that, in a complete and well-balanced mind, the imagination and the understanding each play their respective parts, and are auxiliary to each other, it is also true that, in a majority of instances, the understanding is too weak to curb the imagination and restrain its dangerous licence The tendency of advancing civilization is to remedy this disproportion, and invest the reasoning powers with that authority, which, in an early stage of society, the imagination exclusively possesses. Whether or not there is ground for fearing that the reaction will eventually proceed too far, and that the reasoning faculties will in their turn tyrannize over the imaginative ones, is a question of the deepest interest, but, in the present condition of our knowledge, it is probably an insoluble one. At all events, it is certain that nothing like such a state has yet been seen since, even in this age, when the imagination is more under control than in any preceding one, it has far too much power, as might be easily proved, not only from the superstitions which in every country still prevail among the yulgar, but also from that poetic reverence for antiouity, which, though it has been long dummishing, still hampers the independence, blinds the judgment, and circumscribes the originality of the educated classes

Now, so far as natural phenomena are concerned, it's evident, that whatever inspires feelings of terror, or of great wonder, and whatever exertes in the mind an idea of the vague and uncontrollable, has a special tendency to inflame the imagination, and bring under its dominion the slower and more deliberate operations of the understanding. In such cases, Man, contrasting himself with the force and majesty of Nature, becomes painfully conscious of his own insignificance. A sense of inferiority steals over him. From every quarter innumerable obstacles hem him in, and limit his individual will. His mind, appalled by the indefined and indefinable, hardly cares to scrutinize the details of which such imposing grandeur consists. The content of the other hand, where the works of Nature

The sensation of lear, even when there is no danker, becomes strong enough to destroy the pleasure that would otherwise be felt. See, for instance, a de cription of the great mountain boundary of

are small and feeble. Man regains confidence; he seems more able to rely on his own power; he can, as it were, pass through, and exercise authority in every direction. And as the phenomena are more accessible, it becomes easier for him to experiment on them, or to observe them with minuteness; an inquisitive and analytic spirit is encouraged, and he is tempted to generalize the appearances of Nature, and refer them to the laws by which they are governed.

Looking in this way at the human mind as affected by the Aspects of Nature, it is surely a remarkable fact, that all the great early civilizations were situated within and immediately adjoining the tropics, where those aspects are most sublime, most terrible, and where Nature is, in every respect, most dangerous to Man Indeed, generally, in Asia, Africa, and America, the external world is more formdable than in Europe. This holds good not only of the fixed and permanent phenomena, such as mountains. and other great natural barriers, but also of occasional phenomena, such as earthquakes, tempests, hurricanes, pestilences; all of which are in those regions very frequent, and very disastrous. These constant and serious dangers produce effects analogous to those caused by the sublimity of Nature, in so far, that in both cases there is a tendency to increase the activity of the magmation. For the peculiar province of the imagination being to deal with the unknown, every event which is unexplained, as well as important, is a direct stimulus to our imaginative faculties. In the tropics, events of this kind are more numerous than elsewhere; it therefore follows that in the tropics the imagination is most likely to triumph. A few illustrations of the working of this principle will place it in a clearer

Hindostan, in Anothe Reconcless, vol. xi. p. 46% the is necessary for a person topplace himself in our situation before he can form a just conception of the scene. The depth of the valles below, the progressive clevation of the intermediate hills, and the majestic splendour of the cloud-copped Himslays, formed so grand a picture, that the mind was impressed with a sensation of dread rather than of pleasure. Compare vol. xiv, psylig. Calcutta, 1822. In the Tyrol, it has been observed, that the grandfar of the mountain scenery imbues the minds of the nations with four, and has caused the invention of many superstitious legends. Across's Europe, vol. xiv, pp. 70, 80.

light, and will prepare the reader for the arguments based upon it.

Of those physical events which increase the insecurity of Man, earthquakes are certainly among the most striking, in regard to the loss of life which they cause, as also in regard to their sudden and unexpected occurrence. There is reason to believe that they are always preceded by atmospheric changes which strike immediately at the nervous system, and thus have a direct physical tendency to impair the intellectual powers 1-9 However this may be, there can be no doubt as to the effect they produce in encouraging particular associations and habits of thought The terror which they inspire, excites the imagination even to a painful extent, and, overbalancing the judgment, predisposes men to superstitious fancies. And what is highly curious, is, that repetition, so far from blunting such feelings, strengthens them In Peru, where earthquakes appear to be more common than in any other country, 190 every succeeding visitation increases the general dismay; so that, in some cases, the fear becomes almost insurportable. 191 The mind is thus constantly thrown into a timid

toujours, et ils sont généralement annoncés par le mugissement des beatiaux, par l'inquiétude des animanx domestiques, et dans ses hommes par cette sorte de malaise qui, en Europe, piécède les orages, dans les personnes nerveuses? Cuvira. Prog. des Sciences, vol. i. p. 265. See also on this 'Vorgefuhl.' the observation of Von Hoff, in Mr. Mallet's valuable essay on earthquakes (Brit. Assoc. for 1850, p. 64); and the 'foreboding' in Tschudis Peru, p. 165; and a letter in Nichols's Illustrations of the Fighteenth Century, vol. iv p. 504. The probable connexion between earthquakes and electricity is noticed in Barewelle's Geology, p. 444.

^{190 &#}x27;Peru is more subject, perhaps, than any other country to the tremendous visitation of earthquakes.' M. Culloch's (reog. Dict. 1849, vol. ii. p. 499. Dr. Tschudi (Traceis in Peru, p. 163) says of Lima, 'at an average forty-five shocks may be counted on in the year.' See also on the Peruvian earthquakes. pp. 43, 75, 87, 90.

¹⁹¹ A curious instance of association of ideas conquering the deadening effect of habit. Dr. Tschudi (Peru, p. 170), describing the panic, says, 'no familiarity with the phenomenon can blunt this feeling.' Beale (South-Sea Whaling Voyage, Lond. 1839, p. 208) writes, 'It is said at Peru, that the oftener the natives of the place feel those vibrations of the earth, instead of becoming habituated to them, as persons do who are constantly exposed to other dangers, they become more filled with dismay every time the shock is released, so that aged people often find the terror a slight shock will produce

and anxious state; and men witnessing the most serious dangers, which they can neither avoid nor understand, become impressed with a conviction of their own inability, and of the poverty of their own resources. ¹⁹² In exactly the same proportion, the imagination is aroused, and a belief in supernatural interference actively encouraged. Human power failing, superhuman power is called in; the mysterious and the invisible are believed to be present, and there grow up among the people those feelings of awe, and of helplessness, on which all superstition is based, and without which no superstition can exist ¹⁹³

Further illustration of this may be found even in Europe, where such phenomena are comparatively speaking extremely rare. Earthquakes and volcanic eruptions are more frequent and more destructive in Italy, and in the Spanish aidi Portuguese pennisula, than in any other of the great countries, and it is precisely there that superstition is most rife, and the superstitious classes most powerful. Those were the countries where the clergy first established their authority, where the worst corruptions of Christianity took place, and where superstition has during the longest period retained the firmest hold. To

BUCKLY I

almost insupportable. Compare Darwins s humal pp. 423, 423. So, too, in regard to Mexican earthquakes, Mr. Ward observes, that the natives are both more sensible than strangers of the smaller shocks, and more alarmed by them. Ward's Mexico, vol. in. p. 55. On the physiological effects of the fear caused by earthquakes, see the remarkable statement by Osimuder in Burdard's Physiologica comme Science d Observation, vol. ii pp. 223, 224. That the fear should be not deadened by familiarity, but increased by it, would hardly be expected by speculative reasoners unacquainted with the evidence, and we find, in fact, that the Pyriphonists asserted that a poly surpose and of governor are the frequency of softence, but the fear should be finding to be determined by the first polytonic and the first polytonic seeds.

¹² Mr. Stephens, who gives a striking description of an earth-quake in Central America, emphatically says, 'I never felt myself so feeble a thing before' SIFPHENS'S tentral America, vol. 1, p. 383, See also the account of the effects produced on the mind by an earth-quake, in France, of Sec. of Rondar, vol. 11 p. 98, and the note at p. 103.

The shoet of earthquakes in encouraging superstition, is neticed in Lighth admirable work, Principles of technon, p 492 Compare a myth on the origin of carthquakes in BRAUSOBRE, Histoire Critique de Manchér, vol. 5, p. 243.

this may be added another circumstance, indicative of the connexion between these physical phenomena and the predominance of the imagination. Speaking generally, the fine arts are addressed more to the imagination; the sciences to the intellect. 194 Now it is remarkable, that all the greatest painters, and nearly all the greatest sculptors, modern Europe has possessed, have been produced by the Italian and Spanish peninsulas. In regard to science, Italy has no doubt had several men of conspicuous ability; but their numbers are out of all proportion small when compared with her artists and poets. As to Spain and Portugal, the literature of those two countries is eminently poetic, and from their schools have proceeded some of the greatest painters the world has ever seen. On the other hand, the purely reasoning faculties have been neglected, and the whole Pennsula, from the earliest period to the present time, does not supply to the history of the natural sciences a single name of the highest merit; not one man whose works form an epoch in the progress of European knowledge 195

The manner in which the Aspects of Nature, when they are very threatening, stimulate the imagination, 100 and by encouraging superstition, discourage knowledge, may be made still more apparent by one or two additional

The greatest men in science, and in fact all very great men, have no doubt been remarkable for the powers of their imagination. But in art the imagination plays a far more conspicuous part than in science, and this is what I mean to express by the proposition in the text. Sir David Brewster, indeed, thinks that Newton was deficient in imagination. 'the weakness of his imaginative powers' Brewster's Life of Newton, 1855, vol. ii. p. 1.33. It is impossible to discuss so large a question in a note, but to my "prehension, no poet, except Dante and Shakespeare, ever had an imagination more soaring and more audacious than that possessed by Sir Isaac Newton

The remarks made by Mr Ticknor on the absence of science in Spain, might be extended even further than he has done. See Ticknor's History of Spanish Literature, vol ni. pp 222, 223. He says, p. 237, that in 1771, the University of Salamanca being urged to teach the physical sciences, replied. Newton teaches nothing that would make a good logician or metaphysician, and Gasseudi and Descartes do not agree so well with revealed truth as Aristotle does.

^{1&}lt;sup>106</sup> In Aviatic Researches, vol. vi. pp. 35, 36, there is a good instance of an earthquake giving rise to a theological fiction, See also vol. 1 pp. 1.4—1.4, and compare Coleman's Mythology of the Hindus, p. 17.

facts. Among an ignorant people, there is a direct tendency to ascribe all serious dangers to supernatural intervention; and a strong religious sentiment being thus aroused, ¹⁰⁷ it constantly happens, not only that the danger is submitted to, but that it is actually worshipped. This is the case with some of the Hindus in the forests of Malabar; ¹⁹⁸ and many sinilar instances will occur to whoever has studied the condition of barbarous tribes ¹⁹⁹ Indeed, so far is this carried, that in some countries the inhabitants, from feelings of reverential fear, refuse to destroy wild-beasts and noxious reptiles; the mischief these animals inflict being the cause of the impunity they enjoy. ¹⁹⁹

^{2.} See for example, Ascit. Researches, vol. iv. pp. 56, 77 vol. vi. p. 94, and the offect produced by a volcano, in horizontal tecograph, so the set of the principle by Sextus Empiricus in Tennesians (in high die Philosophie vol. i. p. 292. Compare the use the clergy made of a volcame cruption in Techand (Willeafox's Hestory of the Northmen, p. 42), and see further Railles's History of Jara, vol. i. pp. 29, 274, and Tsenutive Fred. pp. 64–167, 171.

The Hindus in the Iruan forests, says Mr. Edye, two ship and respect everything from which the apprehend danger. LLYL of the feast of Moraha. In household Asiati Series, vo. in p. 387.

^{1.} Dr. Prichard (P. nor al H. storn, vol. N. p. 301) says, The tiger is worshipped by the Hain tribe in the vicinity of the Garrows or Garrodias' Company Prawa 1998 in Asiati Soveri, vol. Hi. p. 66. Among the Garrows themselves, this feeling is so strong, that the tiger's nose strong round a woman's neck is considered as a great, The Seiks have a curious superstition respecting wounds inflicted by tigers ((Bunkes's Bokkara, 1834, vol. in p. 140), and the Malasir believe that these animals are sent as a punishment for irreligion. Buchars's Journey through the Mysore, vol. n. p. 35.

200 The inhabitates of Sumatra are, for superstitious reasons, most

²⁰⁰ The inhabitation of Sumatra are, for superstitious reasons, most unwilling to destroy tigers, though they commit frightful ravages Marsnen's History of Sumatra pp. 14), 24. The Russian account of the Kamischatkans says 'Resides the above-mentioned gods, they pay a religious regard to several animals from which they apprehend danger' Grievi's History is hands, hatka, p. 200. Bruce mentions that in Abvasina hyenas are considered enchanters,' and the inhabitants will not touch the skin of a byana till it has been prayed over and exorcised by a priest' Murran's Love of Rune p. 472. Albed to this is the respect paid to beats (Erman's Silvein, vol. i. p. 322, vol. ii., pp. 42, 43), also the extinsively diffused worship of the serpent, whose willy movements are well calculated to inspire fear and therefore rouse the religious fielings. The langer apprehended from noxious reptiles is connected with the Dews of the Zendavesta. See Martlen's Lecture and tensition vol. 1 p. 68, 1 aris, 1828.

It is in this way, that the old tropical civilizations had to struggle with innumerable difficulties unknown to the temperate zone, where European civilization has long flourished. The devastations of animals hostile to man, the ravages of hurricanes, tempests, earthquakes, 201 and similar perils, constantly pressed upon them, and affected the tone of their national character. For the mere loss of life was the smallest part of the inconvenience. The real muschief was, that there were engendered in the naind associations which made the imagination predominate over the understanding, which infused into the people a spirit of reverence instead of a spirit of inquiry; and which encouraged a disposition to neglect the investigation of natural causes, and ascribe events to the operation of supernatural ones

Everything we know of those countries proves how active this tendency must have been. With extremely few exceptions, health is more precarious, and disease more common, in tropical chimates than in temperate ones. Now, it has been often observed, and indeed is very obvious. that the fear of death makes men more prone to seek supernatural aid than they would otherwise be So complete is our ignorance respecting another life, that it is no wonder if even the stoutest heart should quail at the sudden approach of that dark and untired tuture. On this subject the reason is perfectly silent, the imagination. therefore, is uncontrolled. The operation of natural causes being brought to an end, supernatural causes are supposed to begin. Hence it is, that whatever increases in any country the amount of dangerous disease, has an numediate tendency to strengthen superstition, and aggrandize the imagination at the expense of the understanding. This principle is so universal, that, in every part of the world.

To give one instance of the extent to which these operate, it may be mentioned, that in 1815 an earthquake and volcanic emption broke forth in Sumbawa, which shook the ground through an area of 1,090 miles in circumference, and the detonations of which were heard at a distance of 970 geographical miles Somewille's Connexion of the Physical Sciences, p. 283, Hitchcock's Religion of treology p. 190, Low's Sarawak, p. 10. Bankwell's Geology, p. 438

the vulgar ascribe to the intervention of the Deity those diseases which are peculiarly fatal, and especially those which have a sudden and mysterious appearance. In Europe it used to be believed that every pestilence was a manifestation of the divine anger; 202 and this opinion, though it has long been dying away, is by no means extinct, even in the most civilized countries. 203 Superstition

2.2 In the sixteenth century, 'Les différentes sectes s'accordérent néanmous a regarder les maladies graves et dangereuses comme un effet immédiat de la puissance divine, idée que Fernel contribua encore à répandre davantage. On trouve dans Paré plusieurs passages de la Bible, cités pour prouver que la colère de Dieu est la seule cause de la peste, qu'elle suffit pour provoquer ce fléau, et que sans elle les causes éloignées ne sauraient agit ' SPRPROLL, Histoire de la Mederan, vol in, p. 112. The same learned writer says of the Middle Ages (vol ii p. 372), D'apres l'esprit généralement Aspandu dans ces siècles de barbarie, on croyait la lepre envoyée d'une manière immediate par Dieu' See also pp 115, 346, 431 Bishop Heber says that the Hindus deprive lepers of caste and of the right of possessing property, because they are objects of 'Heaven's wrath' HEBER's Journey through India, vol n. p. 330 On the Jewish opinion, see Lie CLERC, Bebliethoper Universalle, vol iv. p 402, Amsterdam, 1702 And as to the early Christians, see MAURY Legender Pouser, p. 68, Paris, 1843 though M. Maury ascribes to the idées orientales reques par le christianisme,' what is due to the operation of a much wider

" Under the influence of the inductive philosophy, the theological theory of disease was seriously weakened before the middle of the seventeenth century and by the middle, or at all events the latter half, of the eighteenth century, it had lost all its partisans among scientific men. At present it still lingers on among the volgar; and traces of it may be found in the writings of the clergy, and in the works of other persons little acquainted with physical knowledge. When the cholera broke out in England, attempts were made to revive the old notion, but the spirit of the age was too strong for such efforts to succeed; and it may be safely predicted that men will never return to their there opinions, unless they first return to their former agnorance. As a specimen of the ideas which the cholera tended to excite, and of their antagonism to all scientific investigation, I may refer to a letter written in 1842 by Mrs. Front a woman of some accomplishments, and not devend of influence (Correspondence of Mrs. teran', London, 1844, vol n. pp. 216, 217), where she states that 'it appears to me great presumption to indulge so much as people do in speculation and conjecture about a disease so evidently a peculiar infliction, and different from all other modes of suffering hitherto known.' This desire to limit human speculation, is precisely the feeling which long retained Europe in darkness, since it effectivally prevented those free inquiries to which we are indebted for all the real knowledge we possess. The doub's of Boyle upon this subject supply a curious instance of the transitionary state through which the mind was passing in the seventeenth century, and by which the of this kind will of course be strongest, either where medical knowledge is most backward, or where disease is most abundant. In countries where both these conditions are fulfilled, the superstition is supreme; and even where only one of the conditions exists, the tendency is so irresistible, that, I believe, there are no barbarous people who do not ascribe to their good or evil derties, not only extraordinary diseases, but even many of the ordinary ones to which they are liable.²⁰⁴

way was prepared for the great liberating movement of the next age Boyle after stating both sides of the question, namely the theological and the scientific, adds, and it is the less likely that these sweeping and contagious maladies should be always sent for the punishment of improus men because I remember to have read in good authors, that as some plagues distroyed both men and beasts, so some other did peculiarly destroy brute animals of very little consideration or use to men, as cats, ac

Upon these and the like reasons, I have sometimes suspected that in the controversy about the origin of the plaque—namely whether it he natural or supernatural, neither of the contending parties is altogether in the right, since it is very possible that some pestilences may not break forth without an extraordinary, though perhaps not immediate, interposition of Almighty God, provoked by the sins of men, and yet other plaques may be produced by a tragical concourse of merely natural causes. Insome on the Acr. in Boyak's Works vol. v. pp. 288, 289. Veather of the contending parties is altogether to atterprish!?—an instructive passage towards understanding the compromising spirit of the seventeenth century, standing midway as it did, between the credulity of the sixteenth, and the scepticism of the eighteenth.

7 ,200 To the historian of the human mind, the whole question is so full of interest, that I shall refer in this note to all the evidence I have been able to collect and whoever will compare the following passages may satisfy himself that there is in every part of the world an intimate relation between ignorance respecting the nature and proper treatment of a disease, and the belief that such disease is caused by supernatural power, and is to be currently it. Bulton's Sindly p 146, London, 1851, LLLIS's Polynesian Researches, vol 1 p. 395, vol. iff, pp 36, 41, vol iv pp 293, 334, 375, Cilles's Books, Edinb. 1827, vol ii, pp. 414, 434, Lsquinol., Maladies Mentales, vol i. pp. 274, 482; CABANIS. Rapports du Physique et du Moral, p. 277. VOLNEY, Voyage en Syrie, vol 1 p 426, TURNER's Embassy to Tibet p 104; SYME'S Embassy to Ava, vol. ii p 211, Lillis's Tour through Hawali, pp. 282, 283, 332, 333; RENOVARD, Histoire de la Medezia. vol i p. 398; BROUSSAIS, Framen des Doctrines Médicales, vol i. pp. 261, 262; GROTE'S History of Greece, vol. i. p. 485 (company p. 251 and, vol. vi p. 213), GRIEVE'S He tory of Kamtschatka, p. 2174 Journal of Statist Soc., vol x p 10, BUCHARAN'S North American Indians. pp. 256-257 HALKETI'S North American Indians, pp. 36, 37, 388, 393. 394; CAILIN'S North Accerran Indians, vol. i. pp. 35-41; BRIGGS on the Absorptial Tribes of India, in Report of Brit. Assoc. for 1850, p. 172. Here, then, we have another specimen of the unfavourable influence which, in the old civilizations, external phenomena exercised over the human mind. For those parts of Asia where the highest refinement was reached, are, from various physical causes, much more unhealthy than the most civilized parts of Europe. 205 This fact alone must have produced a considerable effect on the national character. 200 and the more so, as it was aided by those other circumstances which I have pointed out, all tending in the same direction. To this may be added, that the great plagues by which Europe has at different periods been scourged, have, for the most part, proceeded from the East, which is their natural birthplace, and where they

Transe tens of So. of Rondar vol ii p. 30, Percival's Centon, j. 201. Bechann's Journey through the Moscoc, vol ii pp. 27, 152, 286, 528 vol in pp 23 188, 253 (so, too M Geoffroj Saint Hilaire Anomalies to Unganisation vol. m. p 350, says that when we were quite ignorant of the cause of monstron- births, the phenomenon was ascribed to the Deity, -'de la aussi l'intervention supposée de la divinité,' and for an exact verification of this, compare BURDACH. Truste de Physique e, vol n p 247, with Jurnal or Grag, Soc. vol xvi p 113), Erras's Herory of Madagascar vol 1 pp. 224, 225, PRICHARD'S There it History, vol 1 p 207, vol v. p 492, Journal of Asiate S win vol in p 250 vol iv p 108, Asiate Resear hes, wol. m pp 29 156, vol iv pp 56 58, 74, vol xvi pp 215, 280; NEARDER'S History of the Charte, vol. m p 11" CRAWFURD'S History of the Indian Archaptopo vol 1 р 328, Low's Sarawak, 19, 175, 185, Соок's bonapes, vol 1 р 229, Mariner's Torna Islands, vol 1 рр. 194 350-860, 374, 438, vol й рр. 172, 230, Huc's 194 36 Instary and Tabet, vol 1 pp. 74-77, RICHARDSON'S Travels in the Sahara, vol 1 p 27 McCulloth's Researches, p 105, Journal of Geog Sor vole 1 p. 41, vol iv. p. 250, vol xiv. p. of And in regard to Europe, compare Spence, Origin of the Laws of Europ . p. 322, THERER'S Hest & England, vol. in p. 443, PHILLIES on Stropada, p. 255, Office's Life of Clarks, vol. 1, pp. 255, 266, which may be illustrated by the 'sacred' disease of Cambyses, no doubt epilepsy, see Herodorus lib nii .chap xxxiv. vol n p 65.

Heat, moisture, and consequent rapid decomposition of vegetable matter, are certainly among the causes of this, and to them may perhaps be added the electrical state of the atmosphere in the tropics. Compare Hollands Medical Notes, p. 477; M.William's Medical Expediction to the Niger, pp. 117, 185; Simon's Pathology, p. 268; Folkey's Climate and its Endemy Indunces, p. 158. M. Lepelleder says, rather vaguely (Physiologie Medicale, vol. iv. p. 527), that the temperate zones are, favorables à l'exercice complet et régulier les phénomènes vitaux.

Charlevoux says with great frankness, pestilences are the harvests of the ministers of God. Southern's History of Brazil, vol. ii. p. 254.

are most fatal. Indeed, of those cruel diseases now existing in Europe, scarcely one is indigenous; and the worst of them were imported from tropical countries. In and after the first century of the Christian era. 207

Summing up these facts, it may be stated, that in the civilizations exterior to Europe, all nature conspired to increase the authority of the imaginative faculties, and weaken the authority of the reasoning ones. With the materials now existing, it would be possible to follow this vast law to its remotest consequences, and show how in Europe it is opposed by another law diametrically opposite, and by virtue of which the tendency of natural phenomena is, on the whole, to limit the imagination, and embolden the understanding: thus inspiring Man with confidence in his own resources, and facilitating the increase of his knowledge, by encouraging that bold, inquisitive, and scientific spirit, which is constantly advancing, and on which all future progress must depend

It is not to be supposed that I can trace in detail the way in which, owing to these peculiarities, the civilization of Europe has diverged from all others that preceded it. To do this, would require a learning and a teach of thought to which hardly any single man ought to pretend; since it is one thing to have a perception of a large and general and it is another thing to follow out that truth in a ramifications, and prove it by such evidence as will satisfy ordinary readers. Those, indeed, who are accustomed to speculations of this character, and are able to discern in the history of man something more than a mere relation of events, will at once understand that in these complicated subjects, the wider any generalization is, the greater will be the chance of apparent exceptions; and that when the theory covers a very large space, the ex-

²⁰⁷ For evidence of the extra-European origin of European diseases, some of which, such as the small-pox, have passed from epidemics info endemics, compare Encyclop of the Medical Sciences, 4to. 1847, p. 728, Transactions of Acatic Society, vol. ii. pp. 54, 55, Michaelis on the Laws of Moses, vol. in p. 313, Sprengori, Historic de la Mideine, vol. ii. pp. 33, 195, Wallace's Dissertation on the Numbers of Mankind, pp. 81, 82, Hustrana, Amst. 1723, pp. 182-135; Sandikas on the Small-Pox, Edinb. 1813, pp. 3-4; Wilks's Hist, of the South of

centions may be innumerable, and yet the theory remain The two fundamental propositions perfectly accurate. which I hope to have demonstrated, are, 1st, That there are certain natural phenomena which act on the human mind by exciting the imagination; and 2dly, That those phenomena are much more numerous out of Europe than If these two propositions are admitted, it mevitably follows, that in those countries where the imagination has received the stimulus, some specific effects must have been produced; unless, indeed, the effects have been neutralized by other causes. Whether or not there have been antagonistic causes, is immaterial to the truth of the theory, which is based on the two propositions just stated. In a scientific point of view, therefore, the generalization is complete, and it would perhaps be prudent to leave it as it now stands, rather than attempt to confirm it by further illustrations, since all particular facts are liable to be erroneously stated, and are sure to be contradicted by those who dislike the conclusions they corroborate. But in order to milianze the reader with the principles I have put ward, it does seem advisable that a few instances should The given of their actual working: and I will, theretore, briefly notice the effects they have produced in the three great divisions of Literature, Religion, and Art. etc. each of these departments, I will endeavour to indicate and the leading features have been affected by the Application Nature; and with a view of simplifying the inquiry I will take the two most conspicuous instances on each side, and compare the manifestations of the intellect of Greece with those of the intellect of India, these being the two countries respecting which the materials are most ample, and in which the physical contrasts are most striking.

If, then, we look at the ancient literature of India, even during its best period, we shall find the most remarkable evidence of the uncontrolled ascendency of the imagination. In the first place, we have the striking fact that scarcely any attention has been paid to prosecomposition; all the best writers having devoted themselves to poetry, as being most congenial to the national habits of thought. Their works on grammar, on law, on history,

on medicine, an mathematics, on geography, and on metaphysics, are carly all poems, and are put together according to a regular system of versification. 208 The consequence is, that while prose writing is utterly despised, the art of poetry has been cultivated so assiduously, that the Sanscrit can boast of metres more numerous and more complicated than have ever been possessed by any of the European languages. 200

This peculiarity in the form of Indian literature is accompanied by a corresponding peculiarity in its spirit. For it is no exaggeration to say, that in that literature every thing is calculated to set the reason of man at open defiance. An imagination, luxuriant even to disease,

"2008 No verwandelt das geistige Laben des Hindu sich in wahre Poesie, und das bezeichnende Merkmal seiner ganzen Bildung ist Herrschaft der Einbildungskraft über den Verstand, im geraden Gegensatz mit der Bildung des Europaers Geten allgemeiner Charakter in der Herischaft des Verstandes über die Einbildungskraft besteht Es wird dadurch begreiffich, dass die Literatur der Hindus nur eine poetische ist dass sie überreich an Dichterwerken, aber arm an wissenschaftlichen Schriften sind, dass ihre heiligen Schriften, ihre tiesetze und Sagen poetisch, und grosstentheils in Versen geschriebau sind, ja dass Lehrbücher der Giammatik, der Heilkunde, der Mathematik und Erdbeschreibung in Versen verfasst sind 'Ruous, Religiose Bildung der Mindus, vol n p 626. Thus too we are told respecting one of their most celebrated metaphysical systems, that the best text of the Sanchya is a short treatise in verse. Corresponds on the Phito by of the Henries. in Fransactions of Asiate So iste, vol 1, p 23 And it snother place the same high authority says (Avaire Researches vol. 2. 439), the metrical treatises on law and other sciences are almost satirely composed in this cas, verse' M. Klapioth, in an and will of a Sanscrit history of Cashmere, says, comme presque toutes les compositions himioues, il est cerit en vers.' Journal Asiatique, I. série, vol. vn. p 3, Paris, 1825. See also, in vol. vi. pp. 175, 176, the remarks of M Burnouf 'Les philosophes indiens, comme s'ils ne pouvaient échapper aux influences poétiques de leur climat, traitent les questions de la métaphysique la plus abstraite par similitudes et métaphores.' Compare vol vi. p 4, 'le génie mdien si poétique et si religieux,' and see Cousix Hist de la Philosophie, II. série, vol i.

on Mr Yates says of the Hindus, that no other people have ever presented an equal variety of poetic compositions. The various metres of Greece and Rome have filled Europe with astonishment, but what are these, compared with the extensive range of Sanscrit metres under its three classes of poetical writing? Yates on Sanscrit Mitgration, in Asiatic Researches, vol. xx. p. 159, Calcutta, 1836. See also on the Sanscrit metres, p. 821 and an Essay by Colebrooke, vol. x. pp. 389—474. On the metrical system of the Vedas, see Mr. Wilson's ficte in the Roy Leids Sankia, vol. ii. p. 135.

runs riot on every occasion. This is particularly seen in those productions which are most eminently national, such as the Ramayana, the Mahabharat, and the Puranas in general. But we also find it even in their geographical and chronological systems, which of all others might be supposed least liable to imaginative flights. A few examples of the statements put forward in the most authoritative books, will supply the means of instituting a comparison with the totally opposite condition of the European intellect, and will give the reader some idea of the extent to which credulity can proceed, even among a civilized people. 210

Of all the various ways in which the imagination has distorted truth, there is none that has worked so much haim as an exaggerated respect for past ages. This reverence for antiquity is repugnant to every maxim of reason, and is merely the indulgence of a poetic sentiment in tayour of the remote and unknown. It is, therefore, natural that, in periods when the intellect was comparatively speaking mert, this sentiment should have been far stronger than it now is; and there can be little doubt that it will continue to grow weaker, and that in the same proportion the feeling of progress will gain ground; so that veneration for the past will be succeeded by hope for the future. But formerly the veneration was supreme. and mnumerable traces of it may be found in the literature and popular creed of every country If is this, for instance, which inspired the poets with their notion of a golden age, in which the world was filled with peace, in which evil passions were stilled, and crimes were unknown. It is this, again, which gave to theologians then idea of the primitive virtue and simplicity of man, and of his subsequent fall from that high estate. And it is this same principle which diffused a belief that in the

In Europe, as we shall see in the sixth chapter of this volume, the credulity was at one time extended in any but the age was thing barbarous, and barbarsin is always credulous. On the other hand, the examples gathered from Indian literature, will be taken from the works of a lettered people, written in a language extremely rich, and so highly polished, that some competent judges have declared it equal if not apperfor, to the tireck.

olden times, men were not only more virtuous and happy, but also physically superior in the structure of their bodies; and that by this means they attained to a larger stature, and lived to a greater age, than is possible for us, their feeble and degenerate descendants.

Opinions of this kind, being adopted by the imagination in spite of the understanding, it follows that the strength of such opinions becomes, in any country, one of the standards by which we may estimate the predominance of the imaginative faculties. Applying this test to the literature of India, we shall find a striking confirmation of the conclusions already drawn. The mazvellous feats of antiquity with which the Sanscrit books abound. are so long and so complicated, that it would occupy too much space to give even an outline of them, but there is one class of these singular fictions which is well worth attention, and admits of being briefly stated. I allude to the extraordinary age which man was supposed to have attained in former times. A belief in the longevity of the human race at an early period of the world, was the natural product of those feelings which ascribed to the ancients an universal superiority over the moderns; and this we see exemplified in some of the Christian, and in many of the Hebrew writings. But the statements in these works are tame and insignificant when compared with what is preserved in the literature of India. On this, as on every subject, the imagination of the Hindus distanced all competition. Thus, among an immense number of similar facts, we find it recorded that in ancient times the duration of the life of common men was 80,000 years,211 and that holy men hied to be upwards of 100,000.212 Some died a little sooner, others a little later; but in the most flourishing period of antiquity, if we take

²¹¹ The limit of Hfe was \$0,000 years' Asiatic Rewarches, vol. xvi. p. 456. Calcutta, 1828. This was likewise the estimate of the Tibetan divines, according to whom men formerly parvenaient h Page de 80,000 ans.' Journal Asiatique. I serie, vol. hi p. 199, Paris, 1823.

^{212 &#}x27;Den Hindu macht dieser Widerspruch nicht verlegen, da er seine Heiligen 100,000 Jahre und länger leben lässt.' Rhods, Rehy Bitdung der Hendus, vol 1 p. 175.

all classes together, 100,000 years was the average. 213 Of one king, whose name was Yudhishthir, it is casually mentioned, that he reigned 27,000 years; 214 while another, called Alarka, reigned 66,000. 215 They were cut off in their prime, since there are several instances of the early poets living to be about half-a-million. 216 But the most remarkable case is that of a very shining character in Indian history, who united in his single person the functions of a king and a saint. This emment man lived in a pure and virtuous age, and his days were, indeed, long in the land; since, when he was made king, he was two million years old he then reigned 6,300,000 years, having done which, he resigned his empire, and higered on for 100,000 years more 217

The same boundless reverence for antiquity, made the Hindus refer every thing important to the most distant periods, and they frequently assign a date which is absolutely bewildering. 218 Their great collection of laws called

habitants of the world that 'the duration of human life in this age extended to one mandred thousand common years.'

Willord Civil. Reservher vol v p. 242) says, When the Puranjes speak of the kings of ancient times, they are equally extravagant. According to them, King Yudhishthir religied seven-and-twenty thousand years.

²¹ For sixty the usand and sixty hundred years no other perithful monarch except Alarka reigned over the earth. Press Burana, 2, 466.

And sometimes more. In the Essay on Indian Caronollary in Books of Sir W. Jorgs, vol. 1, p. 625, we hear of a convergation between Value and Vyara.

This passage is also in Audis Researches vol. 11 p. 5.9

therefore entitled Prathuma-Raja, Prathama Bhieshacara, Prathama Jua, and Prathama Tirthancara. At the time of his mauguration as king, his age was 2000,000 years. He reigned 6.300 000 years in departed his empire to his sons and having employed 100,000 years in passing through the several stages of austerity and sanctity, departed from this world on the summit of a mountain named Ashtapada. Annua, Revarches, vol. 1x. p. 305

²¹³ Speculationen über Zahlen sind dem Inder so gelaufig, daise seibst die Sprache einen Ausdruck hat für eine Unität mit 63 Nullen, namheh Assanke, eben weil die Berechnung der Weltperioden diese enormen Grüssen nothwendig machte, denn jene einfachen 12,000 Jahre schienen einem Volke, welches so gerne die hechstmögliche Potenz auf seine Gottheit übertragen mochte, viel zu geringe zu sein. BOREEN, Int. alter Indica. vol. 11. p. 298.

the Institutes of Menu, is certainly less than 3000 years old; but the Indian chronologists, so far from being satisfied with this, ascribe to them an age that the there European mind finds a difficulty even in conceiving. According to the best native authorities, these Institutes were revealed to man about two thousand million years before the present era.²¹⁴

All this is but a part of that love of the remote, that straining after the infinite, and that indifference to the present, which characterizes every branch of the Indian intellect. Not only in literature, but also in religion and in art, this tendency is supreme. To subjugate the understanding, and exalt the imagination, is the universal principle. In the dogmas of their theology, in the character of their gods, and even in the forms of their temples, we see how the sublime and threatening aspects of the external world have filled the mind of the people with those images of the grand and the terrible, which they strive to reproduce in a visible form, and to which they owe the leading peculiarities of their national culture.

Our view of this vast process may be made clearer by comparing it with the opposite condition of Greece. In Greece we see a country altogether the reverse of India. The works of nature, which in India are of startling magnitude, are in Greece far smaller, feebler, and in every way less threatening to man. In the great centre of Asiatic rivilization, the energies of the human race are confined, and as it were intimidated, by the surrounding phenomena. Besides the dangers incidental to tropical climates, there are those noble mountains, which seem to touch the sky, and from whose sides are discharged mighty rivers, which no art can divert from their course, and which no bridge has ever been able to span. There too are impassable forests, whole countries lined with interminable jungle, and beyond them, again, dreary and boundless deserts; all teaching Man his own feebleness, and his inability to cope with natural forces. Without,

²¹⁹ ELPHINSTONE'S Histori of India, p. 136, 'a period exceeding 4,320,000 multiplied by six t.n.c. seventy-one.'

and on either side, there are great seas, ravaged by tempests far more destructive that any knewn in Europe, and of each sudden violence, that it is impossible to guard a substance effects. And as if in those regions every thing combined to cramp the activity of Man, the whole line of coast, from the mouth of the Ganges, to the extreme south of the peninsula, does not contain a single safe and capacious harbour, not one port that affords a refuge, which is perhaps more necessary there than in any other part of the world. 220

But in Greece, the aspects of nature are so entirely different, that the very conditions of existence are changed. Greece, like India, forms a peninsula; but while in the Asiatic country every thing is great and terrible, in the European country every thing is small and feeble. The whole of Greece occupies a space somewhat less than the kingdom of Portugal, 221 that is, about a fortieth part of what is now called Hindostan. 222 Situated in the most accessible part of a narrow sea, it had easy contact on the east with Asia Minor, on the west with Italy, on the south with Egypt Dangers of all kinds were far less numerous than in the tropical civilizations. The climate was more healthy, 223 earthquakes were less frequent;

²²⁰ Symes (Embassa to Ara, vol. in. p. 278) says 'From the mouth of the Ganges to Cape Comorin, the whole range of our continental territory, there is not a single harbour capable of affording shelter to a vessel of 500 tons burden.' Indeed, according to Percivally there is, with the exception of Bombay, no harbour, either on the Coromandel or Malabar coasts, in which ships can moor in safety at all seasons of the year.' Percival's Account of Ceylon, pp. 2, 15, 66.

^{21 &#}x27;Altogether its area is somewhat less than that of Portugal.' (BOIL'S History of Greece, vol. 1 p 302, and the same remark in THIRLWALL'S History of Greece, vol. 1 p 2, and in HEEREN'S Amcient Greece, 184), p 16. M. Heeren says, 'But even if we add all the islands, its square contents are a third less than those of Portugal.'

^{2.2} The area of Hindostan being, according to Mr M Culloch (Group, Inct. 1849, vol. 1, p. 993), between 1,200,000 and 1,300,000 square intes.

²²³ In the best days of Greece, those alarming epidemics by which the country was subsequently ravaged, were comparatively little known, see Therewall's History of Greece, vol. in. p. 134, vol. viti. p. 471. This may be owing to large cosmical causes, or to the simple fact, that the different forms of pestilence had not yet been imported from the East by actual contact. On the vague accounts we possess

hurricanes were less disastrous; wild-beasts and noxious annuals less abstract. In regard to the other of the features, the same law prevails. The highest me in Greece are less than one-third of the Himalay nowhere do they reach the limit of perpetual same to rivers, not only is there nothing approaching imposing volumes which are poured down from the imposing volumes which are poured down from the innerther in Northern nor in Southern Greece do we find any thing beyond a few streams, which are easily forded, and which, indeed, in the summer season, are frequently dried up 225

These striking differences in the material phenomena of the two countries give rise to corresponding differences in their mental associations. For as all ideas must arise partly from what are called spontaneous operations in the mind, and partly from what is suggested to the mind by the external world, it was natural that so great arrelteration in one of the causes should produce an alteration in the effects. The tendency of the surrounding phenomena was, in India, to inspire fear, in Greece, to give confidence In India, Man was intimidated; in Greece he was encouraged. In India, obstacles of every sort were so numerous, so alarming, and apparently so inexplicable, that the difficulties of life could only be solved by constantly appealing to the direct agency of supernatural causes. Those causes being beyond the province of the understanding, the resources of the imagination were incessantly occupied in studying them; the imagination itself was overworked, its activity became dangerous, it encroached on the under-

of the earlier plagues, see CLOT-Ber de la Peste, Paris, 1840, pp. 21 46, 184. The relation even of Thucydides is more satisfactory to scholars than to pathologists

²²⁴ Mount Guiona, the highest point in Greece, and near its northern boundary, is 8,239 feet high ... No mountain in Greece reaches the limit of perpetual snow' McCulloch's Geog. Incl. 1848, vol. i. p 924 Compare the table of mountains in Baker's Memoir on North Greece, in Journal of Geographical Society, vol. vii. p. 94, with Bakewell's Geology, pp 621, 622.

vol. i. p 924. 'Most of the river's of Greece are torrents in early spring, and dry before the end of the summer.' GROTE'S History of Greece, vol. 11. p. 286.

c, opposite circumstances were sittled by opposite in Greece Nature was less dangerous, less inid less, mysterious than in India. In Greece, the human mind was less appalled, and less sus inatural causes began to be studied; physical science are became possible; and Man, gradually waking to a sense of his own power, sought to investigate events with a boldness not to be expected in those other countries, where the pressure of Nature troubled his independence, and suggested ideas with which knowledge is incompatible.

The effect of these habits of thought on the maternal religion, must be very obvious to whoever has compared the popular creed of India with that of Greece. The mythology of India, like that of every tropical country, is based upon terror, and upon terror, too, of the most extravagant kind. Evidence of the universality of this feeling abounds in the sacred books of the Hindus, in their traditions, and even in the very form and appearance of their gods. And so deeply is all this impressed on the mind, that the most popular deities are invariably those with whom images of fear are most intimately associated. Thus, for example, the worship of Siva is more general than any other; and as to its antiquity, there is reason believe that it was borrowed by the Brahmins from the original Indians. 226 At all events, it is very ancient, and

²⁷ See Stevenson on The Anti-Brahmanical Religion of the Hindus, in Journal of Asiatic Society, vol. vili. pp. 331, 352, 285, 338. Mr. Wilson (Journal, vol. iii. p. 204) says. 'The prevailing form of the Hindu religion in the south of the peninsula was, at the commencement of the Christian era, and some time before it most probably, that of Siva.' See also vol. v. p. 85, where it is stated that Siva 'is the only Hindu god to whom honour is done at Ellora.' Compare Transact of Soc. of Bombay, vol. iti. p. 521, Henen's Asiatic Nations, 1845, vol. ii. pp. 63, 66. On the philosophical relation between the followers of Siva and those of Vishnu, see Ritten's Hist. of Ancient Philosophy, vol. iv. pp. 334, 335; and the noticeable fact (Buchanan's Mysore, vol. ii. p. 410), that even the Naimar caste, whose 'propes delty' is Vishnu, 'wear on their foreheads the mark of Siva.' As to the worship of Siva in the time of character the Great, see Thirdwall's History of Greece, vol. vii. p. 36; and for further evidence in the entirety. But I all Indees. 11. 1. pp. 29, 147, 206, and Transactof Asiatic Society, vol. ii. pp. 50, 294.

very popular and Sive himself forms, with Brahma and Vishnu, the Alebrated Hindu Triad. We need not, therefore, be surprised that with this god are connected to terror, such as nothing but a trapical imagination and conceive. Sive is represented to the Indian a hideous being encircled by a girdle of snakes human skull in his hand, and wearing a necklade composed of human bones. He has three eyes; the ferocity of his temper is marked by his being clothed in a tiger's skin; he is represented as wandering about like a madman. and over his left shoulder the deadly cobra di capella rears its head. This monstrous creation of an awe-struck fancy has a wife, Doorga, called sometimes Kali, and sometimes by other names.227 She has a body of dark blue; while the palms of her hands are red, to indicate her insatiate appetite for blood. She has four arms, with one of which she carries the skull of a giant; her tongue protrudes, and hangs lollingly from her mouth; round her waist are the hands of her victims; and her neck is adorned with human heads strung together in a ghastly row. 228

If we now turn to Greece, we find, even in the infancy of its religion, not the faintest trace of any thing approaching to this. For in Greece, the causes of fear being less abundant, the expression of terror was less common. The Greeks, therefore, were by no means disposed to incorporate into their religion those feelings of dread natural to the Hindus. The tendency of Asiatic civilization was to widen the distance between men and their deities; the tendency of Greek civilization was to diminish it. Thus it is, that in Hindostan all the gods had something monstrous about them; as Vishnu with four hands, Brahma

²²⁷ So it is generally stated by the Hindu theologians; but, according to Rammohun Boy, Siva had two wives. See Rammohun Boy on the Veds, p. 90.

²² On these attributes and representations of Siva and Doorga, see RHODE, Religiõise Bildung der Hindua, vol ii. p. 241; Colleman's Mythology of the Hindus, pp. 63, 92, Boillen, Das alte Indien, vol, i. p. 207; Ward's Religion of the Hindoos, vol. i. pp. xxxvii. 27, 145; Transac. of Society of Bombdy, and i. pp. 215, 221. Compare the curious account of the Hindus and posed to represent Malades, in Journal Asiatique, Tracine, vol. 172, 334, Paris, 1822.

with five heads, and the like 255 limb the toda of Greece were always represented it form the pull human 250 In that country no artist wind have gained attention, if he had recimed to port them in any other shape. He make them stronger than men, he might make them make them stronger than men, he might make them make beautiful; but still they must be men. The analogy between God and Man, which excited the religious feelings of the Greeks, would have been fatal to those of the Hindus.

This difference between the artistic expressions of the two religions, was accompanied by an exactly similar difference between their theological traditions. In the field in books, the imagination is exhausted in relating the feats of the gods; and the more obviously impossible any achievement is, the greater the pleasure with which it was ascribed to them. But the Greek gods had not only human forms, but also human attributes, human pursuits, and human tastes.²⁵¹ The men of Asia, to whom every object

329 WARD on the Religion of the Hindoos, vol. i. p. 35; Transac. of Society of Bounday, vol. i. p. 223. Compare the gloss in the Dabistan, vol. ii. p. 202.

The Greek gods were formed like men, with greatly increased powers and faculties, and acted as men would do if so circumstanced, but with a signity and energy suited to their measure inproach to perfection. The Hindu gods, on the other hand, therefore endued with human passions, have always something monatorial their appearance; and wild and capricious in their conduct. They are of various colours, red, yellow, and blue, some have twelve heads, and most have four hands. They are often enraged without a cause, and reconciled without a motive. Eleminstone's History of India, pp. 96, 97. See also Erskies on the Temple of Elephania, in Transac. of Soc. of Bombay, vol. i. p. 246; and the Dabistan, vol. i. p. exi.

231 'In the material polythersm of other leading ancient nations, the Egyptians, for example, the incarnation of the Deity was chiefly, or exclusively, confined to animals, monsters, or other fanciful emblems. . . . In Greece, on the other hand, it was an almost necessary result of the spirit and grace with which the detties were embodied in human forms, that they should also be burdened with human interests and passions. Heaven, like earth, had its courts and palaces, its trades and professions, its marriages, intrigues divorces.' Munn's History of the Literature of Ancient Greece, vol. in pp. 471, 472. So, too, Tennemann (Geschichte der Philosophie, vol. iii. p. 419): Tiess Gütter haben Menschemsetalt. . . . Haben die Götter aber night und menschliche Gestalt, eigern auch einen menschliche Kopper, "vollsind sie als Menschen eich denselben Unvollkommenheiten, Kraukheiten und dem Tode unterwörfen, dieses atreiet mit

of nature was a second of awe, acquired such habite of reverence. The paper dared to assimilate their own actions with the actions of their deities. The men of Europe, encouraged by the safety and inertials of the material worth; de not fear to strike a parallel, from the they would have shrunk had they lived amid the distrers of a tropical country. It is thus, that the Greek divinities are so different from those of the Hindus, that in comparing them we seem to pass from one creation into another. The Greeks generalized their observations upon the human mind, and then applied them to the gods.232 The coldness of women was figured in Diana; their beauty and sensuality in Venus, their pride in Juno; their accomplishments in Minerva To the ordinary avocations of the gods, the same principle was applied. Neptune was a sailor; Vulcan was a smith; Apollo was sometimes a fiddler, sometimes a poet, sometimes a keeper of oxen. As to Cupid, he was a wanton boy, who played with his bow and arrows; Jupiter was an amorous and good-natured king; while Mercury was indifferently represented either as a trustworthy messenger, or else as a common and notorious thief.

Precisely the same tendency to approximate human forces towards superhuman ones, is displayed in another peculiarity of the Greek religion. I mean, that in Greece we for the first time meet with hero-worship, that is, the defication of mortals. According to the principles already laid down, this could not be expected in a tropical civilization, where the Aspects of Nature filled Man with a constant sense of his own incapacity. It is, therefore, natural that it should form no part of the ancient Indian

dem Begriffe' i. e. of Epicurus. Compare Georg's History of Greece, vol. i. p. 596: 'The mythical age was peopled with a mingled aggregate of gods, heroes, and men, so confounded together that it was often impossible to distinguish to which class any individual name belonged.' See also the complaint of Kanophanes, in MULDER'S Hist. of Lit. of Greece, London, 1856, p. 25%.

²⁹¹ The same remark applies to beauty of form, which they first aimed at in the statues of index, and then brought to be upon the statues of the gods. This is we put in Mr. Grote's impaint work, History of Greece, vol. iv. pp. 133, 134, edit. 1847.

religion; 233 neither was it known to the levotians, 234 nor to the Persians; 236 nor, to far-as I am wate, to the Arabians. 286 But in Greece, Man, heing less humbled, and, as it were less eclipsed, by the external world, thought of his own powers, and human nature did not fall inter that discredit in which it elsewhere, sank. The consequence was, that the deification of mortals was a recognized part of the national religion at a very early period in the history of Greece;237 and this has been found so natural to Europeans, that the same custom was afterwards renewed with eminent success by the Romish Church. Other circumstances, of a very different character, are gradually eradicating this form of idolatry; but its existence is worth observing, as one of the innumerable illustrations of the way in which European civilization has diverged from all those that preceded it.238

That the worship of deified heroes is no part of that system."

Consumption: on the Vedas, in Anatic Researches, vol. viii. p. 495.

The Cackay's Religious Development, vol. ii. p. 53, Loud. 1856. Compa. Wilkinson's Ancient Egyptians, vol. iv pp. 148, 318. and Martin. Histoire de l'École d'Alexandrie, vol. i p. 2, the 'culte des mands hommes,' which afterwards arose ip Alexandria (MATTES, vol. i. p. 54), must have been owing to Greek influence.

There are no indications of it in the Zendavesta, and Herodotus says, that the Persians were unlike the Greeks, in so far as they disbelieved in a god having a human form; book i, chap, oxast, vol. i. p. 308 obx ashpuropoia; supplies too; fact, xutting of the vol. i. p. 308 obx ashpuropoia; supplies too; fact, xutting of the vol. i.e.

236 I am not acquainted with any evidence connecting this worship with the old Arabian religion; and it was certainly most alien to the spirit of Mohammedanism.

working the Literature of tireeve, vol. i. pp. 28, 500, vol. ii. p. 402. very good remarks on a subject handled unsatisfactorily by Coleridge; Literary Remains, vol. i. p. 185. Thirlwall (History of Greece, vol. i. p. 207) admits that 'the views and feelings out of which it (the worship of heroes) arose, seem to be clearly discernfule in the Homeric poems? Compare Cudworks's Intellectual System, vol. ii. pp. 226, 372. In the Cratylus, chap. xxxiii., Socrates is represented as asking, the works of the proof of the proof. Planting Opera, vol. iv. p. 227, edit Bekker, Lond. 1826. And in the next century, Alexander obtained for his friend Hephæstion the right of being 'workshipped as a hero.' Grotz's History of Greece, vol. xii. p. 339.

p. 339.

256 The adoration of the dead, and particularly the adoration of martyrs, was one great point of opposition between the orthodox church and the Manicheane (Brausonan, Hestoire Critique de Manichea vol. i. p. 316, vol. ii. pp. 651, 669 [and it is easy to understand how abhoroms such a practice that have been to the Persian

heretics.

It is thus, the Greece every thing tended to exalt the dignity of an an inle in India every thing tended to depress it. 232 To sum up the whole, it may be said that the Greeks had more respect for human powers the Hindus for superhuman. The first dealt more with the known and available; the other with the unknown and mysterious And by a parity of reasoning, the imagination, which the Hindus, being oppressed by the pomp and majesty of nature, never sought to control, lost its supremacy in the little peninsula of ancient Greece. In Greece, for the first time in the history of the world, the imagination was, in some degree, tempered and confined by the understanding. Not that its strength was impaired, or its vitality diminished. It was broken-in and tamed: its exuberance was checked, its follies were chastised. But that its energy remained, we have ample proof in those productions of the Greek mind which have survived to our own time. The gain. therefore, was complete; since the inquiring and sceptical faculties of the human understanding were cultivated. without destroying the reverential and poetic instincts of the imagination. Whether or not the balance was accurately adjusted, is another question; but it is certain that the adjustment was more nearly arrived at in Greece than in any previous civilization.241 There can, I think, be

²³⁶ M. Cousin, in his eloquent and ingenious work (Histoire de la Philosophie, II série, vol. 1, pp. 183, 187), has some judicious observations on what he calls 'l'époque de l'infini' of the East, contrasted with that 'du fini,' which began in Europe. But as to the physical causes of this, he only admits the grandour of nature, overlocking those natural elements of mystery and of danger by which religious sentiments were constantly excited

²⁴⁰ A learned orientalist says, that no people have made such efforts as the Hindus 'to solve, exhaust, comprehend, what is insolvable, inexhaustible, incomprehensible. TROYER'S Preliminary Discourse on the Dabitan. vol. 1 p. eviii

This is noticed by Tennemann, who, however, has not attempted to ascertain the cause. 'Die Einbildungskraft des Grischen war schöpferisch, sie schuf in seinem Innera neue Ideenwelten; sher, er wurde doch nie verleitet, die idealische Welt mit der wirklichen su verwechseln, weil sie immer mit einem richtigen Varstande und gesunder Beurtheilungskraft verbunden war' Geschichte der Philosophie, vol. 1. p. 8; and vol. vi. p. 490, he says, 'Bei albert diesen Mangeln und Fehlern sind doch die Griechen die sinsigs detion der alten Welt, welche Sinn für Wilsenschaft hatte, und die diesem Bahufe forschte Sie haben doch die Bahu gebrochen, und den Weg zur

little doubt that, notwithstanding and the parely was last to the imaginative faculties, and the purely reasoning ones did not receive, and never hard received, sufficient attention. Still, this does not attend this deficiency was somewhat remedied, and in which there was a deliberate and systematic attempt to test all opinious by their consonance with human reason, and thus vindicate the right of Man to judge for himself on matters which are of supreme and incalculable importance.

I have selected India and Greece as the two terms of the preceding comparison, because our information respecting those countries is most extensive, and has been most carefully arranged. But every thing we know of the other tropical civilizations confirms the views I have advocated respecting the effects produced by the Aspects of Nature. In Central America extensive excavations have been made; and what has been brought to light proves that the national religion was, like that of India, a system of complete and unmitigated terror.242 Neither there nor in Mexico, nor in Peru, nor in Egypt, did the people desire to represent their deities in human forms, or ascribe to them human attributes. Even their temples are huge buildings, often constructed with great skill, but showing an evident wish to impress the mind with fear, and offering a striking contrast to the lighter and smaller structures

Wissenschaft geehnet.' To the same effect, Sprengel. Histoire de la Médecine, vol. i. p. 215. And on this difference between the Eastern and the European mind, see Matter, Histoire du Gaosticiame, vol. i. pp. 18, 233, 234. So, 400, Kant (Loyik, in Kant's Werk, vol. i. p. 3561; 'Unter allen Völkern haben also die Griechen erst angefangen abhilosophiren. Denn sie haben zuerst versucht, nicht an dem Latifaden der Bilder die Vernunfterkenutnisse zu cultiviren, sondern dabstracio; statt dass die anderen Völker sich die Begriffe immer nur durch Bilder in concreto verständlich zu machen suchten.'

Thus, of one of the dols at Copan, 'The intention of the sculptor seems to have been to excite terror.' Stremens's Central America, vol. 1. p. 152, at p. 159, 'The form of sculpture most generally used was a path's head.' At Maspan (vol. iii. p. 134), 'reprisentations of the highest or animals with hideous features and expressions, in producing which the skill of the artist seems is have been expressions, in producing which the skill of the artist seems in have been expressed.'

which the fireship land for religious purposes. Thus, even in the architecture do we see the same principle at work, the dangers of the tropical civil the being more suggestive of the infinite, while the safet the European civilization was more suggestive of there are. To follow out the consequences of this great antiquentan, it would be necessary to indicate how the infinite, the imaginative, the synthetic, and the deductive, are all connected; and are opposed, on the other hand, by the finite, the sceptical, the analytic, and the inductive. A complete illustration of this and carry me beyond the plan of this Introduction, and hid perhaps exceed the resources of nowle and I must now leave to the candour reader 🙅 at I am conscious is but an imperfect street, but what may, nevertheless, suggest to him materisks for future thought, and, if I might indulge the hope, may open to historians a new field, by reminding them that every where the hand of Nature is upon us, and that the history of the human mind can only be understood by connecting with it the history and the aspects of the material universe.

NOTE 36 to p. 56.

As these views have a social and economical importance quite independent of their physiological value, I will endeavour, in this note, to fortify them still further, by showing that the connexion between carbonized food and the respiratory functions may be the state of the still further than the s

The gland most universal among the different classes of animals is the liver; and its principal business is to relieve the system of its superfluous carbon, which it accomplishes by secreting Mile, a highly carbonized fluid. Now, the connexion between this process

[&]quot;The most constant gland in the animal kingdom in the liver." Grant's Comp. Anat. p. 576. See also Beclard, Anat. Grait, 13, and Burdach, Traité de Physiol. vol. ix. p. 580. Burdach, says, 12, and surface dans presque tout le tègne animal, and the latest resultings have subjected the radiments of a liver-even in the Enforce and Bottleris. Burdachers's Annal Kingdom, 1832, p. 163, and was an and Bottleris. Burdachers's Annal Kingdom, 1832, p. 163, and was warden for the surface and the latest resulting was a Until the analysis made by Demarcay in 1837, hardly surfaing was

and the respiratory functions is highly the to For if we take a general wiew of animal life, we shall find the respiratory and lungs are negligible to support the state of th git a considerable liver;" and thus too in fishes, which have in the ordinary some of the word, the size of the liver is continue.d On the other hand, insects have a very large and the disystem of air tubes; but their liver is minute, and its functions are habitually sluggish." If, instead of comparing the different classes of animals, we compare the different stages through which the same animal passes, we shall find further confirmation of this wide and striking principle. For the law holds good even before birth; since in the unborn infant the lungs have scarcely say activity, but there is an immense liver, which is full of energy and pours out bile in profusion. And so invariable is this relation, that in man, the liver is the first organ which is formed it is preponderant during the whole period of feetal life; but it rapidly diminishes when, after birth, the lungs come into play, and a new scheme of compensation is established in the system.

known of the composition of bile; but this accomplished on thined that its essential constituent is choleste of sods, and that the choleic acid contains nearly sixty-three per cent of carbon. Compars Thomson's Animal Chemistry, pp. 59, 60, 412, 602, with Sixton's Chemestry, vol 11. pp. 21.

"The size of the liver and the quantity of the bile are not proportionate to the quantity of the food and frequency of eating, but inversely toghe size and perfection of the lungs. . . . The liver is proportionately larger in reptiles, which have lungs with large cells incapable of rapidly decarbonizing the blood. Good's Study of Medicine. 1829, vol. i. p. 33, 38 et Curier. Regne Anamat, vol. ii. p. 2, on 'la petitesse des vaisséaux pulmonaires of reptiles.

d Carus's Commander of the Anatomy, vol. ii. p. 230; Grant's Comp. Anat. pp. 335, 596, Run a diver Anatomy, vol. ii. p. 230; Grant's Comp. Anat. pp. 385, 596, Run a supposed by M Gadde that the 'vaisseaux biliaires' of some insects were not 'sécréteurs;' but this opinion appears to be erroneous. See Latreille. in Cuvier. Regne Anamat. vol. ivi. pn. 277, 298. tionate to the quantity of the food and frequency of eating, but inversely

be erroneous See Latreille, in Cuvier, Regne Animal, vol. it. pp. 297, 298 La prédominance du foie avant la naissance' is noticed by Bichat (Angionic Generale, vol. ii. p. 272), and by many other physiologists, but Dr. Elliotson appears to have been one of the first to understand a fact, the explanation of which we might vainly seek for in the earlier writers. The hypothesis, that one great use of the liver was, like that of the lungs, to remove carbon from the system, with this difference, that the siteration of the capacity of the air caused a reception of caloric into the blood, in the case of the lungs, while the hepatic excretion take place without introduction of caloric, was, I recollect, a great favourities with me when a student. . . The Heidelberg professors have adduced many arguments to the same effect. In the feetus, for whose temperate the mother's heat must be sufficient, the lungs perform no function the liver is of great size, and hile is secreted abundantly, so that the meconium accumulates considerably during the latter months of pregnancy.' Elliotson's Human Physiology, 1840, p. 102. In LEPELLETIER's Physiologic Medicate, vol. 1 p. 466, vol. ii. pp. 14, 546, 550, all this is saily confused.

E 'The liver is the first-formed organ in the embryo. It is developed from the alimentary canal, and at about the third week fills the wi abdomen, and is one-half the weight of the entire embryo. . . At blish it is of very large size, and occupies the whole upper part of the ide-domen . . The liver diminishes problem to probably from obliteration of the umbilical vein. Amounts Hames Anatomy. 1981,

These facts, interesting to the philosophic physiclogram, great moment in records to the doctrines advocated in this Inasmuch as the light and lungs are companied by in the his their organization, it is highly probable that they are also d satory in the functions they perform; and that what is by one, will have to be accomplished by the other. The fore, fulfilling the duty, as chemistry teaches us, of decent system by secreting a carbonized fluid, we should expect absence of any further evidence, that the lungs would be likewise decarbonizing, in other words, we should expect that you many cause, we are surcharged with carbon, our lungs cause, we are surcharged with carbon, our lungs remedying the evil. This brings us, by another road, in the conclusion that highly carbonized food has a tendency to tax the lungs, so that the connexion between a carbonized diet and the respiratory functions, instead of being, as some assert, a crude hypothesis, is an eminently scientific theory, and is corroborated not only by chemistry, but by the general scheme of the animal kingdom, and even by the observation of embryological phenomena. The views of Liebig, and of his followers, are indeed supported by so many analogies, and harmonize so well with other parts of our knowledge, that nothing but a perserse hatred of generalization, or an incapacity for dealing with large speculative truths, can explain the hostility directed against conclusions which have been gradually forcing themselves upon us since Lavoisier, seventy years ago, attempted to explain the respiratory functions by subjecting them to the laws of chemical combination

In this, and previous notes (see in particular notes 30, 31, 35), 1 have considered the connexion between food, respiration, and animal heat, at a length which will appear tedious to readers uninterested in physiological pursuits, but the investigation has become necessary, on account of the difficulties raised by experimenters, who, not having studied the subject comprehensively , object to certain parts of it. To mention what, from the ability and reputation deathe author, is a conspicuous instance of this, Sir Benjamin Brokle has regently published a volume (Physiological Researches, 1851) containing some ingeniously contrived experiments on dogs and labbits, to prove that heat is generated rather by the nervous system than by the respiratory organs. Without following this emment surgeon into all his details. I may be permitted to observe, 1st, That as a mere matter of history, no great physiological truth has ever yet been discovered, nor has any great physiological fallacy been destroyed, by such limited experiments on a single class of animals; and this is partly because in physiology a crucial instance is impracticable, owing to the fact that waldeal with resisting and living bodies, and partly because every experiment produces an abnormal condition, and thus lets in fresh causes, the operation of which is incalculable, unless, as often happens in the inorganic world, we can control the whole phenomenon 2nd. That the other department of the organic world, namely, the

p. 638. Compare Burdach's Physiotogic, vol. iv p. 447, where it is said of the liver in childhood; 'Cet organe croit avec lenteur, surfact comparativement any poumons; le rapport de ceux-ci au foie étant à peuprès de 1:3 avant la respiration, il était de 1:1., après l'établissement de cette dernière fonction.' Les also p. 91, and vol. ili, p. 483; and on the perdominance of the liver fortal life, see the remarks of Berrés (GROFFROY SATES HUMARES, And les de l'Organization, vol. il. p. 11), whose generalization is perhaps saittle premature.

particle, kingdom, has, so far as we are smace, no nervous system, but a criticless possessed best land we manifest know that the heat fill duct of experient and expecting the different sorts of food, and evidence at twelfers respecting the different sorts of food, and the different quantities of food, used in hot countries and in cold on the particle of the contract of the contr

CHAPTER III.

EXAMINATION OF THE METHOD EMPLOYED BY METAPHY-

THE evidence that I have collected, seems to establish two leading facts, which, unless they can be impugned, are the necessary basis of universal history. fact is, that in the civilizations out of Europe, the powers of nature have been far greater than in those in Europe. The second fact is, that those powers have worked immense mischief; and that while one division of them has caused an unequal distribution of wealth, another division of them has caused an unequal distribution of thought. by concentrating attention upon subjects which inflame the imagination. So far as the experience of the past can guide us, we may say, that in all the extra European civilizations, these obstacles were insuperable, certainly no nation has ever yet overcome them. But Europe. being constructed upon a smaller plan than the other quarters of the world - being also in a colder region. having a less exuberant soil, a less imposing aspect, and displaying in all her physical phenomena much greater feebleness - it was easier for Man to discard the superstitions which Nature suggested to his imagination; and it was also easier for him to effect, not, indeed, a just division of wealth, but something nearer to it, than was practicable in the older countries.

Hence it is that, looking at the history of the world as a whole, the tendency has been, in Europe, to subor-

dinate nature to man: ont of Europe, to subordinate man to nature. To this there are, in barbarous countries, sevexceptions; but in civilized countries the rule has Edition and non-European civilization, is the basis of the philosophy of history, since it suggests the important consideration, that if we would understand, for instance, the history of India, we must make the external world our first study, because it has influenced man more than man has influenced it. If, on the other hand, we would understand the history of a country like France or England, we must make man our principal study, because, nature being comparatively weak, every step in the great progress has increased the dominion of the human mind over the agencies of the external world. Heyen in those countries where the power of man has ched the highest point, the pressure of nature is still immense; but it diminishes in each succeeding generation, because our increasing knowledge enables us not so much to control nature, as to foretell her movements, and thus obviate many of the evils she would otherwise occasion. How successful our efforts have been, is evident from the fact, that the average duration of life constantly becomes longer, and the number of inevitable dangers fewer; and what makes this the more remarkable is, that the curiosity of men is keener, and their contact with each other closer, than in any former period; so that while apparent hazards are multiplied, we find from experience that real hazards are, on the whole, diminished.1

If, therefore, we take the largest possible view of the history of Europe, and contine ourselves entirely to the primary cause of its superiority over other parts of the

¹ This diminution of casualties is undoubtedly one cause, though a slight one, of the increased duration of life; but the most active cause is a general improvement in the physical condition of man; see Sir B. Beodik's Lectures on Pathology and Surgery, p. 212; and for proof that civilized men are stronger than uncivilized ones, see QUETRLET sur l'Homme, vol ii. pp. 67, 272; Lawrence's Lectures on Man, pp. 275, 276; Ellis's Polynesian Researches, vol. 1. 34. 35; WHATBLY'S Lectures on Political Economy, 8vo. 1834, p. 59; Joseph of the Statistical Society, vol. x, 22. pp. 33. 33; Burat, Traité de Statistique, p. 107; Hawrins's Medical Statistics, p. 232.

world, we must resolve it into the encroachment of the mind of man upon the organic and interpantic forces of nature. To this all other causes are subordinate? For we have seen that wherever the powers of nature reached a certain height, the national civilization was irregularly developed, and the advance of the civilization stopped. The first essential was, to limit the interference of these physical phenomena; and that was most likely to be accomplished where the phenomena were feeblest and least imposing. This was the case with Europe; it is accordingly in Europe alone, that man has really succeeded in taming the energies of nature, bending them to his own will, turning them aside from their ordinary course, and compelling them to minister to his happiness, and subserve the general purposes of human life.

All around us are the traces of this glorious and successful struggle. Indeed, it seems as if in Europe there was nothing man feared to attempt. The invasions of the sea repelled, and whole provinces, as in the case of Holland, resued from its grasp; mountains cut through, and turned into level roads; soils of the most obstinate sterility becoming exuberant, from the mere advance of chemical knowledge; while, in regard to electric phenomena, we see the subtlest, the most rapid, and the most mysterious of all forces, made the medium of thought, and obeying even the most capricious behests of the human mind.

In other instances, where the products of the external world have been refractory, man has succeeded in destroying what he could hardly hope to subjugate. The

The general social consequences of this I shall hereafter consider; but the mere economical consequences are well expressed by Mr. Mill: 'Of the features which characterize this progressive economical movement of civilized nations, that which first exertes attention, through its intimate connexion with the phenomena of Production, is the perpetual, and, so far as human foresight can extend, the unlimited growth of men's power over nature. Our knowledge of the properties and laws of physical objects shows no sign of approaching its ultimate boundaries; it is advancing more rapidly, and in a greater number of directions at once, than in any previous age or generation, and affording such frequent glimpses of unexplored fields beyond, as to justify the belief that our acquaintance with nature is still almost in its infancy.' Main's Principles of the Economy, vol. ii. pp. 246—7.

most cruel diseases with at the plague, properly so called, and the leprost of sais Marie. Ages, have entirely disappeared from the civilized parts of kurone, and it is scarcely possible that they should ever again be seen there. Wild beasts and birds of prey have been extirpated, and are no longer allowed to infest the haunts of civilized men. Those frightful famines, by which Europe used to be ravaged several times in every century, have ceased; and so successfully have we grappied with them, that there is not the slightest fear of their over returning with any thing like their former severity. Indeed, our resources are now so great, that we could, at worst, only suffer from a slight and temporary scarcity; since, in the present state of knowledge, the evil would be met at the outset by remedies which chemical science could easily suggest.

It is hardly necessary to notice how, in numerous other instances, the progress of European civilization has been marked by the diminished influence of the external world: I mean, of course, those peculiarities of the external world which have an existence independent of the wishes of man, and were not created by him. The most advanced nations do, in their present state, owe comparatively little to those orgininal features of nature which,

What this horrible disease once was, may be estimated from the fact, "gu'au treixième siècle on comptait en France seulement, deux mille léproseries, et que l'Europe entière renfermait environ dix-neuf mille établissement semblables." Sprenori, Histoire de la Medeciae, vol. ii. p. 374. As to the mortality caused by the plague, see Chor-Bar de la Peste, Paris, 1840, pp. 62. 63, 185, 202.

For a curious list of famines, see an essay by Mr. Farr, in Journal of the Statistical Society, vol. 1x, pp. 159-163. He says, that in the eleventh, twelfth, and thirteenth conturies, the cayerage was, in England, one famine every fourteen years.

In the opinion of one of the highest living authorities, famine is, even in the present state of chemistry, 'next to impossible.' Herroret's Discourse on Natural Philosophy, p. 65. Cuvier (Recueil des Eloges, vol. 1 p. 10) says that we have succeeded 'à rendre touts famine impossible' See also Godwin on Population, p. 500; and file a purely economical argument to prove the impossibility of famine see Matal's Principles of Political Economy, vol. is p. 25°; and compare a note in Ricarde's Works. p. 191. The Irish famine they seem as exception: but it could have been easily baffied except top the poverty of the people, which frustrated our Morts to reduce it is a dearth.

in every civilization out of Europe intercised unlimited power. Thus, in Asia and elsewhere, the course of trade, the extent of commerce, and many minist circumstances, were determined by the existence of rivers by the facility with which they could be navigated, and by the number and goodness of the adjoining harbours. But in Europe, the determining cause is, not so much these physical peculturities, as the skill and energy of man. Formerly the richest countries were those in which nature was most bountiful; now the richest countries are those in which man is most active. For in our age of the world, if nature is parsimonious, we know how to compensate her deficiencies. If a river is difficult to navigate, or a country difficult to traverse, our engineers can correct the error, and remedy the evil. If we have no rivers, we make canals; if we have no natural harbours, we make artificial ones. And so marked is this tendency to impair the authority of natural phenomena, that it is seen even in the distribution of the people, since, in the most civilized parts of Europe, the population of the towns is everywhere outstripping that of the country; and it is evident that the more men congregate in great cities, the more they become accustomed to draw their materials of from the business of human life, and the less attention they will pay to those peculiarities of nature, which are the fertile source of superstition, and by which, in every lization out of Europe, the progress of man was arrested.

From these facts it may be fairly inferred, that the advance of European civilization is characterized by a diminishing influence of physical laws, and an increasing influence of mental laws. The complete proof of this generalization can be collected only from history; and therefore I must reserve a large share of the evidence on which it is founded, for the future volumes of this work. But that the proposition is fundamentally true, must be admitted by whoever, in addition to the arguments just adduced, will concede two premisses, neither of which seem suspectible of much dispute. The first premissis, that we are in possession of no evidence that the premission of nature have ever been permanently increased; and that

we have no reasonable expect that any such increase can take place. The discreption is, that we have abundant evidence that the resources of the human mind have become more powerful, more numerous, and more able to grapple with the difficulties of the external world; because every fresh accession to our knowledge supplies fresh means with which we can either control the operations of nature, or, failing in that, can foresee the consequences, and thus avoid what it is impossible to prevent; in both instances, diminishing the pressure exercised on us by external agents.

If these premisses are admitted, we are led to a conclusion which is of great value for the purpose of this Introduction. For, if the measure of civilization is the triumph of the mind over external agents, it becomes clear. that of the two classes of laws which regulate the progress of mankind, the mental class is more important than the physical. This, indeed, is assumed by one school of thin ers as a matter of course, though I am not aware that is demonstration has been hitherto attempted by any thing even approaching an exhaustive analysis. The question, however, as to the originality of my arguments, is one of very trifling moment; but what we have to notice is. that in the present stage of our inquiry, the problem with which we started has become simplified, and a discovery of the laws of European history is resolved, in the first instance, into a discovery of the laws of the human mind. These mental laws, when ascertained, will be the ultimate basis of the history of Europe; the physical laws will be treated as of minor importance, and as merely giving rise to disturbances, the force and the frequency of which have, during several centuries, perceptibly diminished.

If we now inquire into the means of discovering the laws of the human mind, the metaphysicians are ready with an answer; and they refer us to their own labours as supplying a satisfactory solution. It therefore becomes necessary to ascertain the value of their researches, to measure the extent of their resources, and, above all, to test the validity of that method which they always follows.

BUCKLE I.

and by which alone, as they assert, great truths can be elicited.

The metaphysical method, though necessarily branching into two divisions, is in its origin, always the same, and consists in each observer studying the operations of his own mind.6 This is the direct opposite of the historical method; the metaphysician studying one mind, the historian studying many minds. Now, the first remark to make on this is, that the metaphysical method is one by which no discovery has ever yet been made in any branch of knowledge. Every thing we at present knows has been ascertained by studying phenomena, from which all casual disturbances having been removed, the law remains as a conspicuous residue.7 And this can only be done by observations so numerous as to eliminate the disturbances, or else by experiments so delicate as to isolate the phenomena. One of these conditions is essential to all inductive science; but neither of them does the metaphysician obey. To isolate the phenomenon is for him an impossibility; since no man, into whatever state of reverie he may be thrown, can entirely cut himself off from the influence of external events, which must produce an effect on his mind, even when he is unconscious of their presence. As to the other condition, it is by the metaphysician set at open defiance; for his whole system is based on the supposition that, by studying a single mind, he can get the laws of all minds: so that while he, on the one hand, is unable to isolate his observations from disturbances, he, on the other hand. refuses to adopt the only remaining precaution - he re-

[&]quot;As the metaphysican carries within himself the materials of his reasoning, he is not under a necessity of looking shroad for subjects of speculation or amusement.' STEWART'S Philosophy of the Mind. vol. i. p. 462; and the same remark, almost literally repeated, at oniii. p. 260. Locke makes what passes in each man's mind the sole source of metaphysics, and the sole test of their truth. Essay concerning Human Understanding, in Locke's Works, vol. i. pp. 18, 76, 79, 121, 146, 152, 287, vol. ii. pp. 141, 243.

The deductive sciences form, of course, an exception to this; but the whole theory of metaphysics is founded on its inductive character, and on the supposition that it consists of generalized observations, and that from them along the science of mind can be raised.

fuses so to enlarge his survey as to eliminate the disturbances by which his observations are troubled.

This is the first and fundamental objection to which metaphysicians are exposed, even on the threshold of their science. But if we penetrate a little deeper, we shall meet with another circumstance, which, though less obvious, is equally decisive. After the metaphysician has taken for granted that, by studying one mind, he can discover the laws of all minds, he finds himself involved in a singular difficulty as soon as he begins to apply even this imperfect method. The difficulty to which I allude is one which, not being met with in any other pursuit, seems to have escaped the attention of those who are unacquainted with metaphysical controversies. To understand, therefore, its nature, it is requisite to give a short account of those two great schools, to one of which all metaphysicians must necessarily belong.

In investigating the nature of the human mind, according to the metaphysical scheme, there are two methods of praceeding, both of which are equally obvious, and yet both of which lead to entirely different results. According to the first method, the inquirer begins by examining his sensations. According to the other method, he begins by examining his ideas. These two methods always have led, and always must lead, to conclusions diametrically opposed to each other. Nor are the reasons of this difficult to understand. In metaphysics, the mind is the instrument, as well as the material on which the instrument is em-

These remarks are only applicable to those who follow the purely metaphysical method of investigation. There is, however, a very small number of metaphysicians, among whom M. Cousin is the most enthent in France, in whose works we find larger views, and an attempt to connect historical inquiries with metaphysical ones, thus recognising the necessity of verifying their original speculations. To this method there can be no objection, provided the metaphysical conclusions are merely regarded as hypothesis, which require verification to raise them to theories. But, instead of this cautious proceeding, the almost invariable plan is, to treat the hypothesis as if it were a theory already proved, and as if there remained nothing to do but to give historical illustrations of truths established by the psychologist. This confusion between illustration and variableation, appears to be the universal failing of those who, like Vice and Fichie, speculate upon historical phenomena priori.

ployed. The means by which the science must be worked out, being thus the same as the object upon which it works, there arises a difficulty of a very peculiar kind This difficulty is, the impossibility of taking a comprehensive view of the whole of the mental phenomena; because, however extensive such a view may be, it must exclude the state of the mind by which, or in which, the view itself is taken. Hence we may perceive what. I think, is a fundamental difference between physical and metaphysical inquiries. In physics, there are several methods of proceeding, all of which lead to the same results. But in metaphysics, it will invariably be found, that if two men of equal ability, and equal honesty, employ different methods in the study of the mind, the conclusions which they obtain will also be different. To those who are unversed in these matters, a few illustrations will set this in a clearer light. Metaphysicians who begin by the study of ideas, observe in their own minds an idea of space. Whence, they ask, can this arise? It cannot, they say, owe its origin to the senses, because the senses only supply what is finite and contingent; whereas the idea of space is infinite and necessary.9 It is infinite, since we cannot conceive that space has an end; and it is necessary, since we cannot conceive the possibility of its non-existence. This far the idealist. But the sensualist, as he is called, 10 - he

greatest English metaphysicians, and upon Condillac and all his dis-

[&]quot;Compare Stewart's Philosophy of the Mind, vol. ii p. 194, with Courn, Hist. de la Philosophie. II. série, vol. ii p. 192. Among the Indian metaphysicians, there was a sect which declared space to be the cause of all things Journal of inatic Soc vol. vi. pp. 268, 290. See also the Dabistan, vol ii p. 50, which, however, was contrary to the Vedas. Rammohun Roy on the Veda, 1832, pp. 8, 111. In Spain, the doctrine of the infinity of space is heretical. Doblado's Letters, p. 96; which should be compared with the objection of Ireneus against the Valendinians, in Brausober, Histoire de Manichée, vol. ii. p. 275. For the different theories of space, I may, moreover, refer to Ratter's Hist. of Ancient Philosophy, vol. ii. pp. 431, 473, 477, vol. ii. p. 314, vol. iii. pp. 195-204; Cudworke's Intellectual System, vol. i. p. 191, vol. iii. pp. 236, 472; Kritik der reinen Vernunft, in Kare's Werke, vol. ii. pp. 236, 481, 129, 139, 147, 256, 334, 347; Tennarahn, Geschichte der Philosophie, vol. i. p. 109, vol. ii. p. 303, vol. vii. pp. 120-137, vol. vol. x. p. 79, vol. xi. pp. 194, 885-889.

10 This is the title conferred by M. Cousin upon nearly all the

who begins, not with ideas, but with sensations, arrives at a very different conclusion. He remarks that we can have no idea of space, until we have first had an idea of objects; and that the ideas of objects can only be the results of the sensations which those objects excite. As to the idea of space being necessary, this, he says, only results from the circumstance that we never can perceive an object which does not bear a certain position to some other object. This forms an indissoluble association between the idea of position and the idea of an object; and as this association is constantly repeated before us, we at length find ourselves unable to conceive an object without position. or, in other words, without space.11 As to space being infinite, this, he says, is a notion we get by conceiving a continual addition to lines, or to surfaces, or to bulk, which are the three modifications of extension. 12 On innumerable other points we find the same discrepancy between the two schools. The idealist. 13 for example, asserts that our notions of cause, of time, of personal identity, and of sub-

ciples in France, their system having 'le nom mérité de sensualisme.' COUSER, Histoire de la Philosophie, II. série, vol. ii. p. 88. The same name is given to the same solvool, in FEUCHTRELEBER'S Médical Psychology, p. 52, and in RENOUARD's Histoire de la Médecine, vol. i. p. 346, vol. ii. p. 368. In JOHERT'S New System of Philosophy, vol. ii. p. 334, 8vo. 1849, it is called 'sonsationalism,' which seems a preferable expression.

"This is very ably argued by Mr James Mill in his Analysis of the Phenomena of the Hainan Mind, vol. ii pp. 32, 93-35, and elsewhere. Compare Essay concerning Haman Understanding, in Locke's Works, vol. i. pp. 147, 148, 154, 157, and the ingenious distinction, p. 198, between the idea of the infinity of space and the idea of a space infinite." At p. 208, locke sarcastically says, 'But yet, after all this, there being man who persuade themselves that they have clear, positive, comprehensive ideas of infinity, it is fit they enjoy their privilege; and I should be very glad (with some others that I know, who acknowledge they have none such) to be better informed by their communication."

MELL's Analysis of the Mind, vol. ii. pp. 96, 97. See also the Examination of Malebranche. in Lourie's Works, vol. viii. pp. 348, 249; and Miller Elements of Physiology, vol. ii. p. 1081, which should be compared with COMTE, Philosophic Positive, vol. i. p. 354.

18 I speak of idealists in opposition to sensationalists; though the word idealist is often used by metaphysicians in a very different sense. On the different kinds of idealism, see Kritik der reinen Vernunft, and Prolegomena su jeder künftigen Metaphysik, in Kant's Werke, vol. it. pp. 223, 389, vol. if. pp. 204, 310, 306, 307. According to him, the Cartesian idealism is empirical.

stance, are universal and necessary; that they are simple; and that not being susceptible of analysis; they must be referred to the original constitution of the mind. 14 On the other hand, the sensationalist, so far from recognizing the simplicity of these ideas, considers them to be extremely complex, and looks upon their universality and necessity as merely the result of a frequent and intimate association. 15

This is the first important difference which is inevitably consequent on the adoption of different methods. The idealist is compelled to assert, that necessary truths and contingent truths have a different origin. 16 The sensationalist is bound to affirm that they have the same origin.17 The further

14 Thus, Dugald Stewart (Philosophical Essays, Edinb. 1810, p. 33) tells us of 'the simple idea of personal identity.' And Reid (Essant on the Powers of the Mind, vol. i. p. 354) says, I know of no ideas or nations that have a better claim to be accounted simple and ori-

or nations that have a better claim to be accounted simple and official than those of space and time. In the Sansorit metaphysics, time is 'an independent cause' See the Vishnu Purun, 10, 21. 15 'As Space is a comprehensive word, including at the form, or the whole of synchronous order, se Time is a comprehensive word, including all successions, or the whole of successive (47' MILL'S Analysis of the Mind, vol in p. 100; and on the remain of time to memory, vol. 1 p. 252. In Johnstein New Systems Philosophy, vol. 1 p. 252. In Johnstein New Systems Philosophy, vol. 1 p. 33, it is said that 'time is nothing but the succession of Events, and we know events by experience only.' See also p. 133, and compare respecting time CONDILLAC, Trained Sensations, pp. 104-114, 222, 223, 331-333 To the same effect is Essay concerning Human Understanding, book ii. chap viv., in LOCKE'S Works, vol 1. p. 163; and see his second reply to the Bishop of Worcester, in Works, vol. in. pp. 414-416; and as to the idea of substance, see vol. i. pp. 285-290, 292, 308, vol. iii pp 5, 10, 17.

16 Reid (Essays on the Powers of the Mind, vol. i. p. 281) says, that necessary truths 'cannot be the conclusions of the senses; for our senses testify only what is, and not what must necessarily be.' See also vol. ii. pp. 53, 204, 239, 240, 281. The same distinction is peremptorily asserted in WHEWLLL'S Philosophy of the Inductive Sciences, Svo. 1847, vol. i. pp. 60-73, 140, and see DUGALD STEWART'S Philosophical Essays, pp. 123, 124. Sir W. Hamilton (Additions to Reid's Works, p. 754) says, that non-contingent truths 'have their converse absolutely incogitable.' But this learned writer does not mention how we are to know when anything is 'absolutely incognitable.' That we cannot cogitate an idea, is certainly no proof of its being incogitable; for it may be cogitated at some later period, when knowledge is more

17 This is asserted by all the followers of Locke; and one of the latest productions of that school declares, that 'to say that necessary truths cannot be acquired by experience, is to deny the most clear evidence of our senses and meson' JOHERT'S New System of Philoso-

phy, vol. 1. p. 58.

these two great schools advance, the more marked does their divergence become. They are at open war in every department of morals, of philosophy, and of art. The idealists say that all men have essentially the same notion of the good, the true, and the beautiful. The sensationalists affirm that there is no such standard, because ideas depend upon sensations, and because the sensations of men depend upon the changes in their bodies, and upon the external events by which their bodies are affected.

Such is a short specimen of the opposite conclusions to which the ablest metaphysicians have been driven, by the simple circumstance that they have pursued opposite methods of investigation. And this is the more important to observe. because after these two methods have been employed, the resources of metaphysics are evidently exhausted. 18 Both parties agree that mental laws can only be discovered by studying individual minds, and that there is nothing in the mind which is not the result either of reflection or of sensation. The only choice, therefore, they have to make, is between subordinating the results of sensation to the laws of reflection, or else subordinating the results of reflection to the laws of sensation. 'Every system of metaphysics has been constructed according to one of these schemes; and this must always continue to be the case, because when the two schemes are added together. they include the totality of metaphysical phenomena. Each process is equally plausible;19 the supporters of each are

¹⁸ To avoid misapprehension, I may repeat, that, here and elsewhere, I mean by metaphysics, that vast body of literature which is constructed on the supposition that the laws of the human mind can be generalized sotely from the facts of individual consciousness. For this scheme, the word 'metaphysics' is rather inconvenient, but it will cause no confusion if this definition of it is kept in view by the reader.

¹⁹ What a celebrated historian of philosophy says of Platonism, is equally true of all the great metaphysical systems: 'Dass sie ein susammenhängendes harmonisches Ganzes ausmachen (i. e. the leading propositions of it) fallt in die Augen.' Transmann, Geschichte der Philosophie, vol. in. p. 527, And yet he confesses (vol. iii. p. 52) of it, and the opposite system: 'und wenn man auf die Beweise siehet, au ist der Empirismus des Aristoteles nicht beseer begründet als der Rationalismus des Plato.' Kant admits that there can be only one true system, but is confident that he stage discovered what all his prefecessors have missed. Die Metaphysik der Sutten, in Kant's Werke,

equally confident; and, by the very nature of the dispute, it is impossible that any middle term should be found; nor can there ever be an umpire, because no one can mediate between metaphysical controversies without being a metaphysician, and no one can be a metaphysician whout being either a sensationalist or an idealist; in other words, without belonging to one of those very parties whose claims he professes to judge.²⁰

On these grounds, we must, I think, arrive at the conclusion, that as metaphysicians are unavoidably, and by the very nature of their inquiry, broken up into two completely antagonistic schools, the relative truth of which there are no means of ascertaining; as they, moreover, have but few resources, and as they use mose resources according to a method by which no other science has ever been developed,— we, looking at these things, ought not to expect that they can supply us with sufficient data for solving those great problems which the history of the human mind presents to our view. And whoever will

vol. v. p. 5, where he raises the question, 'ob es wohl mehr als cine Philosophie geben könne.' In the Kritik, and in the Prolegomena wieder künjtigen Metaphysik, he says that metaphysics have made no progress, and that the study can hardly be said to exist. Werke, vol. ii. pp. 49, 50, vol. iii. pp. 166, 246.

²⁰ We find a curious instance of this, in the attempt made by M. Cousin to found an eclectic school, for this very able and learned man has been quite unable to avoid the one sided view which is to every metaphysician an essential proliminary; and he adopts that fundamental distinction between necessary ideas and contingent ideas, by which the idealist is separated from the sensationalist: 'la grande division des idées aujourd'hui établic est la division des idées contingentes et des idées nécessaires' Cousin, Hist. de la l'hitosophie, II. série, vol. i. pp. 249, 257, 268, 311, vol. iii. pp. 51—54. M. Cousin constantly contradicts Locke, and then says he has refuted that profound and vigorous thinker; while he does not even state the arguments of James Mill, who, as a metaphysician, is the greatest of our modern sensationalists, and whose views, whether right or wrong, certainly deserve notice from an celectic historian of philosophy.

Another celectic, Sir W. Hamilton, announces (Discussions on Philosophy, p. 597) an undeveloped philosophy, which, I am confident, is founded upon truth. To this confidence I have come, not merely through the convigitous of my own conscioueness, but by finding in this system a centre and conciliation for the most opposite of philosophical opinions. But, at p. 589, he summarily disposes of one of the most important of these philosophical opinions as 'the superficial edifice of Locke'.

take the pains fairly to estimate the present condition of mental philosophy, must admit that, notwithstanding the influence it has always exercised over some of the most powerful minds, and through them over society at large, there is, nevertheless, no other study which has been so zealously prosecuted, so long continued, and yet remains so barren of results. In no other department has there been so much movement, and so little progress. Men of eminent abilities, and of the greatest integrity of purpose, have in every civilized country, for many centuries, been engaged in metaphysical inquiries; and yet at the present moment their systems, so far from approximating towards truth, are diverging from each other with a velocity which seems to be accelerated by the progress of knowledge. The incessant rivalry of the hostile schools, the violence with which they have been supported, and the exclusive and unphilosophic confidence with which each has advocated its own method,—alf these things have thrown the study of the mind into a confusion only to be compared to that in which the study of religion has been thrown by the controversies of theologians. 21 The consequence is, that if we except a very few of the laws of association, and perhaps I may add the modern theories of vision and of touch,22 there is not to be found in the whole compass of metaphysics a single principle of importance, and at the same time of incontestable truth. Under these circumstances, it is impossible to avoid a suspicion that there is some fundamental error in the manner in which these in-

²⁾ Borkeley, in a moment of candour, madvertently confesses what is very damaging to the reputation of his own pursuits. 'Upon the whole, I am inclined to think that the far greater part, if not all, of those difficulties which have hitherto amused philosophers, and blocked up the way to knowledge, are entirely owing to curselves. That we have first raised a dust, and then complain we cannot see.' Prisciples of Human Knowledge. in Berekeley's Works, vol. i. p. 74. Every metaphysician and theologian should get this sentence by heart.' That we have first raised a dust, and then complain we cannot set.'

^{&#}x27;That we have first raised a quest, and seem companies.

2 Some of the laws of association, as stated by Hume and Hartingare espable of historical verification, which would change the management of the physical hypothesis into a scientific theory. Berkeley's theory of vision, and Brown's theory of touch, have, in the same way, been verified physiologically; so that the now know what otherwise we could only have supported.

quiries have been prosecuted. For my own part, I believe that, by mere observation of our own minds, and oven by such rude experiments as we are able to make upon them, it will be impossible to raise psychology to a science; and I entertain very little doubt that metaphysics can describe successfully studied by an investigation of history se comprehensive as to enable us to understand the conditions which govern the movements of the human race.²⁸

24 In regard to one of the difficulties stated in this chapter as impeding metaphysicians, it is only justic quote the remarks of Kant 4 Wie aber das 1ch, desirch denke, von dem 1ch, das sich selbst anschaut, unterschieden (indem ich mir noch andere Anschauungsari wenigstens als möglich vorstellen kann), und doch mit diesem letzteren als dasselbe Subject einerlei sei, wie ich also sagen könne: Ich als Intelligenz und denkend Subject, erkenne mich selbst als gedachtes Object, so fern ich mir noch über das in der Anschauung gegeben bin, hur, gleich anderen Phänomenen, nicht wie ich vor dem Verstande bin, sondern wie ich mir erscheine, hat nicht mehr auch nicht weniger Schwierigkeit bei sich, als wie ich mir selbst überhaupt ein Object und zwar der Auschauung und innerer Wahrnehmungen sein könne. Kritik der reinen Vernunft in Kant's Werke, vol. il. p. 144 I am very willing to let the question rest on this. for to me it appears that both cases are not only equally difficult, but, in the present state of our knowledge, are equally impossible.

CHAPTER IV.

MENTAL LAWS ARE EITHER MORAL OR INTELLECTUAL. COM-PARISON OF MORAL AND INTELLECTUAL LAWS, AND INQUIRY INTO THE EFFECT PRODUCED BY EACH ON THE PROGRESS OF SOCIETY.

In the preceding chapter, it has, I trust, been made apment, that, whatever may hereafter be the case, we, looking merely at the present state of our knowledge, must exonounce the metaphysical method to be unequal to the k, often imposed upon it, of discovering the laws which regulate the movements of the human mind. We are. therefore, driven to the only remaining method, according to which mental phenomena are to be studied, not simply as they appear in the mind of the individual observer, but as they appear in the actions of mankind at large. The essential opposition between these two plans is very obvious: but it may perhaps be well to bring forward further illustration of the resources possessed by each for the investigation of truth; and for this purpose, I will select a subject which, though still imperfectly understood, supplies a beautiful instance of the regularity with which, under the most conflicting circumstances, the great Laws of Nature are able to hold their course.

The case to which I refer, is that of the proportion kept up in the births of the sexes; a proportion which it were to be greatly disturbed in any country, even for a single generation, would throw society into the most serious confusion, and would intallibly cause a great in-

crease in the vices of the people. Now, it has always been suspected that, on an average, the sele and femile births are tolerably equal; but, until very recently, no ne could tell whether or not they are precisely equal, or if unequal, on which side there is an excess. The births being the physical result of physical antecedents, it was clearly seen that the laws of the births must be in those antecedents; that is to say, that the causes of the proportion of the sexes must reside in the parents themselves. Under these circumstances, the question arose if it was not possible to elucidate this difficulty by our knowledge of animal physiology; for it was plausibly said. Since physiology is a study of the laws of the body, and

- Thus we find that the Crusades, by diminishing the proportion of men to women in Europe, increased licentiousness. See a curious passage in Sprengel, Histoire de la Médecine, vol. ii p. 376. In Yucatan, there is generally a considerable excess of women, and the result is prejudicial to morals. Stephens's Central America, vol. iti. pp. 380, 429. On the other hand, respecting the state of society produced by an excess of males, see Mallen's Northern Antiquities, pr. 301 Journal of Geographical Society, vol. xv. p. 45, vol. xvi. p. 307; Southery's Commonplace Book, third series, p. 579.
- ² On this question, a variety of conflicting statements may be seen in the old writers. Goodman, early in the seventsenth century, supposed that more females were born than males. Souther's Commonplace Book, third series, p. 696. Turgot (Œucres, vol. it. p. 247 rightly says, 'il naît un peu plus d'hommes que de femmes:' but the evidence was too incomplete to make this more than a lucky guess; and I find that even Herder, writing in 1785, takes for granted that the proportion was about equal: 'ein ziemliches Gleichmass in den Geburten beider Geschlechter' (Idern zur Geschichte, 'vol. ii. 149), and was sometimes in favour of girls. 'ja die Nachrichten mehterer Beisenden machen es wahrscheinlich, dass in manchen dieser Gegenden wirklich mehr Töchter als Söhne geboren werden.'
- A question, indeed, has been raised as to the influence exercised by the state of the mind during the period of organs. But whatever this influence may be, it can only affect the subsequent birth through and by physical antecedents, which in every case must be regarded as the proximate cause. If, therefore, the influence were proved to exist, we should still have to search for physial laws: though such laws would of course be considered merely as secondary ones, resolvable into some higher generalization.
- But this, looking at the subject as it now stands, is far too bold a step, and several branches of knowledge will have to be raised from their present empirical state, before the phenomena of life has be considered by investigated. The more rational mode seems to be, to consider physiology and anatomy as correlative the first forming the

since all births are products resulting from the body, it follows that if we know the laws of the body, we shall know the laws of the birth.' This was the view taken by physiologists of our origin; and this is precisely the view taken by metaphysicians of our history. Both parties believed that it was possible at once to rise to the cause of the phenomenon, and by studying its laws predict the phenomenon itself. The physiologist said, By studying individual bodies, and thus ascertaining the laws which regulate the union of the parents, I will discover the proportion of the sexes, because the proportion is merely the result to which the union gives rise.' Just in the same way, the metaphysician says, 'By studying individual minds, I will ascertain the laws which govern their movements; and in that way I will predict the movements of mankind, which are obviously compounded of the individual movements.'6 These are the expectations which have been

dynamical, and the second forming the statical part of the study of organical structure.

Voulez-vous savoir de quoi dépend le sexe des enfants? Fernel vous répond, sur la foi des anciens, qu'il dépend des qualités de la semence du père et de la mère.' RENOUARD, Mistoire de la Médecine, Paris, 1846, vol. ii. p. 106; see also, at p. 185, the opinion of Hippocrates, adopted by Galen; and similar views in LEPELLETIER, Physictogie Médicate, vol. iv. p. 332, and SPRENGEL, Hist. de la Médecine, vol. i. pp. 252, 310, vol. ii. p. 115, vol. iv. p. 62. For further informaation as to the opinions which have been held respecting the origin of sexes, see Brausober, Histoire de Manichee, vol. ii. p. 417; Asiatic Researches, vol. iii. pp. 358, 361; Vishnu Parana, p. 349; Works of Sir WILLIAM JOHRS, vol. iii. p. 126; RITTER'S History of Ancient Philosondy, vol. iii. p. 191; DENHAM and CLAPPERTON'S Africa, pp. 323, 394; MADITEROE, Lettres Inédites, vol. 11. p. 62, and the view of Hohl (Buzpacu's Physiologie, vol. ii. p. 472), 'que les femmes chez lesquelles prédomine le système artériei procréent des garçons, au lieu que celles dont le systère veineux a la prédominance mettent au monde des filles.' According to Anaxagoras, the question was extremely simple: και άρρενα μέν από των δεξιών, θέλεα δε από των αριστερών. DIOG LARRT. ii. 9, vol. i. p. 85.

"Le métaphysicien se voit comme la source de l'évidence et le confident de la nature: Moi seul, dit-il, je puis généraliser les idées, et découvrir le germe des événements qui se développent journellement dans le monde physique et moral; et c'est par moi seul que l'homme paut être éclairé." HELVERIUE, de l'Esprit, vol. i. p. 86. Despare HERUESE, Ideen sur Geschichie der Menschkeit, vol. ii. p. 105. The too M. Courte (Effet. de la Philosophie, II. série, vol. i. p. 131) sai les faits, faits censulence transporte de l'individu dans l'espèce dans l'histoire, est la clef de tous les développements de l'humanistic

confidently held out, by physiologists respecting the laws of the sexes, and by metaphysicians respecting the laws of history. Towards the fulfilment, however, of these mises the metaphysicians have done aboutly not have the physiologists been more successful, at the their views have the support of anatomy, which admits of the employment of direct experiment, a resource unknown to metaphysics. But towards settling the present question, all this availed them nothing; and physiologists are not yet possessed of a single fact which throws any light on this problem: Is the number of male births equal to female births, is it gueater, or is it less?

These are questions to which all the resources of physiologists, from Aristotle down to our own time, afford no means of reply. And yet at the present day we, by the employment of what now seems a very natural method, are possessed of a truth which the united abilities of a

Considering the very long paried during which physiology has been studied, it is remarkable how little the physiologists have bentributed towards the great and final object of all science, namely, the power of predicting events. To me it appears that the two principal causes of this are, the backwardness of chemistry, and the still extremely imperfect state of the microscope, which even now is so inaccurate an instrument, that when a high power is employed, little confidence can be placed in it; and the examination, for instance, of the spermatozoa has led to the most contradictory results. In regard to chemistry, MM. Robin and Verdeil, in their recent great work, have ably proved what manifold relations there are between it and the further progress of our knowledge of the animal frame; though I venture to think that these eminent writers have shown occasionally an undue disposition to limit the application of chanical laws to physiological phenomena See Robin et Verdeill, Chimie Anatomique et Physiologique, Paris, 1853, vol i. pp. 20, 34, 167, 337, 338, 437, 661. vol. ii. pp. 136. 137, 508, vol in. pp. 135, 144, 193, 281, 283, 351, 547. The increasing tendency of chemistry to bring under its control what are often supposed to be purely organic phenomena, is noticed cautiously in TURERE'S Chemistry, vol. ii. p. 1308, London, 1847; and boldly in Liebig's Lettres on Chemistry, 1851, pp. 250, 251 The connexion between chemistry and physiology is touched on rather too hautily in Bouthland, Philosophie Médicale, pp. 150, 257; BROUSSAIS, Bummen des Doctrines Médicales, vol iii. p. 166, Brodie's Lectures on Pathology , p. 48; HRHLE; Traité d'Anatomie, vol. 1. pp. 25, 26; PRUCHTERSLEBEN'S Medical Psychology, p. 88; but better in MOLLAND'S Medical Notes, 1839, p. 270, a thoughtful and suggestive was. On the necessity of chemistry for increasing our knowledge of pryclogy, major Wagners Physiology, pp. 131, 132 and will major, related to Physiologis, rol. iv. pp. 39, 168. long series of eminent men failed to discover. By the simple experiment of registering the number of births and that sexes; by extending this registration over several your, in different countries,—we have been able to eliminate all casual disturbances, and ascertain the existence of a law which, expressed in round numbers, is, that for every twenty girls there are born twenty-one boys: and we may confidently say, that although the operations of this law are of course liable to constant aberrations, the law itself is so powerful, that we know of no country in which during a single year the male births have not been greater than the female ones.

The importance and the beautiful regularity of this law, make us regret that it still remains an empirical truth, not having yet been connected with the physical phenomena by which its operations are caused. But this is immaterial

* It used to be supposed that some of the eastern countries formed an exception to this; but more precise observations have contradicted the loose statements of the earlier travellers, and in no part of the world, so far as our knowledge extends, are more girls born 'than boys; while in every part of the world for which we have statistical returns, there is a slight excess on the side of male births. Compare MARSDER'S History of Sumatra, p. 234; RAFFLE'S History of Java. vol. i. pp. 81, 82, SXKES on the Statistics of the Deccan, in Reports of British Association, vol. vi. pp. 246, 261, 262; NIRBUHR, Description de l'Arabie, p. 63; HUNBOLDT, Nous. Espagne, vol. i. p. 139; M'WILLIAM. Medical History of Expedition to the Niger, p. 113; Elliotson's Human Physiology, p.795; Thomson's Hist. of Royal Society, p. 531; SADLER'S Law of Population, vol. i. pp. 307, 511, vol. ii. pp. 324, 335; PARIS and FORBLANQUE's Medical Jurisprudence, vol. i. p 259; Journal of Statist. Noc. vol. iii. pp. 263, 264, vol. xvii. pp. 46. 123; Journal of Geographical Soc. vol. xx. p. 17; Fourth Report of British Association, pp. 687, 689, Report for 1842, pp. 144, 145; Transac. of Sections for 1840, p. 174, for 1847, p. 96, for 1849, p. 87; DUFAU, Tate de Statistique, pp. 24, 209, 210; BURDACH, Traité de Physiologie, vol. ii. pp. 56, 57, 273, 274, 281, vol. v p. 373; HAWKINS's Medical Statistics, pp. 221, 322.

In MCLLER's Physiology, vol. ii. p. 1657, a work of great authority, it is said, that 'the causes which determine the sex of the entry are unknown, although it appears that the relative age of the parents has some influence over the sex of the offspring.' That the relative age of the parents does affect the sex of their children, may from the immense amount of evidence now collected, be considered almost certain; but M. Müller, instead of referring to physiologic writers, ought to have mentioned that the statisticians, and not the physiological wave the first to make this discovery. On this curic question, "The Theorem 18 Junear Physiology," p. 746: SALLER'S L. Physiology, p. 746: SALLER'S L.

to my present purpose, which is only to notice the method by which the discovery has been made. For this method is obviously analogous to that by which I propose to anvestigate the operation of the human mind; while the old and unsuccessful method is analogous to that employed by the metaphysicians. As long as physiologists attempted to ascertain the laws of the proportion of sexes by individual experiments, they effected absolutely nothing towards the and they hoped to achieve. But when men became dissatisfied with these individual experiments, and instead of them, began to collect observations less minute, but comprehensive, then it was that the great law of matter, for which during many centuries they had vainly scarched, first became unfolded to their view. Precisely in the same way, as long as the human mind is only studied according to the narrow and contracted method of metaphysicians, we have every reason for thinking that the laws which regulate its movements will remain unknown. If, therefore, we wish to effect anything of real moment, it becomes necessary that we should discard those old schemes, the insufficiency of which is demonstrated by experience as well as by reason; and that we should substitute in their place such a comprehensive survey of facts as will enable us to eliminate those disturbances which, owing to the impossibility of experiment, we shall never be able to isolate.

The desire that I feel to make the preliminary views of this Introduction perfectly clear, is my sole apology for having introduced a digression which, though adding nothing to the strength of the argument, may be found useful as illustrating it, and will at all events enable ordinary readers

numerous experiments, that among sheep and horses the age of the parents these a varietiest general influence upon the sex' of the off-sping. ELLIONSON'S Physiology, pp. 708, 709; and see Cuvier, Proping des Sciences Naturelles, vol. ii. p. 406. As to the relation between the origin of sex and the laws of arrested development, compare thereof Saint-Hamilton, Hill, des Anonalies de l'Organisation, vol. 12, pp. 33, 24, 73, vol. ii. p. 478, with Liedlen's Botany, vol. ii. p. 81. In Couraci. Manager Medicales, vol. ii. p. 302, there it singular case recorded by Lamouth, which would seem to contact the question with pathological photomeric, among it is among the the orthopsy was an effective to organise system.

to appreciate the value of the proposed method. It now remains for the secretary the manner is which, by the dication of the secretary the laws of muntal progress

may be most sasty theoreted.

If, in the transfers we ask what this progress is, the answer seems very sample: that it is a twofold progress, Moral and Intellectual; the first having more immediate relation to our duties, the second to our knowledge. This is a classification which has been frequently laid down, swith which most persons are familiar. And so far they is a narration of results, there can be no doubt the division is perfectly accurate. There can be no don't that a people are not really advancing, if, on the one hand, their increasing ability is accompanied by increasing vice, or if on the other hand, while they are becoming more virtuous, they likewise become more ignors. This double movement, moral and intellectual, is essential to the very idea of civilization, and includes the entire theory of mental progress. To be willing to perform our duty is the moral part; to know how to perform it is the intellectual part: while the closer these two parts are knit together, the greater the harmony with which they work; and the more accurately the means are adapted to the end, the more completely will the scheme of our life be accomplished, and the more securely shall we lay a foundation for the further advancement of mankind.

A question, therefore, now arises of great moment: namely, which of these two parts or elements of mental progress is the more important. For the progress itself being the result of their united action, it becomes necess sary to acertain which of them works more powerfully. in order that we may subordinate the inferior element to the laws of the superior one. If the advance of civilization and the general happiness of mankind, depend more their moral feelings than on their intellectual knowledge we must of course measure the progress of society by feelings; stile if, on the other hand, t depends principle on their handledge, we want take an our standard amount the relation thereby of these two components
Buckles, I.

we shall treat them according to the usual plan for investigating truth; that is to say, a shall be at the poduct of their joint action as obeying the first the man powerful agent, whose operations are cased disturbed by the inferior laws of the major agent.

In entering into this inquiry, we are met by a preliminary difficulty, arising from the loose and reless manner in which ordinary language is employed on same ects that require the greatest nicety and precision. For the expression, Moral and Intellectual Progress, is suggestive of a serious fallacy. In the manner in which it is general used, it conveys an idea that the moral and intellegate taculties of men are, in the advance of civilization, naturally more acute and more trustworthy than they were formerly. But this, though it may possibly be true, has never been proved. It may be that, owing to some physical causes still unknown, the average capacity of the brain is, if we compare long periods of time, becoming gradually greater; and that therefore the mind, which acts through the brain. is, even independently of education, increasing in aptitude and in the general competence of its views. 10 Such, however, is still our ignorance of physical laws, and so completely are we in the dark as to the circumstances which regulate the héreditary transmission of character, temperament, 11

and other personal peculiarines, that we must consider this alged process it is reproductful point; and, in the resent state of car knowledge, we cannot safely assume that there has been any permanent improvement in the moral or intellectual faculties of man, nor have we any decisive ground for saying that those faculties are likely to be the atter in an infant born in the most civilized part of Europe, than in one born in the wildest region of a barbarous country. 12

much more advanced respecting the theory of temperaments, which thains the principal obstacle in the way of the phrenologists.

Couldies attending the study of temperaments, and the obscurity which this important subject is shrouded, may be estimated ever will compare what has been said upon it by the following writers: MCLLER's Physiology, vol. it pp 1406-1410; ELLIOTSON'S Human Physiology, pp 1059-1062; Blainville, Physiologie Generale et Comparée, vols i. pp. 168, 264, 265, vol. ii. pp. 43, 130, 214, 328, 329, vol Mi. pp. 54, 74, 118, 148, 149, 284, 285, WILLIAMS'S Principles of Medicine, pp. 16, 17, 112, 118; Geopenor Saint Hilaine, Anomalies de Porgenisation, vol. i. pp. 186, 190, BROUSSAIS, Fxamen der Doctrines Medicales, vol i. pp. 201, 205, vol. iii. p. 276; RENOVARD, Hist. de la Mederine, vol i p. 326; Brangel, Hist. de la Médecine, vol. i. p. 380, vol. ii p 404, vol. in. p. 24, vol. v. p. 325, vol vi. p. 492; Esquinol., Moladies Mentales, vol. i. pp 39, 226, 429, 594, vol. ii. p. 29, LEPELLETIER, Physiol. Médicale, vol. i. pp. 139, 281, vol. iii. pp. 372-429, vol iv pp 93, 123, 133, 143, 148, 177, HRELE, Anatomie Genérate, vol 1 p 474, vol il pp. 288, 289, 316; BICHAT, Anatomie Genérate, vol. i. p. 207, vol. ii. p. 444, vol, iii. pp., 310, 507, vol. iv. pp. 281, 399, 400, 504, BICHAT sur la l'ie, pp. 80, 81, 234, 235; PHILLIPS, OR Scrofula, p. 9, FEUCHTERSLEBER'S Medical Psychology, pp. 143-145, Œiteres de FONTENELLE, Paris, 1766, vol. v. p. 110; Cullen's Works, Edinb. 1827, vol. i. pp. 214-221, Canasis, Rapports du Physique et du Moral, pp. 76-83, 229-261, 520-533; NOBLE on the Brain, pp. 370-376; Comes's North America, vol. 1. pp. 126-128. Latterly, attention has been paid to the chemistry of the blood as it varies in the various temperaments; and this seems a more satisfactory method than the old plan of merely describing the obvious symptoms of the tampera-ment. Clark on Artifal Physiology, in Fourth Report of the British Association, p. 126; Dison's Animal Chemistry, vol. 1. p. 236; WAGKER'S Physiology, p. 262.

We often hear of hereditary talents, hereditary vioes, and hereditary virtues; but whoever will critically examine the evidence will find that we have no proof of their existence. The way in which they are commonly proved is in the highest degree illogical; the new course being for writers to collect instances of some mental peculiarity found in a parent and in his skild, and then to infer that it peculiarity was bequeathed. By this indeed of reasoning we middle monstrate by proposition; since in all large fields of inquiry the are a samilar amper of empirical coincidences to make a plausicase in the same of the same chooses to advocate. But the same chooses to advocate to the same case in the same with a near chooses to advocate. But the same chooses to advocate to the same case in the same chooses to advocate to the same case in the same chooses to advocate. But the same constant is dispovered; and we ought to include the same constant is dispovered; and we ought to include the same constant is dispovered; and we ought to include the same constant is dispovered; and we ought to include the same constant is dispovered; and we ought to include the same constant is dispovered; and we ought to include the same constant is dispovered; and we ought to include the same constant is dispovered; and we ought to include the same constant is dispovered; and we ought to include the same constant is dispovered; and we ought to include the same constant is dispovered; and we can be same constant in the same constant in the same constant is dispovered; and we can be same constant in the same constant in the same constant is dispovered; and we can be same constant in the same constant in the same constant is dispovered; and we can be same constant in the sam

Whatever, therefore, the manal and intellectual progress of men may be, it resolves that the most progress of natural capacity, he but into a progress, it may so to, of opportunity; that is, an improvement in the circumstances under which that capacity after birth domes into play. Here, then, lies the gist of the whole may. The progress is one, not of internal power, but of atternal advantage. The child born in a civilized land, is not likely, as such, to be superior to one born among barbarians; and the difference which ensues between the acts of the two children will be caused, so far as we know, solely by the pressure of external circumstance; by which I mean the surrounding opinions, knowledge, associations, in a word, the entire mental atmosphere in which the two children are respectively nurtured.

On this account it is evident, that if we look at the kind in the aggregate, their moral and intellectual conduct is regulated by the moral and intellectual notions prevalent in their own time. There are, of course, many persons who will rise above those notions, and many others who will sink below them. But such cases are

how many instances there are of such qualities not being hereditary. Until something of this sort is attempted, we can know nothing about the matter inductively; while, until physiology and chemistry are much more advanced, we can know nothing about it deductively.

These considerations ought to prevent us from receiving statements (TATLOR's Medical Jurisprudence, pp. 644, 678, and many other hocks) which positively affirm the existence of hereditary madness and hereditary suicide; and the same remark applies to hereditary diseases (bit which see some admirable observations in Pathline on Scrofule; pp. 101-120, London, 1846), and with still greater force does it apply to hereditary vices and hereditary virtues; incompach as ethical phenomena have not been registered as carefully as physiological ones, and therefore our conclusions respecting them are even more precarious.

13 To what has been already stated, I will add the opinions of two of the most profound among modern thinkers. 'Men, I think, have been much the same for natural endowments in all times.' Conduct of the Understanding, in Locke's Works, vol. it. p. 361, 'Les dispositions primitives agissont également chez les peuples balbares et chez les peuples policés; ils sont vraisemblablement les meuses dans tous les peuples policés; ils sont vraisemblablement les meuses dans tous les feux et dans tous les temps.'. Plus il y aura quo man, et plus view aures de grands hommes ou d'hommas propres de l'Esprit Humain, et Experse de Tryang. 'Lectures or the Man.' The remarks of Dr. Brown (Lectures or the Man.' The remarks of Dr. Brown (Lectures or the Man.' The remarks of Dr. Brown (Lectures or the Man.') and the tothat which is acquired: see the end of his

exceptional, and form they small proportion of total amount to the solution are nowise remarkable either for good or for will. In immense majority of men mixt always to fin in a middle state, neither very vicious, but slumbed to on in a peaceful and decent mediocrity, adopting without much difficulty the current opinions of the day, making no inquiry, exciting no scandal, causing no wonders just holding themselves on a level with their generation, and noiselessly conforming to the standard of morals and of knowledge common to the age and country in which they live.

Now, it requires but a superficial acquaintance with history to be aware that this standard is constantly changing, and that it is never precisely the same even in the most similar countries, or in two successive generations in the same country. The opinions which are popular in any nation vary in many respects almost from year to year; and what in one period is attacked as a paradox or a heresy, is in another period welcomed as a sober truth; which, however, in its turn is replaced by some subsequent novelty. This extreme mutability in the ordinary standard of human actions, shows that the conditions on which the standard depends must themselves be very mutable; and those conditions, whatever they may be, are evidently the originators of the moral and intellectual conduct of the great average of minkind.

Here, then, we have a basis on which we can safely proceed. We know that the main cause of human actions is extremely variable; we have only, therefore, to apply this test to any set of circumstances which are supposed to be the cause, and if we find that such circumstances are not very variable, we must infer that they are not the cause we are attempting to discover.

Applying this test to moral motives, or to the dictate of what the moral instinct, we shall at once see the extreme the influence those motives have exceed the contract of difficulties. For there is unque to be thing to be found in the world which

has undergone so little changes there great dogmas of which moral systems are of oses of good to of ers; to sacrifice for their beneat your was the system as yourself; to forgive of temies; to restrain your passions; to henour you; to respect those who are set over you: these, few others, are the sole essentials of morals; but they we been known for thousands of years, and not one jo or tittle has been added to them by all the sermons, homilies, and text-books which moralists and theologians have been able to produce. 14

But, if we contrast this stationary aspect of moral truths with the progressive aspect of intellectual truths, the difference is indeed startling. All the great moral

That the system of morals propounded in the New Tatanent contained no maxim which had not been previously enunciated and that some of the most beautiful passages in the Apostolic lines. are quotations from pagan authors, is well known to every and so far from supplying, as some suppose, an objection Christianity, it is a strong recommendation of it, as indicating the intimate relation between the doctrines of Christ and the motal sympathies of mankind in different ages. But to assert that Christianity communicated to man moral truths previously unknown, argues, on the part of the assertor, either gross ignorance or else wilful fraud For evidence of the knowledge of moral truths possessed by barbarous nations, independently of Christianity, and for the most part previous to its promulgation, compare Mackar's Religious Decelopment, vol. if pp. 376-380; MURR's Hist. of Greek Literature, vol. ii p 318, vol. iii. p 380; PRESCOTT'S History of Mexico ? vol. i. p. 31, ELPHINSTONE'S History of India, p. 47; Works of Sir W. Jones, vol 1. pp. 87, 368. vol. iii. pp., 105, 114; MILL'S History of India, vol. 1. p. 419; BORGEN, Das atte fallen, vol. i. pp. 364-366; BRAUSOBRE, Histoire de Mandele, vol. i pp. 318, 319; COLEMAN'S Mythology of the Hindus, p. 193; Transac. of Sec. of Bombay, vol. iii. p. 198; Transac. of Assatic Society, vol. i. p. 5, vol. iii, pp. 283, 284; Anatic Researches, vol. vi. p. 271, vol. vii. p. 40, vol. xvi. pp. 130, 277, vol. xx. pp. 460, 461; The Dabistan, vol. i. pp. 328, 338; CATLIN'S North-American Indians, vol. ii. p. 243, SYME'S Embassy to Ava, vol. ii. p. 389, DAVIS'S Chinese, vol. i. p. 196, vol. ii. pp. 136, 233; Journal Asiatique, I. série, vol. iv. p. 77, Paris, 1824.

¹⁵ Sir James Mackintosh was so struck by the stationary character of moral principles, that he denies the possibility their advance, and holdly affirms that no further discoveries the in morals. Morality admits no discoveries. More than sand years have clapsed since the composition of the sand years have clapsed since that desant period.

If the has varied since that distant period.

AND INTELLECTUAL LAWS.

systems which have worked much influence have full fundamentally as a full the great intellectual retems have a fundamentally different. In reference to our more connect, there is not a single principle we know to the most cultivated Europeans, which was not like use know to the ancient. He reference to the conduct of our intellect, the moderns have not only made the most important additions to every department of knowledge that the ancients ever attempted to study, but besides this, they have upset and revolutionized the old methods of inquiry; they have consolidated into one great scheme all those resources of induction which Aristotle alone dimly perceived; and they have created sciences, the faintest idea of which never entered the mind of the boldest thinker antiquity produced.

These are, to every educated man, recognized and notopous facts; and the inference to be drawn from them is more diately obvious. Since civilization is the product of foral and intellectual agencies, and since that product is constantly changing, it evidently cannot be regulated by the stationary agent; because, when surrounding circumstances are unchanged, a stationary agent can only produce a stationary effect. The only other agent is the intellectual one; and that this is the real mover may be proved in two distinct ways: first, because being, as we have already seen, either moral or intellectual, and being, as we have also seen, not moral, it must be intellectual, and secondly, because the intellectual principle has an

moral system is, in all its grand features, the same . . . The fact is evident, that no improvements have been made in practical morality . . . The facts which lead to the formation of moral rules are accessible, and must be as obvious, to the simplest barbarian, as to the most enlightened philosopher . . . The case of the physical and speculative sciences is directly opposite. There the facts are remote and scarcely accessible From the countless variety of the facts with which that are boundant, it is impossible to prescribe any bounds for litture imprograment. It is otherwise with mergin. They have the facts of Machistosh, edire by his Stan, Louis and the second of the

ity and a capacity for ampetation, which, as I undertally between, is quite sufficient to account for the extraordinary progress that, during several patterns. Europe has apprinted to make.

has continued to make.
Such are the main arguments by supported; but there was also other and outlateral circumstances which are was worthy of childeration. The first is, that the intellectual principle is not only far more progressive than the moral principle, but is also far more permanent in its results. The acquisitions made by the intellect are, in every civilized country, carefully, preserved, registered in certain well-understood formulas, and protected by the use of technical and scientific language; they are easily handed down from one generation to another, and thus assuming an accessible, or, as it were, a tangible form, they often influence the most distant posterity, they become the heirlooms of mankind. the immortal bequest of the genius to which they owe their birth. But the good deeds effected by our moral faculties are less capable of transmission; they are of more private and retiring character; while, as the motive to which they owe their origin are generally the result self-discipline and of self-sacrifice, they have to be worked out by every man for himself; and thus, begun by each anew, they derive little benefit from the maxims of preceding experience, nor can they well be stored up use of future moralists. The consequence is, that although moral excellence is more amiable, and to most persons more attractive, than intellectual excellence, still, it must be confessed that, looking at ulterior results, it is far less active, less permanent, and, as I shall presently prove, less productive of real good. Indeed, if we examine the effects of the most active philanthropy, and of the largest and most disinterested kindness, we shall find that those effects are, comparatively speaking, thort-lived, that there is only a small number of individuals they contact is only a small number of individuals they co with and benefit; that they rarely marine t which witnessed their commencement take the more durable form of for ities, such institutions invariable

perverted from the original intention, mocking the first by which it is stoly attempted to perpetuate the major over or the purest and most entagetic benevolence.

These continuous are no doubt very unpalatible; and

what makes the peculiars of the disper we psyctrate into this question, the more clearly shall we see the superiority of intellectual acquisitions over moral feeling. 16 There is no instance on record of an ignorant man who, having good intentions, and supreme power to enforce them, has not done far more evil than good. And whenever the intentions have been very eager, and the power very extensive. the evil has been enormous. But if you can diminish the sincerity of that man, if you can mix some alloy with bis motives, you will likewise diminish the evil which he works. If he is selfish as well as ignorant, it will often happen that you may play off his vice against his ignorance, and by exciting his fears restrain his mischief. If, however, he has no fear, if he is entirely unselfish, if his object is the good of others, if he pursues that object with enthusiasm, upon a large scale, and with disinterested zeal, then it is that you have no check upon him. you have no means of preventing the calamities which, in an ignorant age, an ignorant man will be sure to inflict. How entirely this is verified by experience, we may see in studying the history of religious persecution. To punish even a single man for his religious tenets, is assuredly a crime of the deepest dye; but to punish a large-body of to persecute an entire sect, to attempt to extirpate opinions, which, growing out of the state of society in which they arise, are themselves a manifestation of the marvellous and luxuriant fertility of the human mind, to do this is not only one of the most pernicious, but one of the most foolish acts that can possibly be conceived. Newerthelies, it is an undoubted fact that an overwhelm-

qualque grand qu'il soit, est toufa leur laisse sont éternelles. Currie,

ing majority of religious persecutors have been men of the purest intentions, of the most admissible and unsullied morals. It is impossible that this should be otherwise. For they are not bad-intentioned men, who seek to enforce opinions which they believe to be good still lengare they had men, who are so regardless of temperal considerations as to employ all the resources of their power, toir own benefit, but for the purpose of propagating piness of mankind. Such men as these are not bad, they are only ignorant; ignorant of the nature of truth; ignorant of the consequences of their own acts. But in a moral point of view, their motives are unimpeachable. Indeed, it is the very ardour of their sincerity which warms them into persecution. It is the holy zeal by which they are fired that quickens their fanaticism into a deadly activity. If you can impress any man with an absorbing conviction of the supreme importance of some moral or religious doctrine; if you can make him believe that those who reject that doctrine are doomed to eternal perdition; if you then give that man power, and by means of his ignorance blind him to the ulterior consequences of his own act, - he will infallibly persecute those who deny his doctrine; and the extent of his persecution will be regulated by the extent of his sincerity. Diminish the sincerity, and you will diminish the persecution in other words, by weakening the virtue, you may check the evil. This is a truth of which history furnishes such inmimerable examples, that to deny it would be not only to reject the plainest and most conclusive arguments, better refuse the concurrent testimony of every age. I will merely select two cases, which, from the entire difference in their circumstances, are very apposite as illustrations: the first being from the history of Paganism, the other from the history of Christianity; and both proving the inability of moral feelings to control religious persecution

I. The Roman emperors, as is well known is seted the early Christians to persecution have been exaggerated, were free But what to some persons must

is, that among the active authors of these cruelties, we find the names of the best men who ever sat on the throng while the worst and most infamous princes were precisely those who spared the Christians, and took no here increase: The two most thoroughly deprived of all the emperits were certainly Commodes and agabalus; neither of whom persecuted the new religion. or indeed adopted any measures against it. The theretoo reckless of the future, too selfish, too absorbed in their own infamous pleasures, to mind whether truth or errorprevailed; and being thus indifferent to the welfare of their subjects, they cared nothing about the progress of a creed, which they as Pagan emperors, were bound to regard as a fatal and impious delusion. They, therefore, allowed Christianity to run its course, unchecked by those penal laws which more honest, but more mistaken, fulers would assuredly have enacted. 17 We find, accordingly. that the great enemy of Christianity was Marcus Aurelius. a man of kindly temper, and a fearless, unflinching honesty, but whose reign was characterized by a persecution from which he would have refrained had he been less in earnest about the religion of his fathers, 18 And to com-

The first year of Commodus must be the epocha of the toleration. From all these authorities, it appears beyond exception, that Commodus put a stop to the persecution in the first year of his reign... Not one writer, either heathen or Christian, makes Commodus a persecutor. Letters concerning the Thundering Legion. In Moyuz's Works, vol. in p. 266, London, 1726. 'Heliogabalus also, though in other respects the most sufamous of all princes, and per haps the most odious of all mortals, showed no marks of bitterness of aversion to the disciples of Jesus.' Mosmein's Eccl. History, vol. in p. 66: see also Margan's Hist. of Christianity, London, 1840, vol. in 225.

¹⁸ Dr. Milman (Rictory of Christianity, 1840, vol. ii. p. 159) says. A blameless disciple in the severest school of philosophic morality, the austratity of Marcus rivalled that of the Christians in its contempt of the follies and diversions of life; yet his native kindliness of disposition we say hardened or embittered by the severity or the pride of his polytic. With Assellins, nevertheless, Christianity found up only in add high-minded competitor for the command of the hot only given in the exalisation of the soul of man to contain a print in the exalisation of the soul of man to contain mortivity but a violent and intelessant the wife Louis IX. of France; and the contain the soul of the life and contains him wife Louis IX. of France; and

plete the argument, it may be added, that the last and one of the most strenuous of the opponents of bristianity, who occupied the throne of the Caesars, we alkar: a prince of eminent probity, whose opinions are one attacked, but against whose moral conduct even in any itself has hardly breathed a suspicion. 19

II. The second illustration is supplied by Spain. country of which it must be confessed, that in no other have religious feelings exercised such sway over the affairs of men. No other European nation has produced so many ardent and disinterested missionaries, zealous self-danying martyrs, who have chaerfully sacrificed their lives in order to propagate truths which they thought necessary to be known. Nowhere else have the spiritual classes been so long in the ascendant; nowhere else are the people so devout, the churches so crowded, the clergy so numerous. But the sincerity and the honesty of purpose by which the Spanish people, taken as a whole, have always been marked, have not only been unable to prevent religious persecution, but have proved the means of encouraging it. If the nation had been more lukewarm, it would have been more tolerant. As it was, the preservation of the faith became the first consideration; and everything being sacrificed to this one object, it naturally happened that zeal begat cruelty, and the soil was prepared in which the Inquisition took root and flourished. The supporters of that barbarous institution were not hypocrites, but and thusiasts. Hypocrites are for the most part too supple to

seuls princes qui, en toute occasion, aient fait de leurs crévantes morales la première règle de leur conduite: Marc Aurèle, stoicien; Saint Louis, chrétien! Guzzor, Civilisation en France, vol. iv. p. 142. Even Duplessis Mornay (Mém vol. iv. p. 374) calls him 'le meilleur des empereurs payens;' and Ritter (Hist. of Philos. vel. iv. p. 222), 'the virtuous and noble emperor?'

virtuous and noble emperor.

19 Neander (History of the Church, vol. i. p. 122) one reas that the best emperors opposed Christianity, and that the wondones were indifferent to its encroachments. The same majors, in result to larcus and Commodus, is made by Gibbon; Desime and xvi. p. 220, Lond. 1866. Another writer, of a different times, it is observed that the best imperate up by Satan to be the classification.

be cruel. For cruelty is a stern and unbending passion; while hypocrisy a fawning and flexible art, which accommodies itself to human feelings, and flatters the weakness men in order that it may gain its own ends. In Spanish carnestness of the nation, being concentrated on a topic, carried everything before it; and hatred becoming a habit, persecution of heresy was thought a duty. The conscientious energy with which that duty will fulfilled is seen in the history of the Spanish Church. Indeed, that the inquisitors were remarkable for an undeviating and incorruptible integrity, may be proved in a variety of ways, and from different and independent sources of evidence. This is a question to which I shall hereafter return; but there are two testimonies which I carnot omit; because, from the circumstances attending they are peculiarly unimpeachable. Llorente, the great historian of the Inquisition, and its bitter enemy. had access to its private papers; and yet, with the fullest means of information, he does not even insinuate a charge against the moral character of the inquisitors; but while execrating the cruelty of their conduct, he cannot deny the purity of their intentions. 26 Thirty years earlier. Towns d, a clergyman of the Church of England, published his valuable work on Spain; 21 and though, as a Protestant and an Englishman, he had every reason to be prejudiced against the infamous system which he describes. he also can bring no charge against those who upheld it: but having occasion to mention its establishment at Barcelona, one of its most important branches, he makes the remarkable admission, that all its members are men of worth, and that most of them are of distinguished humanity. 22

These facts, startling as they are, form a very small part of that west mass of evidence which history contains, and which decisively proves the uttar mability of moral feelings to diminish religious persecution. The in which the diminition has been really effected by the mere progress of intellectual acquirements, will be pointed out in another part of this volume; when we shall see that the great antagonist of intolerance is not humanity, but knowledge. It is to the diffusion of knowledge, and to that alone, that we owe the comparative cessation of what is unquestionably the greatest evil men have ever inflicted on their own species. For that religious persecution is a greater evil than any other, is apparent, not so much from the enormous and almost incredible number of its known victims, 28 as from the fact that the unknown must be far more numerous, and that history gives no account of those who have been spared in the body, in order that they might suffer in the mind. We hear much of martyrs and confessors - of those who were slain by the sword, or consumed in the fire; but we know little of that still larger number who, by the mere threat of persecution, have been driven into an outward abandonment of their real opinions; and who, thus forced into an aposticy the heart abhors, have passed the remainder of their life in the practice of a constant and humiliating hypocrisy. For

corps at Barcelous, that all its members are men of worth, and most of them distinguished for humanity. Townsund's Journey through Spain, in 1736 and 1787, vol i p. 122, Lond. 1732.

In 1546, the Venetian ambassador at the court of the Emparor Charles V. stated, in an official report to his own government on his return home, that in Holland and in Priesland, mere than 30,000 persons have sufficient death at the hands of justice for Anabaptist errors. Correspondence of Charles V and his Ambassadors, edited by William Bradford Lond. Byo. 1850, p. 471. In Spain, the Inquisition, during the eighteen years of Torquemada's ministry, punished, according to the lowest estimate, upwards of 105,000 persons, of whom 8600 were burned. Parscott's History of Ferdinant and Isabella, vol. 1, p. 265. In Andhlusia clone, during a single year, the Inquisition and the 10000 Jews, besides 17,000 who underwent some form of principles and the state. Tioxxox's History of Lieutites, vol. 1, p. 440/., For other statistical evidence on the state, 21, 238, 239, 279, 280, 406, 472, 455, vol. 11, pp. 77, 116, 374, 201, 31, 314, above all, the state of the 11, 242, 273.

in this way, men being constrained to mask their thoughts, there arises a little of securing safety by this shood, and of purchasing the purity with deceit. In this way, fraud becomes a necessary of life; insincerity is made a daily customs the whole tone of public feeling is vitiated, and the gross amount of vice and of error fearfully increased. Surely, then, we have reason to say, that, compared to this, all other crimes are of small account; and we may well-the grateful for that increase of intellectual pursuits, which has destroyed an evil that some among us would even now willingly restore:

The principle I am advocating is of such immense importance in practice as well as in theory, that I will give yet another instance of the energy with which it works. The second greatest evil known to mankind - the one by which, with the exception of religious persecution, most suffering has been caused - is, unquestionably, the practice of war. That this barbarous pursuit is, in the progress of society, steadily declining, must be evident, even to the most hasty reader of European history. 24 If we compare one century with another, we shall find that for a very long period, wars have been becoming less frequent; and now so clearly is the movement marked, that until the late commencement of hostilities, we had remained at peace for nearly forty years: a circumstance unparelleled, not only in our own country, but also in the annals of every other country which has been important enough to play a leading part in the affairs of the world. "The question arises, as to what share our moral feelings have had in bringing about this great improvement. And if this question is answered, not according to preconceived opinions, but

tical to be Life of Sidmonth, 1847, vol. iii. p. 137, this prolem peace is savely ascribed to the wisdom of the adjustment of 1811 in other words, to the proceedings of the Congress of Vienna!

²⁴ On the diminished love of war, which is even more marked then On the diminished love of war, which is even more marked than the actual diminishin of war, see some interesting remarks in Court, Philosophia Philips, vol. iv. pp. 488, 713, vol. vi. pp. 68, 424-436, where the minishing between the military spirit and the industrial spirit is, on the phole, well worked out; though some of the leading phonoment are escaped the attention of this eminent philosopher, as his containance with the history and present state of presen

according to the evidence we possess, the answer will certainly he, that those feelings have had no share at all. For it surely will not be pretended that the moderns have made any discoveries respecting the motal evils of war. On this head nothing is now known that has not been known for many compries. That defensive wars are just, and that offensive wars are unjust, are the only two srinciples which, on this subject, moralists are able to teach. These two minciples were as clearly laid down, as well understood, and as universally admitted, in the Middle Ages, when there was never a week without war, as they are at the present moment, when war is deemed and singular occurrence. Since, then, the actions of them respecting war have been gradually changing, while their moral knowledge respecting it has not been changing, it is palpably evident that the changeable effect has not been produced by the unchangeable cause. It is impossible to conceive an argument more decisive than this. If it can be proved that, during the last thousand years, moralists or theologians have pointed out a single evil caused by war, the existence of which was unknown to their predecessors. - if this can be proved. I will abandon the view for which I am contending. But if, as I most confidently assert, this cannot be proved, then it must be conceded that, no additions having been made on this subject to the stock of morals, no additions can have been made to the result which the morals produce. 26

Unless more seal has been displayed in the diffusion of moral and religible principles; in which case it would be possible for the principles to stationary, and yet their effects he progressive. But so far from this, it is certain that in the Middle Ages there were relatively to the population, more churches than there are now; the sprittnal classes were far more numerous, the progressing spritt far more eager; and there was a much stronger determination to prevent purely elemtific inferences from encroaching on ethical the professe literature put together, and surpassed in the all the professe literature put together, and surpassed in the sevent of moralists have ceased to control the first of man, and have made way for the larger decirine of expedients which includes all interests and all classes. Systematic writers are that period; were, as Colstines well easys, proposed by the larger that period; were, as Colstines well easys, proposed by the same of Protestantism: and; by the same of the sevent century, became

Thus far as to the influence exercised by moral feelings in increasing our distaste for war. But if, on the other hand, we turn to the human intellect, in the narrowest sense of the term, we shall find that every great increase in its activity has been a heavy blow to the warbke spirit. The full evidence for this I shall hereafter detail at considerable length; and in this Introduction I can only pretend to bring forward a few of those prominent points, which, being on the surface of history, will be at once understood.

of these points, one of the most obvious is, that every important addition made to knowledge increases the autherety of the intellectual classes, by increasing the resources which they have to wield. Now, the antagonism between these classes and the military class is evident: it is the antagonism between thought and action, between the internal and the external, between argument and violence, between persuasion and force; or, to sum up the whole, between men who live by the pursuits of peace and those who live by the practice of war. Whatever, therefore, is favourable to one class, is manifestly unfavourable to the other Supposing the remaining circumstances to be the same, it must happen, that as the intellectual acquisitions of a people increase, their love of war will diminish, and if their intellectual acquisitions are very small, their love of war will be every great.27 In perfectly barbarous countries, there are no intellectual acquitions; and the mind being a blank and dreary waste.

extinct in the most civilized countries; the Ductor Dubitantium of Jeremy Taylor being the last comprehensive attempt of a man of genus to mould society solely according to the maxims of moralists. Compare two interesting passages in Moshkin's Ecclesiast. Hist, vol. i. p. 338, and Collections. Friend, vol. iii. p. 104.

[&]quot;Herder boldly assists that man originally, and by virtue of his organication, is peaceably disposed, but this opinion is decisively refuted by the immense additions which, since the time of Herder, have been made to our knowledge of the feelings and habits of savages. 'Indeesen ist's wahr, dass der Bau des Monschen vorzüglich nuf die Vertheidigung, nicht auf den Angriff gerichtet ist: in diesem muss fins die Kunst zu Hülfe kommen, in jener aber ist er von Namr das Frieftigste Geschöpf der Erde. Seine Gestalt selbst lehrt ihn also Friedlichkeit, nicht räuberische Mordwerwüstung, — der Humanität erstes Merkmal' liten der Geschichte, vol. i. p. 185.

the only resource is external activity, 28 the only merit personal courage. No account is made of any man, unless he has killed an enemy; and the more he has killed, the greater the reputation he enjoys. 29 This is the purely savage state, and it is the state in which military glory is most esteemed, and military men most respected. 20 From this frightful debasement, even up to the summit of civilization, there is a long series of consecutive steps; gradations, at each of which something is taken from the

Hence, no doubt, that acuteness of the senses, natural, and indeed necessary, to an early state of society, and which, being at the expense of the reflecting faculties, assimilates man to the lower animals. See Carpentre's Human Physiology, p. 404, and a fine passage in Herdfre's Idean our Geschichte, vol. ii. p. 12. Das abstehende thierische Ohr, das gleichsam immer lauscht und horchet, das kleine schaffe Auge, das in der weitesten Ferne den kleinsten Rauch oder Staub gewahr wird der weisse herrorbleckunde knochenbenagende Zahn, der dicke Hals und die zuruckgebogene Stellung ihres Kopfes auf demselben. Compare Prichard's Physical Hist in Markind, vol. 1 pp. 292, 293, Azara, Anafrene Meridionale, vol. in p. 18. Wrangel's Polar Expedition p. 354, Palleme's Iracte's in bookefor, pp. 132, 131

Among some Macedonian times—the man who had never slain an enemy was marked by a degrading badge—Grofi's History of treece, vol xi, p. 397. Among the Dyaks of Borneo, 'a man cannot marry until he has procured a human head, and he that has several may be distinguished by his proud and lofty hearing, for it constitutes his patent of nobility? Earl's Account of Borneo, in Journal of Acade Society, vol iv p. 181—See also Crawfilm on Borneo, in Journal of treeg. Soc., vol xxiii pp. 77, 80. And for similar instances of this absorption of all other ideas into warlike ones, compare Journal of Geog. Soc., vol x. p. 357, Mallett's Verthera Integratics, pp. 158, 159, 195, Triblewalli's History of Greek, vol in pp. 220, 234, vol viii, p. 206; Henderson's History of Bresit, p. 47., Soliney's History of Brazil, vol ii, pp. 126, 248; Ana'te Resea hes, vol, ii pp. 18 wool, vii, p. 193; Transactions of Bomban Society, vol ii, pp. 51, 54. Hoskins's Travels in Ethiopia, p. 163, Origines du Droit, in Œuris de Michelet, vol, ii, pp. 333, 344 note. So also the Thracians: γί, δὶ εργάτην ατιρότατον, το ζίν ατο ποιέμου σε γρίστος, καλλιστον. Ηξεκοροτικ, book v. chap. 6, vol iii p. 10, edit. Baehr.

¹⁰ Malcolm (History of Persia. vol 1. p. 204) says of the Tartars, 'There is only one path to eminence, that of military renown.' Thus, too, in the Institutes of Finour, p. 269. 'He only is equal to stations of power and dignity, who is well acquainted with the military art, and with the various modes of breaking and defeating heatile armies.' The same turn of mind is shown in the frequency and evident delight with which Homer relates buttles—a peculiarity noticed in MCRE'S Greek Literature, vol. ii. pp. 63, 64, where an attempt is made to turn it into an argument to prove that the Homeric poems are all by the same author, though the more legitimate inference would be that the poems were all composed in a barbarous age.

dominion of force, and something given to the authority of thought. Slowly, and one by one, the intellectual and pacific classes begin to arise; at first held in great contempt by warriors, but nevertheless gradually gaining ground, increasing in number and in power, and at each mcrease weakening that old military spirit, in which all other tendencies had formerly been absorbed, Trade. commerce, manufactures, law, diplomacy, literature, science, philosophy,-all these things, originally unknown, become organized into separate studies, each study having a separate class, and each class insisting on the importance of its own pursuit Of these classes, some are, no doubt. less pacific than others; but even those which are the least pacific, are, of course, more so than men whose associations are entirely military, and who see in every fresh war that chance of personal distinction, from which during peace, they are altogether debarred.31

Thus it is that, as civilization advances, an equipoise is established, and inilitary ardour is balanced by motives which none but a cultivated people can feel. But among a people whose intellect is not cultivated, such a balance can never exist. Of this we see a good illustration in the history of the present war. For the peculiarity of the great contest in which we are engaged is, that it was produced, not by the conflicting interests of civilized countries, but by a rupture between Russia and Turkey, the two most barbarous monarchies now remaining in

¹¹ To the prospect of personal distinction, there was formerly added that of wealth, and in Europe, during the Middle Ages, war was a very lucrative profession, owing to the custom of exacting heavy ransom for the liberty of prisoners. See Barrington's learned work, Observations on the Middlett, pp. 390-393. In the reign of Richard II. 'a war with France was esteemed as almost the only method by which an English gentleman could become rich.' Compare Turker's Hags of England, vol vi. p. 21. Sainte Palaye (Mémoires aux l'anciennes theraterie, vol. i. p. 311) says, 'La guerre enrichisson alors par le latin, et par les rançons celuiqui la faisoit avec le plus de valeur, de vigilance et d'activité. La rançon étoit, ce semble, pour l'ordinaire, une année des revenus du prisonnier.' For an analogy with this see Rig Veda Sanhita, vol i. p. 208, see 3, and vol. ii. p. 265, see. 18. In Europe, the custom of paying a ransom for prisoners-of-war survived the Middle Ages, and was only put an end to by the peace at Munster, in 1648. Marning's Commentaries on the Law of Nations, 1839, p. 162; and on the profits formerty made, pp. 157, 158.

Europe. This is a very significant fact. It is highly characteristic of the actual condition of society, that a peace of unexampled length should have been broken, not, as former peaces were broken, by a quarrel between two civilized nations, but by the encroachments of the uncivilized Russians on the still more uncivilized Turks. At an earlier period, the influence of intellectual, and therefore pacific, habits was indeed constantly increasing, but was still too weak, even in the most advanced countries, to control the old warlike habits: hence there arose a desire for conquest, which often outweighed all other feelings, and induced great nations like France and England to attack each other on the slightest pretence, and seek every opportunity of gratifying the vindictive hatred with which both contemplated the prosperity of their neighbour. Such, however, is now the progress of affairs, that these two nations, laying aside the peevish and irritable jealousy they once entertained, are united in a common cause, and have drawn the sword, not for selfish purposes, but to protect the civilized world against the incursions of a barbarous foe.

This is the leading feature which distinguishes the present war from its predecessors. That a peace should last for nearly forty years, and should then be interrupted, not, as heretofore, by hostilities between civilized states, but by the ambition of the only empire which is at once powerful and uncivilized—is one of many proofs that a dislike to war is a cultivated taste peculiar to an intellectual people. For no one will pretend that the additing predilections of Russia are caused by a low state of norals, or by a disregard of religious duties. So far from this, all the evidence we have shows that vicious habits are not more common in Russia than in France of England; 33

Indeed some have supposed that there is less immorality in Russia than in Western Europe; but this idea is probably erroneous See Stieling's Russia, Lond. 1841, pp. 59, 60 The benevolence and charitable disposition of the Russians are attested by Pinkerton, who had good means of information, and was by no means prejudiced in their favour. See Pinkerton's Russia, Lond. 1883, pp. 335, 336. Sir John Sinclair also says they are 'prone to acts of kindness and charity.' Sinclair's Correspondence, vol. in. p. 241.

and it is certain that the Russians submit to the teachings of the church with a docility greater than that displayed by their civilized opponents.34 It is, therefore, clear that Russia is a warlike country, not because the inhabitants are immoral, but because they are unintellectual. The fault is in the head, not in the heart. In Russia, the national intellect being little cultivated, the intellectual classes lack influence; the military class, therefore, is supreme. In this early stage of society, there is as yet no middle rank,36 and consequently the thoughtful and pacific habits which spring from the middle ranks have no existence. The minds of men, deprived of mental pursuits, 36 naturally turn to warlike ones, as the only resource remaining to them. Hence it is that in Russia, all ability is estimated by a military standard. The army is considered to be the greatest glory of the country: to win a battle, or outwit an enemy, is valued as one of the noblest achievements of life; and civilians, whatever their merits may be, are despised by this barbarous people, as beings of an altogether interior and subordinate character, 17

²² The reverence of the Russian people for their clergy has attracted the attention of many observers, and is, indeed, too notorious to require proof.

[&]quot;A very observing and intelligent writer says, 'Russia has only two ranks — the highest and the lowest.' Letters from the Batte, tond 1841, vol n p 185. 'Les marchands, qui formeranent une classe moyenne, sont en si petit nombre qu'ils ne peuvent marquei dins l'état: d'ailleurs presque tous sont étrangers, où donc trouver cette classe moyenne qui fait la force des états?' Custink's Russie, vol. ii. pp 125, 126; see also vol. iv. p. 74.

³⁶ A recent authoress, who had admirable opportunies of studying the society of St. Petersburg, which she estimated with that fine tact peculiar to an accomplished woman, was amazed at this state of stings among classes surrounded with every form of luxury and wealth 'a total absence of all rational tastes or literary topics. Here it is absolutely maneaus genre to discuss a rational subject—mere pedantere to be caught upon any topics beyond dressing, dancing, and a ratio tournure. Letters from the Baltic, 1841, vol. in p. 233. M. Custine (La Russie en 1839, vol. i. p. 321) says. 'Règle générale, personne ne profère jamais un mot qui pourrait intéresser vivement quelqu'un.' At vol. il. p. 495, 'De toutes los facultés de l'intelligence, la seule qu'on estimé-toi c'est le tact.' Anosher writer of repute, M. Tohi, contemptuously observes, that in Russia, 'the depths of sciendi are not even guessed at.' Kohl's Russia, 1842, Lond. p. 142.

According to Schnitzler, 'Precedence is determined, in Russia, by military rank; and an ensign would take the pas of a nobleman

In England, on the other hand, opposite causes have produced opposite results. With us intellectual progress is so rapid, and the authority of the middle class so great, that not only have military men no influence in the goverament of the state, but there seemed at one time even a danger lest we should push this feeling to an extreme; and lest, from our detestation of war, we should neglect those defensive precautions which the enmity of other nations makes it advisable to adopt. But this at least we may safely say, that, in our country, a love of war is, as a national taste, utterly extinct. And this vast result has been effected, not by moral teachings, nor by the dictates of moral instinct; but by the simple fact, that in the progress of civilization there have been formed certain classes of society which have an interest in the preservation of peace, and whose united authority is sufficient to control those other classes whose interest lies in the prosecution of war.

It would be easy to conduct this argument further, and to prove how, by an increasing love of intellectual pursuits, the military service necessarily declines, not only in reputation, but likewise in ability. In a backward state of society, men of distinguished talents crowd to the army, and are proud to enroll themselves in its ranks. But as society advances, new sources of activity are opened, and

not enrolled in the army, or occupying some situation giving military rank.' M'Culloch's Geog. Dict. 1849, vol. ii p. 614. The same thing is stated in Pinkenton's Russia, 1833, p. 321 M. Erman, who travelled through great part of the Russian empire, says, 'In the modern language of St. Petersburg, one constantly hears a distinction of the greatest importance, conveyed in the inquiry which is habitually made respecting individuals of the educated class: Is he a plain-coat or a uniform?' ERMAN'S Stheria, vol. i. p. 45. See also on this preponderance of the military classes, which is the inevitable fruit of the national ignorance, Kohl's Russia, pp. 28, 194, Stieling's Russia under Nicholas the First, p 7; Custing's Russie, vol. i. pp. 147, 152, 252, 266, vol. 11. pp. 71, 128, 309, vol. iii, p. 329, vol. iv. p., 284. Sir A. Alison (History of Europe, vol. in pp. 391, 392) says, 'The whole energies of the nation are turned towards the army. Commerce, the law, and all civil employments, are held in no esteem; the whole youth of any consideration betake themselves to the implement of arms.' The same writer (vol. x. p 566) quotes the remark of Brenner, that 'nothing astonishes the Russian or Polish noblems so much as seeing the estimation in which the civil profession, and especially the bar, are held in Great Britain.'

new professions arise, which, being essentially mental, offer to genius opportunities for success more rapid than any formerly known. The consequence is, that in England, where these opportunities are more numerous than elsewhere, it nearly always happens that if a father has a son whose faculties are remarkable, he brings him up to one of the lay professions, where intellect, when accompanied by industry, is sure to be rewarded. If, however, the inferiority of the boy is obvious, a suitable remedy is at hand; he is made either a soldier or a clergyman; he is sent into the army, or hidden in the church. And this as we shall hereafter see, is one of the reasons why, as society advances, the ecclesiastical spirit and the military spirit never fail to decline. As soon as eminent men grow unwilling to enter any profession, the lustre of that profession will be tarmshed first its reputation will be lessened, and then its power will be abridged.' This is the process through which Europe is actually passing, in regard both to the church and to the army. The evidence, so tar as the ecclesiastical profession is concerned, will be tound in another part of this work. The evidence respecting the military profession is equally decisive. For although that profession has in modern Europe produced a few men of undoubted genus, their number is so extremely small, as to amaze us at the dearth of original ability. That the military class, taken as a whole, has a tendency to degenerate, will become still more obvious if we compare long periods of time. In the ancient world, the leading warriors were not only possessed of considerable accomplishments, but were comprehensive thinkers in politics as well as in war, and were in every respect the first characters of their age. Thus-to give only a few specimens from a single people—we find that the three most successful statesmen Greece ever produced were Solon, Themistocles, and Epaminondas,-all of whom were distinguished military commanders. Socrates, supposed by some to be the wisest of the ancients, was a soldier: and so was Plate; and so was Antisthenes, the celebrated founder of the Cynics. Archytas, who gave a new direction to the Pythagorean philosophy; and Melissus, who developed the Eleatic philosophy-were both of them well-known getting famous alike in literature and in war. Among the thost eminent orators, Pericles, Alcibiades, Andocides, Decembers, and Aschines were all members of the mil refession; as also were the two greatest tragic Dschylus and Sophocles. Archilochus, who is saw bave invented jambic verses, and whom Horace took as a model, was a soldier; and the same profession could likewise boast of Tyrtæus, one of the founders of elegiac poetry, and of Alcaeus, one of the best composers of lyric poetry. The most philosophic of all the Greek historians was certainly Thucydides; but he, as well as Xenophon and Polybius, held high military appointments, and on more than one occasion succeeded in changing the fortunes of war. In the midst of the hurry and turmoil of camps, these eminent men cultivated their minds to the highest point that the knowledge of that age would allow and so wide is the range of their thoughts, and such the beauty and dignity of their style, that their works are read by thousands who care nothing about the sieges and battles in which they were engaged.

These were among the ornaments of the military profession in the ancient world; and all of them wrote in the same language, and were read by the same people. But in the modern world this identical profession, including many millions of men, and covering the whole of Europe, has never been able, since the sixteenth century, to produce ten authors who have reached the first class either as writers or as thinkers. Descartes is an instance of an European soldier combining the two qualities; he being as remarkable for the exquisite beauty of his style as for the depth and originality of his inquiries. This however, is a solitary case; and there is, I believe, no second one of a modern military writer thus excelling in both departments. Certainly, the English army, during the last two hundred and fifty years, affords no example of it, and has, in fact, only possessed two authors, Raleigh and Napier, whose works are recognized as models, and are studied merely for their intrinsic merit. Still, this is simply in reference to style; and these two historians, notwithstanding their skill in composition, have never been reprofound thinkers on difficult subjects, nor have added anything of moment to the stock of our know In the same way, among the ancients, the most soldiers were likewise the most eminent politician the best leaders of the army were generally the beernors of the state. But here, again, the progress of society has wrought so great a change, that for a long period instances of this have been excessively rare. Even Gustavus Adolphus and Frederick the Great failed ignominiously in their domestic policy, and showed themselves as short-sighted in the arts of peace as they were sagacions in the arts of war Cromwell, Washington, and Napoleon, are, perhaps, the only first-rate modern warriors of whom is can be fairly said, that they were equally compotent to govern a kingdom and command an army. And if we look at England as furnishing a familiar illustration. we see this remark exemplified in our two greatest generals, Marlborough and Wellington Marlborough was a man not only of the most idle and frivolous pursuits, but was so miserably ignorant, that his deficiencies made him the ridicule of his contemporaries; and of politics he had no other idea but to gain the favour of the sovereign by flattering his mistress, to desert the brother of that sovereign at his utmost need, and afterwards, by a double treachery, turn against his next benefactor, and engage in a criminal, as well as a foolish, correspondence with the very man whom a few years before he had infamously abandoned. These were the characteristics of the greatest conqueror of his age, the hero of a hundred fights, the victor of Blenheim and of Ramilies. As to our other great warrior, it is indeed true that the name of Wellington should never be pronounced by an Englishman without gratitude and respect: these feelings are, however, due solely to his vast military services, the importance of which it would ill become us to forget. But whoever has studied the civil history of England during the present century knows full well that this military chief, who in the field shone without a rival, and who, to his still greater slory be it said, possessed an integrity of purpose, an unflinching

honesty, and a high moral feeling, which could not be surpassed, was nevertheless utterly unequal to the complicated exigencies of political life. It is notorious, that in his views of the most important legislative measures he was always in the wrong. It is notorious, and the evidence of it stands recorded in our Parliamentary Debates, that every great measure which was carried, every great improvement, every great step in reform, every concession to the popular wishes, was stremously opposed by the Duke of Wellington, became law in spite of his opposition, and after his mournful declarations that by such means the security of England would be seriously imperilled Yet there is now hardly a forward schoolboy who does not know that to these very measures the present stability of our country is mainly owing. Experience, the great test of wisdom, has amply proved, that those vast schemes of reform, which the Duke of Wellington spent his political life in opposing, were, I will not say expedient or advisable, but were indispensably necessary. That policy of resisting the popular will which he constantly advised is precisely the policy which has been pursued, since the Congress of Vienna, in every monarchy except our own The result of that policy is written for our instruction: it is written in that great explosion of popular passion, which in the moment of its wrath upset the proudest thrones. destroyed princely families, ruined noble houses, desolated beautiful cities. And if the counsel of our great general had been followed, if the just demands of the people had been refused-this same lesson would have been written in the annals of our own land; and we should most assuredly have been unable to escape the consequence of that terrible catastrophe, in which the ignorance and selfishness of rulers did, only a few years ago, involve a large part of the civilized world.

Thus striking is the contrast between the military genius of ancient times, and the military genius of modern Europe. The causes of this decay are clearly traceable to the circumstance that, owing to the immense increase of intellectual employments, few men of ability will now enter a profession into which, in antiquity, men of ability

eagerly crowded, as supplying the best means of exercising those faculties which, in more civilized countries are turned to a better account. This, indeed, is a very important change; and thus to transfer the most powerful intellects from the arts of war to the arts of peace, has been the slow work of many centuries, the gradual, but constant, encroachments of advancing knowledge. To write the history of those encroachments, would be to write the history of the human intellect, a task impossible for any single man adequately to perform. But the subject is one of such interest, and has been so little studied, that though I have already carried this analysis farther than I had intended. I cannot refrain from noticing what appear to me to be the three leading ways in which the warlike spirit of the ancient world has been weakened by the progress of European knowledge.

The first of these arose out of the invention of Gunpowder; which, though a warlike contrivance, has in its results been eminently serviceable to the interests of peace. This important invention is said to have been made in the thirteenth century; but was not in common use until the fourteenth, or even the beginning of the fifteenth, century. Scarcely had it come into operation, when it worked a great change in the whole scheme and practice of war. Before this time, it was considered the duty of nearly every citizen to be prepared to enter the

²⁴ The consequences of the invention of gunpowder are considered very superficially by Frederick Schlegel (Lettures on the History of Laterature, vol. it. pp. 37, 35), and by Dugald Stewart (Philosophia of the Mond, vol. i. p. 262). They are examined with much greater ability, though by no means exhaustively, in Smith's Weath of National book v. chap. i. pp. 292, 296, 297. Hender's Heen our Gewhichte, der Menschhelt, vol. iv. p. 301, Halland's Middle Ages, vol. iv. p. 470.

^{*} From the following authorities, it appears impossible to tracegit further back than the thirteenth century, and it is doubtful whether the Arabs were, as is commonly supposed, the inventors Humbold's Cormon, vol. in p. 590; Koch, Tablecux des Recolutions, vol. i. p. 242 Beckmann's History of Inventors, 1846, vol. ii, p. 505, Hastoire Lie de la France, vol. xx. p. 236; Thomson's History of Chemistry, vol. p. 36; Hastoire Middle Ages, vol. i. p. 341. The statements in Thurn's Stheria, vol. 1. pp. 210, 371, are more positive than the evidence we are possessed of will justify; but there can be no doubt that a sort of gunpowder was at an early period used in China, and in other parts of Asia.

military service, for the purpose either of defending his own country, or of attacking others. 40 Standing armies were entirely unknown; and in their place there existed a rude and barbarous militia, always ready for battle, and always unwilling to engage in those peaceful pursuits which were then universally despised. Nearly every man being a soldier, the military profession, as such, had no separate existence; or, to speak more properly, the whole of Europe composed one great army, in which all other professions were merged. To this the only exception was the ecclesiastical profession; but even that was affected by the general tendency, and it was not all uncommon to see large bodies of troops led to the field by bishops and abbots, to most of whom the arts of war were in those days perfectly familiar.41 At all events, between these two professions men were necessarily divided the only avocations were war and theology; and it you refused to enter the church, you were bound to serve in the army. As a natural consequence, everything of real importance was altogether neglected. There were, indeed, many priests and many warriors, many sermons and many battles.42

⁴⁰ VATTEL, le Droit des tiens, vol ii. p. 129, Lingard's History of England, vol ii. pp. 356, 357. Among the Anglo-Nakous, 'all free men and proprietors of land, except the ministers of religion, were trained to the use of arms, and always held ready to take the hidd at a moment's warning.' Ecclesion's English Antiquities, p. 62. 'There was no distinction between the soldier and the citizen.' Palgraye's Anglo-Nakou Commonwealth, vol. 1. p. 200.

⁴¹ On these warlike coclematics, compare Griose's Military Antiq. vol. i. pp. 67—68. Lingard's Hist of England, vol. ii. pp. 26, 183, vol. iii. p. 14; Torner's Hist of England, vol. iv. p. 458. vol. v. pp. 92, 402, 406, Mosheim's Eccl. History, vol. i. pp. 173, 193, 241, Crichton's Scandinavia, Edinb 1838, vol. i. p. 220. Such opponents were the more formidable, because in those happy days it was sacrifege for a layman to lay hands on a bishop. In 1095 his Holiness the Pope caused a council to declare, 'Quod qui apprehenderit episcopum omnino exlex fiat.' Maithen Paris Historia Major, p. 18. As the context contains no limitation of this, it would follow that a man became spiritually outlawed if he, even in self-defence, took a bishop prisoner.

¹² As Sharon Turner observes of England under the Anglo-Saxon government, 'war and religion were the absorbing subjects of this period.' Turnen's History of England, vol. iii. p. 263. And a recent scientific historian says of Europe generally: 'alle Künste und Kenntnisse, die sich nicht auf das edle Kriegs-, Rauf- und Raubhandwerk bezogen, waren überflüssig und schadlich. Nur etwas Theologie war

But, on the other hand, there was neither trade, nor commerce, nor manufactures; there was no scene no literature: the useful arts were entirely unknown; and even the highest ranks of society were unacquainted, not only with the most ordinary comforts, but with the commencest decencies of civilized life.

But so soon as gunpowder came into use, there was laid the foundation of a great change. According to the old system, a man had only to possess, what he generally inherited from his father, either a sword or a bow, and he was ready equipped for the field. According to the new system, new means were required, and the equipment became more costly and more difficult. First, there was the supply of gunpowder: then there was the possession

vonnothen, um die Erde mit dem Himmel zu verbinden.' Wincklen Geschichte des Rotasik, 1854, p. 56

43 In 1181, Henry II of England ordered that every man should have either a sword or how, which he was not to sell, but leave to his heir 'caeter autem omnes haberent wanbasiam, capellum ferreum. lanceam et gladium, vel arcum et sagittas et prohibuit ne aliquis arma sua venderet vel invaduret, sed cum moreretur, daret illa propinguiori heredi suo.' Ros pr Hov innal, in Scriptores past Redam. In the reign of Edward I, it was ordered that every man possessing land to the value of forty shillings should keep 'a sword how and arrows, and a dagger . . . Those who were to keep bows and arrows might have them out of the forest.' GLOSE'S Military An tiquities, vol a pp 301, 302. Compare Geffer's History of the Swedes, part, 1 p '11 Even late in the fitteenth century, there were at the Universities of Oxford and Cambridge, 'in each from four to five thousand scholars, all grown up, carrying swords and bows, and m great part gentry' SIR WILLIAM HAMILTON on the History of Universities, in Hamilton's Philosoph Discussions, p. 411 One of the latest attempts made to revive archery, was a warrant issued by Elizabeth in 1596, and printed by Mr. Collier in the Egerton Papers, pp. 217-250, edit. Camden Soc. 1840. In the south-west of England, bows and arrows did not finally disappear from the muster-rolls till 1599; and in the meantime the musket gained ground. See Yokur's Diary, edit. Camden Soc. 1848, p xvii.

"It is stated by many writers that no gunpowder was manufactured in England until the reign of Elizabeth. CAMDEN'S Fizabeth, in Kennett's History, vol. ii. p. 338, London, 1719; Struckland's Queens of Fugland, vol. vi. p. 323, Lond. 1843; Grobe's Mistary Antiquities, vol. p. 378 But Sharon Turner (History of England, vol. vi. pp. 190, 491, Lond. 1839) has shown, from an order of Bichard III, in the Harleian manuscripts, that it was made in England in 1438; and Mr. Eccleston (English Antiquities, p. 182, Lond 1847) states, that the English both made and experied it as early as 1411: of the property of the control of the reign of the reign and even in the reign of the reign and even in the reign.

of muskets, which were expensive weapons, and considered difficult to many 46. Then, too, there were other contrivances to which gunpowder naturally gave rise, such as pistols, bombs, mortars, shells, mines, and the like. 46. All these things, by increasing the complication of the military art, increased the necessity of discipline and practice; while, at the same time, the change that was being effected in the ordinary weapons deprived the great majority of men of the possibility of procuring them. To suit these altered circumstances, a new system was organized: and it was found advisable to train up bodies of men for the sole purpose of war, and to separate them as much as possible from those other employments in which formerly all soldiers were occasionally engaged. Thus it was that there arose standing armies; the first of which were formed in the

of Charles I., I find a complaint of its dearness, 'whereby the trainbands are much discouraged in their exercising' Parlament High vol. in p. 655. In 1686, it appears from the Clairendon Correspondence, vol. i. p. 413, that the wholesale price ranged from about 21, 10s. to 31, a barrel. On the expense of making it in the present century, see LIEBIG and KOPP's Reports on Chemister, vol in p. 525, Lond 1852

The muskets were such inserable machines that, in the middle of the fifteenth century, it took a quarter of an hour to charge and fire one HALLAM'S Middle Ages vol 1 p 342 Grose (Military Antiquities, vol. 1, p. 146, vol. 11 pp 292, 337) says, that the first mention of muskets in England is in 1471; and that rests for them did not become obsolete until the region of Charles 1. In the recent edition of Beckmann's History of Inventions, Lond. 1840, vol. 11 p. 535, it is strangely supposed that muskets were 'first used at the battle of Pavia.' Compare Daniel, Historie de la Milice, vol. 1 p. 464, with Smythee's Military Discourses, in Ellis's Original Letters, p. 53, edit. Camden Society

46 Pistols are said to have been invented early in the sixteenth century. GROSE'S Military Antiq vol. 1. pp. 102, 146. Gunpowder was hist employed in mining towns in 1487. PRESCOTT's Hist, of Ferdinand and Isabella, vol. ii. p. 32; Kocn. Tableau des Revolutions, vol. i. p. 243; DANIEL, Histoire de la Milice Française, vol. i. p 574. Daniel (Milice Française, vol. i. pp. 580, 581) says that bombs were not invented till 1588, and the same thing is asserted in Biographie Universelle, vol. xv. p. 248; but, according to Grose (Military Antig vol. 1 p 387), they are mentioned by Valturinus in 1472. On the general condition of the French artillery in the sixteenth century, see Retations des Ambussadeurs Vénitiens, vol i. pp. 94, 476, 478, Paris, 1838, 4to. a curious and valuable publication. There is some doubt as to the exact period in which cannons were first known; but they were certainly used in war before the middle of the fourteenth century See Bohlen, Das alte Indien, vol. it. p. 63; and DARIEL, Histoire de la Milice, vol. i. pp. 441, 442.

middle of the fifteenth century, 47 almost immediately after gunpowder was generally known. Thus, 100, there the custom of employing mercenary troops; of which we find a few earlier instances, though the practice was not fully established until the latter part of the tourteenth century. 48

The importance of this movement was soon seen, by the change it effected in the classification of European society. The regular troops being, from their discipline. more serviceable against the enemy, and also more immediately under the control of the government, it naturally tollowed that, as their merits became understood, the old militia should fall, first into disrepute, then be neglected. and then sensibly diminish. At the same time, this diminution in the number of undisciplined soldiers deprived the country of a part of its warlike resources, and therefore made it necessary to pay more attention to the disciplined ones, and to confine them more exclusively to their military duties. Thus it was that a division was first broadly established between the soldier and the civilian; and there arose a separate military profession.49 which, consisting of a comparatively small number of the total amount of citizens, left the remainder to settle in some other pursuit. bo In this way immense bodies of men

⁴ BLACKSJONE'S Commentaries, vol. i p. 413, DANKE, Hist. de la Milice, vol. i p. 210, vol. ii. pp. 491, 493; Œurres de Turgot, vol. viii. p. 225

⁴⁸ The leading facts respecting the employment of mercenary troops are indicated with great judgment by Mr. Hallam, in his Middle ages, vol. i. pp. 328-337.

Grose Mistary Antiquities, vol. i. pp. 310. 311) says, that until the sixteenth century, English soldiers had no professional dress, but 'were distinguished by badges of their leaders' arms, similar to those now worn by watermen.' It was also early in the sixteenth century that there first arose a separate military literature. Daniel, this, de to Milice, vol. i. p. 380: 'Les auteurs, qui ont écrit en détail sur la discipline militaire: or ce n'est guère que sous François I;' et sous l'Empereur Charles V, que les Italiens, les François, les Espagnols et les Allemans ont commencé à écrire aur ce sujet.'

[&]quot;The change from the time when every layman was a soldier; is very remarkable. Adam Smith (Realth of Nations, book v. chan; i. p. 291) says, 'Among the civilized nations of modern Europe, it is commonly computed, that not more than the one-hundredth past of the inhabitants of any country han be employed as soldiers, without ruin to the country which past the expense of their service.' The same proportion is given in Sablar's Law of Population, vol. i. p. 292;

were gradually weaned from their old warlike habits; and being, as it were, forced into civil life, their energies became available for the general purposes of society, and for the cultivation of those arts of peace which had formerly been neglected. The result was, that the European mind, instead of being, as heretofore, solely occupied either with war or with theology, now struck out into a middle path. and created those great branches of knowledge to which modern civilization owes its origin. In each successive generation this tendency towards a separate organization was more marked; the utility of a division of labour became clearly recognized; and as by this means knowledge itself advanced, the authority of this middle or intellectual class correspondingly increased Each addition to its power lessened the weight of the other two classes, and checked those superstitious feelings and that love of war, on which, in an early state of society, all enthusiasm is concentrated The evidence of the growth and diffusion of this intellectual principle is so full and decisive, that it would be possible. by combining all the branches of knowledge to trace nearly the whole of its consecutive steps. At present, it is enough to say, that, taking a general view, this third, or intellectual, class, first displayed an independent, though still a vague, activity, in the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries. that in the sixteenth century, this activity, assuming a distinct form, showed itself in religious outbreaks; that in the seventeenth century, its energy, becoming more practical, was turned against the abuses of government, and caused a series of rebellions, from which hardly any part of Europe escaped; and finally, that in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries, it has extended its aim to every department of public and private life, diffusing education, teaching legislators, controlling kings, and, above all. settling on a sure foundation that supremacy of Public Opinion, to which not only constitutional princes, but even the most despotic sovereigns, are now rendered strictly amenable.

and in Grandeur et Décadence des Romains, chap. iii. — Œueres de Montesquieu, p. 130; also in Sharri's History of Egypt, vol. 1. p. 105, and in Alison's History of Europe, vol. xii. p. 318.

These, indeed, are vast questions; and without some knowledge of them, ho one can understand condition of property society, or form the interest of them. It is, however, sufficient that the reader can now perceive the way in which so si matter as the invention of gunpowder diminished the warlike spirit, by diminishing the number of persons to whom the practice of war was habitual. There were, no doubt, other and collateral circumstances which tended in the same direction; but the use of gunpowder was the most effectual, because, by increasing the difficulty and expense of war, it made a separate military profession indispensable; and thus, curtailing the action of the military spirit, left an overplus, an unemployed energy, which soon found its way to the pursuits of peace, infused into them a new life, and began to control that lust of conquest, which, though natural to a barbarous people, is the great enemy of knowledge, and is the most fatal of those diseased appetites by which even civilized countries are too often afflicted

The second intellectual movement, by which the love of war has been lessened, is much more recent, and has not yet produced the whole of its natural effects. I allude to the discoveries made by Political Economy: a branch of knowledge with which even the wisest of the ancients not the least acquaintance, but which possesses an importance it would be difficult to exaggerate and is. moreover, remarkable, as being the only subject immedistely connected with the art of government that has vet been raised to a science. The practical value of this noble study, though perhaps only fully known to the more advanced thinkers, is gradually becoming recognized by men of ordinary education: but even those by whom h is understood, seem to have paid little attention to the way in which, by its influence, the interests of peace, and therefore of civilization, have been directly promoted

BUCKLE, I

The pacific tendencies of political economy are touched on very briefly in Blanqui, Histoire de l'Economie Politique, vol. il. p. 207; and in Twiss's Progress of Political Economy, p. 240.

The manner in which this has been brought about I will endeavour to explain, as it will furnish another argument in support of that great principle which I wish to establish.

. It is well known, that, among the different causes of war, commercial jealousy was formerly one of the most conspicuous; and there are numerous instances of quarrels respecting the promulgation of some particular tariff, or the protection of some favourité manufacture. Disputes of this kind were founded upon the very ignorant, but the very natural notion, that the advantages of commerce depend upon the balance of trade, and that whatever is gained by one country must be lost by another. It was believed that wealth is composed entirely of money; and that it is, therefore, the essential interest of every people to import few commodities and much gold.' Whenever this was done, affairs were said to be in a sound and healthy state; but if this was not done, it was declared that we were being drained of our resources, and that some other country was getting the better of us. and was enriching itself at our expense.52 For this the only remedy was, to negotiate a commercial treaty, which should oblige the offending nation to take more of our commodities, and give us more of their gold: if, however, they refused to sign the treaty, it became necessary to bring them to reason; and for this purpose an armament

This favourite doctrine is illustrated in a curious Discourse, written in 1578, and printed in Srow's London, in which it is laid down, that if our exports exceed our imports, we gain by the trade; but that if they are less, we lose. Srow's London, adit. Thoms. 1842, p. 205. Whenever this balance was disturbed, politicians were thrown into an agony of feer. In 1620, James I. said, in one of his long spieches, 'It's strange that my Mint hath not gone this eight or nine years; but I think the fault of the want of money is the uneven balancing of trade.' Part. History, vol. i. p. 1179: see also the debate 'On the Searcity of Money,' pp. 1194-4106. In 1630, the House of Commons, in a state of great alarm, passed a resolution, 'That the importation of jebecoc out of Spain is one reason of the scarcity of money in this thingdem? Part. Hist. vol. i. p. 1198. In 1827, it was actually argued in the House of Commons that the Netherlands were being weakened by their trade with the East Indies, because it carried money out of the committy! Part, Hist. vol. ii. p. 230. Half a century later, the same principle was advocated by Sir William Temple in his Letters, and also in his Observations upon the United Province, Tamerian's Works, vol. i. p. 175, vol. ii. pp. 117, 118.

was fitted out to attack a people who, by lessening our wealth, had applied us of that money by thich trade could be extended in foreign markets. **

This misconception of the true nature of barter was formerly universal; 4 and being adopted even by the ablest politicians, was not only an immediate cause of war, but increased those feelings of natural hatred by which war is encouraged; each country thinking that it had a direct interest in diminishing the wealth of its neighbours. 55 In

52 In 1672, the celebrated Earl of Shaftesbury, then Lord Chanceller announced that the time had come when the English must go to war with the Dutch; for that it was 'impossible both should stand upon a balance, and that if we do not master their trade, they will ours. They or we must truckle. ()ne must and will give the law to the other. There is no compounding, where the contest is for the trade of the evhole world.' SOMERS' Tracts, vol. viii. p. 39. A few months later, still insisting on the propriety of the war, he gave as one of his reasons that it 'was necessary to the trade of England that there should be a fair adjustment of commerce in the East Indies." Parl. Hist. vol. iv p 587. In 1701, Stepney, a diplomatist and one of the lords of trade, published an essay, strongly insisting on the benefits which would accrue to English commerce by a war with France. Somens' Tracts, vol xi. pp. 199, 217, and he says, p. 205, that one of the consequences of peace with France would be 'the .utter ruin and destruction of our trade' See also, in vol. xiii. p. 638, the remarks on the policy of William III. In 1743, Lord Hardwicke, one of the most eminent men of his time, said in the House of Lords, 'If our wealth is diminished, it is time to ruin the commerce of that nation which has driven us from the markets of the Continent-by sweeping the seas of their ships, and by blockading their ports.' CAMPRELL'S Lives of the Chancettors, vol. v. p. 89.

** In regard to the seventeenth century, see Mill's History of India, vol. i. pp. 41, 42. To this I may add, that even Looke had very confused notions respecting the use of money in trade. See Essay on Money, in Lours's Works, vol. iv.; and in particular pp. 9, 10, 12, 20, 31, 49-52. Barkstey, profound thinker as he was, fell into the same errors, and issumes the necessity of maintaining the balance of trade, and Issuming our imports in proposition as we lessen our experts. See the Querist, Nos. xoix clark, in Brankhar's Works, vol. ii. pl. 246, 250: see also his proposal for a sampthary law, in Essay towards precenting the Rain of Great Britain, in Works, vol. ii. p. 190. The connected views of Montesquien (Espirit des Lois, livre ax. chim in Eueris, ps. 353) are as hopelessly wrong; while Vastel (Breat Geas, vol. 1 pp. 111, 117, 118, 206) goes out of his way to praise in mischlevous interference of the English governments, which we recommends as a pattern to other sheets.

In Earl of Bristol, a man of gone ability, told the House of Lords in 1848, that it was a great agreentage to England for their countries to go to war with each other; because by that making the should get their money, or, as he called it, their 'wesless' But appeach, in Parl. History, vol. ii. pp. 1274-1279.

the seventeenth, or even late in the sixteenth century, there were indeed one or two eminest thinkers who exposed some of the fallacies upon which this opinion was based. But their arguments found no favour with those politicians by whom European affairs were then administered. It is doubtful if they were known, and it is certain that, if known, they were despised by statesmen and legislators, who, from the constancy of their practical occupations, cannot be supposed to have sufficient leisure to master each new discovery that is successively made; and who in consequence are, as a body, always in the rear of their age. The result was, that they went blundering on in the old track, believing that no commerce could flourish without their interference, troubling that commerce by repeated and harassing regulations, and taking for granted that it was the duty of every government to benefit the trade of their own people by injuring the trade of others. 57

- Serra, who wrote in 1613, is said to have been the first to prove the absurdity of discouraging the exportation of the precious metals. See Twiss on the Progress of Political Pennomy, pp 8, 12, 18. But 1 believe that the earliest approach towards modern economical discoveries is a striking essay published in 1581, and ascribed to William Stafford. It will be found in the Harteran Miscellany, vol. ix. pp. 139-192, edit. Park, 1812; and the title, Brief Conceipt of English Policy, gives an inadequate idea of what is, on the whole, the most important work on the theory of politics which had then appeared: since the author not only displays an insight into the nature of price and value, such as no previous thinker possessed, but he points out clearly the causes of that system of enclosures which is the leading economical fact in the reign of Elizabeth, and is intimately connected with the rise of the poor-laws. Some account of this essay is given by Dr. Twiss; but the original is easily accessible, and should be read by every student of English history Among other heretical propositions, it recommends free trade in corn.

in regard to the interference of the English legislature, it is stated by Mr. McCalloch (Polit. Econ. p. 289), on the authority of a committee of the House of Commons, that before the year 1839, 'no fewer than two thousand laws with respect to commerce the been passed as different periods.' It may be confidently married, that every one of those laws was an unmitigated evil, since no trade, and indeed no interest of any kind, can be protected by government without inflicting immeasurably greater loss upon the unprotected interests and trades; while, if the protection is universal, the loss will be universal. Some striking instances of the absurd laws which have been passed respecting trade, are collected in Baranworon's Observation of the Statutes, pp. 279-285. Indeed, it was considered necessary that every parliament should do something in this way; and Charles II.

But in the eighteenth century, a long course of events, which I shall hereafter trace, prepared the way for a sprit of improvement, and a desire for reform, of which the world had then seen no example. This great movement displayed its energy in every department of knowledge: and now it was that a successful attempt was first made to raise Political Economy to a science, by discovering the laws which regulate the creation and diffusion of wealth. In the year 1776, Adam Smith published his Wealth of Nations; which, looking at its ultimate results, is probably the most important book that has ever been written, and is certainly the most valuable contribution ever made by a single man towards establishing the principles on which government should be based. In this great work, the old theory of protection as applied to commerce was destroyed in nearly all its parts; 55 the doctrine of the balance of trade was not only attacked, but its falsehood was demonstrated; and innumerable absurdities, which had been accumulating for ages, were suddenly swept away.59

If the Wealth of Nations had appeared in any preceding century, it would have shared the fate of the great works of Stafford and Serra; and although the principles which it advocated would, no doubt, have excited the attention of speculative thinkers, they would, in all probability, have produced no effect on practical politicians, or. at all events, would only have exercised an indirect and precarious influence. But the diffusion of knowledge had no become so general, that even our ordinary legislators in some degree, prepared for these great truths. in a former period, they would have despised as ide novelties. The result was, that the doctrines of Adam

in one of his speeches, says, 'I pray, contrive any good short bills which may improve the industry of the nation . . . and so God bless your souncils. Part. History, vol. iv. p. 291. Compare the remarks on the fishery-trade, in Source Tracts, vol. xis. p. 33.

To this the only exception of any moment is the yiew taken of the using-laws, which Jeremy Bentham has the honour of demo-

listing.

be Before Adam Smith, the principal merit is due to Hume; but the works of that profound thinker were too fragmentary to produce much effect. Indeed, Hume, notwithstanding his vast powers, has inferior to Smith in comprehensiveness as well as in industry.

Smith soon found their way into the House of Commons; 60 and, being adopted by a few of the leading members, were listened to with astonishment by that great assembly, whose opinions were mainly regulated by the wisdom of their encestors, and who were loth to believe that anything could be discovered by the moderns which was not already known to the ancients. But it is in vain that such men as these always set themselves up to resist the pressure of advancing knowledge. No great truth which has once been found has ever afterwards been lost; nor has any important discovery yet been made which has not eventually carried everything before it. Even so, the principles of Free Trade, as demonstrated by Adam Smith, and all the consequences which flow from them, were vainly struggled against by the most overwhelming majorities of both Houses of Parliament. Year by year the great truth made its way; always advancing, never receding.61 The majority was at first deserted by a few men of ability, then by ordinary men, then it became a minority, then even the minority began to dwindle; and at the present day, eighty years after the publication of Smith's Wealth of Nations, there is not to be found any

The first notice I have observed of the Wealth of Nations in Parliament is in 1783; and between then and the end of the century it is referred to several times, and latterly with increasing frequency. See Parliamentary History, vol. xxiii. p. 1152, vol. xxvi. pp. 481, 1035, vol. xxxii. p. 385, vol. xxxii. pp. 834, 905, 962, 1065, vol. xxxi. pp. 330, 333, vol. xxxii. p. 2, vol. xxxiii. pp. 353, 386, 522, 548, 549, 563, 774, 777, 778, 822, 823, 824, 825, 827, 1249, vol. xxxiv. pp. 11, 97, 88, 141, 142, 304, 473, 850, 901, 902, 903. It is possible that one or two passiges may have been overlooked; but I believe that these are the lip instances of Adam Smith being referred to during seventeen are. From a passage in Pallew's Life of Sidmouth, vol. i. p. 51, it appears that even Addington was studying Adam Smith in 1787.

"In 1797, Pulteney, in one of his financial speeches, appealed to the authority of Dr. Bmith, who, it was wall said, would persuade the present generation and govern the next.' Parl. Hist., wol. exxist. p. 778. In 1818, Beigald Stewart (Philosophy of the Ruman Marie vel. it. pr 472) amounted that the doctrine of free trade has now, I believe, become the prevailing creed of thinking men all ever Eugspe.' And in 1846, Bicardo said, 'The reasoning by which the liberty of trade is supposted is so powerful, that it is daily obtaining converts. It is with pleasure that I see the progress which this great principle is making amongst these whom we should have expected to cling the longest to old prejudices.' Proposals for an economical Currelty, in Regard's Works, p. 407.

one of tolerable education who is not ashamed of holding opinions which, before the time of Adam Smith, were universally received.

Such is the way in which great thinkers control the affairs of men, and by their discoveries regulate the march of nations. And truly the history of this one triumph alone should be enough to repress the presumption of statesmen and legislators, who so exaggerate the importance of their craft as to ascribe great results to their own shifting and temporary contrivances. For, whence did they derive that knowledge, of which they are always ready to assume the merit? How did they obtain their opinions? How did they get at their principles? These are the elements of their success; and these they can only learn from their maters — from those great teachers who, moved by the inspiration of genius, fertilize the world with their discoveries. Well may it be said of Adam Smith, and said too without fear of contradiction. that this solitary Scotchman has, by the publication of one single work, contributed more towards the happiness of man, than has been effected by the united abilities of all the statesmen and legislators of whom history has preserved an authentic account.

The result of these great discoveries I am not here concerned to examine, except so far as they aided in diminishing the energy of the warlike spirit. And the way in which they effected this may be easily stated. As long as it was generally believed that the wealth of a country consists of its gold, it was of course also believed that the sole object of trade is to increase the influx of the precious metals; it, therefore, became natural that Government should be expected to take measures by which such influx could be secured. This, however, could only the time by draining other countries of their gold; a result which they, for precisely the same reasons, streethously related. The consequence was, that any idea of real reciprocity was impossible: every commercial treaty was an attempt made by one nation to outwit another.

a Sir Theodore Janson, in his General Mainte of Frade, published in 1713, lays it down as a principle universally recognized, that this

every new tariff was a declaration of hostility; and that which ought to be most peaceable of all paralle, became one of the cantes of those national animalies, by which war is mainly beauted. 63 But when it was once clearly understood that cold and silver are not wealth, but are merely the representatives of wealth; when men began to see that wealth itself solely consists of the value which skill and labour can add to the raw material, and that money is of no possible use to a nation except to measure and circulate their riches; when these great truths were recognized,64 all the old notions respecting the balance of trade, and the supreme importance of the precious metals, at once fell to the ground These enormous errors being dispersed, the true theory of barter was easily worked out. It was perceived, that if commerce is allowed to be free, its advantages will be shared by every country which engages in it; that, in the absence of monopoly, the benefits of trade are of necessity reciprocal; and that, so far from depending on the amount of gold received, they simply arise from the facility with which a nation gets rid of those commodities which it can produce most cheaply, and receives in return those commodities which it could only produce at a seat

the nations of Europe seem to strive who shall outwit one another in point of trade, and they concur in this maxim, that the less they consume of foreign commodities, the better it is for them.' SOMERS' Tracts, vol. xim p 292 Thus, too, in a Dialogue between an Englishman and a Dutchman, published in 1700, the Dutchman is represented as boasting that his government had 'forced treaties' of commerce exclusive to all other nations' Some us' Tracts, vol. xi. p. 376. This is the system of 'narrow selfishness' denounced by Dr. Story, in his noble work, Confact of Laws, 1841, p. 32.

63 'It cannot, indeed, be denied, that mistaken views of commerce, like those so frequently entertained of religion, have been the cause of many wars and of much bloodshed.' M'CULLOCH'S A Secretary p. 140. See also pp. 37, 38. 'It seth nation regard the welfare of its neighbours as incommended the second control of the second co

On the rapid diffusion during the present century of the principles worked out by the economists, compare Lainu's fibeden, ppr356—358, with a not to the last edition of Malthus on Population, 1828, vol. ii. pp. 354, 353.

expense, but which the other nation can, from the skill of its workingen, or from the bounty of nature, afford to supply at lower rate. From this it followed that, in a mercantile point of view, it would be as absurd to attempt to impoverish a people with whom we trade, as it would be in a tradesman to wish for the insolvency of a rich and frequent customer. The result is, that the commercial spirit, which formerly was often warlike, is now invariably pacific.65 And although it is perfectly true that not one merchant out of a hundred is familiar with the arguments on which these economical discoveries are founded, that does not prevent the effect which the discoveries themselves produce on his own mind. The mercantile class is, like every other, acted upon by causes which only a few members of that class are able to perceive. Thus, for instance, of all the innumerable opponents of protection, there are very few indeed who can give valid reason to justify their opposition. But this does not prevent the opposition from taking place. For an immense majority of men always follow with implicit submission the spirit of their own time; and the spirit of the time is merely its knowledge, and the direction that knowledge takes. As, in the ordinary avocations of deily life, everyone is benefited, in the increase of his comforts, and of his general security, by the progress of many arts and sciences, of which perhaps he does not even know the name, just so is the mercantile class benefited by those great economical discoveries which, in the course of two: generations, have already effected a complete change in the commercial legislation of this country, and which are now operating slowly, but steadily,

The strings of rival tradesmen, prevailing among nations, overrule for turnes all sense of the general community of advantage
which as thecial countries derive from the presperity of one another,
the timercial spirit, which is now one of the strongest obpectes, as wars, was during a certain period of European history
thingal cause. Mill's Political Economy, 1849, vol. ii. p. 221
by greak-change in the feelings of the commercial classes did, not
be to be the present century, and has not been visible to indimany observers until the last five-and-twenty or thirty years; but it
was foreteld in a remarkable passage writer, by Herder in 1764, see
his Idean zur Geschichte, vol. iii. pp. 232, 29x

upon those other .. European states, where public opinion being less powerful, it is more difficult to establish treat truths and extreme old abuses. While, therefore is perfectly true, that among merchants, a comparately small number are acquainted with political economic, it is not the less true that they owe a large part of their wealth to the political economists; who, by removing the obstacles with which the ignorance of successive governments had impeded trade, have now settled on a solid foundation that commercial prosperity which is by no means the least of our national glories. Most assuredly is it also true, that this same intellectual movement has lessened the chance of war, by ascertaining the principles which ought to regulate our commercial relations with foreign countries; by proving, not only the inutility, but the positive mischief, caused by interfering with them; and finally, by exploding those long-established errors, which, inducing men to believe that nations are the natural enemies of each other, encouraged those evil feelings, and fostered those national jealousies, to the strength of which the military spirit owed no small share of its former influence.

The third great cause by which the love of war has been weakened, is the way in which discoveries respection the application of Steam to the purposes of travelling there facilitated the intercourse between different countries. and thus aided in destroying that ignorant contempt which one nation is too apt to feel for another. Thus, for instance, the miserable and impudent falsehoods which a large class of English writers formerly directed against the morals and private character of the French, and, to their shame be it said, even against the chastity of French women, tended not a little to embitter the angry feelings then existing between the two first countries of Line; irritating the English against French vices, irritation French against English calumnies. In the same there was a time when every honest Englishment believed that he could beat ten Frenchmen; beings whom he held in sovereign contempt, as and stunted race, who drank claret instead of brandy, who lived entirely of frogs; miserable infidels, who heard mass every Sunday, who bowed down before idols, and who even worshipped the Pope, On the other hand, the French were taught to despise us, as rude unlettered barbaritas, without either taste or humanity; surly, ill-conditioned men, living in an unhappy climate, where a perpetual fog, only varied by rain, prevented the sun from ever being seen; suffering from so deep and inveterate a melancholy, that physicians had called it the English spleen; and under the influence of this cruel malady constantly committing suicide, particularly in November, when we were well known to hang and shoot ourselves by thousands. 66

Whoever has looked much into the older literature of France and England, knows that these were the opinions which the two first nations of Europe, in the ignorance and simplicity of their hearts, held respecting each other. But the progress of improvement, by bringing the two countries into close and intimate contact. has dissipated these foolish prejudices, and taught each people to admire, and, what is still more important, to respect each other And the greater the contact, the greater the respect. For. whatever theologians may choose to assert, it is certain that mankind at large has far more virtue than vice, and every country good actions are more frequent than ones. Indeed, if this were otherwise, the preponderance of evil would long since have destroyed the human race, and not even have left a single man to lament the degeneracy of his species. An additional proof of this is the fact that, the more nations associate with each other, and the more they see and know of their fellow-creatures, the more quickly do ancient enmities disappear. This is

Test, there are more suicides in gloomy weather than in fine weather; used always to be taken for granted, and was a favourite topic with the French wits, who were never weary of expatiating on the set of self-murder, and on the relation between it and our material control of the set o

because an enlarged experience proves that mankind is not so radically be as we from our infancy to sught to believe. But if vices were really more from at than virtues, the result would be, that the increasing amagemation of society would increase our bad opinion of sthers; because, though we may love our own vices, we do not generally love the vices of our neighbours. So far, however, is this from being the actual consequence, that it has always been found that those whose extensive knowledge makes them best acquainted with the general course of human actions, are precisely those who take the most favourable view of them. The greatest observer and the most profound thinker is invariably the most lenient judge It is the solitary misanthrope, brooding over his fancied wrongs, who is most prone to depreciate the good qualities of our nature, and exaggerate its bad ones. Or else it is some foolish and ignorant monk, who, dreaming away his existence in an idle solitude, flatters his own vanity by denouncing the vices of others; and thus declaiming against the enjoyments of life, revenges himself on that society from which by his own superstition he is excluded. These are the sort of men who insist most strongly on the corruption of our nature, and on the degeneracy into which we have fallen. The enormous evil which opinions have brought about, is well understood by who have studied the history of countries in which they are, and have been, most prevalent. Hence it is that, among the innumerable benefits derived from advancing knowledge, there are few more important than those improved facilities of communication, 67 which, by increasing the frequency with which nations and individuals are brought into contact, have, to an extraordinary extent, corrected their prejudices, raised the opinion which each for the other, diminished their mutual hostility, and thus ing a more favourable view of our common nature.

[&]quot;Respecting which I will only mention one fact," our own country. By the Esturia of the Board of Trade, that the passengers animally travalling by railway amounted to anneteen millions; but in 1852 they had increased to regard the summer of Statistical Society, vol. zvi. p. 293.

stimulated us to descript these boundless resources of the human understanding, the very existence of which it was once considered almost a heresy to assert.

This is precisely what has occurred in modern Europe. The French and English people have, by the mere force of increased contact, learned to think more favourably of each other, and to discard that foolish contempt in which both nations formerly indulged. In this, as in all cases. the better one civilized country is acquainted with another, the more it will find to respect and to imitate. For of all the causes of national hatred, ignorance is the most powerful. When you increase the contact, you remove the ignorance, and thus you diminish the hatred. 68 This is the true bond of charity; and it is worth all the lessons which moralists and divines are able to teach. They have pursued their vocation for centuries, without producing the least effect in lessening the frequency of war. But it may be said without the slightest exaggeration, that every new railroad which is laid down, and every fresh steamer which crosses the Channel, are additional guarantees for the preservation of that long and unbroken peace which, during forty years, has knit together the fortunes and the inserests of the two most civilized nations of the earth.

I have thus, so far as my knowledge will permit, endervource indicate the causes which have diminished religious reactutions and war the two greatest evils with which the have yet contrived to afflict their fellow-creatures. The question of the decline of religious persecution I have only briefly noticed, because it will be more fully handled in a subsequent part of this volume. Enough,

vol. Mr. Stephens (in his valuable work, tentral America, vol. 17-8) relates an interesting instance in the case of that resultate man Carrera: 'Indeed, in no particular had he changed may be in his opinion of foreigners; a happy illustration of the control of paraphal intercourse in breaking down prejudices against in or classes.' Mr. Elphinstone (History of India, p. 185) in his opinion have known the Indians longest have always the tenter of the complement to human material the control of the complement to human material since it is true of the complement to human material since it is true of the complement to human material since it is true of the complement to human material since it is true of the complement of the control of

however, has been advanced to prove how essentially it is an intellectual process, and how little good real stated on this subject by the operation of moral menings. The causes of the decline of the warlike spirit I have granuned at considerable, and, perhaps, to some readers, at tedious length, and the result of that examination has been, that the decline is owing to the increase of the intellectual classes, to whom the military classes are necessarily antagonistic. In pushing the inquiry a little deeper, we have, by still further analysis, ascertained the existence of three vast though subsidiary causes, by which the general movement has been accelerated. These are—the invention of Gunpowder, the discoveries of Political Economy, and the discovery of improved means of Locomotion. Such are the three great modes or channels by which the progress of knowledge has weakened the old warlike spirit; and the way in which they have effected this has, I trust, been clearly pointed out. The facts and arguments which I have brought forward, have, I can conscientiously say, been subjected to careful and repeated scrutiny; and I am quite unable to see on what possible ground their accuracy is to be impugned. That they will be disagreeabled to certain classes, I am well aware; but the unpleasant ness of a statement is hardly to be considered a proof of its falsehood. The sources from which the endered been derived are fully indicated; and the article and formal and formal articles. hope, fairly stated. And from them there result important conclusion From them we are bound that the two oldest, greatest, most inveterate, and most widely-spread evils which have ever been known, are constantly, though, on the whole, slowly, diminishing; and that their diminution has been effected, not moral feelings, nor by moral teachings, but activity of the human intellect, and by the invel discoveries which, in a long course of successive has been able to make.

Since, then, in the two most important which the progress of society presents, the have been steadily and investibly subordinate

tellectual laws, there arises a strong presumption that in infector matters the same process has been followed. To prove this in its full extent, and thus raise the presumption to an absolute certainty, would be to write, not an Introduction to history, but the History itself. The reader must, therefore, be satisfied for the present with what, I am conscious, is merely an approach towards demonstra, tion; and the complete demonstration must necessarily be reserved for the future volumes of this work: in which I pledge myself to show that the progress Europe has made from barbarism to civilization is entirely due to its intellectual activity; that the leading countries have now, for some centuries, advanced sufficiently far to shake off the influence of those physical agencies by which in an earlier state their career might have been troubled; and that although the moral agencies are still powerful, and still cause occasional disturbances, these are but aberrations, which, if we compare long periods of time, balance each other, and thus in the total amount entirely disappear. So that, in a great and comprehensive view, the changes in every civilized people are, in their aggregate, dependent solely on three things: first, on the amount of knowledge possessed by their ablest men; secondly, on the direction which that knowledge takes, that is to say, the sort of subjects to which it refers: thirdly, and above all, on the extent to which the knowledge is diffused, and the freedom with thich it pervades all classes of society.

there are the three great movers of every civilized country; and although their operation is frequently disturbed by the vices or the virtues of powerful individuals, such moral feelings correct each other, and the average of long periods remains unaffected. Owing to causes of which we into the moral qualities do, no doubt, constantly; so that in one man, or perhaps even in one generation, there will be an excess of good intentions in another an excess of bad ones. But we have no reason the permanent change has been effected in the present to those who naturally possess good intentions per to those in whom had ones seem to be inherent.

In what may be called the innate and original morals of mankind, there is so far as we are aware, no process.

Of the different passions with which we are hope some are more prevalent at one time, some at another but experience teaches his that, as they are always and countie, they are hald in balance by the force of their an opposition. The activity of one motive is corrected by the actrity of another. For to every vice there is a corresponding virtue. Cruelty is counteracted by benevolence; sympathy is excited by suffering; the injustice of some provokes the charity of others; new evils are met by new remedies, and even the most enormous offences that have ever been known have left behind them no permanent impression. The desolation of countries and the slaughter of men are losses which never fail to be repaired, and at the distance of a few centuries every vestige of them is effaced. The gigantic crimes of Alexander or Napoleon become after a time void of effect, and the affairs of the world return to their finisher level. This is the ebb and flow of history, the perflux to which by the laws of our nature we are Above all this, there is a far higher movement; and as the tide rolls on, now advancing, now receding, there is, amid its endless fluctuations, one thing, and one alone. which endures for ever. The actions of had men produce only temporary evil, the actions of good men only temporary good; and eventually the good and the evil allogether subside, are neutralized by subsequent generations, absorbed by the incessant movements of future ages. But the discoveries of great men never leave us; they are immortal. they contain those eternal truths which survive the shock of empires, outlive the struggles of rival creeds, and witness the decay of successive religions. All these have their different measures and their different standards; en set of opinions for one age, another set for another. pass away like a dream; they are as the fabric of which leaves not a rack behind. The discovers of alone remain: it is to ten we owe all that they are for all ages and all times; never young old, they bear the seeds of their own life; they he

in a personial and undying stream; they are essentially committee, and; giving birth to the additions which they subsequently receive, they thus influence the most diagent posterity, and after the lapse of centuries produce more effect than they were able to do even at the moment of their promulgation.

CHAPTER V.

INQUIRY INTO THE INFLUENCE EXERCISED BY BRLIGION, LITERATURE, AND GOVERNMENT.

By applying to the history of Man those methods of investigation which have been found successful in other branches of knowledge, and by rejecting all preconceived notions which would not bear the test of those methods, we have arrived at certain results, the heads of which it may now be convenient to recapitulate. We have see that our actions, being solely the result of internal external agencies, must be explicable by the laws of the agencies; that is to say, by mental laws and by laws. We have also seen that mental laws are, in I more powerful than physical laws; and that, in gress of civilization, their superiority is contribute creasing, because advancing knowledge multiplies the resources of the mind, but leaves the old resources of nature stationary. On this account, we have treated the mental laws as being the great regulators of progress; and we have looked at the physical laws as occupying a suboxdinate place, and as merely displaying themselves in casional disturbances, the force and frequency of which have been long declining, and are now, on a large almost inoperative. Having, by this means, study of what may be saided the dynamics of soci the study of the large of the mind, we have out these last to a similar large; and we have foun they consist of two parts, namely, moral laws and

3

lectual dist. By comparing these two parts, we have sectained the vast superiority of the intellection laws, and we have seen, that as the progress of civiliantion is marked by the triumph of the mental laws over the physical aust so is it marked by the triumph of the intellectual laws over the moral ones. This important inference rests on two distinct arguments. moral truths being stationary, and intellectual truths being progressive, it is highly improbable that the progress of society should be due to moral knowledge, which for many centuries has remained the same, rather than to intellectual knowledge, which for many centuries has been incessantly advancing. The other argument consists in the fact, that the two greatest evils known to mankind have not been diminished by moral improvement; but have been, and still are, yielding to the influence of intellectual discoveries. From all this it evidently follows, that if we wish to ascertain the conditions which regulate the progress of modern civilization, we must seek them in the history of the amount and diffusion of intellectual knowledge; and we must consider physical phenomena and moral principles as causing, no doubt, great aberration in short periods, but in long periods correcting and bearing themselves, and thus leaving the intellectual act uncontrolled by these inferior and subordinate

Successive analyses, and on which we now take our stand. The actions of individuals are greatly affected by their moral feelings and by their passions; but these being antagonistic to the passions and feelings of other individuals, are balanced by them; so that their effect is, in the great strange of human affairs, nowhere to be seen; and the total actions of mankind, considered as a whole, are to be regulated by the total knowledge of which make the consessed. And of the way in which individual carries are thus absorbed and neutral the and individual carries are thus absorbed and neutral the forward respecting the satory of crime. For by those facts it is decisively passed, that the amount of

the effected by those capricious and reif, instead of examining the history of crime year by year, we were to examine it month by month, we should find less regularity; and if we were to examine it hour by hour, we should find no regularity at all; neither would its regularity be seen, if, instead of the criminal records of a whole country, we only knew those of a single street, or of a single family. This is because the great social laws by which crime is governed, can only be perceived after observing great numbers or long periods; but in a small number, and a short period, the individual moral prihciple triumphs, and disturbs the operation of the larger and intellectual law. While, therefore, the moral feelings by which a man is urged to commit a crime, or to abstain from it, will produce an immense effect on the amount of his own crimes, they will produce no effect on the amount of crimes committed by the society to which he belongs; because, in the long-run, they are sure to be neutralized by opposite moral feelings, which cause in other men an opposite conduct. Just in the same way, we are all insible that moral principles do affect nearly the store of our actions; but we have incontrovertible proof that produce not the least effect on mankind in the again or even on men in very large masses, provided that we take the precaution of studying social phenomena for a period sufficiently long, and on a scale sufficiently great, to enable the superior laws to come into uncontrolled operation.

The totality of human actions being thus, from the highest point of view, governed by the totality human knowledge, it might seem a simple matter to the evidence of the knowledge, and, by subjecting the whole which regulate the progress of civilization that this will be eventually done, I do not entertain the ghtest doubt. But, and of the great task they have the evidence of the knowledge, and by subjecting the evidence of the evid

materials have set E us those things instead of giving us inform eres of knowledge, and the been affected by the diffusion of that knowledge, - suread of these things, the vast majority of historians fill their works with the most tifling and miserable details: personal anecdotes of kings and courts; interminable relations of what was said by one minister, and what was thought by another; and, what is worse than all, long accounts of campaigns, battles, and sieges, very interesting to those engaged in them, but to us utterly useless, because they neither furnish new truths, nor do they supply the means by which new truths may be discovered. This is the real impediment which now stops our advance. It is this want of judgment, and this ignorance of what is most worthy of selection, which deprives us of materials that ought long since to have been accumulated, arranged, and stored-up for future use. In other great branches of knowledge, observation has preceded discovery; first the facts have been registered, and then their laws have been found. But in the study of the history of Man, the important facts have been neglected, and the unimportant ones preserved. The consequence is, that whoever now attempts to generalize herencal phenomena must collect the facts, as well as conduct the generalization. He finds nothing ready to his hand. He must be the mason as well as the architect; he must not only scheme the edifice, but likewise excavate the quarry. The necessity of performing this double labour entails upon the philosopher such enormous drudgery, that the limits of an entire life are unequal to the tasks and history, instead of being ripe, as it ought to be for complete and exhaustive generalizations, is still in mande and informal a state, that not the most determi protracted industry will enable any one to ing so short a period as two successive centuries. account of these things, I have long since abandown my original scheme; and I have reluctantly determined to write the history, not of meral civilization but dismeans, we curtail the field of inquiry, we undergrantely disminsh the resources of which the inquiry is cossessed. For although it is perfectly true, that the totally of human actions, if considered in long periods, depends on the totality of human knowledge, it must be allowed that this great principle, when applied only to one country, loses something of its original value. The more we diminish our observations, the greater becomes the uncertainty of the average; in other words, the greater the change of the operation of the larger laws being troubled by the operation of the smaller. The interference of foreign governments: the influence exercised by the opinions, literature, and customs of a foreign people; their invasions, perhaps even their conquests; the forcible introduction by them of new religions, new laws, and new manners, - all these things are perturbations, which, in a view of uhiversal history, equalize each other, but which, in any country, are apt to disturb the natural march, and thus render the movements of civilization more difficult to calculate. The manner in which I have endeavoured to meet this difficulty will be presently stated; but what I first wish to point out, are the reasons which have to duced me to select the history of England as more important than any other, and therefore as the most worthy of the subjected to a complete and philosophic investigation.

Now, it is evident that, inasmuch as the great advantage of studying past events consists in the possibility of ascertaining the laws by which they were governed, the history of any people will become more valuable in proportion as their movements have been least disturbed by agencies not arising from themselves. Every foreign or external influence which is brought to bear upon a nation is an interference with its natural development, and the complicates the circumstances we seek to invent to simplify complications, is, in all branches of the first essential of recess. This is very familiate the cultivators of physical actions, who are often all a single experiment, to discour a truth which increases

observations had validy searched; the reason being, that by experimentally produced we can disentangle them from their complications; and thus isolating them from the interference of unknown agencies, we leave them, as it were to run their own course, and disclose the operation of their own law.

This, then, is the true standard by which we must measure the value of the history of any nation. importance of the history of a country depends, not upon the splendour of its exploits, but upon the degree to which its actions are due to causes springing out of itself. If, therefore, we could find some civilized people who had worked out their civilization entirely by themselves; who had escaped all foreign influence, and who had been neither benefited nor retarded by the personal peculianities of their rulers, - the history of such a people would be of paramount importance; because it would present a condition of normal and inherent development; it would show the laws of progress acting in a state of isolation; it would be, in fact, an experiment ready-made, and would possess all the value of that artificial contrivance to which natural soience is so much indebted.

To find such a people as this is obviously impossible; but the duty of the philosophic historian is, to select for his especial study the country in which the conditions have been most closely followed. Now, it will be readily admitted, not only by ourselves, but by intelligent foreigners, that in England, during, at all events, the last three centuries, this has been done more constantly and more successfully than in any other country. I say nothing of the number of our discoveries, the brilliancy of our literature, or the success of our arms. These are invidious topics; and other nations may perhaps deny to us those superior merits which we are apt to exaggerate. But I take up this single position, that of all European England is the one where, during the longest s government has been most quiescent, and the be most active; where pending freedom has been security the widest basis; where such man is most able to my what he thinks, and do want he likes; where every one can follow his own bent, and propagate his name ions; where, religious personnien, come little and have the play and flow of the human mind may be compy seen, unchecked by those restraints to which it is newhere subjected; where the profession of here is least dangerous, and the practice of dissent most common; where hostile creeds flourish side by side, and rise and decay without disturbance, according to the wants of the people, mnaffected by the wishes of the church, and uncontrolled by the authority of the state; where all interests, and all classes, both spiritual and temporal, are most left to take care of themselves; where that meddlesome doctrine called Protection was first attacked, and where alone it has been destroyed; and where, in a word, those dangerous extremes to which interference gives rise having been avoided, despotism rebellion are equally rare, and concession being recognized as the groundwork of policy, the national progress has been least disturbed by the power of privileged classes, by the influence of particular sects, or by the violence of arbitrary rulers.

That these are the characteristics of English History is notorious; to some men a matter of boast, to others at regret. And when to these circumstances we add, that England, owing to its insular formation, 'was, until the middle of the last century, rarely visited by foreigners, it becomes evident that, in our progress as a people, we have been less affected than any other by the two main sources of interference, namely, the authority of government, and the influence of foreigners. In the sixteenth century, it became a fashion, among the English nobility, to travel abroad; but it was by no means the fashion for foreign

Coleridge well says: 'It is the chief of many blessings derived from the insular character and circumstances of our country, that our social institutions have formed themselves out of our open needs and interests.' Coleridge on the Constitution of the Canada and State, 3vo, 1830, pp. 20, 21. The political consequences are much noticed at the time of the French Revolution of the La Fayrate, vol. 1. p. 404; Bruxelles, 1857.

In another place, I shall collect the orderies of the increasing love of travelling in the lixteenth castle is the secting to observe, that defined better hard of the castle was first established the custom of appointing travelling tweers.

ndflity to travel in England. In the seventeenth century, the custom of travelling for smarement spread so much, that among the rich and idle classes, there were lew Englishmen who did not, at least once in their life was the Channel; while the same classes in other countries. partly because they were less wealthy, partly from an inveterate dislike to the sea, hardly ever entered our island, unless compelled to do so on some particular business. The result was, that in other countries, and particularly in France and Italy, the inhabitants of the great cities became gradually accustomed to foreigners, and, like all men, were imperceptibly influenced by what they often saw. On the other hand, there were many of our cities in which none but Englishmen ever set their feet; 3 and inhabitants, even of the metropolis, might grow old without having once seen a single foreigner, except, perhaps, some dull and pompous ambassador taking his airing on the banks of the Thames. And though it is often said that, after the restoration of "II., our national character began to be greatly influenced by French example, this, as I shall fully prove. was confined to that small and insignificant part of society which thung about the court; nor did it produce any marked effection the two most important classes, - the intellectual class, and the industrious class. The movement may, indeed, be traced in the most worthless parts of our literature, - in the shameless productions of Buckingham, Dorset, Etherege, Killigrew, Mulgrave, Rochester, and Sedley. But neither then, nor at a much later period, were

Compare Barrinoton's Observations on the Statutes, p. 218, with a letter from Bern, written in 1598, in Mémoires et Correspondênce de DU PLERSIS MONRAY, vol. ix. p. 81.

In regard to the society of women, this was still more observable, even at a much later period; and when the Countess de Boufflers visited England, at the beginning of the reign of George IIL, 'on use failed to merite de sa curiosité de voir PAngleterré; car on retarquoit qu'elle étoit la seule dame françoise de qualité qui fût the voyageuse depuis deux cents ans: on ne comprenoit point, the voyageuse depuis deux cents ans: on ne comprenoit point, the voyageuse par nécessité.' Durans Mémoires d'un Voyageur, v. 117. Compass Mémoires de Madame DE GERLIE, vol. iii

ORDER'S Line of Owen, p. 288 AMOR'S History of England, vol. in p. 211, and many other writers.

any of our great thinkers influenced by the intellect of France; on the contrary; we had in their ideas, and even in their style, a certain rough and native vigour. which, though offensive to our more palished neighbours, has at least the merit of being the indigenous product of our own country. 6 The origin and extent of that comexion between the French and English intellects which subsequently arose, is a subject of immense importance; but, like most others of real value, it has been entirely neglected by historians. In the present work, I shall attempt to supply this deficiency: in the mean time I may say, that although we have been, and still are, greatly indebted to the French for our improvement in taste, in refinement, in manners, and indeed in all the amenities of life, we have borrowed from them nothing absolutely essential, nothing by which the destinies of nations are permanently altered. On the other hand, the French have not only borrowed from us

The only Englishman of genius who, during this passininenced by the French mind, was Dryden, but this is chiparent in his plays, the whole of which are now deservedly forgotten His great works, and, above all, those wonderful satires, in which he distances overy competitor, except Juvenal, are thoroughly national, and, as mere specimens of English, are, if I may express my own judgment, to be ranked immediately after Shakspears. In Dayselen's writings there are unquestionably many Gallicisms of expension. has few Gallicisms of thought and it is by these last that we must estimate the real amount of foreign influence. Sir Walter Scott goes so far as to say. 'It will admit of question, whether any single French word has been naturalized upon the sole authority of Dryden.' Scott's Life of Dryden, p 523, 8vo, 1803. Rather a bold assertion As to the opinion of Fox, see Lord Holland's preface to Fox's James II., 4to, 1803, p. xxxii.

Another circumstance which has maintained the independence, and therefore increased the value, of our literature, is, that in no great country have literary men been so little connected with the government, or rewarded by it. That this is the true policy, and that to protect literature is to injure it, are propositions for the proof of, which I must refer to chap. xi. of this volume — on the system of Louis XIV. In the mean time, I will quote the following words from a learned and, what is much better, a thoughtful writer:

Nor must he who will understand the English institutions leave out of view the character of the enduring works which had sprace that the salient energy of the English mind. Literature had better the first George knew no English; the search not for it, the first George knew no English; the search not better the form of the American Revolution, al. p. 48.

BARCHOT'S History of the American Revolution, al. p. 48.

Pare FORSTER'S Life of Goldsmith, 264, p. 180.

some very valuable distinct institutions, but even the most important event in Kreech history is due, in no small degree, to our inflictice. Their revolution of 1789 was, as is well known, brought about, or, to speak more properly, was mainly instigated, by a few great men, whose works, and afterwards whose speeches, roused the people to resistance; but what is less known, and nevertheless is certainly true, is, that these eminent leaders learnt in England that philosophy and those principles by which, when transplanted into their own country, such fearful and yet such salutary results were effected.

It will not, I hope, be supposed, that by these remarks I mean to cast any reflection on the French: a great and admirable people; a people in many respects superior to ourselves, a people from whom we have still much to learn, and whose deficiencies, such as they are, arise from the perpetual interference of a long line of arbitrary rulers But, looking at this matter historically, it is unquestionably true that we have worked out our civilization with little aid from them, while they have worked out theirs with great aid from us. At the same time, it must also be admitted, that our governments have interfered less with us than their governments have interfered with them. And without in the least prejudging the question as to which is the greater country, it is solely on these grounds that I consider our history more important than theirs and I select for especial study the progress of English civilization, simply because, being less effected by agencies not arising from itself, we can the more clearly discern in it the normal march of society, and the undisturbed operation of those great laws by which the fortunes of mankind are ultimately regulated.

After this comparison between the relative value of French and English history, it seems scarcely necessary to examine the claims which may be put forward for the history of other countries. Indeed, there are only two in favour any thing can be said: I mean Germany, dered as a whole, and the United States of North

Thee, for ridener of this transport of England, chap. xii. of the present volume.

America. As to the Germans, it is sincenbredly true, that since the middle of the eighteenth century they have produced a greater number of profound binkers than any other country, I might perhaps say, than all other countries put together. But the objections which apply to the French are still more applicable to the Germans. For the protective principle has been, and still is, stronger in Germany than in France. Even the best of the German governments are constantly interfering with the people; never leaving them to themselves, always looking after their interests, and meddling in the commonest affairs of daily life. Besides this, the German literature, though now the first in Europe, owes its origin, as we shall hereafter see, to that great sceptical movement, by which, in France, the Revolution was preceded. Before the middle of the eighteenth century, the Germans, notwithstanding a few eminent names, such as Kepler and Leibnitz, had no literature of real value; and the first impetus which they received, was caused by their contact with the French intellect, and by the influence of those eminent Frenchmen who, in the reign of Frederick the Great, flocked to Berlin, 8 a city which has ever since been the head-quarters of philosophy and science. From this there have resulted some very important circumstances, which I can here only briefly indicate. The German intellect, stimulated by the French into a sudden growth, has been irregularly developed; and thus hurried into an activity greater than the

⁸ The history of this remarkable, though short-lived, uni n between the French and German intellects will be traced in the next volume, but its first greaterfiect, in stimulating, or rather in creating, the German literature, is noticed by one of the most learned of their own writers: 'Denn einestheils war zu diesen Gegenständen immer die lateinische Sprache gebraucht, und die Muttersprache zu wenig cultivirt worden, anderntheils wurden diese Schriften auch meistentheils nur von Gelehrten, und zwar Universitätsgelehrten, für welche sie auch hauptsächlich bestimmt waren, gelesen. Gegen die Mitte des achtzehnten Jahrhunderts, als mehrere englische unde französische Werke gelesen und übersetzt wurden, und durch die Vorliebe des Königs von Preussen Friedrichs II., der von Franzosen gebildet den war, französische Gelehrte besonders geehrt und angestelle den, entstand ein Wetteifer der Deutschen, auch in dem schriftlit Vortrage nicht zurück zu bleiben, and die Sprache hab sich best in einem hohen Grade von Vollkemmenheit. Fariebassen Geschiebt der Philosophie, vol. xi. pp. 286, 287.

average civilization of the country requires. The consequence is, that there is no nation in Europe in which we find so wide an interval between the highest minds and the lowest minds. The German philosophers possess a learning, and a reach of thought, which places them at the head of the civilized world. The German people are more superstitious, more prejudiced, and, notwithstanding the care which the government takes of their education. more really ignorant, and more unfit to guide themselves, than are the inhabitants either of France or of England. 9 This separation and divergence of the two classes is the natural result of that artificial stimulus, which a century ago was administered to one of the classes, and which thus disturbed the normal proportions of society. Owing to this, the highest intellects have, in Germany, so, outstripped the general progress of the nation, that there is no sympathy between the two parties; nor are there at present any means by which they may be brought into contact. Their great authors address themselves, not to

A popular view of the system of national education established in Germany, will be found in KAY's Social Condition and Education of the People of Furope, vol. ii. pp. 1-344 But Mr Kay, like most literary men, overrates the advantages of literary acquirements, and underrates that education of the faculties which neither books nor schools can impart to a people who are debarred from the exercise of civil and political rights. In the history of the protective spirit (chaps. ix. and x. of the present volume), I shall return to this subject, in connexion with France; and in the next volume I shall examine it in regard to German civilization. In the mean time, 1 must be allowed to protest against the account Mr Kay has given of the results of compulsory education; an agreeable picture, drawn by an amiable and intelligent writer, but of the maccuracy of which I possess decisive evidence Two points only I will now refer to. lat. The notorious fact, that the German people, notwithstanding their so-called education, are unfit to take any share in political matters, and have no aptitude for the practical and administrative parts of government. 2nd. The fact, equally notorious to those who have studied the subject, that there are more popular superstitions in Prussia, the most educated part of Germany, than there are in England; and that the tenacity with which men cling to them is greater in Pressis than in England. For illustration of the practical workin an individual cases, of compulsory education, and of the hard-the it causes, see a scandalous occurrence, related in LAINO's Notes of Traveller, 8vo, 1842. p. 165, first series; and on the physical evils profinced by German education; see PRILLIPS on Scrofula, Landon, 1868, pp. 253, 354, where there is some useful evidence of the consequences of that great German sin of over-regulation.

their country, but to each other. are sure of a select and learned andience, and they use what, in reality, is a learned language; they turn their mother congue into a dialect, eloquent indeed, and very powerful, but so difficult, so subtle, and so full of complicated inversions, that to their own lower classes it is utterly incomprehensible. 10 this, there have arisen some of the most marked aliarities of German literature. For, being deprised of ordinary readers, it is cut off from the influence of ordinary prejudice; and hence, it has displayed a boldness of inquiry, a recklessness in the pursuit of truth and a disregard of traditional opinions, which entitle it to the highest praise. But, on the other hand, this same circumstance has produced that absence of practical knowledge, and that indifference to material and physical interests, for which the German literature is justly censured. As a matter of course, all this has widened the original breach, and increased the distance which separates the great German thinkers from that dull and photog class, which, though it lies immediately beneath them, still

10 This is well stated by Mr Laing, by far the ablest traveller who has published observations on European society 'German authors, both the philosophic and the poetic, address themselves to a public far more intellectual, and more highly cultivated, than our reading public . . . In our literature, the most obscure and abstruse of metaphysical or philosophical writers take the public mind in a far lower state, simply cognisant of the meaning of language, and possessed of the ordinary reasoning powers. . . . The social influence of German literature 18, consequently, confined within a narrower circle. It has no influence on the mind of the lower, or even of the middle classes in active life, who have not the opportunity or leisure to screw their faculties up to the pitch-note of their great writers. The reading public must devote much time to acquire the knowledge, tone of feeling, and of imagination, necessary to follow the writing public. The social economist finds accordingly in Germany the most extraordinary dulness, inertness of mind, and ignorance, below a certain level, with the most extraordinary intellectual development, learning, and genius, at or above it.' Large's Notes of a Traveller, first series, pp. 266, 267. The same acute observer says in a later work (Notes, third series, 8vo, 1852, p. 12): 'The two classes sy and think in different languages. The cultivated German language, the language of German literature, is not the language of the mon man, wor even of the man far up in the middle ranks of se - the farmer, tradesman, shopkeeper.' See also pp. 351, 352. 354. It is singular that so clear and vigorous a thinker as Mr. Laing dently is, should have failed in defecting the cause of this pervit phenomenon.

remains uninfluence by their knowledge, and uncheered by the glow and fire of their genius.

In America, on the other hand, we see a civilization precisely the reverse of this. We see a country, of which it has been truly said, that in no other are there so few men of great learning, and so few men of great ignorance. 11 In Germany, the speculative classes and the practical classes are altogether disunited; in America, they are altogether fused. In Germany, nearly every year brings forward new discoveries, new philosophies, new means by which the boundaries of knowledge are to be enlarged. In America, such inquiries are almost entirely neglected: since the time of Jonathan Edwards no great metaphysician has appeared; little attention has been paid to physical science; and, with the single exception of jurisprudence, 12 scarcely anything has been done for those vast subjects on which the Germans are incessantly labouring. The stock of American knowledge is small, but it is spread through all classes; the stock of German knowledge is immense, but it is confined to one class. Which of these two forms of civilization is the more advantageous, is a question we are not now called upon to decide. It is

^{11 &#}x27;Je ne pense pas qu'il y ait de pays dans le monde où, proportion gardée avec la population, il se trouve aussi peu d'ignorants et moins de savants qu'en Amérique.' Tocqueville de la Democratie en Amerique, vol. 1 p. 91. 13 The causes of this exception I shall endeavour to trace in the

next volume; but it is interesting to notice, that, as early as 1775, Burke was struck by the partiality of the Americans for works on law. See Bunke's Speech, in Parliamentary History, vol. xviii. p. 495; or in Bunke's Works, vol. i p. 188. He says: 'In no country perhaps in the world is the law so general a study. The profession itself is numerous and powerful; and in most provinces it takes the lead. The greater number of the deputies sent to the Congress were lawyers. But all who read - and most do read - endeavour to obtain some smattering in that science. I have been told by an eminent bookseller, that in no branch of his business, after tracts of popular devotion, were so many books as those on the law exported to the plantations. "he colonists have now fallen into the way of printing them for their own use. I hear that they have sold nearly as many of Blackstone's Commentaries in America as in England.' Of this state of society, the great works of Kent and Story were, at a later period, the natural result. On the respect at present felt for the legal profession, see Lyrll's Second Visit to the United States, 1849, vol. f. p. 45; and as to the judges, Comes's North America, vol. ii. p. 329.

enough for our present purpose, that a Germany, there is a serious failure in the diffusion of knowledge, and, in America, a no less serious one in its accumulation. And as civilization is regulated by the accumulation and diffusion of knowledge, it is evident that no country can even approach to a complete and perfect pattern, if, cultivating one of these conditions to an excess, it neglects the cultivation of the other. Indeed, from this want of balance and equilibrium between the two elements of civilization, there have arisen in America and in Germany those great but opposite evils, which, it is to be feared, will not be easily remedied; and which, until remedied, will certainly retard the progress of both countries, notwithstanding the temporary advantages which such one-sided energy does for the moment always procure.

I have very briefly, but I hope fairly, and certainly with no conscious partiality, endeavoured to estimate the relative value of the history of the four leading countries of the world. As to the real greatness of the countries themselves, I offer no opinion; because each considers itself to be the first. But, unless the facts I have stated can be controverted, it certainly follows, that the history of England is, to the philosopher, more valuable than any other; because he can more clearly see in it the accumulation and diffusion of knowledge going hand-in-hand; because that knowledge has been less influenced by foreign and external agencies; and because it has been less interfered with, either for good or for evil, by those powerful, but frequently incompetent men, to whom the administration of public affairs is entrusted.

It is on account of these considerations, and not at all from those motives which are dignified with the name of patriotism, that I have determined to write the history of my own country, in preference to that of any other; and to write it in a manner as complete, and as exhaustive, as the materials which are now extant will enable me to do. But, inasmuch as the circumstances aboutly stated, render it impossible to discover the laws of society solely by studying the history of a single nation, I have drawn up the present Introduction, in order to obviece

some of the difficulties with which this great subject is surrounded. In the earlier chapters I have attempted to mark out the limits of the subject considered as a whole, and fix the largest possible basis upon which it can rest. With this view, I have looked at civilization as broken into two vast divisions: the European division. in which Man is more powerful than Nature; and the non-European division, in which Nature is more powerful than Man. This has led us to the conclusion. that national progress, in connexion with popular liberty, could have originated in no part of the world except in Europe: where, therefore, the rise of real civilization. and the encroachments of the human mind upon the forces of nature, are alone to be studied. The superiority of the mental laws over the physical being thus recognized as the groundwork of European history, the next step has been to resolve the mental laws into moral and intellectual, and prove the superior influence of the intellectual ones in accelerating the progress of Man. These generalizations appear to me the essential preliminaries of history, considered as a science; and, in order to connect them with the special history of England, we have now merely to ascertain the fundamental condition of intellectual progress, as, until that is done, the annals of any people can only present an empirical succession of events, connected by such stray and casual links as are devised by different writers, according to their different prin-The remaining part of this Introduction will ciples. therefore be chiefly occupied in completing the scheme I have sketched, by investigating the history of various countries in reference to those intellectual peculiarities on which the history of our own country supplies no adequate information. Thus, for instance, in Germany the accumulation of knowledge has been far more rapid than in England; the laws of the accumulation of knowledge may, on that account, be most conveniently studied in German history, and then applied deductively to the history of England. In the same way, the Americans have diffused their knowledge much more completely than we have done; I, therefore, purpose to explain some of

the phenomena of English civilization by those laws of diffusion, of which, in American civilization, the workings may be most clearly seen, and hence the discovery most easily made. Again, inasmuch as France is the most civilized country in which the protective spirit is very powerful, we may trace the occult tendencies of that spirit among ourselves, by studying its obvious tendencies among our neighbours. With this view, I shall give an account of French history, in order to illustrate the protective principle, by showing the injury it has inflicted og a very able and enlightened people. And, in an analysis of the French Revolution, I shall point out how that great event was a reaction against the protective spirit; while, as the materials for the reaction were drawn from England, we shall also see in it the way in which the intellect of one country acts upon the intellect of another; and we shall arrive at some results respecting that interchange of ideas which is likely to become the most important regulator of European affairs. This will throw much light on the laws of international thought; and, in connexion with it, two separate chapters will be devoted to a History of the Protective Spirit, and an Examination of its relative intensity in France and England. But the French, as a people, have, since the beginning or middle of the seventeenth century, been remarkably free from superstition; and, notwithstandig the efforts of their government, they are very averse to ecclesiastical power: so that, although their history displays the protective principle in its political form, it supplies little evidence respecting its religious form; while, in our own country, the evidence is also scanty. Hence, my intention is, to give a view of Spanish history; because in it we may trace the full results of that protection against error which the spiritual classes are always eager to afford. In Spain, the church has, from a very early period, possessed more authority, and the clergy have been more influential, both with the people and the government, than in any other country; it will, therefore, be convenient to study in Spain the laws of ecclesiastical development, and the manner in which that de-

velopment affects the national interests. Another circumstance, which operates on the intellectual progress of a nation, is the method of investigation that its ablest men habitually employ. This method can only be one of two kinds; it must be either inductive, or deductive. Each of these belongs to a different form of civilization. and is always accompanied by a different style of thought. particularly in regard to religion and science. These differences are of such immense importance, that, until their laws are known, we cannot be said to understand the real history of past events. Now, the two extremes of the difference are, undoubtedly. Germany and the United States; the Germans being pre-emmently deductive, the Americans inductive. But Germany and America are. in so many other respects, diametrically opposed to each other, that I have thought it expedient to study the operations of the deductive and inductive spirit in countries between which a closer analogy exists; because the greater the similarity between two nations, the more easily can we trace the consequences of any single divergence, and the more conspicuous do the laws of that divergence become Such an opportunity occurs in the history of Scotland, as compared with that of England. Here we have two nations, bordering on each other, speaking the same language, reading the same literature, and knit together by the same interests. And yet it is a truth, which seems to have escaped attention, but the proof of which I shall fully detail, that, until the last thirty or forty years, the Scotch intellect has been even more entirely deductive than the English intellect has been inductive. The inductive tendencies of the English mind, and the almost superstitious reverence with which we cling to them, have been noticed with regret by a few, and a very few, of our ablest men. 13 On the other hand, in Scotland, particularly during the eighteenth century,

^{11.} Particularly Coloridge and Mr. John Mill. But, with the greatest possible respect for Mr. Mill's profound work on Logic. I smust venture to think that he has ascribed too much to the influence of Bason in encouraging the inductive spirit, and too little to those other circumstances which gave rise to the Basonian philosophy, and to which that philosophy owes its success.

the great thinkers, with hardly an exception, adopted the deductive method. Now, the characteristic of deduction. when applied to branches of knowledge not yet ripe for it, is, that it increases the number of hypotheses from which we reason downwards, and brings into disrepute the slow and patient ascent peculiar to inductive inquiry. This desire to grasp at truth by speculative, and, as it were, foregone conclusions, has often led the way to great discoveries; and no one, properly instructed, will deny its immense value. But when it is universally followed, there is imminent danger lest the observation of mere empirical uniformities should be neglected; and lest thinking men should grow impatient at those small and proximate generalizations which, according to the inductive scheme, must invariably precede the larger and higher ones. Whenever this impatience actually occurs, there is produced serious mischief. For these lower generalizations form a neutral ground, which speculative minds and practical minds possess in common, and on which they meet. If this ground is cut away, the meeting is impossible. In such case, there arises among the scientific classes an undue contempt for inferences which the experience of the vulgar has drawn, but of which the laws seem inexplicable; while, among the practical classes, there arises a disregard of speculations so wide, so magnificent, and of which the intermediate and preliminary steps are hidden from their gaze. The results of this in Scotland are highly curious, and are, in several respects, similar to those which we find in Germany; since in both countries the intellectual classes have long been remarkable for their boldness of investigation and their freedom from prejudice, and the people at large equally remarkable for the number of their superstitions and the strength of their prejudices. In Scotland this is even more striking than in Germany; because the Scotch. owing to causes which have been little studied, are, in practical matters, not only industrious and provident, but singularly shrewd. This, however, in the higher departments of life, has availed them nothing; and, while there is no country which possesses a more original, inquisitive, and innoving literature than Scotland does, so also is there no country, equally civilized, in which so much of the spirit of the Middle Ages still lingers, in which so many absurdities are still believed, and in which it would be so easy to rouse into activity the old feelings of religious intolerance.

The divergence, and indeed the hostility, thus established between the practical and speculative classes, is the most important fact in the history of Scotland, and is partly cause and partly effect of the predominance of the deductive method. For this descending scheme being opposed to the ascending or inductive scheme, neglects those lower generalizations which are the only ones that both classes understand, and, therefore, the only ones where they sympathize with each other. The inductive method, as popularized by Bacon, gave great prominence to these lower or proximate truths, and this, though it has often made the intellectual classes in England too utilitarian, has at all events saved them from that state of isolation in which they would otherwise have remained. But in Scotland the isolation has been almost complete, because the deductive method has been almost nniversal. evidence of this will be collected in the next volume; but, that I may not leave the subject entirely without illustration, I will notice very briefly the principal instances that occured during those three generations in which Scotch literature reached its highest excellence.

During this period, which comprises nearly a century, the tendency was so unmistakable as to form a striking phenomenon in the annals of the human mind. The first great symptom was a movement begun by Simson, professor at the University of Glasgow, and continued by Stewart, professor at the University of Edinburgh. These able men made strenuous efforts to revive the pure Greek geometry, and depreciate the algebraic or symbolical analysis. Hence there arose among them, and among

¹⁴ Simson was appointed in 1711; and even before he began to lecture, he drew up 'a translation of the three first books of L'Hospital's Conic Sections, in which geometrical demonstrations are substituted for the algebraical of the original, according to Mr. Simson's

their disciples, a love of the most refined methods of solution, and a contempt for these easier, but less elegant ones, which we owe to algebra. 18 Here we clearly see the isolating and esoteric character of a scheme which despises what ordinary understandings can quickly master, and which had rather proceed from the ideal to the tangible, than mount from the tangible to the ideal. Just at the same time, the same spirit was displayed, in another branch of inquiry, by Hutcheson, who, though an Irishman by birth, was educated in the University of Glasgow, and was professor there. In his celebrated moral and asthetic researches, he, in the place of inductive reasoning from palpable facts, substituted deductive reasoning from impalpable principles, ignoring the immediate and practical suggestions of the senses, and behaving that by a hypothetical assumption of certain laws, he could descend upon the facts, instead of rising from the facts in order to learn the laws. 16 His philosophy exercised immense influence

early taste on this subject' TRAIL'S Lite and Writings of Robert Simeon, 1812, 4to, p. 4. This was probably the rudiment of his work on Come Sections, published in 1735. Monfulla, Historic des Mathematiques, vol. 111, p. 12. On the difference between the ancient and modern schemes, there are some ingenious, though perhaps scarcely tenable, remarks in Dugald Stewart's Philosophy of the Mind, vol. 11, pp. 334 seq. and p. 380. See also Comite, Philosophie Positic, vol. 11, pp. 383—395. Matthew Stewart, the mathematical professor at Edinburgh, was the father of Dugald. See, respecting him and his crusade against the modern analysis, Bowker's History of the University of Edinburgh, vol. ii pp. 357—360, vol. 111 p. 249, and a strange passage in First Report of the British Association, p. 59

15 One of Simson's great reasons for recommending the old analysis, was that it was 'more elegant' that the comparatively modern practice of introducing algebraic calculations into geometry. See TRAIL'S Simson, 1812, 4to, pp. 27, 67, a valuable work, which Lord Brougham, in his hasty life of Simson, calls, 'a very learned and exceedingly ill-written, indeed hardly readable' book. Brougham's Men of Letters and Science, vol. 1. p. 482, 8vo, 1845. Dr. Trail's style is clearer, and his sentences are less involved, than Lord Brougham's; and he had moreover the great advantage of understanding the subject upon which he wrote.

16 Sir James Mackintosh (Dissertation on Ethical Philosophy, p. 208) says of Hutcheson. To him may also be ascribed that proneness to multiply ultimate and original principles in human nature, which characterized the Scottish school till the second extinction of a passion for methaphysical speculation in Scotland. There is an able view of Hutcheson's philosophy in Cousin; Histoire de la Philosophie, I. série, vol. iv. pp. 31 seq.; written with clearness and eloquence, but perhaps overpraising Hutcheson.

among metaphysicians; 17 and his method fof working downwards, from the abstract to the concrete, was adopted by another and a still greater Scotchman, the illustrious Adam Smith. How Smith favoured the deductive form of investigation, is apparent in his Theory of Moral Sentiments, likewise in his Essay on Language, 18 and even in his fragment on the History of Astronomy, in which he, from general considerations, undertook to prove what the march of astronomical discovery must have been, instead of first ascertaining what it had been. 19 The Wealth of Nations, again, is entirely deductive, since in it Smith generalizes the laws of wealth, not from the phenomena of wealth, nor from statistical statements, but from the phenomena of selfishness; thus making a deductive application of one set of mental principles to the whole set of economical facts. 20 The illustrations with which his great

On its influence, see a letter from Mackintosh to Parr, in Memoirs of Mackintosh, by his Son, vol 1, p 344. Compare Letters from Warburton to Huid, pp 37, 82.

¹⁸ Which is added to his Theory of Moral Sentiments, edit. 1822, 2 volumes Compare a letter which Smith wrote in 1763 on the origin of language (in Nichol's Li'erary Itlastrations of the Eighteenth Century, vol. 111 pp. 515, 516), which exhibits, on a small scale, the same treatment, as distinguished from a generalization of the facts which are supplied by a comprehensive comparison of different languages Dr. Arnold speaks slightingly of such investigation. He says, 'Attempts to explain the phenomena of language a priori seem to me unwise.' Aunold's Mescellaneous Works, p 385 This would lead into a discussion too long for a note, but it appears to me that these a priori interences are, to the philologist, what hypotheses are to the inductive natural philosopher, and if this be the case, they are extremely important, because no really fruitful experiment ever can be made unless it is preceded by a judicious hypothesis. In the absence of such an hypothesis, men may grope in the dark for centuries, accumulating facts without obtaining knowledge.

¹⁷ See, for instance, his attempt to prove, from general reasonings concerning the human mind, that there was a necessary relation in regard to the order in which men promulgated the system of concentric spheres and that of eccentric spheres and epicycles. History of Astronomy, in Smith's Philosophical Essays, 1795, 4to, pp 31, 35, which it may be convenient to compare with Whewell's Philosophy of the Inductive Sciences, 1847, vol. ii. pp. 53, 60, 61. This striking fragment of Adam Smith's is probably little read now; but it is warmly praised by one of the greatest living philosophers. M. A. Comte, in his Philosophic Positive, vol. vi. 319.

²⁰ The two writers who have inquired most carefully into the method which political economists ought to follow, are M. John Mill (Essays on Unsettled Questions of Political Economy, 1844, pp. 120—464)

book abounds are no part of the real argument: they are subsequent to the conception; and if they were all omitted, the work, though less interesting, and perhaps less influential, would, in a scientific point of view, be equally valuable. To give another instance: the works of Hume, his metaphysical essays alone excepted, are all deductive; his profound economical inquiries are essentially a priori, and might have been written without any acquaintance with those details of trade and finance from which, according to the inductive scheme, they should have been generalized. ²¹ Thus, too, in his Natural History of Religion, he endeavoured simply by reflection, and independently of evidence, to institute a purely speculative investigation into the origin of religious opinions. ²² In

and Mr Rae (New Principles of Political Economy, 1834, pp. 328-351). Mr Rae, in his ingenious work, objects to Adam Smith that he transgressed the rules of the Baconian philosophy, and thus prevented his inferences from being as valuable as they would have been if he had treated his subject inductively. But Mr Mill, with great force of reasoning, has proved that the deductive plan is the only one by which political economy can be raised to a science. He says, p. 143, political economy is 'essentially an abstract science, and its method is the method a priori, and at p. 146, that the a posteriori method is 'altogether inefficacious' To this I may add, that the modern theory of rent, which is now the corner-stone of political economy, was got at, not by generalizing economical facts, but by reasoning downwards after the manner of geometricians. Indeed, those who oppose the theory of rent, always do so on the ground that it is contradicted by facts, and then, with complete ignorance of the philosophy of method, they infer that therefore the theory is wrong. See, for instance, JORES on the Distribution of Wealth. 8vo, 1831; a book containing some interesting facts, but vitiated by this capital defect of method. See also Journal of Statistical Society, vol. 1. p. 317, vol vi. p. 322; where it is said that economical theories should be generalized from statistical facts. Compare vol. xvii. p. 116, vol. xviii. p. 101.

²¹ A striking instance has lately come to light of the sagacity with which Hume employed this method. See Burrow's Life and Correspondence of Hume, vol. ii. p. 486; where we find, that immediately Hume had read the Wealth of Nations, he detected Smith's error concerning rent being an element of price: so that it now appears that Hume was the first to make this great discovery, as far as the idea is concerned; though Ricardo has the merit of proving it.

The historical facts he introduces are merely illustrations; as any one will see who will read The Natural History of Religion, in Hung's Philos. Works, Edinb. 1828, vol. iv. pp. 435—518. I may mention, that there is a considerable similarity between the views advocated in this remarkable essay and the religious stages of Compa's Philosophie Positice, for Hume's early form of polytheism is evidently the same as M. Comte's fetichism, from which both these writers believe that

the same way, in his History of England, instead of first collecting the evidence, and then drawing inferences from it, he began by assuming that the relations between the people and the government must have followed a certain order, and he either neglected or distorted the facts by which this supposition was contradicted. 23 These different writers, though varying in their principles, and in the subjects they studied, were all agreed as to their method; that is to say, they were all agreed to investigate truth rather by descent than by ascent. The immense social importance of this peculiarity, I shall examine in the next volume, where I shall endeavour to ascertain how it affected the national civilization, and caused some curious contrasts with the opposite, and more empirical, character of English literature. In the meantime, and merely to state what will be hereafter proved, I may add, that the deductive method was employed, not only by those eminent Scotchmen I have mentioned, but was carried into the speculative History of Civil Society by Ferguson; into the study of legislation by Mill; into the study of jurisprudence by Mackintosh; into geology by Hutton; into

monothersm subsequently arose, as a later and more refined abstraction. That this was the course adopted by the human mind is highly probable, and is confirmed by the learned researches of Mr. Grote. See his History of Greece, vot. i pp. 462, 497, vol v. p. 22. The opposite and more popular opinion, of monothersm preceding idolatry, was held by most of the great earlier writers, and is defended by many moderns, and among others by Dr. Whewell (Bridgewater Treatise, p. 256), who expresses himself with considerable confidence: see also Letters from Warburton to Hurd, p. 239. Compare Third-Wall's History of Greece, vol i p. 183, Lond 1835, with the 'einige Funken des Monotheismus' of Kant, Kritik der reines Vernunft, in Kant's Werke, vol. ii. p. 455.

22 That is to say, he treated historical facts as merely illustrative of certain general principles, which he believed could be proved without the facts; so that, as M. Schlosser (History of the Eighteenth (Century, vol ii p. 76) well says, 'History with Hume was only a subordinate pursuit, only a means by which he might introduce his philosophy,' &c. Considering how little is known of the principles which governs social and political changes, there can be no doubt that Hums was premature in the application of this method; but it is absurd to call the method dishonest, since the object of his History was, not to prose conclusions, but to situate at them. and is therefore thought himself justified in selecting the illustrations. I am simply stating his views, without at all defeading them: indeed, I believe that in this respect he was seriously in the wrong.

INFLUENCE OF RELIGION,

the motics by Black and Leslie; into physiology by Hunter, by Alexander Walker, and by Charles Bell; into pathology by Cullen; into therapeutics by Brown and Currie.

This is an outline of the plan I purpose to follow in the present Introduction, and by means of which I hope to arrive at some results of permanent value. studying different principles in those countries where they have been most developed, the laws of the principles will be more easily unfolded than if we had studied them in countries where they are very obscure. And, inasmuch as, in England, signification has followed a course more orderly, and less disturbed, than in any other country, it becomes the more necessary, in writing its history, to use some resources like those which I have suggested. What makes the history of England so eminently valuable is, that nowhere else has the national progress been so little interfered with, either for good or for evil. But the mere fact that our civilization has, by this means, been preserved in a more natural and healthy state, renders it incumbent on us to study the diseases to which it is liable, by observing those other countries where social disease is more rife. The security and the durability of civilizations must depend on the regularity with which its elements are combined, and on the harmony with which they work. If any one element is too active, the whole composition will be in danger. Hence it is, that although the laws of the composition of the elements will be best ascertained wherever we can find the composition most complete, we must, nevertheless, search for the laws of each separate element, wherever we can find the element itself most active. While, therefore, I have selected the history of England, as that in which the harmony of the different principles has been longest maintained. I have, precisely on that account, thought it advisable to study each principle separately in the country where it has been most powerful, and where, by its inordinate development, the equilibrium of the entire structure has been disturbed.

By adepting these precautions, we shall be able to remove many of the difficulties which still beset the study of history. Before, however, entering that wide field

which now lies in our way, it will be well to clear up some preliminary points, which I have not yet noticed, and the discussion of which may obviste certain objections that might otherwise be raised. The subjects to which I allude, are Religion, Literature, and Government: three topics of vast importance, and which, in the opinion of many persons, are the prime movers of human affairs. That this opinion is altogether erroneous will be amply proved, in the present work; but as the opinion is widely spread, and is very plausible, it is necessary that we should at once come to some understanding respecting it, and inquire into the real nature of that influence, which these three great powers do actually exercise over the progress of civilization.

Now, in the first place, it is evident that if a people were left entirely to themselves, their religion, their liferature, and their government would be, not the causes of their civilization, but the effects of it Out of a certain condition of society certain results naturally follow. Those results may, no doubt, be tampered with by some external agency; but if that is not done, it is impossible that a highly civilized people, accustomed to reason and to doubt. should ever embrace a religion of which the glaring absurdities set reason and doubt at defiance. There are many instances of nations changing their religion, but there is no instance of a progressive country voluntarily adopting a retrogressive religion; neither is there any example of a declining country ameliorating its religion. It is of course true, that a good religion is favourable to civilization, and a bad one unfavourable to it. however, there is some interference from without, no people will ever discover that their religion is bad, until their reason tells them so; but if their reason is inactive, and their knowledge stationary, the discovery will never be made. A country that continues in its old ignorance, will always remain in its old religion. Surely nothing can be plainer than this. A very ignorant people will, by virtue of their ignorance, incline towards a religion full of marvels; a religion which boasts of innumerable gods, and which ascribes every occurrence to the immediate authority of those gods. On the other hand, a people whose knowledge makes them better judges of evidence, and who are accustomed to that most difficult task, the practice of doubting, will require a religion less marvellous, less obtrusive; one that taxes their credulity less heavily. But will you, therefore, say, that the badness of the first religion causes the ignorance; and that the goodness of the second religion causes the knowledge? Will you say, that when one event precedes another, the one which comes first is the effect, and the one which follows afterwards is the cause? This is not the way in which men reason on the ordinary affairs of life; and it is difficult to see why they should reason thus respecting the history of past events.

The truth is, that the religious opinions which prevail in any period, are among the symptoms by which that period is marked. When the opinions are deeply rooted, they do, no doubt, influence the conduct of men; but before they can be deeply rooted, some intellectual change must first have taken place. We may as well expect that the seed should quicken in the barren rock, as that a mild and philosophic religion should be established among ignorant and ferocious savages. Of this innumerable experiments have been made, and always with the same result. Men of excellent intentions, and full of a fervent though mistaken zeal, have been, and still are, attempting to progagate their own religion among the inhabitants of barbarous countries. By strenuous and unremitting activity, and frequently by promises, and even by actual gifts, they have, in many cases, persuaded savage communities to make a profession of the Christian religion. But whoever will compare the triumphant reports of the missionaries with the long chain of evidence supplied by competent travellers, will soon find that such profession is only nominal, and that these ignorant tribes have adopted indeed, the ceremonies of the new religion, but have by no means adopted the religion itself. They feceive the externals, but there they stop. They may baptize their children; they may take the sacrament; they may flock . to the church. All this they may do, and yet be as far removed from the spirit of Christianity as when they

bowed the knee before their former idols. The rites and forms of a religion lie on the surface; they are at once seen, they are quickly learned, easily copied by those who are unable to penetrate to that which lies beneath. It is this deeper and inward change which alone is durable; and this the savage can never experience while he is sunk in an ignorance that levels him with the brutes by which he is surrounded. Remove the ignorance, and then the religion may enter. This is the only course by which ultimate benefit can be effected. After a careful study of the history and condition of barbarous nations, I do most confidently assert, that there is no well attested case of any people being permanently converted to Christianity, except in those very few instances where missionaries, being men of knowledge, as well as men of piety, have familiarized the savage with habits of thought, and, by thus stimulating his intellect, have prepared him for the reception of those religious principles, which, without such stimulus, he could never have understood.24

It is in this way that, looking at things upon a large scale, the religion of mankind is the effect of their improvement, not the cause of it. But, looking at things upon a small scale, or taking what is called a practical view of some short and special period, circumstances will occasionally occur which disturb this general order, and

24 A writer of great authority has made some remarks on this, which are worth attending to: 'Ce fut alors que les Jésuites pénétrèrent dans la Chine pour y prêcher l'évangile. Ils ne tardèrent pas à s'apercevoir qu'un des moyens les plus efficaces pour s'y maintenir. en attendant le moment que le ciel avoit marqué pour éclairer ce vaste empire, étoit d'étaler des connoissances astronomiques.' Mon-TUCLA, Histoire des Mathematiques, vol. i. p. 466; and see vol. ii. pp. 586, 587. Cuvier delicately hints at the same conclusion. He says of Emery: 'Il se souvenuit que l'époque où le christianisme a fait le plus de conquêtes, et où ses ministres ont obtenu le plus de respect, est celle, où ils portaient ches les peuples convertis les lumières des lettres, en même temps que les vérités de la religion, et où ils formaient à la fois dans les nations l'ordre le plus éminent et le plus Sclairs.' Cuvan. Eloges Historiques, vol. iii. p. 170. Even Southey (History of Brazil, vol. ii. p. 378) says: 'Missionaries have always complained of the fickleness of their converts; and they must always complain of it, till they discover that some degree of civilization must precede conversion, or at least accompany it. And see to the same effect, HALKETT's Notes on the North American Indians, pp. 352, 253; and Commu's North America, vol. i. p. 250, vol. ii. p. 353.

apparently reverse the natural process. And this, as in all such cases, can only arise from the peculiarities of individual men; who, moved by the minor laws which regulate individual actions, are able, by their genius or their energy, to interfere with the operation of those greater laws which regulate large societies. Owing to circumstances still unknown, there appear, from time to time, great thinkers, who devoting their lives to a single purpose, are able to anticipate the progress of mankind, and to produce a religion or a philosophy, by which important effects are eventually brought about But, if we look into history, we shall clearly see that, although the origin of a new opinion may be thus due to a single man, the result which the new opinion produces will depend on the condition of the people among whom it is propagated. If either a religion or a philosophy is too much in advance of a nation, it can do no present service, but must bide its time, until the minds of men are ripe for its reception. Of this innumerable instances will occur to most readers. Every science and every creed has had its martyrs; men exposed to obloquy, or even to death, because they knew more than their contemporaries, and because society was not sufficiently advanced to receive the truths which they communicated. According to the ordinary course of affairs, a few generations pass away, and then there comes a period when these very truths are looked upon as commonplace facts; and a little later, there comes another period, in which they are declared to be necessary, and even the dullest intellects wonder how they could ever have been denied. This is what happens when the human mind is allowed to have fair play, and to exercise itself, with tolerable freedom, in the accumulation and diffusion of knowledge. If, however, by violent, and therefore by artificial, means, this same society is prevented from exercising its intellect, then the truths, however important they may be, can never be received. For why should certain truths be rejected in one age, and acknowledged in another? The truths remain the same; their ultimate recognition must, therefore, be due to a change in the society which now accepts

what it had before despised. Indeed, history is full of evidence of the utter inefficiency even of the noblest principles, when they are promulgated among a very ignorant nation. Thus it was that the doctrine of One God. taught to the Hebrews of old, remained for many centuries altogether inoperative. The people to whom it was addressed had not yet emerged from barbarism; they were therefore unable to raise their minds to so elevated a conception. Like all other barbarians, they craved after a religion which would feed their credulity with incessant wonders and which, instead of abstracting the Deity to a single essence, would multiply their gods until they covered every field, and swarmed in every forest. This is the idolatry which is the natural fruit of ignorance; and this at is to which the Hebrews were perpetually recurring. Notwithstanding the most severe and unremitting punishments, they, at every opportunity, abandoned that pure theism which their minds were too backward to receive, and relapsed into superstitions which they could more easily understand, - mto the worship of the golden calf, and the adoration of the brazen serpent. Now, and in this age of the world, they have long ceased to do these things. And why? Not because their religious feelings are more easily aroused, or their religious fears more often excited. So far from this, they are dissevered from their old associations; they have lost for ever those scenes by which men might well have been moved. They are no longer influenced by those causes which inspired emotions, sometimes of terror, sometimes of gratitude. They no longer witness the pillar of cloud by day, or the pillar of fire by night; they no longer see the Law being given from Sinai, nor do they hear the thunder rolling from In the presence of these great appeals, they remained idolaters in their hearts, and whenever an opportunity occured, they became idolaters in their practice; and this they did because they were in that state of barbarism, of which idolatry is the natural product. To what possible circumstance can their subsequent change be ascribed, except to the simple fact, that the Hebrews, like all other people, as they advanced in civilization, began to abstract and refine their religion, and, despising the old worship of many gods, thus by slow degrees elevated their minds to that steady perception of One Great Cause, which, at an earlier period, it had been vainly attempted to impress upon them?

Thus intimate is the connexion between the opinions of a people and their knowledge; and thus necessary is it that, so far as nations are concerned, intellectual activity should precede religious improvement. If we require further illustrations of this important truth, we shall find them in the events which occurred in Europe soon after the promulgation of Christianity. The Romans were, with rare exceptions, an ignorant and barbarous race; ferocious, dissolute, and cruel. For such a people, Polytheism was, the natural creed; and we read, accordingly, that they practised an idolatry which a few great thinkers. and only a few, ventured to despise. The Christian religion, falling among these men, found them unable to appreciate its sublime and admirable doctrines. when, a little later, Europe was overrun by fresh immigrations, the invaders, who were even more barbarous than the Romans, brought with them those superstitions which were suited to their actual condition. It was upon the materials arising from these two sources that Christianity was now called to do her work. The result is most remarkable. For after the new religion seemed to have carried all before it, and had received the homage of the best part of Europe, it was soon found that nothing had been really effected. It was soon found that society was in that early stage in which superstition is inevitable; and in which men, if they do not have it in one form, will have it in another. It was in vain that Christianity taught a simple doctrine, and enjoined a simple worship. The minds of men were too backward for so great a step, and required more complicated forms, and a more complicated belief. What followed is well known to the students of ecclesiastical history. The superstition of Europe, instead of being diminished, was only turned into a fresh channel. The new religion was corrupted by the old follies. The adoration of idols was succeeded by the adoration of saints;

the worship of the Virgin, was substituted for the worship of Cybele; ²⁵ Pagan ceremonies were established in Christian churches; not only the mummeries of idolatry, but likewise its doctrines, were quickly added, and were incorporated and worked into the spirit of the new religion; until, after the lapse of a few generations. 'Christianity exhibited so grotesque and hideous a form, that its best features were lost, and the lineaments of its earlier loveliness altogether destroyed. ²⁶

After some centuries were passed, Christianity slowly emerged from these corruptions; many of which, however, even the most civilized countries have not vet been able to throw off. 27 Indeed, it was found impossible to effect even the beginning of a reform, until the European intellect was, in some degree, roused from its lethergy. The knowledge of men, gradually advancing, made them indignant at superstitions which they had formerly admired. The way in which their indignation increased. until, in the sixteenth century, it broke out into that great event which is well called the Reformation, forms one of the most interesting subjects in modern history. But, for our present purpose, it is enough to keep in mind the memorable and important fact that, for centuries after Christianity was the established religion of Europe, it failed to bear its natural fruit, because its lot was cast

²² This is curiously illustrated by the fact, that the 25th of March, which is now called Lady-day, in honour of the Virgin Mary. was, in Pagan times, called Inlaria, and was dedicated to Cybele, the mother of the gods. Compare Blunt's Institute of Ancient Manners, 8vo. 1823, pp. 55-55, with Harpson's Media-Ere Kalendarium, 8vo, 1841, vol. 1, pp. 56, 177.

On this interesting subject, the two best English books are, MIDDLETON'S Letter from Rome, and PRINSTLEY'S History of the Corruptions of Christianity; the former work being chiefly valuable for ritual corruptions, the latter work for doctrinal ones. BLUNY'S lestinges of Ancient Manners is also worth reading; but is very inferior to the two treatises just named, and is conceived in a much marrower spirit.

²⁷ The large amount of Paganism which still exists in every Christian sect, forms an argument against an ingenious distinction which M. Bunnen has made between the change of a religion and that of a language; alterations in a religion being, as he supposes, always more abrupt than, those in a language. Bunsen's Egypt, vol. i. pp. 356, 359.

among a people whose ignorance compelled them to be superstitious, and who, on account of their superstition, defaced a system which, in its original purity, they were unable to receive.²⁸

Indeed, in every page of history, we meet with fresh evidence of the little effect religious doctrines can produce upon a people, unless preceded by intellectual culture. The influence exercised by Protestantism, as compared with Catholicism, affords an interesting example of this. The Catholic religion bears to the Protestant religion exactly the same relation that the Dark Ages bear to the sixteenth century In the Dark Ages, men were credulous and ignorant; they therefore produced a religion which required great belief and little knowledge. In the sixteenth century, their credulity and ignorance, though still considerable, were rapidly diminishing, and it was found necessary to organize a religion suited to their altered circumstances: a religion more favourable to free inquiry: a religion less full of miracles, saints, legends, and idols; a religion of which the ceremonies were less frequent, and less burdensome; a rehgion which should discourage penance, fasting, confession, celibacy, and those other mortifications which had long been universal. All this was done by the etablishment of Protestantism: a mode of worship which, being thus suited to the age, made, as is well known, speedy progress. If this great movement had been allowed to proceed without interruption, it would, in the course of a few generations, have overthrown the old superstition, and established in its • place a simpler and less troublesome creed; the rapidity with which this was done, being, of course, proportioned to the intellectual activity of the different countries. But,

²⁸ It was necessary, says M. Maury, that the church 'se rapprochat davantage de l'esprit grossier, inculte, ignorant du barbare.' Μάσιαγ, Légendes Pieuses du Moyen Aye, p 101. An exactly, similar process has taken place in India, where the Puranas are to the Vedas what the works of the Fathers are to the New Testament. Compare Elements of the Fathers are to the New Testament. Compare Elements of History of India, pp. 87, 88, 98, Wilson's Preface to the Vishnu Purana, p. vii.; and Transactions of Bombay Society, vol. i. p. 205. So that, as M. Max Müller well expresses it, the Puranas age 'a secondary formation of Indian mythology.' MÜRLER on the Lawguages of India, in Reports of British Association for 1847, p. 324.

unfortunately, the European governments, who are always meddling in matters with which they have no concern, thought it their duty to protect the religious interests of the people; and making common cause with the Catholic clergy, they, in many instances, forcibly stopped the heresy, and thus arrested the natural development of the age. This interference was, in nearly all cases, well intended, and is solely to be ascribed to the ignorance of rulers respecting the proper limits of their functions: but the evils caused by this ignorance it would be difficult to exaggerate. During almost a hundred and fifty years. Europe was afflicted by religious wars, religious massacres, and religious persecutions; not one of which would have arisen, if the great truth had been recognised, that the state has no concern with the opimons of men, and no right to interfere, even in the slightest degree, with the form of worship which they may choose to adopt. This principle was, however, formerly unknown, or at all events, unheeded, and it was not until the middle of the seventeenth century that the great religious contests were brought to a final close, and the different countries settled down into their public creeds; which, in the essential points, have never since been permanently altered; no nation having, for more than two hundred years, made, war upon another on account of its religion; and all the great Catholic countries having, during the same period. remained Catholic, all the great Protestant ones remained Protestant.

From this it has arisen, that, in several of the European countries, the religious development has not followed its natural order, but has been artificially forced into an unnatural one. According to the natural order, the most civilized countries should all be Protestants, and the most uncivilized ones Catholics. In the average of instances, this is actually the case; so that many persons have been led into the singular error, of ascribing all modern enlightenment to the influence of Protestantism; overlooking the important fact, that until the enlightenment had begun, Protestantism was never required. But although, in the ordinary course of affairs, the advance of

the Reformation would have been the measure, and the symptom, of that advance of knowledge by which it was preceded, still, in many cases, the authority of the government and of the church acted as disturbing causes. and frustrated the natural progress of religious improvement. And, after the treaty of Westphalia had fixed the political relations of Europe, the love of theological strife so greatly subsided, that mer no longer thought it worth their while to raise a religious revolution, and to risk their lives in an attempt to overturn the creed of the state. At the same time, governments, not being themselves particularly fond of revolutions, have encouraged this stationary condition; and very naturally, and, as it appears to me, very wisely, have made no great alteration, but have left the national establishments as they found them; that is to say, the Protestant ones Protestant. the Catholic ones Catholic. Hence it is, that the national religion professed by any country at the present moment. is no decisive criterion of the present civilization of the country; because the circumstances which fixed the religion occurred long since, and the religion remains endowed and established by the mere continuance of an impetus which was formerly given.

Thus far as to the origin of the ecclesiastical establishments of Europe. But, in their practical consequences, we see some results which are highly instructive. For many countries, owing their national creed, not to their own proper antecedents, but to the authority of powerful individuals, it will be invariably found, that in such countries the creed does not produce the effects which might have been expected from it, and which, according to its terms, it ought to produce. Thus, for instance, the Catholic religion is more superstitions, and more intolerant, than the Protestant; but it by no means follows, that those countries which profess the former creed, must be more superstitious, and more intolerant, than those which profess the latter. So far from this, the French are not only quite as free from those odious qualities as are the most civilized Protestants, but they are more free from them than some Protestant nations.

as the Scotch and the Swedes. Of the highly-educated class, I am not here speaking; but of the clergy, and of the people generally, it must be admitted, that in Scotland there is more bigotry, more superstition, and a more thorough contempt for the religion of others; than there is in France. And in Sweden, which is one of the oldest Protestant countries in Europe, 29 there is, not occasionally, but habitually, an intolerance and a spirit of persecution, which would be discreditable to a Catholic country; but which is doubly disgraceful when proceeding from a people who profess to base their religion on the right of private judgment 30

These things show, what it would be easy to prove by a wider induction, that when, from special, or, as they are called, accidental causes, any people profess a religion more advanced than themselves, it will not produce its legitimate effect 31. The superiority of Protestantism over

[&]quot;The doctrines of Luther were first preached in Sweden in 1519, and in 1527 the principles of the Reformation were formally adopted in an assembly of the States at Westeras which enabled Gustavus Vasa to seize the property of the church Geiden's Hot ry of the Swedie part i pp 110, 118, 119, Moshin's F cheaste al History, vol in p 22, Chichton and Wheaton's History of Scandinavia, vol. i pp 399, 400. The abostasy proceeded so favourably, that De Thou (Historie Univ. vol. xiii p. 312) says in 1500, "Il y avoit dépà ai long-tems que ce culté étoit étable qu'ul étoit comme impossible de trouver, soit parmi le peuple, soit parmi les seigneurs, quelqu'un qui se souvint d'avoir vu dans ce rolaume l'exercice public de la religion catholique'.

¹⁹ On the state of things in 1638, see some curious, and indeed shameful, details in Laine's Suceden, voo some curious. Mr. Laing, though himself a Protestant, truly says, that in Protestant Sweden there 'is inquisition law working in the hands of a Lutheran state-church, as strongly as in Spain or Portugal in the hands of a Roman Catholic Church. Laine's Sueden, p 324 in the seventeenth century, it was ordered by the Swedish church, and the order was confirmed by government, that 'if any Swedish subject change his religion, he shall be banished the kingdom, and lose all right of inheritance, both for himself and his descendants. . . . If any bring into the country teachers of another religion. he shall be fined and banished. Businers of some form of the shall be all the same till 1781 that Roman Catholics were allowed to exercise their religion in Sweden. See Chickton's History of Scandinacta, Ediab. 1838, vol ii. p. 320. See also, on this intolerant spirit, Whitelocker's Journal of the Swedish Embassy, vol. i. pp. 164, 412, vol. ii. p. 312.

We see a good instance of this in the case of the Abyasinians, who have professed Christianity for centuries, but, as no pains were

Catholicism consists in its diminution of superstition and intolerance, and in the check which it gives to ecclesiastical power. But the experience of Europe teaches us, that when the superior religion is fixed among an inferior people, its superiority is no longer seen. The Scotch and the Swedes, - and to them might be added some of the Swiss cantous. - are less civilized than the French, and are therefore more superstitious. This being the case, it avails them little, that they have a religion better than the French. It avails them little, that, owing to circumstances which have long since passed away, they, three centuries ago, adopted a creed to which the force of habit, and the influence of tradition, now oblige them to cling. Whoever has travelled in Scotland with sufficient attention to observe the ideas and opinions of the people, and whoever will look into Scotch theology, and read the history of the Scotch Kirk, and the proceedings of the Scotch Assembles and Consistories, will see how little the country has benefited by its religion, and how wide an interval there is between its intolerant spirit and the natural tendencies of the Protestant Reformation the other hand, whoever will subject France to a similar examination, will see an illiberal religion accompanied by liberal views, and a creed full of superstitions professed by a people among whom superstrion is comparatively rare.

The simple fact is, that the French have a religion worse than themselves; the Scotch have a religion better

taken to cultivate their intellect, they found the religion too pure for them they, therefore corrupted it, and, down to the present moment they have not made the slightest progress. The accounts given by Bruce of them are well known, and a traveller who visited them in 1839, says. Nothing can be more corrupt than the nominal Christianity of this unhappy nation. It is mixed up with Judaism, Mahommedanism, and idolatry, and its a mass of rites and superstitions which cannot mend the heart. Krapp's Journal at Jakobar, in Josephal of fieographical Society, vol. x, p. 488; see also vol. xiv, p. 13: and for a similar state of things in America, see the account of the Quiché Indians, in Stephens's Central America, vol. i. pp. 191, 192. (Compare Squier's Central America, vol. i. pp. 322, 323, with Halbert's North-American Indians, pp. 29, 212, 268. For further confirmation of this view, in another part of the world, see Tuckey's Expedition to the Zaire pp. 79, 80, 165

than themselves. The liberality of France is as ill suited to Catholicism, as the bigotry of Scotland is ill suited to Protestantism. In these, as in all similar cases, the characteristics of the creed are overpowered by the characteristics of the people; and the national faith is, in the most important points, altogether inoperative, because it does not harmonize with the civilization of the country in which it is established. How idle, then, it is to ascribe the civilization to the creed; and how worse than foolish are the attempts of government to protect a religion which, if suited to the people, will need no protection, and, if unsuited to them, will work no good!

If the reader has seized the spirit of the preceding arguments, he will hardly require that I should analyze with equal minuteness the second disturbing cause, namely, Literature. It is evident, that what has already been said respecting the religion of a people, is, in a great measure, applicable to their literature. Literature, 32 when it is in a healthy and unforced state, is simply the form in which the knowledge of a country is registered; the mould in which it is cast. In this, as in the other cases we have considered, individual men may of course take great steps, and rise to a great height above the level of their age. But if they rise beyond a certain point, their present usefulness is impaired; if they rise still higher, it is destroyed 33 When the interval between the intellectual classes and the practical classes is too great, the former will possess no influence, the latter will reap no benefit. This is what int the state of

²² I use the word Residence, not as opposed to science, but in its larger sense, including everything which is written — 'taking the term literature in its primary sense, of an application of letters to the records of facts or opinions.' Mung's History of the Literature of Greece, vol. 17, p. 50.

[&]quot;Compare Tocquevelle, Démocrate en Amérique, vol. 11. p. 130, with some admirable remarks on the Sophists in Grote's History, of Greece, vol. viii. p. 481. Sir W. Hamilton, whose learning respecting the history of opinions is well known, says, 'Precisely in proportion as an author is in advance of his age, is it likely that his works will be neglected.' Hamilton's Discussions on Philosophy. p. 186. Thus, too, in regard to the fine arts, Sir Jushua Raynolds (Faurth Micourse, in Works, vol. i. p. 363) says, 'Present time and future may be considered as rivals; and he who solicits the one, must expect to be discountenanced by the other.'

occurred in the ancient world, when the distance between the ignorant idolatry of the people and the refined systems of philosophers was altogether impassable; 34 and this is the principal reason why the Greeks and Romans were unable to retain the civilization which they for a short time possessed. Precisely the same process is at the present moment going on in Germany, where the most valuable part of literature forms an esoteric system. which, having nothing in common with the nation itself, produces no effect on the national civilization The truth is, that although Europe has received great benefit from its literature, this is owing, not to what the literature has originated, but to what it has preserved. Knowledge must be acquired, before it can be written: and the only use of books is, to serve as a storehouse in which the treasures of the intellect are safely kept, and where they may be conveniently found. Literature, in itself, is but a trifling matter; and is merely valuable as being the armory in which the weapons of the human mind are laid up, and from which, when required, they can be quickly drawn. But he would be a sorry reasoner, who, on that account, should propose to sacrifice the end, that he might obtain the means; who should hope to defend the armory by giving up the weapons, and who should destroy the treasure, in order to improve the magazine in which the treasure is kept.

Yet this is what many persons are apt to do. From

¹⁴ Hence the intellectually exclusive and, as M Neander well terms it, 'aristocratic spirit of antiquity.' Nearbea's History of the Church, vol 1 pp. 40, 97, vol. ii. p. 31 This is constantly overlooked by writers who use the word 'democracy' loosely; forgetting that. in the same age, democracies of politics may be very common, while democracies of thought are very rare. For proof of the universal prevalence formerly of this esoteric and aristocratic spirit, see the following passages: Ritter's History of Ancient Philosophy, vol. ii. p. 388, vol. iii. pp 9, 17, Tennemann, Geschichte der Philosophie, vol. ii. pp 200, 205, 220, Beausobee, Historie Critique de Munichee, vol. ii. p. 41, Matter, Histoire du Gnosticisme, vol. i. p. 13, vol. ii. pp 83, 370; Sprengell, Histoire du Gnosticisme, vol. i. p. 250; Grote's History of Greece, vol. i. p. 561, vol. iv. p. 544; Thirlumall's History of Greece, vol. ii. p. 150, vol. iv. p. 95, Warburton's Works, vol vil. pp 962, 972, 4to, 1788, Sharpe's History of Egypt, vol. ii p 174 Cudworth's Intellect. System, vol. ii pp. 114, 365, 448, vol. iii. p. 20

literary men, in particular, we hear too much of the necessity of protecting and rewarding literature, and we hear too little of the necessity of that freedom and boldness. in the absence of which the most splendid literature is altogether worthless. Indeed, there is a general tendency not to exaggerate the advantages of knowledge, - for that is impossible, - but to misunderstand what that is in which knowledge really consists. Real knowledge, the knowledge on which all civilization is based, solely consists in an acquaintance with the relations which things and ideas bear to each other and to themselves; in other words, in an acquaintance with physical and mental laws. If the time should ever come when all these laws are known, the circle of human knowledge will then be complete; and, in the interim, the value of literature depends upon the extent to which it communicates either a knowledge of the laws. or the materials by which the laws may be discovered. The business of education is to accelerate this great movement, and thus increase the fitness and aptitude of men. by increasing the resources which they possess. Towards this purpose, literature, so far as it is auxiliary, is highly useful. But to look upon an acquaintance with literature as one of the objects of education, is to mistake the order of events, and to make the end subservient to the means. It is because this is done, that we often find what are called highly educated men, the progress of whose knowledge has been actually retarded by the activity of their education. We often find them burdened by prejudices, which their reading, instead of discipating, has rendered more inveterate. 35 For literature, being the depository of the thoughts of mankind, is full, not only of wisdom, but also of absurdities. The benefit, therefore, which is

Locke has noticed this 'learned ignorance,' for which many men are remarkable. See a fine passage in the Essay on Human Understanding, book iii. chap x. in Locke's Worky, vol. ii. p 27, and similar remarks in his Conduct of the Understanding, vol. ii. pp. 350, 364, 365, and in his Thoughts on Education, vol. viii. pp. 84—87. If this profound writer were now alive what a war he would wage against our great universities and public schools, where imaunerable things are still taught which no one is concerned to understand, and which few will take the trouble to remember. Compare Connoccut, Vie de Turgot, pp. 255, 256 note.

derived from literature, will depend, not so much upon the literature itself, as upon the skill with which it is studied, and the judgment with which it is selected. These are the preliminary conditions of success; and if they are not obeyed, the number and the value of the books in a country become a matter quite unimportant. Even in an advanced stage of civilization, there is always a tendency to prefer those parts of literature which favour ancient prejudices, rather than those which oppose them; and in cases where this tendency is very strong, the only effect of great learning will be, to supply the materials which may corroborate old errors, and confirm old superstitions. In our time such instances are not uncommon; and we frequently meet with men whose erudition ministers to their ignorance, and who, the more they read, the less they know. There have been states of society in which this disposition was so general, that literature has done far more harm than good. Thus, for example, in the whole period from the sixth to the tenth centuries, there were not in all Europe more than three or four men who dared to think for themselves; and even they were obliged to veil their meaning in obscure and mystical language. The remaining part of society was, during these four centuries, sunk in the most degrading ignorance. Under these circumstances, the few who were able to read, confined their studies to works which encouraged and strengthened their superstition, such as the legends of the saints, and the homilies of the fathers. From these sources they drew those lying and impudent fables, of which the theology of that time is principally composed. 36 These miserable stories were widely circulated, and were valued as solid and important truths. The more the literature was read, the more the stories were believed: in other words, the greater the learning, the

The statistics of this sort of literature would prove a curious subject for inquiry. No one, I believe, has thought it worth while to sum them up; but M. Guizot has made an estimate that the Bollandist collection contains more than twenty-five thousand lives of saints: 'A en jugea par approximation, ils continuent plus de 25,000 vies de saints.' Guizor, Histoire de la Contaction en France, vol. ii. p. 32. It is said (Ledwick's Antiquites of Ireland, p. 62) that of Saint Patrick alone, there were sixty-six biographers before Joceline.

greater the ignorance. 87 And I entertain no doubt, that if, in the seventh and eighth centuries, which were the worst part of that period, 88 all knowledge of the alphabet had for a while been lost, so that men could no longer read the books in which they delighted, the subsequent progress of Europe would have been more rapid than it really was. For when the progress began, its principal antagonist was that credulity which the literature had fostered. It was not that better books were wanting, but it was that the relish for such books was extinct. There was the literature of Greece and Rome, which the monks not only preserved, but even occasionally looked into and copied But what could that avail such readers as they? So far from recognizing the merit of the ancient writers, they were unable to feel even the beauties of their style. and they trembled at the boldness of their inquiries. At the first glimpse of the light, their eyes were blinded. They never turned the leaves of a pagan author without standing aghast at the risk they were running; and they were in constant fear, lest by imbibing any of his opinions, they should involve themselves in a deadly sin. The result was, that they willingly laid aside the great masterpieces of antiquity; and in their place they substituted those wretched compilations, which corrupted their taste, increased their credulity, strengthened their errors, and prolonged the ignorance of Europe, by embodying each separate superstition in a written and accessible form, thus perpetuating its influence, and enabling it to enfeeble the understanding even of a distant posterity.

It is in this way that the nature of the literature possessed by a people is of very inferior importance, in comparison with the disposition of the people by whom the

For, as Laplace observes, in his remarks on the sources of error in connexion with the doctrine of probabilities, C'est à l'influence de l'opinion de ceux que la multitude juge les plus instruits, et à qui elle a coutame de donner sa confiance sur les plus importants objets de la vie, qu'est due la propagation de ces erreurs qui, dans les temps d'ignorance, ont couvert la face du monde. BOULLAUD, l'hitosophie Médicale, p. 218.

M. Guisot (Civilisation on France, vol. ii. pp. 171, 172) thinks that on the whole, the seventh was even worse than the eighth; but it is difficult to choose between them

INFLUENCE OF RELIGION,

Rerature is to be read. In what are rightly termed the Dark Ages, there was a literature in which valuable materials were to be found; but there was no one who knew how to use them. During a considerable period, the Latin language was a vernacular dialect; 39 and, if men had chosen, they might have stadied the great Latin authors. But to do this, they must have been in a state of society very different from that in which they actually lived. They, like every other people, measured merit by the standard commonly received in their own age; and, according to their standard, the dross was better than the gold. They, therefore, rejected the gold, and hoarded up the dross. What took place then is, on a smaller scale, taking place now. Every literature contains something that is true, and much that is false; and the effect it produces will chiefly depend upon the skill with which the truth is discriminated from the falsehood. New ideas, and new discoveries, possess prospectively an importance difficult to exaggerate; but until the ideas are received, and the discoveries adopted, they exercise no influence, and, therefore, work no good. No literature can ever benefit a people, unless it finds them in a state of preliminary preparation. In this respect, the analogy with religious opinions is complete. If the religion and the literature of a country are unsuited to its wants, they will be useless, because the literature will be neglected, and the religion will be disobeyed. In such cases, even the ablest books are unread, and the purest doctrines despised. The works fall into oblivion: the faith is corrupted by heresy.

The other opinion to which I have referred is, that the civilization of Europe is chiefly owing to the ability which has been displayed by the different governments, and to the sagacity with which the evils of society have been palliated by legislative remedies. To any one who

Some of the results of Latin being colloquially employed by the monks are indictously stated in Herder's Idean zur Geschichte der Menschheit, vol. iv. pp. 202, 203 The remarks on this custom by Dugald Stewart refer to a later period. STEWART'S Philosophy of the Mind, vol. in. pp. 110. 111

has studied history in its original sources, this notion must appear so extravagant, as to make it difficult to refute it with becoming gravity. Indeed, of all the social theories which have ever been broached, there is none so utterly untenable, and so unsound in all its parts, as In the first place, we have the obvious consideration, that the rulers of a country have, under ordinary circumstances, always been the inhabitants of that country; nurtured by its literature, breds to its traditions, and imbibing its prejudices. Such men are, at best, only the creatures of the age, never its creators. Their measures are the result of social progress, not the cause of it. This may be proved, not only by speculative arguments, but also by a practical consideration, which any reader of history can verify for himself. No great political improvement, no great reform, either legislative or executive, has ever been originated in any country by its rulers. The first suggesters of such steps have invariably been bold and able thinkers, who discern the abuse, denounce it, and point out how it is to be remedied. But long after this is done, even the most enlightened governments continue to uphold the abuse, and reject the remedy. At length, if circumstances are tayourable, the pressure from without becomes so strong, that the government is obliged to give way; and, the reform being accomplished, the people are expected to admire the wisdom of their rulers, by whom all this has been done. That this is the course of political improvement, must be well known to whoever has studied the law-books of different countries in connexion with the previous progress of their knowledge. Full and decisive evidence of this will be brought forward in the present work; but, by way of illustration, I may refer to the abolition of the corn-laws, undoubtedly one of the most remarkable facts in the history of England during this century. The propriety, and, indeed, the necessity, of their abolition, is now admitted by every one of tolerable information; and the question arises, as to how it was brought about. Those Englishmen who are little versed in the history of their country will say, that the real cause was the wisdom of Parliament; while others, at-

tempting to look a little further, will ascribe it to the activity of the Anti-Corn-Law League, and the consequent pressure put upon Government. But whoever will minutely trace the different stages through which this great question successively passed, will find, that the Government, the Legislature, and the League, were the unwitting instruments of a power far greater than all other powers put together. They were simply the exponents of that march of public opinion, which on this subject had begun nearly a century before their time. The steps of this vast movement I shall examine on another occasion; at present it is enough to say, that soon after the middle of the eighteenth century, the absurdity of protective restrictions on trade was so fully demonstrated by the political economists, as to be admitted by every man who understood their arguments, and had mastered the evidence connected with them. From this moment, the repeal of the corn-laws became a matter, not of party nor of expediency, but merely of knowledge. Those who knew the facts, opposed the laws; those who were ignorant of the facts, favoured the laws. It was, therefore, clear, that whenever the diffusion of knowledge reached a certain point, the laws must fall. The merit of the League was, to assist this diffusion; the merit of the Parliament was, to yield to it. It is, however, certain, that the members both of League and Legislature could at best only slightly hasten what the progress of knowledge rendered inevitable. If they had lived a century earlier, they would have been altogether powerless, because the age would not have been ripe for their labours. They were the creatures of a movement which began long before any of them were born; and the utmost they could do was, to put into operation what others had taught, and repeat, in louder tones, the lessons they had learned from their masters. For, it was not pretended, they did not even pretend themselves, that there was anything new in the doctrines which they preached from the hustings, and disseminated in every part of the kingdom. discoveries had, long since been made, and were gradually doing their work; encroaching upon old errors, and

making proselytes in all directions. The reformers of our time swam with the stream: they aided what it would have been impossible long to resist. Nor is this to be deemed a slight or grudging praise of the services they undoubtedly rendered. The opposition they had to encounter was still immense; and it should always be remembered, as a proof of the backwardness of political knowledge, and of the incompetence of political legislators, that although the principles of free trade had been established for nearly a century by a chain of arguments as solid as those on which the truths of mathematics are based, they were to the last moment strenuously resisted; and it was only with the greatest difficulty that Parliament was induced to grant what the people were determined to have, and the necessity of which had been proved by the ablest men during three successive generations.

I have selected this instance as an illustration, because the facts connected with it are undisputed, and, indeed, are fresh in the memory of us all. For it was not concealed at the time, and posterity ought to know, that this great measure, which, with the exception of the Reform Bill, is by far the most important ever passed by a British parliament, was, like the Reform Bill, extorted from the legislature by a pressure from without; that it was conceded, not cheerfully, but with fear; and that it was carried by statesmen who had spent their lives in opposing what they now suddenly advocated. Such was the history of these events; and such likewise has been the history of all those improvements which are important enough to rank as epochs in the history of modern legislation.

Besides this, there is another circumstance worthy the attention of those writers who ascribe a large part of European civilization to measures originated by European governments. This is, that every great reform which has been effected, has consisted, not in doing something new, but in undoing something old. The most valuable additions made to legislation have been enactments destructive of preceding legislation; and the best laws which have been passed, have been those by which some former laws

were repealed. In the case just mentioned, of the cornlaws, all that was done was to repeal the old laws, and leave trade to its natural freedom. When this great reform was accomplished, the only result was, to place things on the same footing as if legislators had never interfered at all. Precisely the same remark is applicable to another leading improvement in modern legislation, namely, the decrease of religious persecution. This is unquestionably an immense boon; though, unfortunately. it is still imperfect, even in the most civilized countries. But it is evident that the concession merely consists in this: that legislators have retraced their own steps, and undone their own work. If we examine the policy of the most humane and enlightened governments, we shall find this to be the course they have pursued. The whole scope and tendency of modern legislation is, to restore things to that natural channel from which the ignorance of preceding legislation has driven them. This is one of the great works of the present age; and if legislators do it well, they will deserve the gratitude of mankind. But though we may thus be grateful to individual lawgivers. we owe no thanks to lawgivers, considered as a class. For since the most valuable improvements in legislation are those which subvert preceding legislation, it is clear that the balance of good cannot be on their side. It is clear, that the progress of civilization cannot be due to those who, on the most important subjects, have done so much harm, that their successors are considered benefactors, simply because they reverse their policy, and thus restore affairs to the state in which they would have remained, if politicians had allowed them to run on the course which the wants of society required.

Indeed, the extent to which the governing classes have interfered, and the mischiefs which that interference has produced, are so remarkable, as to make thoughtful men wonder how civilization could advance, in the face of such repeated obstacles. In some of the European countries, the obstacles have, in fact, proved insuperable, and the national progress is thereby stopped. Even in England, where, from causes which I shall presently relate,

4

the higher ranks have for some centuries been less powerful than elsewhere, there has been inflicted an amount of evil, which, though much smaller than that incurred in other countries, is sufficiently serious to form a melancholy chapter in the history of the human mind. To sum up these evils would be to write a history of English legislation; for it may be broadly stated, that, with the exception of certain necessary enactments respecting the preservation of order, and the punishment of crime, nearly everything which has been done, has been done amiss. Thus, to take only such conspicuous facts as do not admit of controversy, it is certain that all the most important interests have been grievously damaged by the attempts of legislators to aid them. Among the accessories of modern civilization, there is none of greater moment than trade spread of which has probably done more than any other single agent to increase the comfort and happiness of man. But every European government which has legislated respecting trade, has acted as if its main object were to suppress the trade, and ruin the traders. Instead of leaving the national industry to take its own course, it has been troubled by an interminable series of regulations, all intended for its good, and all inflicting serious harm. To such a height has this been carried, that the commercial reforms which have distinguished England during the last twenty years, have solely consisted in undoing this mischievous and intrusive legislation. The laws formerly enacted on this subject, and too many of which are still in force, are marvellous to contemplate. It is no exaggeration to say, that the history of the commercial legislation of Europe presents every possible contrivance for hampering the energies of commerce. Indeed, a very high authority, who has maturely studied this subject, has recently declared, that if it had not been for smuggling, trade could not have been conducted, but must have perished, in consequence of the incessant interference.40 However paradoxical this asser-

^{60 &#}x27;C'est à la contrebande que le commerce doit de n'avoir pag passous l'influence du régime prohibitif; tandis que ce régime condinanti les peuples à s'approvisionner aux sources les plus éloignées, à

tion may appear, it will be denied by no one who knows how feeble trade once was, and how strong the obstacles were which opposed it. In every quarter, and at every moment, the hand of government was felt. Duties on importation, and duties on exportation; bounties to raise up a losing trade, and taxes to pull down a remunerative one: this branch of industry forbidden, and that branch of industry encouraged; one article of commerce must not be grown, because it was grown in the colonies, another article might be grown and bought, but not sold again, while a third article might be bought and sold. but not leave the country. Then, too, we find laws to regulate wages; laws to regulate prices; laws to regulate profits: laws to regulate the interest of money; customhouse arrangements of the most vexations kind; aided by a complicated scheme, which was well called the slidingscale, - a scheme of such perverse ingenuity, that the duties constantly varied on the same article, and no man could calculate beforehand what he would have to pay. To this uncertainty, itself the bane of all commerce, there was added a severity of exaction, felt by every class of consumers and producers. The tolls were so onerous, as to double and often quadruple the cost of production. A system was organized, and strictly enforced, of interference with markets, interference with manufactories, interference with machinery, interference even with shops. The towns were guarded by excisemen, and the ports swarmed with tide-waiters, whose sole business was to inspect nearly every process of domestic industry, peer into every package, and tax every article; while, that absurdity might be carried to its extreme height, a large part of all this was by way of protection: that is to say, the money was avowedly raised, and the inconvenience suffered, not for the use of the government, but for the benefit of the people; in other words, the industrious classes were robbed, in order that industry might thrive.

Suck are some of the benefits which European trade

contrebande rapprochait les distances, abaissait les prix, et neutralisait l'action funeste des monopoles. Blanqui, Histoire de l'Economie Politique en Europe, Paris 1845, vol. 11. pp. 25, 26.

With the transport

owes to the paternal care of European legislators. But worse still remains behind. For the economical evils. great as they were, have been far surpassed by the moral evils which this system produced. The first inevitable consequence was, that, in every part of Europe, there arose numerous and powerful gangs of armed smugglers, who lived by disobeying the laws which their ignorant rulers had imposed. These men, desperate from the fear of punishment,41 and accustomed to the commission of every crime, contaminated the surrounding population; introduced into peaceful villages vices formerly unknown, caused the rum of entire families; spread, wherever they came, drunkenness, theft, and dissoluteness; and familiarized their associates with those coarse and swinish debancheries which were the natural habits of so vagrant and lawless a life 12. The innumerable crimes arising from this is are directly chargeable upon the European govern-

⁴⁾ The 19 Geo. II. c. 34, made 'all forcible acts of smuggling, carried on in defiance of the laws, or ceen in degates to evade them, felony without benefit of clergy.' BLACKBIONE'S Commentaries, vol. 19 p. 1.5. Townsend, who travelled through France in 1780, says, that when any of the numerous smugglers were taken, 'some of them are hanged, some are broken upon the wheel, and some are burnt alive.' Townsend's Spian, vol. 12, 85, edit 1792. On the general operation of the French laws against enugglers in the eighteenth century, compare Tucker's Life of Lighterias, vol. 1, pp. 213, 214, with Parliament tain Hustory, vol. 1x, p. 1240.

¹² In a work of considerable ability, the following account is given of the state of things in England and France so late as the year 1824. While this was going forward on the English coast, the smugglers on the opposite shore were engaged, with much more labour, risk, and expense, in introducing English woollens, by a vast system of fraud and lying, into the towns, past a sories of custom-houses. In both countries, there was an utter dissoluteness of morals connected with those transactions. Cheating and lying were essential to the whole system; drunkenness accompanied it; contempt for all law grew up under it, honest industry perished beneath it, and it was crowned with murder. Mastiseau's Hestery of England during Thirty Years' Peace, vol. i. p. 341, 8vo, 1849.

^{**} For evidence of the extraordinary extent to which snuggling was formerly carried, and that not secretly, but by powerful bodies of armed mera see Partiamentary History, vol. ix. pp. 243, 247, 1390, 1345, vol. x. pp. 394, 405, 530, 532, vol. xi. p. 935. And on the number of persons engaged in it, compare Tomline's Life of Pitt, vol. i. p. 359. see also Sinclain's History of the Public Receive, vol. iii, p. 232; Otten's Life of Clarke, vol. i. p. 391. In France, the will was equally great M. Lemontoy says, that early in the eightenth century, the controbande devenalt une profession ouverte, et des com-

ments by whom they were provoked. The offences were caused by the laws; and now that the laws are repealed, the offences have disappeared. But it will hardly be pretended that the interests of civilization have been advanced by such a policy as this. It will hardly be pretended that we owe much to a system which, having called into existence a new class of criminals, at length retraces its steps; and, though it thus puts an end to the crime. Amly destroys what its own acts had created.

It is unnecessary to say, that these remarks do not affect the real services rendered to society by every tolerably organized government. In all countries a power of punishing crime and of framing laws, must reside somewhere: otherwise the nation is in a state of anarchy. But the accusation which the historian is bound to bring against every government which has hitherto existed is, that it has overstepped its proper functions, and, at each step, has done incalculable harm. The love of exercising power has been found to be so universal, that no class of men who have possessed authority have been able to avoid abusing it. To maintain order, to prevent the strong from oppressing the weak, and to adopt certain precautions respecting the public health. are the buly services which any government can render to the interests of civilization. That these are services of immense value, one will deny; but it cannot be said, that by them ci lization is advanced, or the progress of Man accelerated. All that is done is, to afford the opportunity of progress: the progress itself must depend upon other matters. And that this is the sound view of legislation, is, moreover, evident from the fact, that as knowledge is becoming more diffused, and as an increasing experience is enabling each successive generation better to understand the complicated relations of life; just in the same proportion are men insisting upon the repeal of those protective laws,

pagnies de cavalerie désertèrent tout entières leurs étendards pour suivre contre le fisc cette guerre populaire. Lemonter, Essas sur l'Etablissement monarchique de Louis XIV, p. 430. According to Townsend, there were, in 1786, 'more than 1500 smugglers in the Pyrenees.' Townsamp's Journey through Spain, vol. i. p. 84.

the dactment of which was deemed by politicians to be the greatest triumph of political foresight.

Seeing, therefore, that the efforts of government in favour of civilization are, when most successful, altogether negative; and seeing too, that when those efforts are more than negative they become injurious, -it clearly follows. that all speculations must be erroneous which ascribe the progress of Europe to the wisdom of its rulers. is an inference which rests not only on the arguments already adduced, but on facts which might be multiplied from every page of history. For no government having recognized its proper limits, the result is, that every government has inflicted on its subjects great injuries; and has done this nearly always with the best intentions. The effects of its protective policy in injuring trade, and, what is far worse, in increasing crime, have just been noticed; and to these instances, innumerable others might Thus, during many centuries, every governbe added. ment thought it was its bounden duty to encourage religious truth, and discourage religious error. The mischief this has produced is incalculable. Putting aside all other considerations, it is enough to mention its two leading consequences; which are, the increase of hypocrisy, and the increase of perjury. The increase of hypocrisy is the inevitable result of connecting any description of penalty with the profession of particular opinions. Whatever may be the case with individuals, it is certain that the majority of men find an extreme difficulty in long resisting constant temptation. And when the temptation comes to them in the shape of honour and emolument, they are too often ready to profess the dominant opinions, and abandon, not indeed their belief, but the external marks by which that belief is made public. Every man who takes this step is a hypocrite; and every government which encourages this step to be taken, is an abettor of hypocrisy and a creator of hypocrites. Well, therefore, may we say, that when a government holds out as a batt. that those who profess certain opinions shall enjoy certain privileges, it plays the part of the tempter of old and, like the Evil One, basely offers the good things of

this world to him who will change his worship and deny his faith. At the same time, and as a part of this system, the increase of perjury has accompanied the increase of hypocrisy. For legislators, plainly seeing that proselytes thus obtained could not be relied upon, have met the danger by the most extraordinary precautions; and compelling men to confirm their belief by repeated oaths, have thus sought to protect the old creed against the new converts. It is this suspicion as to the motives of others, which has given rise to oaths of every kind and in every direction. In England, even the boy at college is forced to swear about matters which he cannot understand, and which far riper minds are unable to master. It he atterwards goes into Parliament, he must again swear about his religion; and at nearly every stage of political life he must take fresh oaths; the solemnity of which is often strangely contrasted with the trivial functions to which they are the prelude. A solemn adjuration of the Deity being thus made at every turn, it has happened, as might have been expected, that oaths, enjoined as a matter of course, have at length degenerated into a matter of form What is lightly taken, is easily broken And the best observers of English society, - observers too whose characters are very different, and who hold the most opposite opinions,-are all agreed on this, that the perjury habitually practised in England, and of which government is the immediate creator, is so general, that it has become a source of national corruption, has diminished the value of human testimony, and shaken the confidence which men naturally place in the word of their fellow-creatures.44

⁴ Archbishop Whately says, what hardly any thinking man will now deny, 'If oaths were abolished—leaving the penalties for labe witness (no unimportant part of our security) unaltered—I am convinced that, on the whole, testimony would be more trustworthy than it is.' Whately's Elements of Rhetoric, 8vo, 1850, p. 47. See also on the amount of penjury caused by English legislation, Jeremy Bertham's Works, edit. Bowring, vol. ii. p. 210, vol. v. pp. 191-229, 484—466; vol. vi. pp. 314, 315; Orme's Life of Oncen. p. 195; Locke's Works, vol. iv. p. 6; Beekelry's Works, vol. ii. p. 196, Whiston's Memoirs, pp. 33, 411—413; Hamilton's Discussions on Philosophy and Literature, pp. 484, 522, 527, 528. Sir W. Hamilton sums up: 'But if the perjury of England stands pre-eminent in the world, the perjury

The open vices, and, what is much more dangerous, the hidden corruption, thus generated in the midst of society by the ignorant interference of Christian rulers. is indeed a painful subject; but it is one which I could not omit in an analysis of the causes of civilization. It would be easy to push the inquiry still further, and to show how legislators, in every attempt they have made to protect some particular interests, and uphold some particular principles, have not only failed, but have brought about results diametrically opposite to those which they proposed. We have seen that their laws in favour of industry have injured industry; that their laws in favour of religion have increased hypocrisy; and that their laws to secure truth have encouraged perjury. Exactly in the same way, nearly every country has taken steps to prevent usury, and keep down the interest of money; and the invariable effect has been to increase usury, and raise the interest of money. For, since no prohibition, however stringent, can destroy the natural relation between demand and supriv, it has followed, that when some men want to borrow, and other men want to lend, both parties are sure to find means of evading a law which interferes with their mutual rights.45 If the two parties were left to adjust their own bargain undisturbed, the usury would depend on the circumstances of the loan, such as the amount of security, and the chance of repayment. this natural arrangement has been complicated by the interference of government.46 A certain risk being always

of the English Universities, and of Oxford in particular, stands preeminent in England, p. 528. Compare PRIESTLET'S Memoirs, vol. i p. 374, and Baker's Life of Sir Thomas Bernard, 1819, pp. 188, 189.

^{**} L'observation rigoureuse de ces loix seroit destructive de tout commerce; aussi ne sont-elles pas observées rigoureusement. Mémoire sur les Prets d'Argent, sec. xiv. in Œucres de Turgot, vol. v. pp. 278, 279. Compare Ricando's Works, pp. 178, 179, with Condoncur, Fig. de Turgot, pp. 53, 54, 228.

^{*}Aided by the church. Ecclesiastical councils contain numerous regulations against usury; and in 1179, Pope Alexander ordered that usures were not to be buried: 'Quis in omnibus ferè locis crimen usurarum invaluit; ut multi negotils prætermissis quasi licitè usuris exerceant, et qualiter utriusque testamenti pagina condemnetur, non attendunt: ideò constituimus, ut usurarii manifesti nec ad communis non recipiantur altaris, nec Christianam, si in hoc peccato decesserins.

INFLUENCE OF RELIGION,

incurred by those who disobey the law, the usurer, very properly, refuses to lend his money unless he is also compensated for the danger he is in from the penalty hanging over him. This compensation can only be made by the borrower, who is thus obliged to pay what in reality is a double interest: one interest for the natural risk on the loan, and another interest for the extra risk from the law. Such, then, is the position in which every European legislature has placed itself. By enactments against usury, it has increased what it wished to destroy; it has passed laws which the imperative necessities of men compel them to violate; while, to wind up the whole, the penalty for such violation falls on the borrowers; that is, on the very class in whose favour the legislators interfered. 47

'In the same meddling spirit, and with the 'same mistaken notions of protection, the great Christian governments have done other things still more injurious. They have made strenuous and repeated efforts to destroy the liberty of the press, and prevent men from expressing their sentiments on the most important questions in politics and religion. In nearly every country, they, with the aid of the church, have organized a vast system of literary police; the sole object of which is, to abrogate the undoubted right of every citizen to lay his opinions before his fellow-citizens. In the very few countries where they have stopped short of these extreme steps, they have had recourse to others less violent, but equally unwarrantable. For even where they have not openly forbidden the free dissemination of knowledge, they have done all that they could to check it. On all the implements of knowledge.

accipiant sepulturam, sed nec oblationem corum quisquam accipiat.' Rog. DE Hoved, Annal. sn Rerum Anglicarum Scriptores post Bedam, p. 335, Lond. 1596, folio. In Spain, the Inquisition took cognisance of usury. See LLORENTE, Histoire de l'Inquisition, vol. i. p. 339. Compare LEDWICH'S Antiquities of Ireland, p. 133.

⁴⁷ The whole subject of the usury laws has been treated by Bentham in so complete and exhaustive a manner, that I cannot do better than refer the reader to his admirable 'Letters.' A part only of the question is discussed, and that very imperfectly, in Rev's Science Sociate, vol. iii. pp. 64, 65. On the necessity of usury to mitigate the effects of a commercial panic, see Mill's Principles of Folitical Economy, vol. ii. p. 185.

and on all the means by which it is diffused, such as paper, books, political journals, and the like, they have imposed duties so heavy, that they could hardly have done worse if they had been the sworn advocates of popular ignorance. Indeed, looking at what they have actually accomplished, it may be emphatically said, that they have taxed the human mind. They have made the very thoughts of men pay toll. Whoever wishes to communicate his ideas to others, and thus do what he can to increase the stock of our acquirements, must first pour his contributions into the imperial exchequer. That is the penalty inflicted on him for instructing his fellow-creatures That is the blackmail which government extorts from literature; and on receipt of which it accords its favour, and agrees to abstain from further demands. And what causes all this to be the more insufferable, is the use which is made of these and similar exactions, wrung from every kind of industry, both bodily and mental. It is truly a frightful consideration, that knowledge is to be hindered, and that the proceeds of honest labour, of patient thought, and sometimes of profound genius, are to be diminished, in order that a large part of their scanty earnings may go to swell the pomp of an idle and ignorant court, minister to the caprice of a few posterial individuals, and too often supply them with the means of tarning against the people resources which the people called into existence.

These, and the foregoing statements respecting the effects produced on European society by political legislation, are not doubtful or hypothetical inferences, but are such as every reader of history may verify for himself. Indeed, some of them are still acting in England; and, in one country or another, the whole of them may be seen in full force. When put together, they compose an aggregate so formidable, that we may well wonder how, in the face of them, civilization has been able to advance. That, under such circumstances, it has advanced, is a decisive proof of the extraordinary energy of Man; and justifies a confident belief, that as the pressure of legislation is diminished, and the human mind less hampered, the progress will continue with accelerated speed. But it is

absurd, it would be a mockery of all sound reasoning, to ascribe to legislation any share in the progress; or to expect any benefit from future legislators, except that sort of benefit which consists in undoing the work of their predecessors. This is what the present generation claims at their hands; and it should be remembered that what one generation solicits as a boon, the next generation demands as a right. And, when the right is pertinaciously refused, one of two things has always happened: either the nation has retrogaded; or else the people have risen. Should the government remain firm, this is the cruel dilemma in which men are placed. It they submit, they injure their country; if they robel, they may injure it still more. In the ancient monarchies of the East, their usual plan was to vield; in the monarchies of Europe, it has been to resist. Hence those insurrections and rebellions which occupy so large a space in modern history, and which are but repetitions of the old story, the undying struggle between oppressors and oppressed. It would, however, be unjust to deny, that in one country the fatal crisis has now for several generations been succeasfully averted. In one European country, and in one along the people have been so strong, and the government so weak, that the history of legislation taken as a whole, is, notwithstanding a few aberrations, the history of slow, but constant concession: reforms which would have been refused to argument, have been vielded from fear; while, from the steady increase of democratic opinions, protection after protection, and privilege after privilege, have, even in our own time, been torn away; until the old institutions, though they retain their former name, have lost their former vigour, and there no longer remains a doubt as to what their fate must ultimately be. Nor need we add, that in this same country, where, more than in any other of Europe, legislators are the exponents and the servants of the popular will, the progress has, on this account. been more undeviating than elsewhere; there has been neither anarchy nor revolution; and the world has been made familiar with the great truth, that one main condition of the prosperity of a people is, that its rulers

shall have very little power, that they shall exercise that power very sparingly, and that they shall by no means presume to raise themselves into supreme judges of the national interets, or deem themselves authorized to defeat the wishes of those for whose benefit alone they occupy the post insrusted to them.

HISTORY

0F

CIVILIZATION IN ENGLAND.

VOL. II.

HISTORY

01

CIVILIZATION IN ENGLAND.

BY

HENRY THOMAS BUCKLE.

IN FIVE VOLUMES.

VOLUME II.



LEIPZIG; F. A. BROCKHAUS. 1865.

ANALYTICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS.

CHAPTER VI.

ORIGIN OF HISTORY, AND STATE OF HISTORICAL LITERATURE DURING THE MIDDLE AGES.

	PAGE
Conclusions arrived at by the preceding investigations .	1
An inquiry into the changes in historical researches will	
throw light on the changes in society	2-3
The earliest histories are ballads	4-7
One cause of error in history was the invention of writing	8-12
A change of religion in any country also tends to corrupt	
its early history	12-18
But the most active cause of all was the influence of the clergy	18-19
Absurdities which were consequently believed	20-28
Illustration of this from the history of Charlemagne by Turpin	29-31
And from the history of the Britons by Geoffrey	3235
The first improvement in writing history began in the four-	
teenth and fifteenth centuries	33
But credulity was still prevalent, as is seen in Comines	37-38
And in the predictions of Storffler respecting the Deluge	40
Also in the work of Dr. Horst on the Golden Tooth	41-42
CHAPTER VII.	
	BLLECT E END
This absurd way of writing history was the natural result	
of the state of the age	43
The spirit of doubt was a necessary precursor of improvement	44
THE SPICE OF GOIDS ARE WISCOSSELL DISCRISOR OF PURCHASING	47

	PAGE
Hence the supreme importance of scepticism	45-46
Origin of religious teleration in England	47
Hooker contrasted with Jewel	4952
Scepticism and spirit of inquiry on other subjects	53 56
This tendency displayed in Chillingworth Chillingworth compared with Hooker and Jewel	56-59
Chillingworth compared with Hooker and Jewel	60
Subsequent movement in the same direction, and increasing	
indifference to theological matters	6963
Great advantage of this ,	6466
Under James I and Charles I, this opposition to authority	
assumes a political character	66-63
Under Charles II it takes a frivolous form at court .	69
Influence of this spirit upon Sir Thomas Browne	72 - 75
Its influence upon Boyle	75-77
It causes the establishment of the Royal Society	78
Impetus now given to physical science, and attempts of the	
clergy to oppose it .	79
The clergy are naturally hostile to physical science, because	
it lessens their own power .	79-80
Illustration of this by the superstition of sailors and agricult	
turists as compared with soldiers and mechanics	81-86
Legislative improvements in the reign of Charles II. in spite	
of political degradation	86-92
These improvements were due to the sceptical and inquiring	
spirit. Aided by the vices of the king	93 - 94
Aided by the vices of the king	94
And by his dislike of the church	95
He encouraged Hobbes, and neglected the ablest of the clergy	93-98
The clergy, to recover their ground, allied themselves with	
James II	99-101
This alliance was dissolved by the Declaration of Indulgence	101-104
The clergy then united with the dissenters, and brought	
about the Revolution of 1688	104 - 105
Importance of the Revolution	106107
But the clergy regretted it, and repented of their own act.	108
Hostility between them and William III	109-113
Hence a schism in the church	114116
Fresh encouragement thus given to scapticism	116-117
Convocation first despised, and then abolished	117-118
After the Revolution the ablest men confined themselves to	
secular professions, and avoided entering the church	118
The clergy lost all offices out of the church, and their num-	
bers diminished in both Houses of Parliament	119-121
The church rallied for a moment under Anne	121-123
But was weakened by the dissenters, headed by Wesley and	
Whitefield	123126
Theology separated from morals and from politics	126-128
Bapid succession of sceptical controversies	
Knowledge begins to be diffused, and takes a popular form	
Political meetings, and publication of parliamentary debates	134-136
Doctrine of personal representation, and idea of indepen-	
dence	1.98

ANALYTICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS	V II
	PAGE
Corresponding change in the style of authors	137-139
Hence great reforms became inevitable	139-140
This tendency was aided by George I. and George II	141 - 143
But discouraged by George III., under whom began a dan-	
gerous political reaction	143 - 145
	145
Subserviency of Pitt	146148
Incompetence of other statesmen, and the king's hatred of	4.0
great men	148150
Deterioration of the House of Lords	
Ability and accomplishments of Burke	154179
He opposed the views of George III., and was neglected by him	
Burke's subsequent hallucinations and violence	
The king now favoured him	174—175 175—179
Policy of George III respecting America	179-180
This policy reacted upon England	181-182
This also reacted upon England	151-152
And produced arbitrary laws against the liberties of England	
Which were zealously enforced by the executive .	190-192
Gloomy political prospects of England late in the eighteenth	
century	192-194
But, owing to the progress of knowledge, a counter reaction	
was preparing	194197
To which, and to the increasing power of public opinion,	
England owes her great reforms of the nineteenth century	198-200
· CHAPTER VIII	
OUTLINE OF THE HISTORY OF THE FRENCH INT	PELLECT
FROM THE MIDDLE OF THE SIXTEENTH CENT	
THE ACCESSION TO POWER OF LOUIS XIV.	
THE ACCESSION TO TOWER OF LOCIE XIV.	
Importance of the question, as to whether the historian	
should begin with studying the normal or the abnormal	
condition of society	201 - 203
Greater power of the church in France than in England	203-204
Hence in France during the sixteenth century everything was	
more theological than in England ,	205-207
Hence, too, toleration was impossible in France	208-210
But at the end of the sixteenth century scepticism appeared	
in France, and with it toleration began, as was seen in	
the Edict of Nantes	210-213
The first sceptic was not Rabelais, but Montaigne	213 - 216
Continuation of the movement by Charron	217-219
Henry IV encouraged the Protestants	220-221
And they were tolerated even by the queen-regent during	000 00:
the minority of Louis XIII.	233-334
The most remarkable steps in favour of toleration were	
however, taken by Richelieu, who effectually humbled the church	224-231
	444-401

ANALYTICAL TABLE OF CONTENTS.

VIII

	PAG
He supported the new secular scheme of government against	
the old ecclesiastical scheme	231-23
His liberal treatment of the Protestants	
They are deserted by their temporal leaders, and the manage-	
ment of the party falls into the hands of the clergy	949-246
Hence the French Protestants, being headed by the clergy,	
become more intolerant than the French Catholics, who	
are headed by statesmen	246-250
Evidence of the illiberality of the Franch Protestants	
They raise a civil war, which was a straggle of classes rather	
than of creeds	266-267
Richelieu put down the rebellion, but still abstained from	
persecuting the Protestants	268-269
This liberal policy on the part of the government was only	
part of a much larger movement	270-271
Illustration of this from the philosophy of Descartes	271-285
Analogy between Descartes and Richelien	286
The same anti-ecclesiastical spirit was exhibited by their	
contemporaries . ,	286-287
And by Mazarin	288-291
It was also seen in the wars of the Fronde	291-294
But notwithstanding all this, there was a great difference	
between France and England, and the prevalence of the	
protective spirit prevented the French from becoming free	294 - 299

CHAPTER VI.

ORIGIN OF HISTORY, AND STATE OF HISTORICAL LITERA-TURE DURING THE MIDDLE AGES.

I have now laid before the reader an examination of those conspicuous circumstances to which the progress of civilization is commonly ascribed; and I have proved that such circumstances, so far from being the cause of civilization, are at best only its effects; and that although religion. literature, and legislation do, undoubtedly, modify the condition of mankind, they are still more modified by it. Indeed, as we have clearly seen, they, even in their most favourable position, can be but secondary agents; because, however beneficial their apparent influence may be, they are themselves the product of preceding changes, and their results will vary according to the variations of the society on which they work.

It is thus that, by each successive analysis, the field of the present inquiry has been narrowed, until we have found reason to believe that the growth of European civilization is solely due to the progress of knowledge, and that the progress of knowledge depends on the number of truths which the human intellect discovers, and on the extent to which they are diffused. In support of this proposition, I have, as yet, only brought forward such general arguments as establish a very strong probability; which, to raise to a certainty, will require an appeal to history in the widest sense of the term. Thus to verify speculative conclusions by an exhaustive enumeration of BUCKLE. II.

1

the most important particular facts, is the task which I purpose to execute so far as my powers will allow; and in the preceding chapter I have briefly stated the method according to which the investigation will be conducted. Besides this, it has appeared to me that the principles which I have laid down may also be tested by a mode of proceeding which I have not yet mentioned, but which is intimately connected with the subject now before us. This is, to incorporate with an inquiry into the progress of the history of Man, another inquiry into the progress of History itself. By this means, great light will be thrown on the movements of society, since there must always be a connexion between the way in which men contemplate the past, and the way in which they contemplate the present; both views being in fact different forms of the same habits of thought, and therefore presenting, in each age, a certain sympathy and correspondence with each other. It will, moreover, be found, that such an inquiry into what I call the history of history, will establish two leading facts of considerable value. The first fact is, that during the last three centuries, historians, taken as a class, have shown a constantly increasing respect for the human intellect, and an aversion for those unumerable contrivances by which it was formerly shackled. The second fact is, that during the same period, they have displayed a growing tendency to neglect matters once deemed of paramount importance, and have been more willing to attend to subjects connected with the condition of the people and the diffusion of knowledge. These two facts will be decisively established in the present Introduction; and it must be admitted, that their existence corroborates the principles which I have propounded. it can be ascertained, that as society has improved, historical literature has constantly tended in one given direction, there arises a very strong probability in favour of the truth of those views towards which it is manifestly approaching. Indeed, it is a probability of this! sort which makes it so important for the student of any particular science to be acquainted with its history; because there is always a fair presumption that when general knowledge is advancing, any single department of it, if studied by competent men, is also advancing, even when the results may have been so small as to seem unworthy of attention. Hence it becomes highly important to observe the way in which, during successive ages, historians have shifted their ground; since we shall find that such changes have in long-run always pointed to the same quarter, and are, in reality, only part of that vast movement by which the human intellect, with infinite difficulty, has vindicated its own rights, and slowly emancipated itself from those inveterate prejudices which long impeded its action.

With a view to these considerations, it seems advisable that, when examining the different civilizations into which the great countries of Furope have diverged, I should also give an account of the way in which history has been commonly written in each country. In the employment of this resource, I shall be mainly guided by a desire to illustrate the intimate connexion between the actual condition of a people and their opinions respecting the past: and, in order to keep this connexion in sight, I shall treat the state of historical literature, not as a separate subject, but as forming part of the intellectual history of each nation. The present volume will contain a view of the principal characteristics of French civilization until the great Revolution, and with that there will be incorporated an account of the French historians, and of the remarkable improvements they introduced into their own departments of knowledge. The relation which these improvements hore to the state of society from which they proceeded, is very striking, and will be examined at some length; while, in the next volume, the civilization and the historical literature of the other leading countries will be treated in a similar manner. Before, however, entering into these different subjects, it has occurred to me, that a preliminary inquiry into the origin of European history would be interesting, as supplying information respecting matters which are little known, and also as enabling the reader to understand the extreme difficulty with which history has reached its present advanced, but

ORIGIN OF HISTORICAL LITERATURE.

' still very imperfect, state. The materials for studying the earliest condition of Europe have long since perished; but the extensive information we now possess concerning barbarous nations will supply us with a useful resource, because they have all much in common; the opinions of extreme ignorance being, indeed, every where the same, except when modified by the differences which nature presents in various countries. I have, therefore, no hesitation in employing the evidence which has been collected by competent travellers, and drawing inferences from it respecting that period of the European mind, of which we have no direct knowledge. Such conclusions will, of course, be speculative; but, during the last thousand years, we are quite independent of them, inasmuch as every great country has had chroniclers of its own since . the ninth century, while the French have an uninterrupted series since the sixth century. In the present chapter, I intend to give specimens of the way in which, until the sixteenth century, history was habitually written by the highest European authorities. Its subsequent improvement during the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, will be related under the separate heads of the countries, where the progress was made, and as history, previous to the improvement, was little else than a tissue of the grossest errors, I will, in the first place, examine the leading causes of its universal corruption, and indicate the steps by which it was so disfigured that, during several centuries. Europe did not possess a single man who had critically studied the past, or who was even able to record with tolerable accuracy the events of his own time.

At a very early period in the progress of a people, and long before they are acquainted with the use of letters, they feel the want of some resource, which in peace may amuse their leisure, and in war may stimulate their courage. This is supplied to them by the invention of ballads; which form the groundwork of all historical knowledge, and which, in one shape or another, are found among some of the rulest tribes of the earth. They are, for thost part, sung by a class of men whose particular bush is thus to preserve the stock of traditions. Indeed,

tural is this curiosity as to past events, that there are few nations to whom these bards or minstrels are unknown. Thus, to select a few instances, it is they who have preserved the popular traditions, not only of Europe, but also of China, Tibet, and Tartary; likewise of India, of Scinde, of Belochistan, of Western Asia, of the islands of the Black Sea, of Fight, of Western Africa, of North

1 For an account of the ancient bards of Gaul, see the Benedictine Hist Lit de ta France, vol. 1. part. i. pp 25-28 Those of Scotland are noticed in Barry's Hist. of the Orkney Islands, p 89; and for a modern instance in the island of Col, near Mull, see OTTER's Life of Clarke, vol 1 p 307 As to the Irish bards in the seventh century, see Sharon Turner's Hist of England, vol mi. p 571 Spenser's account of them in the sixteenth century (Somens's Tracts, vol. i pp 590, 591) shows that the order was then falling into contempt, and in the seventeenth century this is confirmed by Sir William Tample, Essay on Poetra, in Temple's Works, vol iii pp 431, 432 was not till the eighteenth century that they became extinct; for Mr. Prior (Life or Goldsnuth vol 1 pp 36, 37) says, that Carolan, 'the last of the ancient Irish bards,' died in 1738 Without them the memory of many events would have been entirely lost; since, even at the end of the seventeenth century, there being no registers in Ireland, the ordinary means of recording facts were so little known, that parents of the took the precaution of having the names and ages of children marks on their arms with gunpowder See Kiekman's Memoirs of Charles Macklin, 8vo, 1799, vol 1 pp 144, 145, a curious book. Compare, respecting Carolan, Nichola's Illustrations of the Eighteenth Century, vol vn p, 688-694

? On these Toolholos, as they are called, see Huc's Tracels in Tartary, Tibet, and China, vol 1 pp 65-67 Huc says, p 67, 'These poet-singers, who remind us of the minstrels and rhapsodists of Greece, are also very numerous in China, but they are, probably, nowhere so numerous or so popular as in Thibet'

On the bards of the Deccan, see Wilks's History of the South of India, 4to, 1810, vol 1 pp 20, 21, and Transac. of the B inday Soc. vol 1 p 162 For those of other parts of India, see Heben's Journey, vol 11 pp. 452-455, Burnes on the North-west Frontier of India, in Journal of Geog. Soc. vol. 1v pp. 110, 111; Priver, in Journal of Asiat. Soc. vol viii p 395, Forenes's Oriental Memoirs, vol 1 pp 376, 377; 543; and Asiate Researches, vol. ix. p. 78. They are mentioned in the oldest Veda, which is also the oldest of all the Indian books. See Riy Veda Sanhita, vol. i. p. 158.

4 See BURTON's Sindh, p. 56, 8vo, 1851

b Burron's Sindh, p. 59.

* BURNES Trucels into Bokhara, 8vo. 1834. vol ii pp. 107, 115, 116.

7 CLARKE'S Travels, 8vo. 1816, vol. 11 p 101

* Compare Wilkinson's Ancient Egyptians, vol. ii. pe 304, with Bunsen's Egypt, vol. i. p. 96, vol. ii. p. 92.

⁹ I have inisiald my note on the bards of Western Africa, and can only refer to a hasty notice in Mungo Park's Travels, vol. i. p. 70, 8vo, 1817.

America, 10 of South America, 11 and of the islands in the Pacific. 12

In all these countries, letters were long unknown; and, as a people in that state have no means of perpetuating their history except by oral tradition, they select the form best calculated to assist their memory; and it will, I believe, be found that the first rediments of knowledge consist always of poetry, and often of rhyme. The jingle pleases the ear of the barbarian, and affords a security that he will hand it down to his children in the unimpaired state in which he received it 11. This guarantee

¹⁰ BUCHANAN'S Stetcher of the North-American Ladians, p. 337

[&]quot; Parscott's History of Peru, vol 1 pp 31, 32 117

¹² Ellis, Polone van Researches, vol 1 pp. 81, 129, 411, Ellis, Tour through Howaii p 91 Compare Look's Louines, vol v p 617, with Berchey's Vogage to the Partie, vol n p 100 Some of these ballads have been collected, but, I believe, not published. See Chennel's Sanauch Islands, vvo, 1851 p 181

¹³ It is a singular proof of the carelessness with which the history of barbarous nations has been studied, that authors constantly assert rhyme to be a comparatively recent contrivance, and even Pinkerton, writing to Laing in 1799, says, 'Rhyme was not known in Europe till about the ninth century' PINERRION'S Later Correspondence, vol ii p. 92 The truth is, that rhyme was not smally known to the ancient Greeks and Romans but was used, long before the date Pinkerton mentions, by the Anglo-Saxons, by the Irish, by the Welsh. and, I believe, by the Bretons. See MURB's Hist of the Interature of trice e, vol. 11 p 113, HALLAM'S Lit of lurope, vol 1 p 31 VILLE-MARQUE, Chants Populaires ar la Bretaine, vol i pp lym lix compared with Souvestre, les Derniers Bretons, p 141. Tunnen's Hist. of England, vol. 111 pp 383, 643, vol va pp 324, 528, 530 Rhyme is also used by the Fantees (Bowdich, Mission to Islances, p 378); by the Persians (Transac of Bombay Soc vol. is p 82), by the Chinese (Transac. of Asiatic Soc vol 11. pp. 407, 408, and DAVIS'S Chinese. vol. n. p 200), by the Malays (Asiatic Researches, vol x 'pp 176, 196); by the Javanese (Chawfund's Hist of the Indian Archipelago, vol. it. pp. 19, 20), and by the Siamese (Transac or Assatic. Soc. vol. in. p. 299)

The habit thus acquired, long survives the circumstances which made it necessary. During many centuries the love of versification, was so widely diffused, that works in rhyme were composed on nearly all subjects, even in Europe, and this practice, which marks the ascendency of the imagination, is, as I have shown, a characteristic of the great Indian civilization, where the understanding was always in abeyance. On early French historians who wrote in rhyme, see Montella, Historian dies Etats, vol. vi p. 147. Montucla (Historian dies Mathemat vol. i. p. 506) mentions a mathematical treatise, written in the thirteenth century, 'on vers techniques' Compare the remarks of Matter (Historian de l'Ecole d'Alexandrie, vol. ii. pp. 179-183) on the scientific poetry of Aratus; and on that of Hygin, p. 250. Thus, too,

against error increases still further the value of these ballads; and instead of being considered as a mere amusement, they rise to the dignity of judicial authorities. ¹⁵ The allusions contained in them, are satisfactory proofs to decide the merits of rival families, or even to fix the limits of those rude estates which such a society can possess. We therefore find, that the professed reciters and composers of these songs are the recognized judges in all disputed matters; and as they are often priests, and believed to be inspired, it is probably in this way that the notion of the divine origin of poetry first arose. ¹⁶ These ballads will, of course, vary, according to the customs and temperaments of the different nations, and according to

we find an Anglo-Norman writing 'the Institutes of Justinian in verse,' Tunver's Hest of England, vol vir p.307 and a Polish moserian composing this numerous works on genealogy and heraldry mostly in rhyine' Talvy's Language and Legislater of the Slater Nations, 8vo, 1850, p. 246 Compare Origins du Droit Français, in Charles de Michelet, vol ir p. 310

"Mr Ellis a missionary in the South-Sea Islands, says of the inhabitants, 'Their traditionary ballads were a kind of standard, or classical authority, to which they referred for the purpose of determining any disputed fact in their history.' And when doubts arose, tas they had no records to which they could at such times refer, they could only oppose one eral tradition to another which unavoidably involved the parties in protracted, and often obstinate debates.' Ellis, Polymerian Revarches, vol. 1, pp. 202., 203. Compare Eleminstone's Hist of India, p. 66, Laino's Heimskrinda, Svo. 1844, vol. 1, pp. 50, 51, Twenth's Life of Pocock, edit. 1816, p. 142.

14 The inspiration of poetry is sometimes explained by its spontameousness (Cousin, Hist de la Philosophie, II série, vol. i. pp. 135, 13)), and there can be no doubt that one cause of the reverence felt for great poets, is the necessity they seem to experience of pouring out their thoughts without reference to their own wishes. Still, it will, I believe, be found, that the notion of poetry being a divine art is most rife in those states of society in which knowledge is monopolized by the bards, and in which the bards are both priests and historians. On this combination of pursuits, compare a note in Malcolm's Hist, of Persia, vol. 1. p. 90, with MURR's Hist, of the Lit. of Greece, vol. i. p. 148, vol. ii. p. 228, and PETRIE's learned work, Ecclesiastical Architecture of Ireland, Dublin, 1845, p. 354. For evidence of the great respect paid to bards, see MALLET's Northern Antiquities, pp. 234-236, Whrater's Hist, of the Northmen, pp. 50, 51, Whight's Blog. Brit. Lit. vol. i. p 3; Wartor's Hist of English Poetry, 1840, vol. i. pp. xxvi. xl.; GROTK's Hist. of Greece, vol. ii. p. 182, 1st edit.; and on their important duties, see the laws of Mcelmund, Vilhemanque, Chants Populaires de la Bretagne, 1846, vol. i. pp. v. and vi.; Tumb-WALL'S Hist, of tirerce, vol. i. p. 229; and Origines du Droit, in Eugres de Michelet, vol. ii. p. 372.

the climate to which they are accustomed. In the south they assume a passionate and voluptuous form; in the north they are rather remarkable for their tragic and warlike character.¹⁷ But, notwithstanding these diversities, all such productions have one feature in common. They are not only founded on truth, but making allowance for the colourings of poetry, they are all strictly true. Men who are constantly repeating songs which they constantly hear, and who appeal to the authorized singers of them as final umpires in disputed questions, are not likely to be mistaken on matters, in the accuracy of which they have so lively are interest.¹⁸

This is the liest, and most simple, of the various stages through which history is obliged to pass. But, in the course of time, unless unfavourable circumstances interene, society advances, and, among other changes, there is one in particular of the greatest importance: I mean the introduction of the art of writing, which, before many generations are passed, must effect a complete alteration in the character of the national traditions. The manner in which this occurs has, so far as I am aware, never been pointed out; and it will, therefore, be interesting to attempt to trace some of its details.

The first, and perhaps the most obvious consideration, is that the introduction of the art of writing gives permanence to the national knowledge, and thus lessens the utility of that oral information, in which all the acquirements of an unlettered people must be contained. Hence it is, that as a country advances, the influence of tradition diminishes, and traditions themselves become less trust-

¹⁷ VILLEMARQUÉ, Chants Populaires, vol. i p. lv.

¹⁸ As to the general accuracy of the early ballads, which has been rashly attacked by several writers, and among others by Sir Walter Scott, see Villemarque, Chan's Populaires, vol. i. pp. xxy.—xxxi, and Talvi's Slave Nations, p. 150. On the tenacity of oral tradition, compare Nibbuhe's History of Rome, 1847, vol. i. p. 230, with Laimo's Desmark, pp. 197, 198, 550; Whraton's Hist. of the Northmen, pp. 38, 39, 57—59. Another curious illustration of this is, that several barbarous nations continue to repeat the old traditions in the old words, for so many generations, that at length the very language becomes unintelligible to the majority of those who recite them.' See Marimer's Account of the Tonga Islands. vol. i. p. 156, vol. ii. p. 217, and Catur's North-American Indians, vol. i. p. 126.

worthy. 19 Besides this, the preservers of these traditions lose, in this stage of society, much of their former reputation. Among a perfectly unlettered people, the singers of ballads are, as we have already seen, the sole depositories of those historical facts on which the fame, and often the property, of their chieftains principally depend. But, when this same nation becomes acquainted with the art of writing, it grows unwilling to intrust these matters to the memory of itinerant singers, and avails itself of its new art to preserve them in a fixed and material form. As soon as this is effected, the importance of those who repeat the national traditions is sensibly diminished. They gradually sink into an inferior class, which, having lost its old reputation, no longer consists of those superior men to whose abilities it owed its former fame.20 Thus we see, that although without letters there can be no know ledge of much importance, it is nevertheless true, that their introduction is injurious to historical traditions in two distinct ways: first by weakening the traditions, and secondly by weakening the class of men whose occupation it is to preserve them.

But this is not all. Not only does the art of writing lessen the number of traditionary truths, but it directly encourages the propagation of falsehoods. This is effected by what may be termed a principle of accumulation, to which all systems of belief have been deeply indebted. In ancient times, for example, the name of Hercules was given to several of those great public robbers who scourged mankind, and who, if their crimes were successful, as well as enormous, were sure after their death to be worshipped as heroes.²¹ How this appellation originated is uncertain;

¹⁹ That the invention of letters would at first weaken the memory, is noticed in Plato's Phadrus, chap. 135 (Platous Opera, vol. 1. p. 187, edit. Bekker. Lond. 1826); where, however, the argument is pushed rather too far.

This inepitable decline in the ability of the bards is noticed, though, as it appears to me, from a wrong point of view, in MURR'S Litterat of Greece, vol ii. p. 230.

²¹ Varro mentions forty-four of these vagabonds, who were all called Hercules. See a learned article in Sattra's Biog. and Mythology, vol. ii. p. 401, 8vo, 1846. See also Mackay's Religious Development of the Greeks and Hebrews, vol. ii. pp. 71—79. On the relation between

but it was probably bestowed at first on a single man, and afterwards on those who resembled him in the character of their achievements.22 This mode of extending the use of a single name is natural to a barbarous people; 23 and would cause little or no confusion, as long as the traditions of the country remained local and unconnected. But as soon as these traditions became fixed by a written language, the collectors of them, deceived by the similarity of name, assembled the scattered facts, and, ascribing to a single man these accumulated exploits, degraded history to the level of a miraculous mythology.24 In the same way, soon after the use of letters was known in the North of Europe, there was drawn up by Saxo Grammaticus the life of the celebrated Ragnar Lodbrok Either from accident or design, this great warrior of Scandinavia, who that taught England to tremble, had received the same name as another Ragnar, who was prince of Jutland about a hundred years earlier. This coincidence would have caused no confusion, as long as each district preserved a distinct and independent account of its own Ragnar. But, by possessing the resource of writing, men became able to consolidate the separate trains of events, and, as it were, fuse two truths into one error. And this was what actually happened. The credulous Saxo put together the different exploits of both Ragnars, and, ascribing the whole

Hercules and Melcarth, compare MATTER, Hist du tinosticisme, vol i p. 257, with HERERY'S Aniatic Nations, vol. 1. p. 295, 8vo., 1846. And as to the Hercules of Egypt, PRICHARD'S Analysis of Egyptian Mythology, 1838, pp. 109, 115—119. As to the confusion of the different Hercules by the Dorians, see Thirlwall's Hist of Gierce, vol. 1. pp. 257, and compare p. 130.

²² This appears to be the opinion of Frederick Schlegel, Schlegel's Lectures on the History of Literature, Edinb. 1818, vol. 1, p. 240.

²³ The habit of generalizing names precedes that more advanced state of society in which men generalize phenomens. If this proposition is universally true, which I take it to be, it will throw some light on the history of disputes between the nominalists and the realists.

²⁴ We may form an idea of the fertility of this source of error from the fact, that in Egypt there were fifty-three cities bearing the same name: 'L'auteur du Kamous nous apprend qu'il y a en Egypte cinquante-trois villes du nom de Schobra, en effet, j'ai retrouvé tous ces noms dans les deux dénombremens déjà cités.' - QUALEMBER, Recherches sur la Langue et la Litterature de l'Egypte, p. 199

of them to his favourite hero, has involved in obscurity one of the most interesting parts of the early history of Europe. 25

The annals of the North afford another curious instance of this source of error A tribe of Finns, called Queens. occupied a considerable part of the eastern coast of the Gulf of Bothnia. Their country was known as Quænland: and this name gave rise to a belief that, to the north of the Baltic, there was a nation of Amazons This would easily have been corrected by local knowledge; but, by the use of writing, the flying rumour was at once fixed; and the existence of such a people is positively affirmed in some of the earliest European histories 26 Thus, too, Abo, the ancient capital of Finland, was called Turku, which, in the Swedish language, means a market-place. Adam of Bremen, having occasion to treat of the countries adjoining the Baltie, 27 was so misled by the word Turku, that this celebrated historian assures his readers that there were Turks in Finland 28

To these illustrations many others might be added, showing how mere names deceived the early historians, and gave rise to relations which were entirely false, and

22 On this confusion respecting Raynar Loubrok, see Gelfre's History of Sweden, part 1 pp 13, 11, Lappenberg's Angle Suron Kings, vol. in p 31, Wheaton's Hist of the Austhmen, p 150, Maller's Northern Antiquities, p 383, Chichton's Scandingerg, vol. 1 p 116 a comparison of these passages will justify the sarcastic remark of Koch on the history of Swedish and Danish heroes, Koch, Tableau des Recolutions, vol. 1 p 57 note.

²⁶ PRICHARD'S Physical Hist of Mankind, vol iii. p. 273. The Norwegians still give to the Finlanders the name of Queener See Dillon's Lapland and Iceland, Svo, 1840, vol. ii. p. 221. Compare Laino's Sweden, pp. 45, 47. The Amazon river in South America owes its name to a similar fable. Henderson's Hist of Brazil, p. 453; Souther's Hist, of Brazil, vol. i. p. 112, MCCULLON'S Researches concerning America, pp. 407, 408, and Journal of Geog. Soc. vol. xv. p. 65, for an account of the wide diffusion of this error.

²⁷ Sharon Turner (Hist. of England, vol. iv. p 30) calls him 'the Strabo of the Baltic,' and it was from him that most of the geographers up the Middle Ages derived their knowledge of the North

²⁵ 'It was called in Finnish Turku, from the Swedish word tory, which signifies a market-place. The sound of this name misked Adam of Bremen into the belief that there were Turks in Finland.' Cooley's Heat of Maritime and Inland Discovery, London, 1830. vol. i. p. 211.

12

might have been rectified on the spot; but which, owing to the art of writing, were carried into distant countries. and thus placed beyond the reach of contradiction. such cases, one more may be mentioned, as it concerns the history of England. Richard I., the most barbarous of our princes, was known to his contemporaries as the Lion; an appellation conferred upon him on account of his fearlessness, and the ferocity of his temper.28 Hence it was said that he had the heart of a lion; and the title Cœur de Lion not only became indissolubly connected with his name, but actually gave rise to a story, repeated by innumerable writers, according to which he slew a hon in single combat. 30 The name gave rise to the story; the story confirmed the name; and another fiction was added to that long series of falsehoods of which history mainly consisted during the Middle Ages.

The corruptions of history, thus naturally brought about by the mere introduction of letters, were, in Europe, aided by an additional cause. With the art of writing, there was, in most cases, also communicated a knowledge of Christianity; and the new religion not only destroyed many of the Pagan traditions, but falsified the remainder by amalgamating them with monastic legends. The extent to which this was carried would form a curious subject for inquiry; but one or two instances of it will perhaps be sufficient to satisfy the generality of readers

Of the earliest state of the great Northern nations we have little positive evidence; but several of the lays in which the Scandinavian poets related the feats of their

²⁰ See Price's learned Preface to Warton's Hisbiry of English Poetry, vol. i. p. 21, and on the similar story of Henry the Lion, see Maury, Ligendes du Moyen Age, p. 160 Compare the account of Duke Gödfrey's conflict with a bear, in Marthan Paris Historia Major, p. 29, Lond. 1684, folio I should not be surprised if the story of Alexander and the Lion (Thirlwall's History of Greece, vol. vi. p. 305) were equally fabulous.

²⁹ The chronicler of his crusade says, that he was called Lion on account of his never pardoung an offence 'Nihii injuriarum reliquit inultum unde et unus (i. e. the King of France) dictus est Agnus a Griffonibus, alter Leonis nomen accepit.' Chronicon Ricardi Divisiensis de Rebus gestis Ricardi Primi, edit. Stevenson, Lond. 1838, p. 18. Some of the Egyptian kings received the name of Lion 'from their heroic exploits' VYSE on the Pyramids, vol. iii p. 116.

²⁰ See Price's learned Preface to Wartow's Hissory of English

ancestors, or of their contemporaries, are still preserved; and, notwithstanding their subsequent corruption, it is admitted by the most competent judges that they embody real and historical events. But in the ninth and tenth centuries, Christian missionaries found their way across the Baltic, and introduced a knowledge of their religion among the inhabitants of Morthern Europe. 31 Scarcely was this effected, when the sources of history began to be poisoned. At the end of the eleventh century, Sæmund Sigfussen, a Christian priest, gathered the popular, and hitherto unwritten, histories of the North into what is called the Elder Edda; and he was satisfied with adding to his compilation the corrective of a Christian hymn. 32 A hundred years later, there was made another collection of the native histories; but the principle which I have mentioned, having had a longer time to operate, now displayed its effects still more clearly. In this second collection, which is known by the name of the Younger Edda, there is an agreeable mixture of Greek, Jewish, and Christian fables; and, for the first time in the Scandinavian annals, we meet with the widely diffused fiction of a Trojan descent.33

¹¹ The first missionary was Ebbo, about the year \$22. He was followed by Anschar, who afterwards pushed his enterprise as far as Sweden. The progress was, however, slow, and it was not till the latter half of the 11th century that Christianity was established firmly in the North. See Neaddan's Hist of the Church, vol. v. pp. 373, 374, 379, 480, 400—403, Moshbian's Eccles. Hist. vol. 1 pp. 188, 215, 216, Barry's Hist. of the Orkney Islands, p. 125. It is often supposed that some of the Danes in Ireland were Christians as early as the reign of Ivar I, but this is a mistake, into which Ledwich fell by relying on a coin, which in reality refers to Ivar II. Petric's Ecclesiastical Architecture of Ireland, p. 235, and Ledwich's Antiquities of Ireland, p. 159.

² Mr Wheaton (History of the Northmen, p. 60) says, that 'Ssemund merely added one song of his own composition, of a moral and Christian religious tendency, so as thereby to consecrate and leaven, as it were, the whole mass of Paganism.'

WHEATON'S Hist. of the Northmen, pp. 89, 90; Mallet's Northern Antiquities, pp. 377, 378, 485; Schlegel's Lectures on the History of Internture, vol. i. p. 265. Indeed, these interpolations are so numerous, that the earlier German antiquaries believed the Edda to be a forgery by the northern monks, — a paradox which Müller refuted more than forty years ago. Note in Wheaton, p. 61. Compare Palgraye's Lighth Commonwealth, Anglo-Saxon Period, vol. i. p. 135.

If, by way of further illustration, we turn to other parts of the world, we shall find a series of facts confirming this view. We shall find that, in those countries where there has been no change of religion, history is more trustworthy and connected than in those countries where such a change has taken place. In India, Brahmanism, which is still supreme, was established at so early a period, that its origin is lost in the remotest antiquity."4 The consequence is, that the native annals have never been corrupted by any new superstition, and the Hindus are possessed of historic traditions more ancient than can be found among any other Asiatic people. 30 In the same way, the Chinese have for upwards of 2000 years preserved the religion of Fo, which is a form of Buddhism. 36 In China, therefore, though the civilization has never been "equal to that of India, there is a history, not, indeed, as old as the natives would wish us to believe, but still stretching back to several centuries before the Christian era, from whence it has been brought down to our own times in an uninterrupted succession. 27 On the other hand,

⁴ As is evident from the conflicting statements made by the best orientalists, each of whom has some favourite hypothesis of his own respecting its origin. It is enough to say, that we have no account of India existing without Brahmanism, and as to its real history, nothing can be understood, until more steps have been taken towards generalizing the laws which regulate the growth of religious opinions.

²⁶ Dr. Prichard (Physical Hist of Mankind, vol. iv. pp. 101—105) thinks that the Hindus have a list by beginning B of 1391. Compare Works of Sir W. Jones, vol. 1 pp. 3t1, 412. Mr. Wilson says, the even the genealogies in the Puranas arc, 'in all probability, much more authentic than has been sometimes supposed.' Wilson's note in Milly's Hist of India, vol. 1, pp. 161, 162. See also his preface to the Vishnu Purana, p. lxv, and Ariatic Researches, vol. v. p. 244.

³⁶ Journal of Asiatic Soc., vol. vi. p. 251, HERDER, Idean zur Geschichte, vol. iv. p. 70, Works of Sir W. Jones, vol. i. p. 104. I learn from a note in Erman's Storica vol. ii. p. 306, that one of the missionaries gravely suggets 'that Buddhism originated in the errors of the Manicheans, and is therefore but an imitation of Christianity.'

³¹ M. Bunsen says, that the Chinese have 'a regular chronology, extending back 3000 years B. C.' Bunsen's Egypt, vol i. p. 240. See also Humbold's Cosmos, vol. ii. p. 475, vol. iv. p 455; Remouand, Hist. de la Médecine, vol. i. pp. 47, 48, and the statements of Klaproth and Rémusat, in Paichard's Physical Hist., vol. iv. pp. 476, 477. The

the Persians, whose intellectual development was certainly superior to that of the Chinese, are nevertheless without any authentic information respecting the early transactions of their ancient monarchy. The For this I can see no possible reason, except the fact, that Persia, soon after the promulgation of the Koran, was conquered by the Mohammedans, who completely subverted the Parsee religion, and thus interrupted the stream of the national traditions. Hence it is that, putting aside the myths of the Zendavesta, we have no native authorities for Persian history of any value, until the appearance, in the eleventh century, of the Shah Nameh; in which, however, Ferdousi has mingled the miraculous relations of those two religions by which his

superior exactness of the Chinese annals is sometimes ascribed to, their early knowledge of printing, with which they claim to have been acquainted in a c 1100 MFIDINGER'S I scale, in Journal of Stateste at Societie, vol in p 163 But the fact is that printing was unknown in thina till the ninth of tenth century after Christ, and individual types were not invented before 1041 HEMBOLDI'S Cosmos, vol if p 623, Trains of Isaate Societie, vol i, p 7, horizal Asia-togic, vol i p 134, Paris, 1822. Davis's Chinese, vol i pp 174, 178, vol u p 1. There are some interesting papers on the early history of China in Journal of Isaate Societie, vol i pp 57—86, 213–222, vol ii pp 166–171, 276–287.

From the death of Alexander (323 B C) to the reign of Ardeshir Babegan (Artaxerves), the founder of the Sassanian dynasty (200 A D), a period of in we than five centuries, is almost a blank in the Persian Instory! Theorem's Preliminary Institute to the Pahistan, sive 1843, vol 1 pp by by Sec to the same effect Easking on the Zevid-Acesta, in Transa. or Soc of Bandary vol 1 pp 303-305, and Malcot n's Host at Privat, vol 1 p 68. The ancient Persian traditions are said to have been Pelnyi. Malcolm, vol 1 pp 501-505, but if so, they have all pershed, p 550 compare Rawlinson's note in fournal of tring Soc. vol x p 82.

"On the antigonism between Mohammedanism and the old Persian history, see a note in Groti's Hist. of tere c. vol. 1. p. 6.3. Even at present, or, at all events, during this century, the best education in Persia consisted in learning the elements of Arabic grammar. 'legic, jurisprudence, the traditions of their prophet, and the commentaries on the Koran.' Vans Kenneto on Persian Literature, in Transac. of Rombing Soviety, vol. ii p. 62. In the same way the Mohammedans neglected the gld history of India, and would, no doubt, have destroyed or corrupted it, but they never had anything like the hold of India that they had of Persia, and, above all, they were unable to displace the native religion. However, their influence, soffar as it went, was unfavourable, and Mr. Elphinstone (Hist. of India, p. 468) says, that till the sixteenth century there was no instance of a Mussulman carefully studying Hindu literature.

2168

country had been successively subjected. On The result is, that if it were not for the various discoveries which have been made, of monuments, inscriptions, and coins, we should be compelled to rely on the scanty and incourate details in the Greek writers for our knowledge of the history of one of the most important of the Asiatic monarchies. On the country of the history of one of the most important of the Asiatic monarchies.

Even among more barbarous nations, we see the same principle at work. The Malayo-Polynesian race is well known to ethnologists as covering an immense series of islands, extending from Madagascar to within 2000 miles of the western coast of America 42. The religion of these

so On the Shah Nameh, see Borks of Sir. W. Jones, vol. iv. pp. 544, 545, vol. v. p. 544, Mille's Hest of India, vol. ii. pp. 64, 65, Journal of Static Society, vol. iv. p. 225. It is supposed by a very high authority that the Persian cuneform inscriptions, 'will enable us. in the end, to introduce something like chronological accuracy and order into the myths and traditions embodied in the Shah Nameh.' Raw-Linson on the Inscriptions of Assiria and Babaloma, in Journal of Assir Soc., vol. xii. p. 446.

All On the ignorance of the Greeks respecting Persian history, see Vans Kennedy, in Transa of Soc. of Bombay, vol is pp. 119, 127-129, 136. Indeed, this learned writer says (p. 134) he is 'inclined to appet that no Greek author ever derived his information from native of Persia Proper, that is, of the country to the east of the Euphrates' See also on the perplexities in Persian chronology, Gnote's Hist of Greece, vol vi p 496, vol ix p. 3, vol. x. p. 405, and DONALDSON'S New Cratylur, 1839, p 97 note. As to the foolish stories which the Greeks relate respecting Achæmenes, compare MALCOLE's Hist. of Persia vol i. p 18, with Heeren's Assatic Nations, vol i. p. 243. Even Herodotius, who is invaluable in regard to Egypt, is not to be relied upon for Persia, as was noticed long ago by Sir W Jones, in the preface to his Nader Shah (JONES's Horks, vol. v. p. 540), and is partly admitted by Mr. Mure (History of the Literature of Ancient Greece, vol. iv p 338, 8vo, 1853).

That is, to Easter Island, which appears to be its furthest boundary (PRICHARD'S Phys. Hist., vol. v. p. 6); and of which there is a good account in Beechey's loyage to the Pacinc, vol. i. pp. 43—58, and a notice in Jour. of Geog. Society, vol. i p. 195. The language of Easter Island has been long known to be Malayo-Polynesian; for it was understood by a native of the Society Islands, who accompanied Cook (Cook's Voyages, vol. iii. pp. 294, 303, and Prichard, vol. v. p. 147: compare Marsden's History of Sumatra, p. 164)* Ethnologists have not usually paid sufficient honour to this great navigator, who was the first to remark the similarity between the different languages in Folynesia Proper. Cook's Voyages, vol. ii pp. 60, 61, vol. iii. pp. 230, 280, 290, vol. iv. p. 305, vol. vi. p. 230, vol. vii. p. 115. As to Madagascar being the western limit of this vast race of people, see Asiatic Researches, vol. iv. 222; Reports on Ethnology by Brit. Assoc.

widely scattered people was originally Polytheism, of which the purest forms were long preserved in the Philippine Islands. 43 But in the fifteenth century, many of the Polynesian nations were converted to Mohammedanism; 44 and this was followed by a process precisely the same as that which I have pointed out in other countries. The new religion, by changing the current of the national thoughts, corrupted the purity of the national history. Of all the islands in the Indian Archipelago, Java was the one which reached the highest civilization. 45 Now, however, the Javanese have not only lost their historical traditions, but even those lists of their kings which are extant, are interpolated with the names of Mohammedan saints. 46 On the other hand, we find that in the adjacent island of Bali, where the old religion is still preserved, 47 the

tor 1847, pp 154, 216, 250, and KLLIS'S Hist. of Madagascar, vol 1 p 122

⁴³ Also the seat of the Tagaia language, which, according to William Humboldt, is the most perfect of all the forms of the Malayo-Polynesian. PRICHARD'S Physical Hist., vol. v. pp. 36, 51, 52

[&]quot;MARBDEN'S History of Sumatra, p 281. De Thou (Hest Unic, vol. xiii. p. 59) supposes that the Javanese did not become Mohammedans till late in the sixteenth century; but it is now known that their conversion took place at least a hundred years earlier, the old religion being finally abolished in 1478. See Chawfurd's Hist. of the Indian Archipetago, vol. ii. p. 312; Liow's Sarawal, p 90, and Raffings's Hist. of Java, vol. ii pp. 309, 349, vol. ii pp 1, 66, 254. The doctrines of Mohammed spread quickly, and the Malay pilgrims enjoy the reputation, in modern times, of being among the most scrupulously religious of those who go to the Hadj. Burckhard's Arabia, vol ii pp 26, 97.

⁴⁵ The Javanese civilization is examined at great length by William Humboldt, in his celebrated work, Ueber die Kaier Sprache, Berlin, 1836. From the evidence supplied by some early Chinese writings, which have only recently been published, there are good grounds for believing that the Indian Colonies were established in Java in the first century after Christ. See Wilson on the Foe Aue Ki, in Journal of Asial. Soc. vol. v. p. 137; compare vol. vi. p. 320.

[&]quot;CRAWFUED'S Hist. of the Indian Archipelago. vol ii. p. 297. Compare with this the exactness with which, even in the island of Celebes the dates were preserved before the introduction of Mahomedanism.' CRAWFUED, vol. i. p 306. For similar instances of royal genealogies being obscured by the introduction into them of the names of gods, see Kemble's Saxons in England, vol. i. pp. 27, 335.

⁴⁷ Asiatic Researches, vol. x. p. 191, vol. xiii. p. 128. In the Appendix to RAFFLES's Hist. of Java, vol. ii. p. calit., it is said, that 'in Bali not more than one in two hundred, if so many, are Mahomedans.' See also p 65, and vol 1. p 530.

legends of Java are remembered and cherished by the people. 48

It would be useless to adduce further evidence respecting the manner in which, among an imperfectly civilized people, the establishment of a new religion will always affect the accuracy of their early history. I need only observe, that in this way the Christian priests have obscured the annals of every European people they converted, and have destroyed or corrupted the traditions of the Gauls. 49 of the Welsh, of the Irish, 50 of the Anglo-Saxons, 51 of the Sclavonic nations, 52 of the Finns, 53 and even of the Icelanders. 54

Besides all this, there occurred other circumstances tending in the same direction. Owing to events which I shall hereafter explain, the literature of Europe, shortly before the final dissolution of the Roman Empire, fell entirely into the hands of the clergy, who were long venerated as the sole instructors of mankind. For several centuries, it was extremely rare to meet with a layman

^{*} Indeed, the Javanese appear to have no other means of acquiring the old Kavi traditions than by learning them from natives of Bali. See note to an Essay on the Island of Bali, in Adigto Researches, vol xin p 162. Calcutta, 1820, 4to. Sir Stamford Raffles (Host of hica, vol i p 400) says, it is chiefly to Bali that we must look for illustrations of the ancient state of the Javans. See also p 414

^{**} Respecting the corruption of Druidical traditions in Gaul by Christian priests, see VILLEMARQUÉ, Cuants Popularies de la Bretagne, Paris, 1846, vol 1 pp xvin xix

The injury done to the traditions handed down by Welsh and Irish bards, is noticed in Dr. Prichard's valuable work, Physical Hest of Mankind, vol. in p. 184. 8vo, 1841. See also Warron's Hest of English Portry, vol. 1. p. xxxvii note.

²¹ See the remarks on Beowulf, in Whioht's Biog Brit. Lit. vol. i. p. 7, 8vo, 1842 See also pp. 13, 14 and compare Kemble's Sarons in England, vol. i. p. 331

²² Talvu's Language and Literature of the Slavic Nations, 8vo, 1850, p. 231 The Pagan songs of the Slovaks. in the north-west of Hungary, were for a time preserved, but even they are now lost. Talvi, p. 216

⁵² The monkish chroniclers neglected the old Finnish traditions, and allowing them to perish, preferred the inventions of Saxo and Johannes Magnus. PRICHARD'S Physical Hist vol. iii. pp. 284, 285

⁵⁴ For an instance in which the monks have falsified the old Icelandic traditions, see Mr. Keightley's learned book on Fairy Mythotopy, Sec. 1850, p. 159.

who could read or write; and of course it was still rarer to meet with one able to compose a work. Literature, being thus monopolized by a single class, assumed the peculiarities patural to its new masters. 55 And as the clergy, taken as a body, have always looked on it as their business to enforce belief, rather than encourage marry, it is no wonder if they displayed in their writings the spirit incidental to the habits of their profession. Hence, as I have already observed, literature, during many ages, instead of benefiting society, injured it, by increasing credulity, and thus stopping the progress of knowledge. Indeed, the aptitude for falsehood became so great, that there was nothing men were unwilling to believe. Nothing came amiss to their greedy and credulous ears. Histories of omens, prodigies, apparitions, strange portents, monstrous appearances in the heavens, the wildest and most incoherent absurdities, were repeated from mouth to mouth, and copied from book to book, with as much care as if they were the choicest treasures of human wisdom. 56 That Europe should ever have emerged from such a state, is the most decisive proof of the extraordinary energy of Man, since we cannot even conceive a condition of society more unfavourable to his progress. But it is evident,

⁵⁵ The Rev. Mr. Dowling, who looks back with great regret to this happy period, says, 'Writers were almost universally ecclesiastics Literature was scarcely anything but a religious exercise, for everything that was studied was studied with a reference to religion. The men, therefore, who wrote history, wrote ecclesiastical history, Dowling's Introduction to the Critical Study of Ecclesiastical History, 8vo, 1838, p. 56, a work his some talent, but chiefly interesting as a manifesto by an active party.

[&]quot;Thus, for instance, a celebrated historian who wrote at the end of the twelfth century, says of the reign of William Rufus 'Ejusdien regis tempore, it ex parte supradiction est, in sole, luna, et stellis multa signa visa sunt, mare quoque littus persepe egreciebatur, et homines et animalia submersit, villas et domos quamplures subvertit. In pago qui Barukeshire nominatur, ante occisionem regis sanguis de fonte tribus septimanis emanavit. Multis etiam Normannis diabolus in horribili specie se frequenter in silvis ostendens, plura cum eis de rege et Ranulfo, et quibusdam aliis locutus est. Nec mirum, nam illorum tempore ferè omnis legum siluit justitue, causisque justitie subpositis, sola in principibus imperabat pecunia.' Rog de Hoveden Assal in Scriptores post Baigas, p. 268. See also the same work, pp. 356—358; and compare Matthest Westmonast. Flores Historianum, part. i pp. 266, 29 part ii p. 298

that until the emancipation was effected, the credulity and looseness of thought which were universal, unfitted men for habits of investigation, and made it impossible for them to engage in a successful study of past affairs, or even record with accuracy what was taking place around them. 57

therefore, we recur to the facts just cited, we may say that, omitting several circumstances altogether subordinate, there were three leading causes of the corruption of the history of Europe in the Middle Ages. The first cause was, the sudden introduction of the art of writing, and the consequent fusion of different local traditions, which, when separate, were accurate, but when united water false. The second cause was, the change of religion; which acted in two ways, producing not merely an interruption of the old traditions, but also an interpolation of them. And the third cause, probably the most powerful of all, was, that history became monopolized by a class of men whose professional habits made them drick to believe, and who, moreover, had a direct interest in increasing the general credulity, since it was the basis upon which their own authority was built.

By the operation of these causes, the history of Europe became corrupted to an extent for which we can find no parallel in any other period. That there was, properly speaking, no history, was the smallest part of the inconvenience, but, unhappily, men, not satisfied with the absence of truth, supplied its place by the invention of falsehood. Among innumerable instances of this, there is one species of inventions worth noticing, because they evince that love of antiquity, which is a marked characteristic of those classes by whom history was then written. I allude to fictions regarding the origin of different nations, in all of which the spirit of the Middle Ages is very discernible. During many centuries, it was believed by every people that they were directly

⁵⁷ Even the descriptions of natural objects white materians attempted in the Middle Ages, were marked by the same carelessness. See some good observations by Dr. Arnold, on Bede's account of the Solent Sea. Arrold's Lectures on Modern History, pp. 102, 103.

ancestors who had been present at the siege of Troy. That was a proposition which no one thought of doubting. The only question was, as to the details of so illustrious a tracket. On this, however, there was a certain unanimity of opinion; since, not to mention inferior countries, it was admitted that the French were descended from Francus, whom everybody knew to be therein of Hector; and it was also known that the Britons came from Brutus, whose father was no other than Æneas himself. 59

Touching the origin of particular places, the great historians of the Middle Ages are equally communicative. In the accounts they give of them, as well as in the lives they write of eminent ment, the history usually begins at a very remote period; and the events relating to their subject are often traced back, in an unbroken series, from the moment when Noah left the ark, or even when Adam passed the gates. Paradise. On other occasions, the

³⁹ The general opinion was, that Brutus, or Brute, was the son of Mineas; but some historians affirmed that he was the great grandson. See Tunnas's Historian Regional, vol. i. p. 63, vol. vii. pp. 220.

[🛰] In Le Long's Bibliothéque Historique de la France, vol. ii. p. 3. it is said, that the descent of the kings of France from the Trojans was universally believed before the sixteenth century. 'Cette descendance a été crue réritable près de huit cents ans, et soutenue par tous les écrivains de notre histoire; la fausseté n'en a été reconnue qu'an commencement du seizième siècle.' Polydore Vergil, who died in the middle of the sixteenth century, attacked this opinion in regard to England, and thereby made his history unpopular. See ELLIS'S Preface to Polydore Vergition. xx. 4to, 1844, published by the Camden Society. 'He discarded Brate, as an unreal personage.' 1128, Henry I., king of England, inquired from a learned man respecting the early bistory of France. The answer is preserved by an historian of the thirteenth century: 'Regum potentissime, inquiens, sicut pleraque gentes Europæ, ita Franci a Trojanis originem duxerunt.' MATTRET PARIS Hist Major, p. 59. See also Roo DE Hov. in Scriptores post Bedam, p. 274. On the descent of the Britons from Priam and Aneas, see Mattrai Westmonost, Plores Historiarum, part i. p. 66. Indeed, at the beginning of the fourteenth century, their Trojan origin was stated as a notorious fact, in a letter written to Pope Boniface by Edward I., and signed by the English nobility. See WARTON'S Hist. of English Poetry, vol. i. pp. 131, 182; and CAMPBERE'S Lives of the Chancettors, vol i. p. 185.

⁶⁰ In the Notes to a Chronicle of London from 1089 to 1483, pp. 183—187, edit. 4to, 1897, there is a polityree, in which the history of the bishops of London is traced back, not only to the migration of Brutus from Troy, but also to Nosh and Adam. Thus, too, Goroptus, in his

antiquity they assign is somewhat less; but the range of their information is always extraordinary. They say, that the capital of France is called after Paris, the son of Priam, because he fled there when Tay was overthrown. The also mention that Tours owed its name to being the burial-place of Turonus, one of the Trojans; 2 while the city of Troyes was actually built by the Trojans, as its etymology clearly proves. It was well-ascertained that Nuremberg was called after the Emperor Nero; 44 and Jerusalem after King Jebus, 65 a man of vast cele-

history of Antwerp, written in the sixteenth century. Vond zoowell de Nederlandsche taal als de Wysbegeerte van Orpheus in de ark van Nosch? Van Kampen, trevlandeurs der letteren Svo, 1821, vol. ip 91, see also p. 86. In the thirteenth century. Mathew Paris (Historia Major, p. 352) says of Alfred, 'Hujus genealogia in Anglorum historias perducitur usque ad Adam primum parentem.' See, to the same sfiect, Matthet Westmonast. Forces Historiarum, part 1. pp. 323, 334, 415. In William of Malmesbury's Chronicle (Scriptores post Bedam, p. 22 rev.) the genealogy of the Saxon kings is traced back to Adam. For other and similiar instances, see a note in Lingahir History of Enjand, vol. 1. p. 403. And Mr. Ticknor (History of Spanish Literature, vol. 1. p. 509) mentions, that the Spanish chroniclers present 'an annia scripted succession of Spanish kings from Tubal, 'a straight Nosh.'

"Monteil, in his curious book, Histoire des dieers Liefs," of v p 70, mentions the old belief 'que les Parisiens sont 'du sang des rois des anciens Troyens, par Paris, ils de Priam.' Bress in the seventeenth century this idea was not extinct, and Coryst, who travelled in France in 1608, gives another version of it. He safe, 'As for her name of Paris, she hath it (as some write) from Paris, the eighteenth king of Gallia Celtica, whom some write to have been lineally descended from Japhet, one of the three sons of Noah, and to have founded this city.' Corrat's Condition, 1611, reprinted arts vol. ii pp. 27, 28

22 Erat ibi quidam Tros nomine Turonus Brüti nepos. De nomine ipsius prædicta civitas Turonis vocabulum nacta est; quia bidem sepultus fuit. Galvagdi Monumer. Hist Briton lib. i. cap. xv. p. 19. And Mathew of Westminster, who wrote in the fourteenth century, says (Flores Historianum, part. i. p. 17) 'Tros nomine Turnus . . De nomine verò ipsius Turonorum civitas vocabulum traxit, qui ibidem, ut testatur Homerus, sepultus fuit.'

saig des anciens Troyens.' MONTRIL, Divers Etats, vol. v. p. 69.

"Monconys, who was in Nuremberg in 1663, found this opinion still held there; and he seems himself half inclined to believe it, for, in visiting a castle, he observes, 'Mure je ne sçai si c'est un ouvrage de Neron; comme l'on le dit, et que même le nom de Nuremberg en vient.' Voyages de Monuonis, vol. iv; p. 141, edit. Paris, 1695.

" Demceps regnante in ca Jebuseo, dicta Jebus, et sic ex Jebus

brity in the Middle Ages, but whose existence later histogians have not been able to verify. The river Humber received its name because, in ancient times, a king of the Huns had been drowned in it. 66 The Gauls derived their origin, according to some, from Galathia, a female descendant of Japhet; according to others, from Gomer, the son of Japhet. 67 Prussia was called after Prussia, a brother of Augustus. 68 This was remarkably modern, but Silesia had its name from the prophet Elisha - from whom, indeed, the Silesians descended; 69 while as to the city of Zurich, its exact date was a matter of dispute, but it was unquestionably built in the time of Abraham. 70 It was likewise from Abraham and Sarah that the gipsies immediately sprung. 71 The blood of the Saracens was less pure, since they were only descended from Sarah in whateway it is not mentioned; but she probably had them by another marriage, or, may be, as the fruit of an Egyptian intrigue. 72 At all events, the Scotch cer-

et seiem dieta est Jebusalem. Unde post dempta b littera et addita litta est Hierusalem.' Mattræi Paris Historia Major, p. 43 This emissis sie of another great writer, who was one of the fathers, and was monoger a saint, and who, says M. Matter, dérive les Samaritains du voi Samarius, fils de Cansan.' Matter, Hist. du linosticisme, vol. i. p. 44."

^{66 &#}x27;Humber rex Hunnorum . . . ad flumen diffugiens, submersus est intra ipsum, et nomen suum flumini reliquit.' MATTHEI Westmonast. Pieres Historiarum, part 1. p. 19.

⁶¹ These two opinions, which long divided the learned world, are stated in Lz Long, Bibl otheque Restorique de la France, vol. ii. pp. 5, 49.

⁶⁸ See a curious allusion to this in Dr Trou, *Hist. Univ.* vol. viii. p. 160; where, however, it is erroneously supposed to be a Bussian invention.

⁴⁷ The Silesians are not without voluminous writers upon their antiquities; and one of them gravely derives the name and descent of his country from the prophet Elisha. Adams's Letters on Silesia, p. 267, Lond. 8vo, 1804.

To In 1808, Coryat, when in Eurich, was told by the learned Hospinian that their city was founded in the time of Abrahamal Courar's Crudities, vol. i. Epistle to the Reader, sig. n. I always give the most recent instance I have met with, because, in the history of the European intellect, it is important to know how long the most of the Middle Ages survived in different countries.

They were 'souls enfants légitimes' of Abraham and Sarah, MONEELL, Divers Bluis , vol. v. p. 19.

[&]quot;Mathew Paris, who is apprehensive lest the reputation of march should suffer, says, Saraceni perverse so putant ex Sara dick; and

tainly came from Egypt; for they were originally the issue of Scota, who was a daughter of Pharaoh, and who bequeathed to them her name. 78 On sundry similar matters, the Middle Ages possessed information equally valuable. It was well known that the city of Naples was founded on eggs; 74 and it was also known, that the order of Michael was instituted in person by the archangel, who was himself the first knight, and to whom, in fact, chivalry owes its origin. 76 In regard to the Tartars, that people, of odurse, proceeded from Tartarus; which some theologians said was an inferior kind of hell, but others declared to be hell itself 76 However this might be, the

verius Agareni dicuntur ab Agar, et Ismaelita, et Ismaele fallo hæ.' Hist. Major, p. 357. Compare a similar pas san Histoire de France, vol. 1. p. 127. 'Sarrasins, ou de la diction de Sara femme d'Abraham, duquel ils se disent fattimes héritiera,' After this, the idea, or the fear of the little died away; and Beausobre (Histoire Critique de Manic. 2. vol. says 'On dérive vulgairement le nom de Sarrasins du mot aou Sarak, qui signifie effectivement voleur.' A good secular turn given to a theological etymology. For northern history, see Whitelocke's Journal of the vol. 1. pp. 190, 191.

Rarly in the fourteenth century, this was stated at to the Pope, as a well-known historical fact. See Lung this of England, vol. ii. p. 187: They are sprung from Boots, the pher of Pharach, who landed in Ireland, and whose descendant wested, by force of arms, the northern half of Britain from the progeny of Brute.

⁷⁴ Mr. Wright (Narratives of Sorcery, 8vo, 1851, vol. i. p. 115) says. 'The foundation of the city of Naples upon eggs, and the egg on which its fate depended, seem to have been legends generally current in the Middle Ages;' and he refers to MONTFAUGON, MONUMERS of la Men. Fr. vol. ii. p. 329, for proof, that by the statutes of the order of the Saint Esprit, 'a chapter of the knights was appointed to be held annually in castello ovi insantsti in mirabili periculo.

75 The order of Saint Michael, in France, pretends to the possession of a regular descent from Michael the Archangel, who, according to the enlightened judgment of French antiquarians, was the premier chevaler in the world; and it was he, they say, who established the earliest chivalric order in Paradise itself.? Millis's Hist. of Chivology, vol. i. pp. 362-364.

of Saint Louis, in PRIOMARD's Physical History, vol. iv. of Saint Louis, in PRIOMARD's Physical History, vol. iv. of think that I have met with it before his time, the sigh I recover the passage. The sarliest instance I remember when the saint was twenty-six years old. See a letter peror Fracienck, in MATTHEN PARTS Historia Major, p. nissent dicti Tertaria (two Tartarei), '&c.; and on the Louis, see p. 496: 'Quos vocamus Tartareos ad suas

fact of their birth-place being from below was indisputable, and was proved by many circumstances which showed the fatal and mysterious influence they were able to exercise. For the Turks were identical with the Tartars; and it was notorious, that since the Cross had fallen into Turkish hands all Christian children had ten beeth less than formerly; a universal calamity, which there seemed to be no means of repairing. 77

Other points relating to the history of past events were cleared up with equal facility. In Europe during many centuries, the only animal food in general use was pork; beef, veal, and mutton, being comparatively unknown. 38 It was, therefore, with no small astonishment

The the thirtee th century, the subject has attracted the attention of median divines; and the celebrated theologian Whiston mentions has last finite discovery, or rather my revival of Dr. Giles Fletcher's that discovery that the Tartars are no other than the ten tribes of Tarasi, which have been so long sought for in vain. Memoirs of the Life and Writings of William Whiston, p. 575. Compare, on the aplations has respecting the Tartars, Journal Asiatique, I. série, vol vin 1874. Parintips.

pasions hely representing the Tartars, Journal Asiatique, I. série, vol vi p. 3574. Paria 11225.

77 Peignot inct. des Livres, vol. 11. p. 69. Paris, 1806) says, that Rigord, in his distory of Philip Augustus, assures his readers 'que des in the croix s'été prise par les Turcs, les enfans n'ont plus que tage 23 deats, an lieu qu'ils en avsient 30 ou 32 auparavant 'Even in the fitteenth century, it was believed that the number of teeth had d'iminished from 32 to 22, or at most 24. See Springer, hist. de la Medecine, vol ii pp 481, 482, Paris, 1815. Compare Hecker on the Black Death, pp. 31, 32, in his learned work, Epidemics of the

Middle Ages, published by the Sydenham Society.

18 In the sacred books of the Scandinavians, pork is represented as the principal food, even in heaven. See MALLET'S Northern Antiquities, p 103. It was the chief food of the Irish in the twelfth century: LEDWICH, Astiquities of Ireland, Dublin, 1804, p. 370; and also of the Anglo-Saxons at an earlier period: TURRER's Hist. of England, vol. iii. p. 22. In France it was equally common, and Charlemagne kept in his forests immense droves of pigs. Note in Esprit des Lois, in Eurres de Montesquieu, p 513 In Spain those who did not like pork were tried by the Inquisition as suspected Jews: LLO-RENTE, Hist. de l'Inquisition, vol. i. pp. 269, 442, 445. Late in the sixteenth century, there was a particular disease, said to be caused by the quantity of it eaten in Hungary. Sphengel, Hist. de la Médecine, vol. iii. p. 831 and even at present, the barbarous Lettes are passionately fond of it. Kont's Accesio, pp. 386, 387. In the middle of the sixteenth century, I find that Philip II., when in England, generally dined on bacon, of which he ate so much, as frequently to make himself very ill. See Ambassades de Messieurs de Nouilles en Angleterre, vol. v. pp. 240, 241, edit. 1763 The ambassador writes, that Philip was 'grand mangeur oultre mesure,' and used to consume large quan-

that the crusaders, on returning from the East, told their countrymen that they had been among a people who, like the Jews, thought pork unclean, and refused to eat it. But the belings of lively wonder which this intelligence excited, were destroyed as soon as the cause of the fact was explained. The subject was taken up by Mathew Paris, the most eminent historian during the thirteenth century, and one of the most eminent during the Middle Ages. 79 This celebrated writer informs us, that the Mohammedans refuse to eat pork on account of a singular circumstance which happened to their prophet. It appears that Mohammed, having, on one occasion, gorged himself with food and drink till he was in a state of insensibility, fell asleep on a dunghill, and in this disgraceful condition, was seen by a litter The pigs attacked the fallen prophet, and suffocat to death; for which reason his followers abominate? and refuse to partake of their flesh. 80 This sti explains one great peculiarity of the Mohammedans: 81%

titles 'de lard, dont il faict le plus souvent son principal pas.' the Middle Ages. 'les Thuringiens payaient leur tribus procedenrée la plus précieuse de leur pays.' Uneres de Middle 1, p. 389.

7) Sismondi (Hist. des Français, vol. vii. pp. 325. 326) high eulogy upon him, and Mosheim (Fectesiast. History, vol. 1. p. 313) says: 'Among the historians (of the thirteenth century), the first place is due to Mathew Paris; a writer of the highest merit, both in point of knowledge and prudence.'

"O MATTHEI PARIS Historia Major, p. 362. He concludes his account by saying, 'Unde adduc Saracent sues pres ceteris animalibus exosas habent et abominabiles' Mathew Paris obtained his information from a clergyman, 'quendam magni nominis celebrem presdicatorem,' p. 360 According to Mathew of Westminster, the pigs not only suffected Mohammed, but actually ate the greater part of him: 'In maxima parte a porcis corrosum invenerunt' Mattheti Westmonast. Flores Historiarum, part i p. 215

³¹ By a singular contradiction, the African Mohammedica with thelieve that a great enmity subsists between hogs and Christians.' Mungo Park's Trace's, vol. i. p. 185. Many medical authors have supposed that pork is peculiarly unwholesome in hot countries; but this requires confirmation: and it is certain; that is is geommended by Arabian physicians, and is more generally esten both in Asia and in Africa than is usually believed. Comp. Sprance, Hist. & & Medecine, volail. p. 328; Volumey, Voyage en Syria. vol. 1. p. 449, Buchard's Joursey through the Mysore, vol. ii. p. 98, vol. iii. p. 57, Raffiles's Hist.' of Jasa, vol. ii. p. 5; Eulis's Hist. of Madagescar, vol. 1. pp. 201, 403, 416; Cook's Voyages, vol. ii. p. 365; Burnes's Burnes's Burnes's Burnes's Burnes's

another fact, equally striking, explains how it was that their sect came into existence. For it was well known, that Mohammed was originally a cardinal, and only became a heretic because he failed in his design of being elected pope. *2

In regard to the early history of Christianity, the great writers of the Middle Ages were particularly induisitive: and they preserved the memory of events, of which otherwise we should have been entirely ignorant. After Froissart, the most celebrated historian of the fourteenth century was certainly Mathew of Westminster, with whose name, at least, most readers are familiar. This eminent man directed his attention, among other matters, to the history of Judas, in order to discover the circumstances under which the character of that arch-apostate was formed. His resourches seem to have been very extensive; but their principal results were, that Judas, when an infant, was deserted by his parents, and exposed on an island called Searloth, from whence he received the name of Judas Iscariot. To this the historian adds, that after Judas grew up, he, among other enormities, slew his own father, and then married his own mother 93 The same writer, in another part of his history, mentions a fact interesting to those who study the antiquities of the Holy See. Some questions had been raised as to the propriety of kissing the pope's toe, and even theologians had their doubts touching so singular a ceremony. But this difficulty also was set at rest by Mathew of Westminster, who explains the true origin of the custom. He says, that formerly it

Tracely into Bokhara, vol m p 141. As facts of this sort are important physiologically and socially, it is advisable that they should be collected; and I therefore add, that the North-American Indians are said to have 'a diagrat for pork.' Journal of Geog Society, vol. xv. p 30; and that Dobell (Tracels, vol. it, p. 260, 8vo. 1830) says, 'I believe there is more pork eaten in China than in all the rest of the world put together.'

This idea, which was a favourite one in the Middle Ages, is said to have been a Rabbinical invention. See Letters de GUI Paris, vol. iti. p. 127: 'que Mahomet, le faux prophète, avait été cardinal; et que, par dépit de n'avoir été pape, il a'étoit fait hérésiarque.'

^{**} See the ample details in Mattern Westmonast. Flores Historiatum, part. i. pp. 86, 87; and at p. 88, 'Judas matrem suam uxorem duxerat, et hund patrem suum occiderat.'

was usual to kiss the hand of his holiness; but that towards the end of the eighth century, a certain lewd woman, in making an offering to the pope, not only kissed his hand, but also pressed it. The pope - his name was Leo seeing the danger, cut off his hand, and thus escaped, the contamination to which he had been exposed. Since that time, the precaution has been taken of kissing the pope's toe instead of his hand, and lest any one should doubt the accuracy of this account, the historian assures us that the hand, which had been cut off five or six hundred years before, still existed in Rome, and was indeed a standing miracle, since it was preserved in the Lateran, in its original state, free from corruption. 84 And as some readers might wish to be informed respecting the Lateran itself, where the hand was kept, this also is considered by the historian, in another part of his great work, where he traces it back to the emperor Nero. For it is said that this wicked persecutor of the faith, on one occasion, vomited a frog covered with blood, which he believed to be his byn progeny, and therefore caused to be shut up in a vault, where it remained hidden for some time. Now, in the Latin language, latente means hidden, and rang meins a frog; so that, by putting these two words together, we have the origin of the Lateran, which, in fact, was built where the frog was found. 83

It would be easy to fill volumes with similar notions;

^{**} This took place in the year 195 MATHAI Westmonas'. Flores Historiarum, part i. p. 293 The historian thus concludes his relation 'Et statutum est nunc quod numquam extinc manus Papsa ab offerentibus deoscularetur, sed pes. Cam ante fuerat consuctudo quod manus, non pes, dioscularetur. In hujus miraculi memoriam reservatur adhuc manus abscissa in thesauro lateranensi, quam dominus custodit incorruptam ad laudem matris sus.'

Ita ut Nero se puero gravidum existimaret. . . . Tandem dolore nimio vexatus, medicis ait. Accelerate tempus partus, quia languore vix anhelitum habeo respirandi. Tunc ipsum ad vomitum impotionaverunt, et ranam visu terribilem, humorbus infectam, et sanguine edidit cruentatam Unde et pars illa civitatis, ut aliqui dicunt, ubi rana latuerat, Lateranum à latente rana, nomen accepit. Matthen Westmonast. part i. p. 98. Compare she account given by Roger of Hoveden, of a woman who vonited two toads Script. post Bedam. p. 481 rev. In the Middle Ages there were many superstitions respecting these animals, and they appear to have been used by heralds as marks of degradation. See Lankertan's Memorials of Math, p. 197.

all of which were devoutly believed in those ages of darkness, or, as they have been well called, Ages of Faith. Those, indeed, were golden days for the ecclesiastical profession, since the credulity of men had reached a height which seemed to ensure to the clergy a long and universal dominion. How the prospects of the church were subsequently darkened, and how the human reason began to rebel, will be related in another part of this Introduction. where I shall endeavour to trace the rise of that secular and scentical spirit to which European civilization owes its origin. But, before closing the present chapter, it may be well to give a few more illustrations of the opinions held in the Middle Ages; and, for this purpose, I will select the two historical accounts, which, of all others, were the most popular, exercised most influence, and were most universally believed.

The histories to which I refer, are those of Arthur and Charlemagne; both of which bear the names of dignitaries of the church, and were received with the respect due to their illustrious authors. That concerning Charlemagne is called the Chronicle of Turpin, and purports to be written by Turpin, archbishop of Rheims, a friend of the emperor and his companion in war.66 From some passages it contains, there is reason to think that it was really composed at the beginning of the twelfth century;87 but, in the Middle Ages, men were not nice in these matters, and no one was likely to dispute its authenticity. Indeed, the name of an archbishop of Rheims was sufficient recommendation; and we find accordingly that in the year 1122 it received the formal approbation of the pope:88 and that Vincent de Beauvais, one of the most celebrated writers in the thirteenth century, and tutor to

^{*6 &#}x27;..... Ego Turpinus in valle Caroli loco præfato, astante rege,' &c. De Vita Caroli Magni, p. 74, edit. Ciampi.

[&]quot;Turner (History of England, vol. vii. pp. 256—268) has attempted to prove that it was written by Calixtus II.; but his arguments, though ingenious and learned, are not decisive. Warton (Hist. Eng. Peetry, vol. i. p. 128) says it was composed about 1110.

ss The pope 'statuit historiam Sancti Caroli descriptam a heato

The pope 'statuit historiam Sancti Caroli descriptam a heato Turpino Remensi Archiepiscopo esse authenticam.' Note in Turnuz vol vii. p. 250.

Carolus fecit.'

the sons of Louis IX., mentions it as a work of value, and as being the principal authority for the reign of Charlemagne. 89

A book thus generally read, and sanctioned by such competent judges, must be a tolerable standard for testing the knowledge and opinions of those times. On this account, a short notice of it will be useful for 'our present purpose, as it will enable us to understand the extreme slowness with which history has improved, and the almost imperceptible steps by which it advanced, until fresh life was breathed into it by the great thinkers of the eighteenth century.

In the Chronicle of Turpin, we are informed that the invasion of Spain by Charlemagne took place in coasequence of the direct instigation of St. James, the brother of St. John. "The apostle, being the cause of the attack, adopted measures to secure its success. When Charlemagne besieged Pamplona, that city made an obstinate resistance; but as soon as prayers were offered up by the invaders, the walls suddenly fell to the ground. 91 After this, the emperor rapidly overran the whole country. almost annihilated the Mohammedans, and built, imnumerable churches. 92 But, the resources of Satan are inexhaustible. On the side of the enemy, a giant now ap-

^{*} In his famous Speculum, 'il recommande spécialement les études historiques, dont il paraît que la plupart de ses contemporains méconnaissaient l'utilité, mais lorsqu'il in hone les sources où il puisera ce genre d'instruction, c'est Turpin qu'il designe comme le principal historien de Charlemagne.' Histoire Letteraire de la France, vol xviii. p. 474, Paris. 41835, 4to, see also p. 517, and on its influence in Spain, see Ticknon's Ristory of Spanish Literature, vol i. pp. 222, 223. " Caroli Magni Historia, edit Ciampi, pp. 3-5

[&]quot;1 ' . . Muri collapsi funditus corruerunt.' De Vita Caroli, p. 5. On this, Ciampi, in his notes on Turpin, gravely says (pp. 94, 95). 'Questo fatto della presa di Pamplona è reso maraviglioso per la subitanea caduta delle mura, a somiglianza delle mura di Gerico' This reminds me of a circumstance mentioned by Monconys, who, on visiting Oxford in 1663, was shown a horn which was preserved in that ancient city, because it was said to be made in the same way as that by which the walls of Jericho were blown down 'Les Juiss tiennent que leurs ancêties se servirent de pareilles pour abbatre les murailles de Jérico.' Voyages de Mondonxs, vol. in. p. 95, edit. Paris, 1695. ⁷² De Vita Caroli, cap. v. pp. 11, 12; is headed De ecclesiff quas

peared, whose name was Fenacute, and who was descended from Goliath of old.93 This Fenacute was the most formidable opponent the Christians had yet encountered. His strength was equal to that of forty men: "4 his face measured one cubit; his arms and legs four cubits; his total height was twenty cubits. Against him Charlemagne sent the most eminent warriors; but they were easily discomfited by the giant; of whose prodigious force some idea may be formed from the fact, that the length even of his fingers was three palms. 95 The Christians were filled with consternation. In vain did more than twenty chosen men advance against the giant; not one returned from the field; Fenacute took them all under his arms, and carried them off into captivity. 96 At length the celettated Orlando came forward, and challenged him to An obstinate fight ensued; and the mbrtal combat. Christian, not meeting with the success he expected, engaged his adversary in a theological discussion 97 Here the pagan was easily defeated; and Orlando, warmed by the controversy, pressed on his enemy, smote the giant with his sword, and dealt him a fatal wound. After this, the last hope of the Mohammedans was extinct; the Christian arms had finally triumphed, and Charlemagne divided Spain among those galiant followers who had aided him in effecting its conquest 95

On the history of Arthur, the Middle Ages possessed information equally authentic. Different accounts had been circulated respecting this celebrated king, "but their com-

^{2 &#}x27;Gigas nomine Fenacutas, qui fuit de genere troliat' De Fr'a Carole, p. 90

[&]quot; 'Vim xl. fortium possidebat' p 39

^{&#}x27;Erat enim statura ejus quasi cubitis xx, facies eraj longa quasi unius cubiti, et nasus illius unius palmi mensurati, ct brachia et crura ejus quatuor cubitorum erant, et digiti ejus tribus palmis' p 40

Che Vita Caroli, p. 40.

[&]quot; Ibid. pm 43-47

^{*} Ibid. p. 52. On the twelve peers of Charlemagne, in connexion with Turpin, see Sismondi, Hist. des Français, vol. v. pp. 246, 537, 538 vol. vi. p. 54

[&]quot;The Weish, however accused Gildas of having thrown his history 'into the sea' Palighave's Anglo Saron Commonwealth, 301. 1. p. 453. The industrious Sharon Turner (Hist of England. vol. 1.

parative value was still unsettled, when, early in the twelfth century, the subject attracted the attention of Geoffrey, the well-known Archdeacon of Monmouth. This eminent man, in A. D. 1147, published the result of his inquiries, in a work which he called History of the Britons. 400 In this book, he takes a comprehensive view of the whole question: and not only relates the life of Arthur, but also traces the circumstances which prepared the way for the appearance of that great conqueror. In regard to the actions of Arthur, the historian was singularly fortunate, inasmuch as the materials necessary for that part of his subject were collected by Walter Archdeacon of Oxford, who was a friend of Geoffrey, and who, like him, took great interest in the study of history. 101 The work is, therefore, the joint composition of the two archdeacti; and is entitled to respect, not only on this account, also because it was one of the most popular of all the productions of the Middle Ages.

The earlier part of this great history is occupied with the result of those researches which the Archdeacon of Monmouth had made into the state of Britain before the accession of Arthur. With this we are not so much concerned; though it may be mentioned, that the archdeacon ascertained that, after the capture of Troy, Ascanius fled from the city, and begat a son, who became father to Brutus. 102 In those days. England was peopled by giants, all of whom were slain by Brutus; who, having extirpated

pp. 282—295) has collected a great deal of evidence respecting Arthur, of whose existence he, of course, entertains no doubt. Indeed, at p. 292, he gives us an account of the discovery, in the twelfth century, of Arthur's body!

¹⁰⁰ In TURNER'S Hist of England, vol. vii. pp. 269, 270, it is said to have appeared in 1128, but Mr. Wright (Biog. Brit. Lit. vol. ii. p. 144) seems to have proved that the real date is 1147.

¹⁰¹ Geoffrey says, A Gualtero Oxinefordensi in multis historiis peritissimo viro audivit' (c. e. ille Geoffrey) vili licet stylo, breviter tamen propalabit, quæ prælia inclytus ille rex post victoriam istam, in Britanniam reversus, cum nepote suo commiserit. Galfrædi Monumeteresis, Historia Britannian, lib. xi. sec. i. p. 200. And in the dedication to the Earl of Gloucester, p. 1, he says, 'Walterus Oxinefordensis archidiaconus, vir in oratoria after atque in exoticis historiis eruditus.' Compare Mattheii Westmonast Flores Historiarum, part. i. p. 246.

¹⁰² GALFREDI Historia Britonum, pp. 3, 4.

the entire race, built London, settled the affairs of the country, and called it, after himself, by the name of Britain. 103 The archdeacon proceeds to relate the actions of a long line of kings who succeeded Brutus, most of whom were remarkable for their abilities, and some were famous for the prodigies which occurred in their time. Thus, during the government of Rivallo, it rained blood for three consecutive days;104 and when Morvidus was on the throne, the coasts were infested by a horrid sea-monster, which, having devoured innumerable persons, at length swallowed the king himself. 103

These and similar matters are related by the Archdeacon of Monmouth as the fruit of his own inquiries: but in the subsequent account of Arthur, he was aided by his friend the Archdeacon of Oxford. The two archdeacons inform their readers, that King Arthur owed his existence to a magical contrivance of Merlin, the celebrated wizard; the particulars of which they relate with a minuteness which, considering the sacred character of the historians, is rather remarkable. 106 The subsequent actions of Arthur did not belie his supernatural origin. His might nothing was able to withstand. He slew an immense number of Saxons; he overran Norway, invaded Gaul, fixed his court at Paris, and made preparations to effect the conquest of all Europe. 107 He engaged two giants in single combat, and killed them both. One of

^{103 &#}x27;Erat tunc nomen insulæ Albion, quæ a nemine, exceptis paucis gigantibus, inhabitabatur. . . . Denique Brutus de nomine suo insulam Britanniam, sociosque suos Britones appellat.' GALF Hist. Britonum, p. 20.

^{101 &#}x27;In tempore ejus tribus diebus cecidit pluvia sanguinea, et muscarum affluentia; quibus homines moriebantur.' Hist. Britonum,

^{101 &#}x27;Advenerat namque ex partibus Hibernici maris mauditæ feritatis bellua, que incolas maritimos sine intermissione devorabat. Cumque fama aures ejus attigisset, accessit ipse ad illam, et solus cum sola congressus est. At cum omnia tela sua in illam in vanum consumpsisset acceleravit monstrum illud, et apertis faucibus ipsum velut pisciculum devoravit.' Hist. Brit. p. 51.

¹⁰⁶ The particulars of the intrigue are in Gall. Hist. Brig. pp. 151, 152. For information respecting Merlin, see also MATTRE West-monast. Flores Historiarum, art. i. pp. 161, 162; and NAUDA, Apologic pour les Grands Hommes, pp. 308, 309, 318, 319, edit. Amsterdam, 1719.

¹⁰⁷ Hist. Britonum, pp. 167-170; a brilliant chapter.

these giants, who inhabited the Mount of St. Michael, was the terror of the whole country, and destroyed all the soldiers sent against him, except those he took prisoners in order to eat them while they were yet alive. 108 But he fell a victim to the prowess of Arthur; as also did another giant, named Ritho, who was, if possible, still more formidable. For Ritho, not content with warring on men of the meaner sort, actually clothed himself in furs which were entirely made of the beards of the kings he had killed. 109

Such were the statements which, under the name of history, were laid before the world in the twelfth century; and that, too, not by obscure writers, but by high dignitaries of the church. Nor was anything wanting by which the success of the work might be ensured. Its vouchers were the Archdeacon of Monmouth, and the Archdeacon of Oxford; it was dedicated to Robert Earl of Gloucester. the son of Henry I.; and it was considered so important a contribution to the national literature, that its principal author was raised to the bishopric of Asaph.—a preferment which he is said to owe to his success in investigating the annals of English history. 110 A book thus stamped with every possible mark of approbation, is surely no bad measure of the age in which it was admired. Indeed, the feeling was so universal, that, during several centuries, there are not more than two or three instances of any critic suspecting its accuracy 111 A Latin abridgment of

^{408 &#}x27;Sed et plures capiebat quos semivivos devorabat.' Hist. Brit. p. 181.

^{10) &#}x27;Hic namque ex barbis regum quos peremerat, focerat sibi pelles, et mandaverat Arturo ut barbam suam diligenter excoriaret, atque excoriatam sibi dirigeret ut quemadmodum ipse ceteris preserat regibus, ita quoque in honorem ejus ceteris barbis ipsam superponeret.' Gale. Hist. Brit. p. 184.

^{110 &#}x27;It was partly, perhaps, the reputation of this book, which procured its author the bishopne of St. Asaph.' Life of Geoffrey of Monmouth, in WRIGHT'S Biog Brit. Lit. vol. ii. p. 144, 8vo, 1846. According to the Welsh writers, he was Bishop of Llandaff. See STRPHRNS'S Literature of the Kymry, 8vo, 1849, p. 323.

¹¹¹ Mr. Wright (Biog. Brit. Lit vol in p. 146) says: 'Within a century after its first publication, it was generally adopted by writers on English history; and during several centuries, only one or two rare instances 'occur of persons who ventured to speak against its verseity.' And Sir Henry Ellis says of Polydore Vergil, who wrote

it was published by the well-known historian, Alfred of Beverley; 112 and, in order that it might be more generally known, it was translated into English by Layamon, 118 and into Anglo-Norman, first by Gaimar, and afterwards by Wace;114 zealous men, who were anxious that the important truths it contained should be diffused as widely as circumstances would allow.

It will hardly be necessary that I should adduce further evidence of the way in which history was written during the Middle Ages; for the preceding specimens have not been taken at random, but have been selected from the ablest and most celebrated authors; and as such present a very favourable type of the knowledge and judgment of Europe in those days. In the fourteenth and anth centuries, there appeared, for the first time, faint signs of an approaching change; 116 but this improvement

early in the sexteenth century, . For the repudiation of Geoffrey of Monmouth's history Polydore Vergil was considered almost as a man deprived of reason. Such were the prejudices of the time.' POLYDORE VERGIL'S Engl vie Hist. vol 1. p. x. edic. Ellis, 1846, 4to. See also, on its popularity, LAPPENBERG'S Host of the Anglo-Saxon kings, vol. i. p 02 in the seventeenth century, which was the first sceptical century in Europe, men began to open their eyes on these matters, and beyle, for example, classes together the fabulous labours of Hercules, and exploits of Arthur of Britain' BOLLE'S Works, vol. iv. p. 425.

WRIGHT'S Brog. Best Lit. vol. ii. p. 156; TUREBL'S Hist. of England vol vii. p. 242.

According to Mr. Wright (Biog. Brit. vol. ii. p. 439), it was translated through the medium of Wace. But it would be more correct to say, that Layamon made the absurdates of Geoffrey the basis of his work, rather than translated them, for he amplifies 15 000 lines of Wace's Brut into 32,000 of his own jargon See Sta F MADDEN's Preface to Layamon's Brut, Svo, 1847, vol. t. p. xii. I cannot refrain from bearing to timony to the great philelogical value of this work of Layamon's, by the publication of which its accomplished editor has made an important contribution towards the study of the history of the English language. So far, however, as Layamon is concerned, we can only contemplate with wonder an age of which he was conside, ed an ornament.

" Walgur's Boj. Brit. Let. vol. ii. pp. 151, 207; HALLAM'S Literatue of hurope, vol i. p. 35.

" Of which Froment is the earliest matance; since he is the first who took a secular view of affairs, all the praceding historians being

essentially theological. In Spain, too, we find, late in the fourteenth century, a political spirit beginning to appear among historians. See the remarks on Ayala, in Pluanon's It. 1 of Spanish Lif. val. 1. pp. 165, 1:6; where, however, Mr. Ticknor represents Frommer-as more unworldly than he really was.

was not very marked until late in the sixteenth century, or even early in the seventeenth. The principal steps of this interesting movement will be traced in another part of the Introduction, when I shall show, that although in the seventeenth century the progress was unmistakeable, there was no attempt to take a comprehensive view of history until nearly the middle of the eighteenth century; when the subject was studied, first by the great French thinkers, then by one or two of the Scotch, and, some years later, by the Germans. This reformation of history was connected, as I shall point out, with other intellectual changes, which corresponded to it, and which affected the social relations of all the principal countries of Europe. But, without anticipating what will be found in another part of this volume, it is sufficient to say, that not only was no history written before the end of the sixteenth century, but that the state of society was such as to make it impossible for one to be written. The knowledge of Europe was not yet ripe enough to enable it to be successfully applied to the study of past events. For we are not to suppose that the deficiencies of the early historians were caused by a lack of natural abilities. The average intellect of men is probably always the same; but the pressure exercised on them by society is constantly varying. It was, therefore, the general condition of society. which, in former days, compelled even the ablest writers to believe the most childish absurdities. Until that condition was altered, the existence of history was impossible, because it was impossible to find any one who knew what was most important to relate, what to reject, and what to helieve.

The consequence was, that even when history was studied by men of such eminent abilities as Macchiavelli and Bodin, they could turn it to no better account than to use it as a vehicle for political speculations; and in none of their works do we find the least attempt to rise to generalizations large enough to include all the social phenomena. The same remark applies to Contines, who, though inferior to Macchiavelli and Bodin, was an observer of no ordinary acuteness, and certainly displays a rare sagacity in his

estimation of particular characters. But this was due to his own intellect; while the age in which he lived made him superstitious, and, for the larger purposes of history, miserably shortsighted. His shortsightedness is strikingly shown in his utter ignorance of that great intellectual movement, which, in his own time, was rapidly overthrowing the feudal institutions of the Middle Ages; but to which he never once alludes, reserving his attention for those trivial political intrigues in the relation of which he believed history to consist. 116 As to his superstition, it would be idle to give many instances of that; since no man could live in the fifteenth century without having his mind enfeebled by the universal credulity. It may, however, be observed, that though he was personally acgainted with statesmen and diplomatists, and had, therefore, the fullest opportunity of seeing how enterprises of the fairest promise are constantly ruined, merely by the incapacity of those who undertake them, he, on all important occasions, ascribes such failure, not to the real cause but to the immediate interference of the Deity. So marked, and so irresistible, was the tendency of the fifteenth century, that this eminent politician, a man of the world, and well skilled in the arts of life, deliberately asserts that battles are lost, not because the army is ill supplied, nor because the campaign is ill conceived, nor because the general is incompetent; but because the people or their prince are wicked, and Providence seeks to punish them. For, says Comines, war is a great mystery; and being used by God as the means of accomplishing his wishes, He gives victory, sometimes to one side, sometimes to the other. 117 Hence, too, disturbances occur in the

¹¹⁶ On this, Arnold says, truly enough, 'Comines's Memoirs are striking from their perfect unconsciousness: the knell of the Middle Ages had been already sounded, yet Comines has no other notions than such as they had tended to fosfer; he describes their events, their characters, their relations, as if they were to continue for centuries.' Annold's Lectures on Modern History, p. 118. To this I may add, that whenever Comines has occasion to mention the-lower classes, which is very rarely the cash he speaks of them with great contempt. See two striking instances in Mémoires de Philippe de Comines, vol. ii. pp. 277, 287, edit. Paris, 1835.

state, solely by divine disposition; and they never would happen, except that princes or kingdoms, having become prosperous, forget the source from which their prosperity proceeded.¹¹⁸

Such attempts as these, to make politics a mere branch of theology, 110 are characteristic of the time; and they are the more interesting, as the work of a man of great ability, and of one, too, who had grown old in the experience of public life. When views of this sort were advocated, not by a monk in his cloister, but by a distinguished statesman, well versed in public affairs, we may easily imagine what was the average intellectual condition of those who were every way his inferiors. It is but too evident, that from them nothing could be expected; and that many steps had yet to be taken, before Europe could emerge from the superstition in which it was sunk, and break through those grievous impediments which hindered its future progress.

eguvres que Dieu a commencées aucunes fois par petites mouvetes et occasions, et en dounant la victoire aucunes fois à l'un, et aucunes fois à l'autre et est cecy mystère si grand, que lessoyaumes et grandes seigneuries en prennent aucunes fois fins et désolations, et les autres accroissement, et commencement de régner.' Mem. de Cominus, vol. 1, pp 361, 362. Respecting the wanton invasion of Italy, he sais, that the expedition might have been easily ruined if the ensemy had thought of poisoning the wells or the food 'mais ils n'y eussent point failly, s'ils y eussent voulu essayer, mais il est de croise que nostre sauveur et rédempteur Jésus-Christ leur ostoit feur voulorir.' vol. ili, p. 154. So, he adds, p. 155, 'pour conclure l'article, semble que nostre seigneur Jésus-Christ ait voulu que toute la gloire du voyage ait esté attribuée à luy.' Compare the Instructes of Temour, p. 7; an instructive combination of supersition and ferociti.

118 'Mais mon advis est que cela ne se fait que par disposition divine; car quand les princes ou royaumes ont esté en grande prospérité ou richesses, et ils ont mesconnoissance dont procède telle grace. Dieu leur dresse un ennemi ou ennemie, dont nul ne se douteroit, comme vous pauvez voir par les rois nommez en la Bible, at par ce que puis peu d'années en avez veu en cette Angleterre, et en cette maison de Bourgogne et autres lieux que avez veu et voyez tous les jours. Mém. de COMERS, vol. i. pp. 388, 389. Ses also his remarks on the Duke of Burgundy, vol. ii. p. 179; and in particular, his extrassordinary digression, livre v. chap. xviii. vol ii. pp. 290—298.

119 Dr. Lingard (Hist. of England, vol. i. p. 357) say., 'From the dootrine of a superintending providence, the piety of our ancestoms had drawn a rash but very convenient inference, that success is indication of the Divine will, and that, of course, to resist a victor was competitor, is to resist the judgment of livery are also p. 114. The last prestige of this once universal opinion is the expression, which is gradually falling into disuse, of appealing to the God of Battles.'

But, though much remained to be done, there can be no doubt that the movement onward was uninterrupted. and that, even while Comines was writing, there were unequivocal symptoms of a great and decisive change. Still, they were only indications of what was approaching; and about a hundred years elapsed, after his death, before the progress was apparent in the whole of its results. For, though the Protestant Reformation was a consequence of this progress, it was for some time unfavourable to it, by encouraging the ablest men in the discussion of questions inaccessible to human reason, and thus diverting them from subjects in which their efforts would have been available for the general purposes of civilization. Hence we find that little was really accomplished until the end of the sixteenth century, when, as we shall see in the next two chapters, the theological fervour began to subside in England and France, and the way was prepared for that purely secular philosophy, of which Bacon and Descartes were the exponents, but by no means the creators. 120 This epoch belongs to the seventeenth century, and from it we may date the intellectual regeneration of Europe; just as from the eighteenth century we may date its social regeneration. But during the greater part of the sixteenth century, the credulity was still universal, since it affected not merely the lowest and most ignorant classes, but even those who were best educated. Of this innumerable proofs might be given; though, for the sake of brevity, I will confine myself to two instances, which are particularly

¹¹⁰ See Guizot, Civitization en Europe, p 166, the best passage in that able, but rather unequal work 'Parcourez l'histoire du ve au xvi' siècle; c'est la théologie qui possède et dirige l'esprit humain, toutes les opinions sont empremites de théologie, les questions pailosophiques, politiques, historiques; aont toujours considérées sous un point de vue théologique. L'église est tellement souveraine dans l'ordre intellectuel, que même les sciences mathématiques et physiques sont tenues de se soumettre à ses doctrines. L'esprit théologique est en quelque sort le sang qui a coulé dans les veines du monde européen jusqu'à Baconest Descartes. Pour la première fois, Bacon en de avgleterre, et Descartes en France, ont jeté l'intelligence hors des voies de la théologie.' A noble passage, and perfectly true: but what would have been the effect produce by Bacon and Descartes, if, instead of living in the seventeenth antury, they had lived in the seventa? Would their philosophy had been equally secular; or, being altaly secular, would it have been equally successful?

striking, from the circumstances attending them, and from the influence they exercised over men who might be supposed little liable to similar delusions.

At the end of the fifteenth, and early in the sixteenth century, Stoeffler, the celebrated astronomer, was professor of mathematics at Tubingen. This eminent man rendered great services to astronomy, and was one of the first who pointed out the way of remedying the errors in the Julian calendar, according to which time was then computed. 121 But neither his abilities nor his knowledge could protect him against the spirit of his age. In 1524, he published the result of some abstruse calculations, in which he had been long engaged, and by which he had ascertained the remarkable fact, that in that same year the world would again be destroyed by a deluge. This announcement, made by a man of such eminence, and made, too, with the utmost confidence, caused a lively and universal alarm. 122 News of the approaching event was rapidly circulated, and Europe was filled with consternation. To avoid the first shock, those who had houses by the sea, or on rivers, abandoned them; 123 while others, perceiving that such measures could only be temporary, adopted more active precautions. It was suggested that, as a preliminary step, the Emperor Charles V, should appoint inspectors to survey the country, and mark those places which, being least exposed to the coming flood, would be most likely to afford a shelter. That this should be done, was the wish of the imperial general who was then stationed at Florence, and by whose desire a work

¹²¹ Cou pare Biog. Unic. vol. xlni. p. 577, with MORTUCLA, Hist. des Mathematiques, vol. i. p. 678

¹²² Naudé mebtions, that in France it drove many persons almost mad 'In Gallia parum afuit quin ad insaniam homines non paucos periculi metu (diluvium) adegerit.' BAYLE, in voce Stofferus, note B.

^{123 &#}x27;Nam Petrus Cirvellus Hispanorum omnium sul temporis doctiasimus, cum theologies, in almo Complutensi gymnasio, lectoris munere fungeretur, et vero multos, ut ipsemet inquit, ficviis vel marifinitimos populos, jam stupido metu perculsos, domicilia ac sedes mutare vidiaget, ac prædia, supellectilem, bonaque omnis, contra iustum valorem sub actione distrahere, ac alia il ca vel altitudine, vel siccitate magis secura requirere sui officii esse pitavit, in publica illa consternatione, quam de minilo excitare peisuasum non habebat,' &c. Baylk, note B.

was written recommending it.124 But the minds of men were too distracted for so deliberate a plan; and besides, as the height of the flood was uncertain, it was impossible to say whether it would not reach the top of the most elevated mountains. In the midst of these and similar schemes, the fatal day drew near, and nothing had vet been contrived on a scale large enough to meet the evil. To enumerate the different proposals which were made and rejected, would fill a long chapter. One proposal is, however, worth noticing, because it was carried into effect with great zeal, and is, moreover, very characteristic of the age. An ecclesiastic of the name of Auriol, who was then professor of canon law at the University of Toulouse. revolved in his own mind various expedients by which this universal diaster might be mitigated. At length it occurred to him that it was practicable to imitate the course which, on a similar emergency, Noah had adopted with eminent success. Scarcely was the idea conceived. when it was put into execution. The inhabitants of Toulouse lent their aid; and an ark was built, in the hope that some part, at least, of the human species might be preserved, to continue their race, and repeople the earth, after the waters should have subsided, and the land again become dry, 125

About seventy years after this alarm had passed away, there happened another circumstance, which for a time afforded occupation to the most celebrated men in one of the principal countries of Europe. At the end of the sixteenth century, terrible excitement was caused by a report that a golden tooth had appeared in the jaw of a child born in Silesia. The rumour, on being investigated, turned out to be too true. It became impossible to conceal it from the public; and the miracle was soon known all over Germany, where, being looked on as a

¹²⁴ BAYLE, mote B.

¹²³ In addition to the account in Bayle, the reader may refer to Biog. Univ. vol. iii. p. 88, vol. xxxi. p. 283, vol. xliii. pp. 577, 578; SPERRORL, Hist. de la Médeche, vol. iii. p. 251; DELARBER, Hist. de l'Astronomus du Moyen Age, iaris, 1819, 4to. p. 376; MONTUCLA, Hist. des Mathématiques, vol. 1. 1, 622; Dict. Philosoph., article Astrològie, in Œuvres de Veltaire, vol. xxxvii. pp. 148, 149

this new thing might mean. Its real import was first unfolded by Dr. Horst. In 1595 this eminent physician published the result of his researches, by which it appears that, at the birth of the child the sun was in conjunction with Saturn, at the sign Aries. The event, therefore, though supernatural, was by no means alarming. The golden tooth was the precursor of a golden age, in which the emperor would drive the Turks from Christendom, and lay the foundations of an empire that would last for thousands of years. And this, says Horst, is clearly alluded to by Daniel, in his well-known second chapter, where the prophet speaks of a statue with a golden head. 126

This history of the golden tooth is partly related by De Thou. see his Hist. Univ. vol. xi. pp. 634, 635. And on the controversy to which it gave rise, compare Hist. des Oracles, chap. iv., in Eurres de Fontenelle, vol. ii. pp. 219, 220, ed. Paris, 1766, SPHENORL, Hist. de la Medecine, vol. ii. pp. 247—249, Biog. Univ. vol. xx p. 579

CHAPTER VII.

OUTLINE OF THE HISTORY OF THE ENGLISH INTELLECT FROM THE MIDDLE OF THE SIXTEENTH TO THE END OF THE ENGHTEENTH CENTURY.

Ir is difficult for an ordinary reader, living in the middle of the nineteenth century, to understand, that only three hundred years before he was born, the public mind was in the benighted state disclosed in the preceding chapter. It it still more difficult for him to understand that the darkness was shared not merely by men of an average education, but by men of considerable ability, men in every respect among the foremost of their age. A reader of this sort may satisfy himself that the evidence is indisputable; he may verify the statements I have brought forward, and admit that there is no possible doubt about them; but even then he will find it hard to conceive that there ever was a state of society in which such miserable absurdities were welcomed as sober and important truths, and were supposed to form an essential part of the general stock of European knowledge.

But a more careful examination will do much to dissipate this natural astonishment. In point of fact, so far from wondering that such things were believed, the wonder would have been if they were rejected. For in those times, as in all others, every thing was of a piece. Not only in historical literature, but in all kinds of literature, on every subject—in science, in religion, in legislation—the presiding principle was a blind and unhesitating

credulity. The more the history of Europe anterior to the seventeenth century is studied, the more completely will this fact be verified. Now and then a great man arose, who had his doubts respecting the universal belief; who whispered a suspicion as to the existence of giants thirty feet high, of dragons with wings, and of armies flying through the air; who thought that astrology might be a cheat, and necromancy a bubble; and who even went so far as to raise a question respecting the propriety of drowning every witch and burning every heretic. A few such men there undoubtedly were; but they were despised as mere theorists, idle visionaries, who, unacquainted with the practice of life, arrogantly opposed their own reason to the wisdom of their ancestors. In the state of society in which they were born, it was impossible that they should make any permanent impression. Indeed, they had enough to do to look to themselves, and provide for their own security; for, until the latter part of the sixteenth century, there was no country in which a man was not in great personal peril if he expressed open doubts respecting the belief of his contemporaries.

Yet it is evident, that until doubt began, progress was impossible. For, as we have clearly seen, the advance of civilization solely depends on the acquisitions made by the human intellect, and on the extent to which those acquisitions are diffused. But men who are perfectly satisfied with their own knowledge, will never attempt to increase it. Men who are perfectly convinced of the accuracy of their opinions, will never take the pains of examining the basis on which they are built. They look always with wonder, and often with horror, on views contrary to those which they inherited from their fathers; and while they are in this state of mind, it is impossible that they should receive any new truth which interferes with their foregone conclusions.

On this account it is, that although the acquisition of fresh knowledge is the necessary precursor of every step in social progress, such acquisition must itself be preceded by a love of inquiry, and therefore by a spirit of doubt; because without doubt there will be no inquiry, and without inquiry there will be no knowledge. For knowledge is not an inert and passive principle, which comes to us whether we will or no; but it must be sought before it can be won; it is the product of great labour and therefore of great sacrifice. And it is absurd to suppose that men will incur the labour, and make the sacrifice, for subjects respecting which they are already perfectly con-They who do not feel the darkness, will never look for the light. If on any point we have attained to certainty, we make no further inquiry on that point; because inquiry would be useless, or perhaps dangerous. doubt must intervene, before the investigation can begin. Here, then, we have the act of doubting as the originator, or, at all events, the necessary antecedent, of all progress. Here we have that scepticism, the very name of which is an abomination to the ignorant; because it disturbs their lazy and complacent minds; because it troubles their cherished superstitions; because it imposes on them the fatigue of inquiry; and because it rouses even sluggish understandings to ask if things are as they are commonly supposed, and if all is really true which they from their childhood have been taught to believe.

The more we examine this great principle of scepticism, the more distinctly shall we see the immense part it has played in the progress of European civilization. To state in general terms, what in this Introduction will be fully proved, it may be said, that to scepticism we owe that spirit of inquiry, which, during the last two centuries, has gradually encroached on every possible subject; has reformed every department of practical and speculative knowledge; has weakened the authority of the privileged classes, and thus placed liberty on a surer foundation; has chastized the despotism of princes; has restrained the arrogance of the nobles; and has even diminished the prejudices of the clergy. In a word, it is this which has remedied the three fundamental errors of the olden time: errors which made the meople, in politics too confiding; in science too credulous; in religion too intolerant.

This rapid summar of what has actually been effected,

may perhaps startle those readers to whom such large in-

vestigations are not familiar. The importance, however, of the principle at issue is so great, that I purpose in this Introduction to verify it by an examination of all the prominent forms of European civilization. Such an inquiry will lead to the remarkable conclusion, that no single fact has so extensively affected the different nations as the duration, the amount, and above all the diffusion, of their scepticism. In Spain, the church, aided by the Inquisition, has always been strong enough to punish sceptical writers, and prevent, not indeed the existence, but the promulgation of sceptical opinions. By this means the spirit of doubt being quenched, knowledge has for several centuries remained almost stationary; and civilization, which is the fruit of knowledge, has also been stationary. But in England and France, which, as we shall presently see, are the countries where scepticism first openly appeared, and where it has been most diffused, the results are altogether different; and the love of inquiry being encouraged, there has arisen that constantly-progressive knowledge to which these two great nations owe their prosperity. In the remaining part of this volume, I shall trace the history of this principle in France and England, and examine the different forms under which it has appeared, and the way in which those forms have affected the national interests. In the order of the investigation, I shall give the precedence to England; because, for the reasons already stated, its civilization must be deemed more normal than that of brance; and therefore, notwithstanding its numerous deficiencies, it approaches the natural type more closely than its great neighbour has been able to do. But as the fullest details respecting

On the influence of the French litera are, which, late in the eighteenth century, crept into Spain in site of the church, and diffused a considerable amount of scelt ism, among the most educated classes, compare Lucier R R Hist. or the paint of the plant of the post of

English civilization will be found in the body of the present work, I intend in the Introduction to devote merely a single chapter to it, and to consider our national history simply in reference to the immediate consequences of the sceptical movement; reserving for a future occasion those subsidiary matters which, though less comprehensive, are still of great value. And as the growth of religious toleration is undoubtedly the most important of all, I will, in the first place, state the circumstances under which it appeared in England in the sixteenth century; and I will then point out how other events, which immediately followed, were part of the same progress, and were indeed merely the same principles acting in different directions.

A careful study of the history of religious toleration will prove, that in every Christian country where it has been adopted, it has been forced upon the clergy by the authority of the secular classes.2 At the present day, it is still unknown to those nations among whom the ecclesiastical power is stronger than the temporal power; and as this, during many centuries, was the general condition, it is not wonderful that, in the early history of Europe, wo should find scarcely a trace of so wise and benevolent an opinion. But at the moment when Elizabeth mounted the throne of England, our country was about equally divided between two hostile creeds; and the queen, with remarkable ability, contrived during some time so to balance the rival powers, as to allow to neither a decisive preponderance. This was the first instance which had been seen in Europe of a government successfully carried on without the active participation of the spiritual autho-

² Nearly two hundred years ago, Sir William Temple observed, that in Holland the clergy possessed less power than in other countries; and that, therefore, there existed an unusual amount of toleration. Observations upon the United Procinces. in TEMPLE's Works, vol. i. pp. 157—162. About seventy years later, the same inference was drawn by another acute observer. Le Blanc, who, after mentioning the liberality which the different sects displayed towards each other in Holland, adds, 'La grande raison d'une harmonie si parfaits est que tout s'y règle par les séculiers de chacune de ces religions, et qu'on n'y souffriroit pas des ministres, dont le zèle imprudent pour-roit détruire cette heureus correspondance.' LE BLANC, Lettres d'un Français, vol. i. p. 73. merely give these as illustrations et an important principle, which I shall hereafter prove

rity; and the consequence was, that for several years the principle of toleration, though still most imperfectly understood, was pushed to an extent which is truly surprising for so barbarous an age.3 Unhappily, after a time, various circumstances, which I shall relate in their proper place, induced Elizabeth to change a policy which she, even with all her wisdom, perhaps considered to be a dangerous experiment, and for which the knowledge of the country was as yet hardly ripe. But although she now allowed the Protestants to gratify their hatred against the Catholics, there was, in the midst of the sanguinary scenes which followed, one circumstance very worthy of remark. Although many persons were most unquestionably executed merely for their religion, no one ventured to state their religion as the cause of their execution.4 The most barbarous punishments were inflicted upon them; but they were told that they might escape the punishment by renouncing certain principles which were said to be injurious to the safety of the state. b It is true, that many of these

In the first eleven years of her reign, not one Roman Catholic was prosecuted capitally for religion' NEAL's Hist. of the Puritans, vol i p. 444; and the same remark in Collier's Eccles. Hist. vol. vii. p, 252, edit 1840.

Without quoting the impudent defence which Chief-Justice Popham made, in 1605, for the barbarous treatment of the Catholics (CAMPBELL'S Chief Justices, vol. i. p 225), I will give the words of the two immediate successors of Elizabeth. James I. says: 'The trewth is, according to my owne knowledge, the late queene of famous memory never punished any Papist for religion.' Works of Kino James, London, 1616, folio, p. 252. And Charles I. says: 'I am informed, neither Queen Elizabeth nor my father did ever avow that any priest in their times was executed merely for religion.' Parl. Hist. vol. ii. p. 713.

⁵ This was the defence set up in 1583, in a work called *The Execution of Justice in England*, and ascribed to Burleigh. See Hallan's Const. Hist. vol. i. pp. 146, 147: and Somens's Tracts, vol. i. pp. 189—208; 'a number of pessons whom they term as martyrs,' p. 195; and at p. 202, the writer attacks those who have 'entitled certain that have suffered for treason to be martyrs for religion.\(^2\) In the same way, the opponents of Catholic Emancipation.in our time found themselves compelled to abandon the old theological ground, and to defend the persecution of the Catholics rather by political arguments than by religious ones. Lord Eddon, who was by far the most influential leader of the intolerant party, said, in a speech in the House of Lords, in 1810, that 'the enactments against the Catholics were meant to guard, not against the abstract opinions of their religion, but against the political dangers of a faith which acknowledged a foreign

principles were such as no Catholic could abandon without at the same time abandoning his religion, of which they formed an essential part. But the mere fact that the spirit of persecution was driven to such a subterfuge, showed that a great progress had been made by the age. A most important point, indeed, was gained when the bigot became a hypocrite; and when the clergy, though willing to burn men for the good of their souls, were obliged to justify their cruelty by alleging considerations of a more temporal, and, as they considered, a less important character.6

A remarkable evidence of the change that was then taking place, is found in the two most important theological works which appeared in England during the reign of Elizabeth. Hooker's Ecclesiastical Polity was published at the end of the sixteenth century,7 and is still considered one of the greatest bulwarks of our national church. If we compare this work with Jewel's Apology for the Church of England, which was written thirty years before it," we shall at once be struck by the different

supremacy.' Twiss's Life of Eldon, vol 1 p 435; see also pp. 483, 501, 577-389. Compare Alison's Hist. vol. vi. pp. 379 seq., a summary of the debate in 1845

" Mr. Sewell seems to have this change in view in his Christian Folities, Svo, 1844, p. 277. Compare Collegious's note in Southey's Life of Wesley, vol i p 270. An able writer says of the persecutions which, in the seventeenth century, the Church of England directed against her opponents. 'This is the stale pretence of the clergy in all countries, after they have solicited the government to make penal laws against those they call heretics or schismaticks, and prompted the magistrates to a vigorous execution, then they lay all the odium on the civil power; for whom they have no excuse to allege, but that such men suffered, not for religion, but for disobedience to the laws.' Somens's Tracts, vol. xii. p. 534, See also Butunn's Mem. of the Catholies, vol. i. p. 389, and vol. ii. pp. 44-46.

The first four books, which are in every point of view the most important, were published in 1594. Walton's Life of Hooker, in WORDSWORTH'S Ecclesiast. Biogr, vol. iii. p. 509. The sixth book is said not to be authentic; and doubts have been thrown upon the seventh and eighth books; but Mr. Hallam thinks that they are cer-

tainty genuine. Literature of Europe, vol. ii. pp. 24, 25.

* JEWEL'S Apology was written in 1561 or 1562. See Wollds-WORTH'S Recles. Biog. vol. iii p. 313. This work, the Bible, and Fox's Martyrs, were ordered in the reign of Elizabeth, to be fixed in all parish churches, to be read by the people.' Aubres's Letters, vol. ii. p. 42. The order, ingregard to Jewel's Defence, was repeated

methods these eminent writers employed. Both Hooker and Jewel were men of learning and genius. Both of them were familiar with the Bible, the Fathers, and the Councils. Both of them wrote with the avowed object of defending the Church of England, and both of them were well acquainted with the ordinary weapons of theological controversy. But here the resemblance stops. The men were very similar; their works are entirely different. During the thirty years which had clapsed, the English intellect had made immense progress; and the arguments which in the time of Jewel were found perfectly satisfactory, would not have been listened to in the time of Hooker. The work of Jewel is full of quotations from the Fathers and the Councils, whose mere assertions, when they are uncontradicted by Scrutture, he seems to regard as positive proofs. Hooker, though he shows much respect to the Councils, lays little stress upon the Fathers, and evidently considered that his readers would not pay much attention to their unsupported opinions Jewel inculcates the importance of faith; Hooker msists upon the exercise of reason." The first employs

by James I and Charles I. RUTLER'S Mem of the Catholics vol iv p. 413.

" 'Wherefore the natural measure whereby to judge our doings is. the sentence of Reason determining and setting down what is good to be done.' Eccl. Polity, book i, sec. viii. in Hooker's Works, vol. 1 p 99. He requires of his opponents, 'not to exact at our hands for every action the knowledge of some place of Scripture out of which we stand bound to deduce it, as by divers testimonies they seek to enforce, but rather, as the truth is, so to acknowledge, that it surveeth if such actions be framed a cording to the law of reason.' Book in sec. 1. Works, vol. 1. p. 151. 'For men to be tied and led by authority, as it were with a kind of captivity of judgment, and, though there be reason to the contrary, not to listen unto it, but to follow. like beasts, the first in the herd, they know not nor care not whither this were brutish. Again, that authority of men should prevail with men, either against or above Reason, is no part of our belief. Companies of learned men, be they never so great and reverend, are to vield unto Resson.' Book ii. sec. vii. vol. i. pp. 182, 183. In book v sec. viii. vol. ii. p. 23, he says, that even 'the voice of the church' is sec. viii. vol. 11. p. 23, no says, that even the voice of the church is to be held inferior to reason. See also a long passage in book vii. sec. xi. vol. iii. p. 152; and on the application of reason to the general theory of religion, see vol. i. 12. 220-223, book iii sec. viii. Again. at p. 226: 'Theology, what is it, but the science of things divine? What science can be attained unto, without the help of 'natural discourse and Reason'.' And he indignantly asks those who

all his talents in collecting the decisions of antiquity, and in deciding upon the meaning which they may be supposed to bear. The other quotes the ancients, not so much from respect for their authority, as with the view of illustrating his own arguments. Thus, for instance, both Hooker and Jewel assert the undoubted right of the sovereign to interfere in ecclesiastical affairs. Jewel, however, fancied that he had proved the right, when he had pointed out that it was exercised by Moses, by Joshua, by David, and by Solomon. On the other hand, Hooker lays down that this right exists, not because it is ancient, but because it is advisable, and because it is unjust to suppose that men who are not ecclesiastics will consent to be bound by laws which ecclesiastics alone have framed.

maist on the supremacy of faith, 'May we cause our faith without Reason to appear reasonable in the eyes of men?' vol 1, p 230

After returning to Isaah, he adds. Præter, inquam, hæc omnia, ex historiis a common temporum exemplis videmus pies principes procuration reclesiarum ab officio suo nunquam putasse alienam.

'Montantis magistratus, ac ductor populi, omnem religionis, et sacronari rationem, et accepit a Deo, et populo tradidit, et Aaronem episcopium de aureo vitulo, et de violata religione, vehementer et graviter castigavit. Josue, etsi non aliud erat, quam magistratus civilis, tamen cum primum inauguraretur et præficeretur populo, accepit mandata nominatim de religione, deque colendo Deo.

David rex, cum omnis jam religio, ab impio rege Saule prorsus esset dissipata, reduxit arcam Doi, hoc est, religionem restituit nec tantum adfuit ut admonitor aut hortator operis, sed etiam psalmes et hymnos dedit, et classes disposuit, et pompain instituit. et quodammodo præfuit sacerdotibus

Salomon rex ædificavit templum Domino, quod ejus pater David animo tantàm destinaverat: et postremò orationem egregiam habint ad populum de religione, et cultu Dei: et Abiatharum episcopum postea summovit, et in ejus locum Sadocum aurrogavit.' Apoleg. Eccles. Anglic. pp. 161, 182.

He says that, although the clergy may be supposed more competent than laymen to regulate ecclesiastical matters, this will practically avail them nothing: 'It were unnatural not to think the pastors and bishops of our souls a great deal more fit than men of secular trades and callings; howbeit, when all which the wisdom of all sorts can do is done, for the devising of laws in the church, it is the general consent of all that giveth them the form and vigour of laws, without which they could be no more unto us than the counsels of physicians to the sick' Ecclerastical Polity, book viii. sec. vi. vol. iii. p. 303. He adds, p. 326. 'Fill it be proved that some special law of Christ hath for ever annesed unto the clergy alone the power to make ecclesiastical laws, we are to hold it a thing most commons with equity and reason. Int no ecclesiastical laws be made: in a

In the same opposite spirit do these great writers conduct their defence of their own church. Jewel, like all the authors of his time, had exercised his memory more than his reason; and he thinks to settle the whole dispute by crowding together texts from the Bible, with the opinions of the commentators upon them. 12 But Hooker, who lived in the age of Shakespeare and Bacon, found himself constrained to take views of a far more comprehensive character. His defence rests neither upon tradition nor upon commentators, nor even upon revelation, but he is content that the pretensions of the hostile parties shall be decided by their applicability to the great exigencies of society, and by the ease with which they adapt themselves to the general purposes of ordinary life. 13

Christian commonwealth, without consent as well of the laity as of the clergy, but least of all without consent of the highest power.'

12 'Quòd si docemus sacrosanctum Dei evangelium, at veteres episcopos, atque ecclesiam primitivam nobiscum facere. If this be so, then, indeed, 'speramus, neminem illorum' (his opponents)-'tta negligentem fore salutis suæ, quin ut velit aliquando cogitationem sucipere, ad utros potius se adjungat' Apolog I celes Analic. p. 17. At p. 53, he indignantly asks if any one will dare to impeach the Fathers 'Ergo Origenes, Ambrosius, Augustinus, Chrysostomus, Gelasius. Theodoretos crant desertores fider catholices? Ergo tot veterum episcoporum et doctorum virorum tanta consensia nihil aliud erat quam conspiratio hæreticorum? Aut quod tum laudabatur in illis, id nunc damnatur in nobis? Quodque in illis erat catholicum, id nunc mutatis tantum hominum voluntatibus, repenté factum est schismaticum? Aut quod olim erat verum nunc statim, quia istis non placet, erit falsum? His work is full of this sort of eloquent, but, as it spipears to our age, pointless declamation

13 This large view underlies the whole of the Ecclemantical Political can only afford room for a few extracts, which will be illustrations rather than proofs the proof will be obvious to every competent reader of the work itself. True it is, the ancienter the better ceremonies of religion are; howheit not absolutely true and without exception, but true only so far forth as those different ages do agree in the state of those things for which, at the first, those rites, orders, and ceremonies were instituted. vol. 1, p. 36 "We count those things perfect which want nothing requisite for the end whereto they were instituted, vol. i, p. 191. 'Because when a thing doth cease to be available unto the end which gave it being, the continuance of it must then of necessity appear superfluous.' And even of the laws of God, he boldly adde: 'Notwithstanding the authority of their Maker, the mutability of that end for which they are made doth also make them changeable.' val. i, p. 236. 'And therefore laws, though both ordained of God himself, and the end for which the were ordained continuing, may notwithstanding cease, if by alteration of persons or times they

It requires but little penetration to see the immense importance of the change which these two great works represent. As long as an opinion in theology was defended by the old dogmatic method, it was impossible to assail it without incurring the imputation of heresy. But when it was chiefly defended by human reasoning, its support was seriously weakened. For by this means the element of uncertainty was let in. It might be alleged, that the arguments of one sect are as good as those of another; and that we cannot be sure of the truth of our principles, antil we have heard what is to be said on the opposite side. According to the old theological theory, it was easy to justify the most barbarous persecution. If a man knew that the only true religion was the one which he professed, and it he also knew that those who died in a contrary opinion were doomed to everlasting perdition-if he knew these things beyond the remotest possibility of a doubt, he might fairly argue, that it is merciful to punish the body in order to save the soul, and secure to immortal beings their future salvation, even though he employed so sharp a remedy as the halter or the stake.14 But if this same man is taught to think that questions of religion are to be settled by reason as well as by faith, he can scarcely avoid the reflection, that the reason even of the strongest minds is not infallible, since it has led the ablest men to the most opposite conclusions. When this idea is once diffused among a people, it cannot fail to influence their conduct. No one of common sense and common honesty will dare to levy upon another, on account of his religion,

be found unsufficient to attain unto that end? vol. 1. p. 238. At p. 240. I therefore conclude, that neither God's being Author of laws for government of his church, nor his committing them unto Scriptere, is any reason sufficient wherefore all churches should for ever be bound to keep them without change. See, too, vol. in. p. 169, on 'the exigence of necessity' Compare pp. 182, 183, and vol. i. p. 323, vol. ii. pp. 273, 424. Not a vestige of such arguments can be found in Jewel, tho. on the contrary, says (Apolegia, p. 114), 'Certè in religionem Dèi nihil gravius dici potest, quam si ea accusetur novitatis. Ut enim in Deo ipsc, ita in ejus cultu nihil profest esse

Archbishop Whately has made some very good spmarks on this. See his Errors of Romanism traced to their trigin in Human Nature, pp. 237, 238

the extreme penalty of the law, when he thows it possible that his own opinions may be wrong, and that those of the man he has punished may be right. From the moment when questions of religion begin to evade the jurisdiction of faith, and submit to the jurisdiction of reason, persecution becomes a crime of the deepest dye. Thus it was in England in the seventeenth century. As theology became more reasonable, it became less confident, and therefore more merciful. Seventeen years after the publication of the great work of Hooker, two men were publicly burned by the English bishops, for holding heretical opinions. But this was the last gasp of expiring bigotry; and since that memorable day, the soil of England has never been stained by the blood of a man who has suffered for his religious creed. 16

We have thus seen the rise of that scepticism which in physics must always be the beginning of science, and in religion must always be the beginning of toleration. There is, indeed, no doubt that in both cases individual thinkers may, by a great effort of original genius, emancipate themselves from the operation of this law. But in the progress of nations no such emancipation is possible. As long as men refer the movements of the comets to the immediate finger of God, and as long as they believe that an eclipse is one of the modes by which the Deity expresses his anger, they will never be guilty of the blasphemous presumption of attempting to predict such supernatural appearances. Before they could dare to investigate the causes of these mysterious phenomena, it is

¹⁵ Their names were Legat and Wightman, and they suffered in 1611: see the contemporary account in Somens's Tracts, vol. ii. pp. 400—408. Compare BLACKSTONE'S Common!, vol. iv. p. 49; HARSTS'S Lives of the Stuarts, vol. i. pp. 143, 144, and note in Burron's Inary, vol. 1. p. 118. Of these martyrs to their opinions, Mr. Hallam says: The first was burned by King. bishop of London; the second by Neyle, of Litchfield.' Const. Hist. vol. 1. pp. 611, 612.

¹⁶ It should be mentioned, to the honour of the Court of Chancery, that late in the sixteenth, and early in the seventeenth century, its powers were exerted against the execution of those cruel laws, by which the Church of England was allowed to persecute men who differed from its own views. See Campbell's Chancellors, vol. ii. pp. 355, 176, 231.

necessary that should believe, or at all events that they should suspect, that the phenomena themselves were capable of being explained by the human mind. In the same way, until men are content in some degree to bring their religion before the bar of their own reason, they never can understand how it is that there should be a diversity of creeds, or how any one can differ from themselves without being guilty of the most enormous and unpardonable crime. 17

If we now continue to trace the progress of opinions in England, we shall see the full force of these remarks A general spirit of inquiry, of doubt, and even of insubordination, began to occupy the minds of men. In physics, it enabled them, almost at a blow, to throw off the shackles, of antiquity, and give birth to sciences founded not on notions of old, but on individual observations and individual experiments is In politics, it stimulated them to rise against the government, and eventually bring their king to the scaffold. In religion, it vented itself in a thou-

Testex any one, therefore, with want of reverence, because he pays no respect to what we venerate, is either irrelevant or is a mere confusive. The fact, so far as it is true, is no reproach, but an house to because to reverence all persons and all things is absolutely with a reverence shown to that which does not described. It is no viried no nor even an amiable weakness, but a plain folly and sin. But if it be meant that he is wanting in proper reverence, not respecting what is really to be respected, that is assuming the whole question at issue, becaused that we call divine, he calls an idol, and as, supposing that we are bound to fall down and worhip, so, supposing his to be in the right, he is no less bound to pull it to the ground and activity it. Annold's Lectures on Modern Historia, pp 210, 211 Considering the ability of Dr. Arnold, considering his great influence, and considering his profession, his antocedents, and the character of the university in which he was speaking, it must be allowed that this is a remarkable passage, and one well worthy the notice of those who wish to study the tendencies of the English mind during the present generation

On the connexion between the rise of the Bacoman philosophy and the change in the sparit of theologians, compare Courte, Philosophy Philosophy and the change in the sparit of theologians, compare Courte, Philosophy 148, 144. It favoured, as Tannemann (tesch. der Philosophy 148, pp. 149) savs, the Belebung der selbstthatigen Kraft des menschichen Genstes, and honce the attack on the inductive philosophy in Newman's Invelopment of Caristian Doctrine, pp. 179—183. But Mr. Newman does not seem to be hware how irrevocably we are now pleaged to the movement which he beeks to reverse.

sand sects, each of which proclaimed, and often exaggerated, the efficiency of private judgment. The details of this vast movement form one of the most interesting parts. of the history of England: but without anticipating what I must hereafter relate, I will at present mention only one instance, which, from the circumstances attending it, is very characteristic of the age. The celebrated work by Chillingworth on the Religion of Protestants, is generally admitted to be the best defence which the Reformers have been able to make against the church of Rome.20 It was published in 1637,21 and the position of the author would induce us to look for the fullest display of bigotry that was consistent with the spirit of his time. Chillingworth had recently abandoned the creed which he now came forward to attack; and he, therefore, might be expected to have that natural inclination to dogmatize with which apostasy is usually accompanied. Besides this, he was the godson and the intimate friend of Laud,22 whose memory is still loathed, as the meanest, the most cruel, and

¹⁵ The rapid increase of heresy in the middle of the seventeenth century is very remarkable, and it greatly aided civilization in England by encouraging habits of independent thought. In Feb., 1646-7, Boyle writes from London, 'There are few days pass here, that may not justly be accused of the brewing or broaching of some new ordinion. Nay, some are so studiously changing in that particular, they esteem an opinion as a diurnal, after a day or two scarce worth the keeping. If any man have lost his religion, let him repair to London, and I'll warrant him he shall find it: I had almost said too, and if any man has a religion, let him but come hither sor, and he shall go near to lose it.' BIRCH'S Life of Boyle, in Box a's Works, vol. i. pp. 20, 21. See also BATES's Account of the late toubles, edit. 1685, part. ii. p. 219, on 'that unbridled licentiousness of hereticks which grew greater and greater daily.' Compare to the same effect CARLYLE's Cromwell, vol. i. p. 289; HALLAM'S Const. Hist. vol. i. p. 60%, and CARWITHER'S Hist of the Church of England, vol. ii. p. 203; 'sectaries began to swarm.

²⁰ Not to quote the opinions of inferior men respecting Chillingworth, it is enough to mention, that Lord Mansfield said he was 'a perfect model of argumentation.' Burler's Reminiscences, vol. i. p. 12%. Compare a letter from Warburton, in Nichola's Illustrations of the Eighteenth Century, vol. iv. p. 849.

²¹ DES MAINEAUX, Life of Chillingworth, p. 141.

²² AUBBER'S Letters and Lives, vol. ii. p. 285; DES MAIZEAX, Life of Chillingworth, pp. 2, 9. The correspondence between Laud and Chillingworth, is supposed to be lost. DES MAIZEAUX, p. 12. Carwithen (Hist. of the Church of England, ol. ii. p. 214) says, 'Lidd' was the godfather of Chillingworth.'

マンス かん the most narrow-minded man who ever sat on the episcopal bench. 28 He was, moreover, a fellow of Oxford, and was a constant resident at that ancient university, which has always been esteemed as the refuge of superstition, and which has preserved to our own day its unenviable fame.24 If now we turn to the work that was written under these auspices, we can scarcely believe that it was produced in the same generation, and in the same country, where, only twenty-six years before, two men had been publicly burned because they advocated opinions different to those of the established church. It is, indeed, a most remarkable proof of the prodigious energy of that great movement which was now going on, that its pressure should be felt under circumstances the most hostile to it which can possibly be conceived; and that a friend of Laud, and a fellow of Oxford, should, in a grave theological treatise, lay down principles utterly subversive of that theological spirit which for many centuries had enslaved the whole of Europe.

In this great work, all authority in matters of religion is openly set at defiance. Hooker, indeed, had appealed from the jurisdiction of the Fathers to the jurisdiction of reason; he had, however, been careful to add, that the reason of individuals ought to bow before that of the church as we find it expressed in great Councils, and in the general voice of ecclesiastical tradition.²⁵ But Chillingworth would hear of none of these things. He would admit of no reservations which tended to limit the sacred right of private judgment. He not only went far beyond

The character of Laud is now well understood and generally known. His odious cruelties made him so hated by his contemporaries, that after his condemnation, many persons shut up their shops, and refused to open them till he was executed. This is mentioned by Walton, an eye-witnes. See Walton's Life of Sanderson, in Wordswork's Eccles. Biog. vol. iv. p. 429.

[&]quot;A modern writer suggests, with exquisite simplicity, that Chillingworth derived his liberal principles from Oxford: the very same college which nursed the high intellect and tolerant principles of Chillingworth? Bowless's Life of Rishop Ken, vol. i. p. xxi.

²⁰ Mooker's undue respect for the Councils of the Church is noticed by Mr. Hallam, Const. Hiss. vol. i p. 213. Compare the hesitating remarks in Coleridar's Legerary Remains, vol. iii. pp. 35, 36.

Hooker in neglecting the Fathers,26 but he even ventured to despise the Councils. Although the sole object of his work was to decide on the conflicting claims of the two, greatest sects into which the Christian Church has broken, he never quotes as authorities the Councils of that very church respecting which the disputes were agitated.27 His strong and subtle intellect, penetrating the depths of the subject, despised that sort of controversy which had long busied the minds of men. In discussing the points upon which the Catholics and Protestants were at issue, he does not inquire whether the doctrines in question met the approval of the early church, but he asks if they are in was orderice with human reason, and he does not hesitate that, however true they may be, no man is bound to believe them it he finds that they are repugnant to the dictates of his own understanding. Nor will be consent that faith should supply the absence of authority. Even this favourite principle of theologians is by Chillingworth made to yield to the supremacy of the human reason 28 Reason, he says, gives us knowledge; while faith only gives us beliet, which is a part of knowledge, and is, therefore, inferior to it. It is by reason, and not by faith, that we must discriminate in religious matters; and it is by reason alone that we can distinguish truth from falsehood

²⁶ Reading the Fathers he contemptuously calls travelling on a 'north-west discovery' Childerigworth s Religion of Protestants, p. 366. Even to Augustine, who was probably the ablest of them, Chillingworth pays no deference, See what he says at pp. 196, 333, 376; and as to the authority of the Fathers in general, see pp. 252, 346. Chillingworth observed, happily enough, that churchmen (account them fathers when they are for them, and children when against them? Calamy's Life, vol. i. p. 253.

against them.' CALAMY'S Life, vol. i. p. 253.

27 As to the supposed authority of Councils, see of Protestants, pp. 132, 463. It affords curious evidence of progress of theologians to observe the different spirit in which ome of our clergy consider these matters. See, for instance, Palatak on the Church, 1839, vol. ii. pp. 150—171. In no other branch of inquiry do we find this obstinate determination to adhere to theories which all thinking men have referred for the last two centuries.

²⁸ Indeed, he attempts to fasten the same doctrine upon the Catholics, which, if he could have done, would of course have ended the controvers. He says, rather unfailty. 'Your church you admit, because you think you have reason to do so, so that by you, as well as Protestants, all is finally resolved into your own reason.' Reig. of Protest. p. 134.

SIXTEENTS TO THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY

Finally, he solemnly reminds his readers, that in religious matters no one ought to be expected to draw strong consusions from imperfect premises, or to credit improbable statements upon scanty evidence; still less, he says, was it ever intended that men should so prostitute their reason, as to believe with intallible faith that which they are unable to prove with intallible arguments.²⁹

No one of ordinary reflection can fail to perceive the manifest tendency of these opinions. But what is more important to observe is, the process through which, in the march of civilization, the human mind had been obliged to pass before it could reach such elevated views. Reformation, by destroying the dogma of an infallible church, had of course weakened the reverence which was paid to coclesiastical antiquity. Still, such was the force of old associations, that our countrymen long continued to respect what they had ceased to venerate. Thus it was, that Jewel, though recognizing the supreme authority of the Bible, had, in cases where it was silent or ambiguous, anxiously appealed to the early church, by whose decision he supposed all difficulties could be easily cleared. He, therefore, only used his reason to ascertain the discrepancies which existed between Scripture and tradition. but when they did not clash, he paid what is now considered a superstitious deference to antiquity.

'God desires only that we believe the conclusion as much as the premises deserve; that the strength of our faith be equal or proportionable to the credibility of the motives to it ! Relig. of Profest p 66 For my part, I am certain that God hath given us our reason to discome between truth and falsehood, and he that, makes not this use of the but believes things he knows not why, I say it is by chance that be believes the truth, and not by choice; and I cannot but fear that God will not accept of this sacrifice of tools.' p. 133 'God's spirit if he please, may work more, - a certainty of adherence beyond a certainty of evidence; but neither God doth, nor man may, require of us. as our duty, to give a greater assent to the conclusion than the premises deserve; to build an infallible faith upon motives that are only highly eredible and not infallible; as it were a great and heavy building upon a foundation that hath not strength proportionate ' 1 14" For faith is not knowledge, no more than three is four. but emmently contained in it, so that he that knows, believes, and something more; but he that believes many times does not know-nay, if he doth barely and merely believe, he doth never know.' p. 412. See also p. 417

years after him came Hooker; 30 who mide a step in advance, and laying down principles from which Jewel would have shrunk with fear, did much to weaken that which it was reserved for Chillingworth utterly to destroy. Thus it is, that these three great men represent the three distinct epochs of the three successive generations in which they respectively lived. In Jewel, reason is, if I may so say, the superstructure of the system; but authority is the basis upon which the superstructure is built. In Hooker, authority is only the superstructure, and reason is the basis. 31 But in Chillingworth, whose writings were harbingers of the coming storm, authority entirely disappears, and the whole fabric of religion is made to rest upon the way in which the unaided reason of man shall interpret the decrees of an omnipotent God.

The immense success of this great work of Chillingworth, must have aided that movement of which it is itself an evidence.³² It formed a decisive vindication of religious dissent;³³ and thus justified the breaking-up of the

[&]quot;On the connexion between the Reformation and the views advocated in the Ecclesiastical Polity, compare Newman's Inverligance of Christian Doctrine, p 47, with some able remarks by Locke, in King's Life of Locke, vol. ii. pp. 99—101. Locke, who was anything but a friend to the church, was a great admirer of Hooker, and in one place calls him 'the agencyllapse on the Church, in Locke's Works, vol. iv. p. 380.

³¹ The opposition between Jewel and Hocker was so marked, that some of the opponents of Hooker quoted against him Jewel's Apology See Wordsworth's Ect. Bing. vol. ii. p. 513. Dr. Wordsworth ealls this 'curious,' but it would be much more curious if it had not happened Compare the remarks made by the Bishop of Limerick (PARE's Works, vol. ii. p. 470, Notes on the Spital Sermon), who says, that Hooker 'opened that fountain of reason,' &c., language which will hardly be considered too strong by those who have compared the Ecclesiastical Polity with the theological works previously produced by the English church.

E Des Maizeaux (Life of Chilingworth, pp. 220, 221) says. 'His book was received with a general applause, and, what perhaps never happened to any other controversial work of that bulk, two editions of it were published within less than five months. The quick sale of a book, and especially of a book of controversy, in folio, is a good proof that the author hit the taste of his time.' See also Biographia Britankica, edit. Kippis, vol. in. pp. 411, 512.

or, as Calamy cautionaly puts it, Chillingworth s work appeared to me to go a great way towards the justifying of moderate conformity.' Calamy's Life, vol. i. p. 234. Campare Palmer on the Church

Anglican churchi which the same generation lived to witness. Its fundamental principle was adopted by the most influential writers of the seventeenth century, - such as Hales, Owen, Taylor, Burnet, Tillotson, Locke, and even the cautious and time-serving Temple; all of whom insisted upon the authority of private judgment, as forming a tribunal from which no one had the power of appeal. The interence to be drawn from this seems obvious.34 If the ultimate test of truth is individual judgment, and if no one can affirm that the judgments of men, which are often contradictory, can ever be infallible, it follows of necessity that there is no decisive criterion of religious truth. This is a melancholy, and, as I firmly believe, a most inaccurate conclusion; but it is one which every nation must entertain, before it can achieve that great work of toleration, which, even in our own country, and in our own time, is not yet consummated. It is necessary that men should learn to doubt, before they begin to tolerate; and that they should recognize the fallibility of their own opinions, before they respect the opinions of their opponents.35 This great process is far from being vet completed in any country; and the European mind, barely emerged from its early credulity, and from an overweening confidence in its own belief, is still in a middle, and, so to say, a probationary stage. When that stage shall

vol. 1. pp. 267, 268, and what is probably an allusion to Chillingworth in Doddelour's Correspond. and Diara, vol. 11 p. 81. See also the opinion of Hobbes, in Aubert's Letters and Lices, vol. ii. pp. 288, 629.

²⁴ A short but able view of the aspect which the English mind now began to assume, will be found in STAUDLIN, Geschichte der theologischen Wissenschaften, vol. ii. pp. 95 seq.

[&]quot;In Whately's Dangers to Christian Faith, pp. 188-198, there is a perspicuous statement of the arguments now commonly received against coercing men for their religious opinions. But the most powerful of these arguments are based entirely upon expediency, which would have insured their rejection in an age of strong religious convictions. Some, and only some, of the theological difficulties respecting toleration, are noticed in Colemnog's Lit. Remains, vol. i. pp. 312-315; and in another work (The Friend, vol. i. p. 73), he mentions, what is the real fact, 'that same indifference which makes toleration so easy a virtue with us' See also Archdeacon Hards (incress at Truth, 2nd series, 1878, p. 278; and NICHOLE's lituitrations of Lit. Hist. vol. v. p. 817. 'a spirit of mutual toleration and forbearance has appeared (at least one good consequence of religious imdifferences).'

be finally passed, when we shall have learned to estimate men solely by their character and their acts, and not at all by their theological dogmas, we shall then be able to. form our religious opinions by that purely transcendental process, of which in every age glimpses have been granted to a few gifted minds. That this is the direction in which things are now hastening, must be clear to every one who has studied the progress of modern civilization. Within the short space of three centuries, the old theological spirit has been compelled, not only to descend from its long-established supremacy, but to abandon those strongholds to which, in the face of advancing knowledge, it has vainly attempted to secure a retreat. All its most cherished pretensions it has been forced gradually to relinquish 4 And although in England a temporary prominence has recently been given to certain religious controversies, still the circumstances attending them show the alteration in the character of the age. Disputes which, a century ago, would have set the whole kingdom in a flame, are now regarded with indifference by the vast majority of educated men. The complications of modern society, and the immense variety of interests into which it is divided. have done much to distract the intellect, and to prevent it from dwelling upon subjects which a less-occupied people would deem of paramount importance. Besides this, the accumulations of science are far superior to those of any former age, and offer suggestions of such surpassing interest, that nearly all our greatest thinkers devote to them the whole of their time, and refuse to busy themselves with matters of mere speculative belief. The consequence is, that what used to be considered the most important of all questions, is now abandoned to interior men, who mimic the zeal, without possessing the influence of those really great divines whose works are among the glories of our early literature. These turbulent polemics have, indeed, distracted the church by their clamour, but they have not made the slightest impression upon the

[&]quot; It would be idle to offer proofs of so notorious a fact, but the teader will be interested by some striking remarks in CAPEFIGUE, Hist de la Réforme, vol 1, pp. 225-224.

great body of English intellect; and an overwhelming majority of the nation is notoriously opposed to that monastic and ascetic religion which it is now vainly attempted to reconstruct. The truth is, that the time for these things has gone by. Theological interests have long ceased to be supreme; and the affairs of nations are no longer regulated according to ecclesiastical views.37 In England, where the march has been more rapid than elsewhere, this change is very observable. In every other department we have had a series of great and powerful thinkers. who have done honour to their country, and have won the admiration of mankind. But for more than a century, we have not produced a single original work in the whole field of controversial theology. For more than a century, the apathy on this subject has been so marked, that there has been made no addition of value to that immense mass of divinity which, among thinking men, is in every successive generation losing something of its former interest. 35

A writer intimately acquainted with the social condition of the great European countries, says 'Ecclesiastical power is almost extinct as an active element in the political or social affairs of nations or of individuals, in the cabinet or in the family circle, and a new element, literary power, is taking its place in the government of the world Laine's Demant's, 1852, p. 89. On this natural tendency in regard to legislation, see Meyer, Espirit des Institut, Individuals, vol. i. p. 267 note, and a good summary in Staudius, Gesel, der theolog. Wissenschaften, vol. ii pp. 304, 305. It is not supprising to find that many of the clergy complain of a movement so subversive of their own power. Compare Ward's Ideal of a Christian Church, pp. 40. 105-111, 388, SEWELL'S Christian Points, pp. 276, 277, 279, Palmer's Frentise on the Church, vol. ii p. 361. It is thus that everything is tending to confirm the remarkable prediction of Sir James Mackintosh, that 'church-power (unless so, revolution auspicious to priesteraft should repluinge Europe in Leonance) will certainly not survive the nineteenth century.' Mem. of Mackintosh, vol. i p. 67.

"The "divines" in England at the present day, her bishops, protossors, and prebendaries, are not theologians. They are logicians, chemists, skilled in the mathematics, historians, poor commentators upon Greek poets. Theodobre Parker's Critical and Miscellaneous Writings, 1848, p. 302. At p. 33, the same high authority says: 'But, within the present century, what has been written in the English tongue, in any department of theologial scholarship, which is of value and makes a mark on the age? The Bridgewater Treatises, and the new edition of Paley, — we blush to confess it, — are the best things. Sir William Hamilton (Discussions on Philosophy, 1852, p. 693) notices the decline of 'British theology,' though he appears ignorant of the cause of it. The Ref. Mr. Ward (Idval of a Christian Church

ENGLISH INTELLECT FROM THE

These are only some of the innumerable signs, which must be discerned by every man who is not blinded by the prejudices of an imperfect education. An immense majority of the clergy,—some from ambitious feelings, but the greater part, I believe, from conscientious motives,—are striving to check the progress of that scepticism which is now gathering in upon us trom every quarter. 18 It 18

p. 405) remarks, that 'we cannot wonder, however keenly we may mourn, at the decline and fall of dogmatic theology. See also Lord JEFFREY'S FSTAPS, vol. iv. p. 337. 'Warburton, we think, was the last of our great divines... The days of the Cudworths and Barrows, the Hookers and Taylors, are long gone by. Dr. Parr was the only English theologian since Warburton who possessed sufficient learning to retrieve this position, but he always refused to do so, boing, unconsciously to himself held back by the spirit of his age. Thus, we find him writing to Archbishop Magee, in 123. 'As to myself, I long ago determined not to take any active part in polemical theology Park's Works, vol. vii. p. 11

In the same way, since the early part of the eighteenth century, hardly any one has carefully read the Fathers, except for mere histor ical and secular purposes. The first step was taken about the middle of the seventeenth century, when the custom of quoting them in" sermons began to be abandoned Burners own Time, vol. 1 pp 329, 330, ORME'S Life of Owen, p 184 After this they rapidly fell into contempt, and the Rev. Mr Dowling (Study of Fectenast, History, p 195) asserts, that 'Waterland, who died in 1740, was the last of our great patristical scholars' To this I may add, that nine years subsequent to the death of Waterland, the obvious decay of professional learning struck Warburton, afterwards Bishop of Gloucester, so much. that he wrote to Jortin, somewhat roughly, 'anything makes a divine' among our parsons' See his Letter, written in 1749, in Nichols's Illustrations of Lit. Hist. vol. in. p. 173, and for other evidence of the neglect by the clergy of their ancient studies, see JONES'S Memoirs of Horne, Bishop of Norwich, pp 68, 184; and the complaint of Dr Knowler, in 1766, in Nichols's Lie Anec. vol. ii. p. 130. Since then, attempts have been made at Oxford to remedy this tendency, but such attempts, being opposed by the general march of affairs, have been, and must be, futile. Indeed, so manifest is the inferiority of these recent efforts, that one of the most active cultivators in that field frankly admits, that, in point of knowledge, his own party has effected nothing; and he even asserts, with great bitterness, that 'it is melancholy to say it, but the chief, perhaps the only, English writer who has any claim to be considered an ecclesiastical historian, is the infidel Gibbon' NEWMAN on the Development of Christian Ductrine, p. 5.

** As some writers, moved by their wishes rather than by their knowledge, seek to deny this, it may be well to observe, that the increase of scepticism since the latter part of the eighteenth century is attested by an immense mass of evidence, as will appear to whoever will compare the following authorities: Whathly's Dangers to Christian Faith, p. 87; Kan's Social Condition of the People, vol. ii. p. 506; Tocquevill's, de la Démocratie, v. 1. iii. p. 72; J. H. Newman

time that these well-intentioned, though mistaken, men should see the delusion under which they labour. That by which they are so much alarmed, is the intermediate step which leads from superstition to toleration. The higher order of minds have passed through this stage, and are approaching what is probably the ultimate form of the religious history of the human race. But the people at large, and even some of those who are commonly called educated men, are only now entering that earlier epoch in which scepticism 40 is the leading feature of the mind. So far, therefore, from our apprehensions being excited by this rapidly-increasing spirit, we ought rather to do everything in our power to encourage that which, though painful to some, is salutary to all; because by it alone can religion bigotry be effectually destroyed. Nor ought we to be surprised that, before this can be done, a certain degree of suffering must first intervene. 41 If one age believes

of it has been suggested to me by an able friend, that there is a class of persons who will misunderstand this expression, and that there is another class who, without roisunderstanding it, will intentionally misrepresent its meaning. Hence, it may be well to state distinctly what I wish to convey by the word 'scepticism' By scepticism I merely mean hardness of belief, so that an increased scepticism is an increased perception of the difficulty of proving assertions, or, in other words, it is an increased application, and an increased diffusion, of the rules of reasoning, and of the laws of evidence. This feeling of hesitation and of suspended judgment has, in every department of thought, been the invariable preliminary to all the intellectual revolutions through which the human mind has passed; and without it, there could be no progress, no change, no civilization. In physics, it is the necessary precursor of science; in politics, of liberty; in theology, of toleration. These are the three leading forms of scepticism; it is, therefore, clear, that in religion the sceptic steers a middle course between atheism and orthodoxy, rejecting both extremes, because he sees that both are iscapable of proof.

⁴¹ What a learned historian has said of the effect which the method of Socrates produced on A very few Greek minds, is applicable to

too much, it is but a natural reaction that another age should believe too little. Such are the imperfections of our nature, that we are compelled, by the very laws of its progress, to pass through those crises of scepticism and of mental distress, which to a vulgar eye are states of national decline and national shame, but which are only as the fire by which the gold must be purged before it can leave its dross in the pot of the refiner. To apply the imagery of the great allegorist, it is necessary that the poor pilgrim, laden with the weight of accumulated superstitions, should struggle through the Slough of Despond and the Valley of Death, before he can reach that glorious city, glittering with gold and with jewels, of which the first sight is sufficient recompense for his toils and his fears.

During the whole of the seventeenth century, this double movement of scepticism and of toleration continued to advance; though its progress was constantly checked by the two successors of Elizabeth, who in everything reversed the enlightened policy of the great queen. These princes exhausted their strength in struggling against the tendencies of an age they were unable to understand; but, happily, the spirit which they wished to quench had

that state through which a great part of Europe is now passing "The Socratic dialectics, clearing away from the mind its m st of fancied knowledge, and laying bare the real ignorance, produced an immediate effect, like the touch of the torpedo. The newly-created consciousness of ignorance was alike unexpected, painful, and humiliating. - a season of doubt and discomfort, yet combined with an internal working and yearning after truth, never before experienced. Such intellectual quickening, which could never commence until the mind head been disabused of its original illusion of false knowledge, was configered by Socrates not merely as the index and precursor, but as the inby Socrates not merely as the index and precursor, but as the indispensable condition of future progress. Grote's Hist. of Gree, vol viii. pp. 512, 615, 8vo, 1851 Compare Arith der reinen Vernamin Kart's Werke, vol. ii. pp. 572, 577 'So ist der Skeptizismus Buheplatz für die menschiche Vernunft, da sie sich über ihre matische Wanderung besinnen und den Entwurf von der Ge machen kann, wo sie sich befindet, um ihren Weg fernerhin mit minrerer Sicherheit wählen zu konnen, aber nicht ein Wohnplatz zum beständigen Aufenthalte. So ist das sceptische Verfahren zwar an sich selbst für die Vernunftfragen nicht betriedigend, aber doch vorübend, um ihre Vorsichtigkeit zu erwecken und auf gründliche Mittel zu weisen, die sie in ihren rechtmässigen Besitzen sichern können.

reached a beight that mocked their control. At the same time, the march of the English mind was still further aided by the nature of those disputes which, during half a century, divided the country. In the reign of Elizabeth, the great contest had been between the church and its opponents; between those who were orthodox, and those who were heretical. But in the reigns of James and Charles, theology was for the first time merged in politics. It was no longer a struggle of creeds and dogmas; but it was a struggle between those who favoured the crown, and those supported the parliament. The minds of men. thus and upon matters of real importance, neglected those inferior pursuits that had engrossed the attention of their fathers 42. When, at length, public affairs had reached their crisis, the hard fate of the king, which eventually advanced the interests of the throne, was most injurious to those of the church. There can, indeed, be no doubt that the circumstances connected with the execution of Charles, inflicted a blow upon the whole system of ecclesiastical authority, from which, in this country, it has never been able to recover. The violent death of the king excited the sympathies of the people; and by thus strengthening the hands of the royalists, hastened the restoration

42 Dr. Arnold whose keen eve noted this change, says (Lectures or Movern History, p. 2321, 'What strikes us predominantly, is, that what, in Elizabeth's time, was a controversy between divines, was now a great p bireal contest between the cr wn and the parliament.' The ordinary c motiers, such as Sir A Alison (Hist of Furope, vol. 1 p. 51) and others, have entirely misrepresented this movement an error the more singular, because the emmently political character of the struggle was recognized by several contemporaries. Even Cromwell, notwithstanding the difficult game he had to play, distinctly stated, in 1611, that the origin of the war was not religious. See CARLYLE'S Commett, vol ni, p. 101, and corroborative evidence in WALRE 's He tory o. Independenty, part 1 p 132. James I also saw that the Paritans were more dangerous to the state than to the church ' do not so far differ from us in points of reigion, as in their confused form of policy and parity, being ever discontented with the present government, and impatient to suffer any superiority; which maketh their sects insufferable in any well-governed commonwealth.' Sprech o Junes L. in Pari. West vol. 1. p 982 See also the observations ascribed to De Foc, in Sommas's Tate, vol. ix. p. 372: 'The king and parliament fell out about matters of civil right; the first difference between the king and the English parliament did not respect religion, but civil property '

of the monarchy. 43 But the mere name of that great party which had risen to power, was suggestive of the change that, in a religious point of view, was taking place in the national mind. It was, indeed, no light thing, that England should be ruled by men who called themselves Independents; and who, under that title, not only beat back the pretensions of the clergy, but professed an unbounded contempt for all those rites and dogmas which the gray had, during many centuries, continued to apply to their full extent the consequences of their own doctrines 43 Still, it was a great matter to have those

⁴³ See Clarendon's Hist of the Rebellion, p. 716. Si W Temple, in his Venours, observes, that the throne of Charles II was strengthened by 'what had passed in the last, reign' Temple's Works, vol in p. 344. This may be illustrated by the remarks of M Lamartine on the execution of Louis XVI. Hist des Girondins vol v. pp. 86.—87. 'Sa mort, au contraire, alienait de la cause française cette partie immense des populations qui ne juge les événements humains que pai le cœur. La nature humaine est pathétique, la république l'oublia, elle donna à la royauté quelque chose du martyre, à la liberté quelque chose de la vengeance. Elle prépara ainsi une réaction contre la cause républicaine, et mit du côté de la royauté la sensibilité, l'intérêt, les larmes d'une partie des peuples.'

44 The energy with which the House of Commons, in 1646, repelled the pretensions of 'the Assembly of Divines,' is one of many proofs of the determination of the predominant party not to allow ecclestastical encroachments. See the remarkable details in Part Hist vol. 111 pp 459-463, see also p. 1305 As a natural consequence, the Independents were the first sect which, when possessed of power, advocated teleration Compare ORME's Life of Owen, pp 63-75, 102-111, Somens's Tracts, vol xn. p 542, WALKER'S Hist of Independency, part 11. pp 50, 1.7, part 11. p. 22, CLARENDON'S Hist of the Rebettion, pp 610, 640. Some writers ascribe great merit to Jeremy Taylor for his advocacy of toleration (HEBER'S Life of Taylor, p. xxvii; and PARR'S Works, vol. 1v. p. 417), but the truth is that when he wrote the famous Liberty of Prophesying, his enemies were in power, so that he was pleading for his own interests When however, the Church of England again obtained the upper hand, Taylor withdrew the concessions which he had made in the season of adversity. See the indignant gemarks of Coloridge (Lit. Remains, vol. iii. p. 250), who, though a great admirer of Taylor, expresses himself strongly on this dereliction see also a recensly published Letter to Percy, Bishop of Dromore, in NICHOLS'S Mustrations of Lit. History, vol. vii. p. 464.

45 H8wever, Bishop Short (Historgiof the Church of England, 8vo, 1847, pp. 452, 458) says, what is undoubtedly true, that the hostility of Cromwell to the church was not theological, but political. The same remark is made by Bishop Kennet. Note in Burton's Diary, vol. ii p. 479. See also Vaughan's Cromwell, vol. i. p. xovii.; and on

doctrines recognized by the constituted authorities of the state. Besides this, it is important to remark, that the Puritans were more fanatical than superstitious. 48 They were so ignorant of the real principles of government, as to direct penal laws against private vices; and to suppose that immorality could be stemmed by legislation. 47 But, notwithstanding this serious error, they always resisted the aggressions even of their own clergy; and the destruction of the old episcopal hierarchy, though perhaps too hastily effected, must have produced many beneficial results. When the great party by whom these things were accomplished, was at length overthrown, the progress of events still continued to tend in the same direction. After the Restoration, the church, though reinstated in her ancient powep, had evidently lost her ancient power. 48

the generally tolerant spirit of this great man, see HALLAM'S Const. Hist vol 11. p. 14, and the evidence in HARRIS'S Lives of the Shuarts, vol 11. pp 37-47. But the most distinct recognition of the principle is in a Letter from Cronwell to Major-teneral Cramford, recently printed in Carlyle's Cronwell, vol 1, pp 201, 202, 8vo, 1846. In it Cromwell writes, 'Sir, the state, in choosing men to serve it, takes no notice of their opinions, if they be willing fa'thfully to serve it—that satisfies' See additional proof in Carlyller's Hist of the Church of England, vol 11 p. 245, 249.

46 No one can understand the real history of the Puritans, who does not take this into consideration. In the present introduction, it is impossible to discuss so large a subject, and I must reserve it for the future part of this work, in which the history of England will be specially treated. In the mean time, I may mention, that the distinction between fanaticism and superstition is clearly indicated, but not analyzed, by Archbishop Whately, in his Friory of Komanism traced to their Origin in Human Nature, p. 49. This should be compared with HUME'S Philosophical Borks, vol. iii pp 81—89, Edinb. 1826, on the difference between enthusiasm and superstition; a difference which is noticed, but, as it appears to me, misunderstood, by Maclaine, in his Additions to Moderm's Ecclesiast. Hist vol. ii. p. 38.

47 Compare Barrington's Observations on the Statutes p 143, with Bukton's Diary of the Parliaments of Cromwell, vol. i. pp. xcviii. 145, 392, vol. ii. pp. 35, 229. In 1650, a second conviction of formication was made felony, without benefit of clergy, but, after the Restoration, Charles II. and his friends found this law rather inconvenient; so it was repealed. See Blackstone's Commentaries, vol. iv. p. 65.

the same writer says, with sorrow, 'The church recovered much of her temporal possessions, but not her spiritual rule' The power of the bishop was abridged 'by the destinction of the court off high-commission.' Short's Het. of the Church of England, p. 555. See also, on the diminished igfluence of the Church-of-England clergy

ENGLISH INTELLECT FROM THE

the same time, the new king, from levity, rather than from reason, despised the disputes of theologians, and treated questions of religion with what he considered a philosophic indifference. 19 The courtiers followed his example, and thought they could not err in imitating him, whom they regarded as the Lord's anointed. The results were such as must be familiar even to the most superficial readers of English literature. That grave and measured scepticism, by which the Independents had been characterized, lost all its decorum when it was transplanted into the ungenial atmosphere of a court. The men by whom the king was surrounded, were unequal to the difficulties of suspense; subthey attempted to fortify their doubts by the blasphenous expression of a wild and desperate infidelity With scarcely an exception, all those writers who were most favoured by Charles, exhausted the devices of their ribald spirit, in mocking a religion, of the nature of which they were profoundly ignorant. These impious buffooneries would, by themselves, have left no permanent impression on the age, but they deserve attention, because they were the corrupt and exaggerated representatives of a more general tendency. They were the unwholesome offspring of that spirit of disbehef, and of that daring revolt against authority, which characterized the most eminent Englishmen during the seventeenth century. It was this which caused Locke to be an innovator in his philosophy, and an Unitarian in his creed. It was this which made Newton a Socimian: which forced Milton to be the great enemy of the church, and which not only turned the poet into a rebel, but tainted with Arianism the Paradise Lost. In a word, it was the same contempt for tradition, and the same resolution to spurn the yoke,

after the Restoration, SOUTHEY'S Life of Wester, vol. a, pp. 278, 279; and Watson's Observations on the Life of Wester, pp. 129-131

⁴⁹ Buckingham and Halifax, the two men who were perhals acquainted with Garles II., both declared that he was a deist. Coppare Lingard's Hist. of Figst v.l. viii. p. 127, with Harmis's Lices the Stands, vol. v. p. 55. His subsequent conversion to Catholicas is exactly analogous to the increased devotion of Louis XIV. during the later years of his life. Lineboth cases, superstition was the natural refuge of a worn-out and discontented libertime, who had exhausted all the resources of the lowest and most gaveling pleasures.

which, being first carried into philosophy by Bacon, was afterwards carried into politics by Cromwell; and which, during that very generation, was enforced in theology by Chillingworth, Owen, and Hales; in metaphysics by Hobbes and Glanvil; and in the theory of government by Harrington, Sydney, and Locke.

The progress which the English intellect was now making towards shaking off ancient superstitions, **o was

50 One of the most curious instances of this may be seen in the destruction of the old notions respecting witcheraft. This important revolution in our opinions was effected, so far as the educated classes are concerned, between the Restora ion and the Revolution; that is to say, in 1660, the majority of educated men still believed in witchcraft, while in 1688, the majority disbeheved it. In 1665, the old orthodox view was stated by Chief-Baron Hale, who, on a trial of two women for witchciaft, said to the jury 'That there are such creatures as witches, I make no doubt at all, for, first, the Scriptures have affirmed so much secondly, the wisdom of all nations bath provided laws against such persons, which is an argument of their confidence of such a crime. Campbell's Lices of the Cont Justices, vol 1 pp 565, 566. This reasoning was irresitible, and the witches were hung, but the change in public opinion began to affect even the judges, and after this melancholy exhibition of the Chief-Baron, such scenes became gradually rarer, though Lord Campbell is mistaken in supposing (p. 563) that this was 'the last capital conviction in England for the crime of bewitching.' So far from this, three persons were executed at Exeter for witchraft in 1682. See HUTCHIK-Son's Historical Essay concerning Weckeraft, 1720, pp. 56, 57. Hutchinson says 'I suppose these are the last three that have been hanged in England ' It however, one may rely upon a statement made by Dr Pari, two witches were hung at Northampton in 1705, and in '1712, tive other witches suffered the same fate at the same place.' PARE's Borks, vol. iv p. 182, 8vo, 1828. This is the more shameful, because, as I shall bereafter prove, from the hterature of that time, a disbelief in the existence of witches had become almost universal among educated men, though the old superstition was still defended on the judgment-seat and in the pulpit. As to the opinions of the clergy, compare Cubworth's Intellect Syst vol. iii. pp. 345, 348, VERNON Correspond vol. ii. pp 302, 303; Burt's Letters from the North of Scotland, vol 1 pp. 220, 221, WESLEY'S Journals, pp. 604, 713. Wesley, who had more influence than all the bishops put together, says: 'It is true, likewise, that the English in general, and, indeed, most of the men of learning in Europe, have given up all accounts of witches and apparitions as mere old wives' fables. I am sorry for it. The giving up witchcraft is, in effect, giving up the Bible. But I cannot give up, to all the Deists in Great Britain, the existence of witchcraft, till I give up the credit of all history, sacred and profane.'

However, all was in vain. Every year diminished the old belief; and in 1736, a generation before Wesley had recorded these oginions, the laws against witchcraft were repealed, and another vestige of

still further aided by the extraordinary zeal displayed in the cultivation of the physical sciences. This, like all great social movements, is clearly traceable to the events by which it was preceded. It was partly cause, and partly effect, of the increasing incredulity of the age. The scepticism of the educated classes made them dissatisfied with those long-established opinions, which only rested on unsupported authority; and this gave rise to a desire to ascertain how far such notions might be verified or refuted by the real condition of things. A curious instance of the rapid progress of this spirit may be found in the works of an author who was one of the most eminent among the mere literary men of his time. While the Civil War was barely decided, and three years before the execution of the king, Sir Thomas Browne published his celebrated work, called Inquiries into Vulgar and Common Errors. 51 This able and learned production has the merit of anticipating some of those results which more modern inquirers have obtained; 62 but it is chiefly remarkable, as being the first systematic and deliberate onslaught ever made in England upon those superstitious fancies which were then prevalent respecting the external world. And what is still more interesting is, that the circumstances under which it appeared make it evident, that while the learning and genius of the author belonged to himself, the escepticism which he displayed respecting popular belief was forced on him by the pressure of the age.

In or about 1633, when the throne was still occupied by a superstitious prince; when the Church of England was at the height of her apparent power; and when men were incessantly persecuted for their religious opinions —

superstition effaced from the English statute-book. See BARRINGTON on the Statutes, p. 407; Note in Burton's Diary, vol. 1 p. 26; HARBIE'S Life of Hardwicke, vol i. p. 307.

To this it may be interesting to add, that in Spaim a witch was burned so late as 1781. TICKNOR'S Hist of Spanish Literature, vol. iii. p. 238.

⁵¹ The first edition was published in 1646. Works of Sir Thomas Browne, vol. ii. p. 163.

BROWNE, vol. ii. p. 163.

2 See the notes in Mr. Wilkin's Wittions of Browne's Works,
Lond. 1836, vol. ii. pp. 284, 360, 361

this same Sir Thomas Browne wrote his Religio Medici. 58 in which we find all the qualities of his later work, except the scepticism. Indeed, in the Religio Medici, there is shown a credulity that must have secured the sympathy of those classes which were then dominant. Of all the prejudices which at that time were deemed an essential part of the popular creed, there was not one which Browne ventured to deny. He announces his belief in the philosopher's stone; 54 in spirits, and tutelary angels; 55 and in palmistry. b6 He not only peremptorily affirms the reality of witches, but he says that those who deny their existence are not merely infidels, but atheists. 57 He carefully tells us that he reckons his nativity, not from his birth, but from his baptism; for before he was baptized, he could not be said to exist. 58 To these touches of wisdom, he moreover adds, that the more improbable any proposition is, the greater his willingness to assent to it; but that when a thing is actually impossible, he is on that very account prepared to believe it. 59

Such were the opinions put forth by Sir Thomas Browne in the first of the two great works he presented to the world. But in his Inquiries into Vulgar Errors, there is displayed a spirit so entirely different, that if it were not

⁵³ The precise date is unknown, but Mr. Wilkin supposes that it was written 'between the years 1633 and 1635.' Preface to Religio Medici, in BROWNF'S Works, vol. ii p iv.

⁵⁴ Ibid. vol 11. p 58.

⁴⁵ Ibid. vol 1i p. 47

⁵⁶ Or, as he calls it, 'chromancy' Ibid, vol ii, p. 89
⁵⁷ 'For my part, I have ever believed, and do now know, that there are witches. They that doubt of these, do not only deny them, but spirits, and are obliquely, and upon consequence, a sort not of infidels, but atheists' 1bid, vol ii pp 43, 44.

^{58 &#}x27;From this I do compute or calculate my nativity' Ibid vol. in. p 64.

⁵⁹ Religio Medici, sec. ix in BROWNE'S Works, vol. ii pp. 13, 14. unfortunately too long to extract. This is the 'credo quia impossibile est,' originally one of Tertullian's absurdities, and once quoted in the House of Lords by the Duke of Argyle, as 'the ancient religious maxim.' Part. Hist vol. xi. p. 802. Compare the sarcastic remark on this maxim in the Essay concerning Human Understanding, 600k. iv. chap, xviti. Lockn's Works vol ii. p. 271. It was the spirit embodied in this sentence which supplied Celsus with some formidable arguments against the Fathers. NEARDER'S Hist. of the Church, vol. i. pp. 227, 228.

for the most decisive evidence, we could hardly believe it to be written by the same man. The truth, however, is, that during the twelve years which clapsed between the two works, there was completed that vast social and intellectual revolution, of which the overthrow of the church and the execution of the king were but minor incidents. We know from the literature, from the private correspondence, and from the public acts of that time, how impossible it was, even for the strongest minds, to escape the effects of the general intoxication No wonder, then, that Browne, who certainly was inferior to several of his contemporaries, should have been affected by a movement which they were unable to resist. It would have been strange, indeed, if he alone had remained uninfluenced by that sceptical spirit, which, because it had been arbitrarily repressed, had now broken all bounds, and in the reaction soon swept away those institutions which vamly attempted to stop its course.

It is in this point of view that a comparison of the two works becomes highly interesting, and, indeed, very important. In this, his later production, we hear no more about believing things because they are impossible, but we are told of 'the two great pillars of truth, experience and solid reason'." We are also reminded that one main cause of error is 'adherence unto authority,'. 61 that another is, 'neglect of inquiry;'. 22 and, strange to say, that a third is 'credulity.' 63. All this was not very consistent with the old theological spirit, and we need not, therefore, be surprised that Browne not only exposes some of the innumerable blunders of the Fathers, 64 but, after speaking of errors in general, curtly adds: 'Many others there

to Inquiries into lutgar and Common Friors, book in chap. xxviii. in Browne's Works, vol. ii. p. 514.

⁴¹ Ibid, book i. chap, vii. vol. ii p. 225.

^{62 &#}x27;A supinity, or neglect of inquiry.' Ibid. book i. chap. v. vol. ii. p. 211.

^{43 &#}x27;A third cause of common errors is the credulity of men.'
Book, i. chap, v. vol. ii. p 208.

⁶⁴ See two amusing instances in vol. ii. pp. 267, 438.

are, which we resign unto divinity, and perhaps deserve not controversy. '66

The difference between these two works is no bad measure of the rapidity of that vast movement which, in the middle of the seventeenth century, was seen in every branch of practical and, speculative life. After the death of Bacon, one of the most distinguished Englishmen was certainly Boyle, who, if compared with his contemporaries, may be said to ranked dately below Newton, though, of course, very to him as an original thinker. 66 With the additions he made to our knowledge we are not immediately concerned; but it may be mentioned, that he was the first who instituted exact experiments into the relation between colour and heat; and by this means, not only ascertained some very important facts, but laid a foundation for that union between optics and thermotics. which, though not yet completed, now merely waits for some great philosopher to strike out a generalization large enough to cover both, and thus fuse the two sciences into a single study. It is also to Boyle, more than to any other Englishman, that we owe the science of hydrostatics, in the state in which we now possess it. 68 He is the original discoverer of that beautiful law, so fertile in valuable results, according to which the elasticity of air varies as its density." And, in the opinion of one of the most

[&]quot; Valgar and Common Firers, book vii, chap xi in Browne's Books, vol. in p. 32

^{*} Mank (Lie at Beylies, vol 1 p 37) says, that Boyle's discoveries there placed his name in a rank second only to that of Newton, and this, I believe, is true, notwithstanding the immense superiority of Newton.

Compare Powell on Radiant Hest (Rest Assoc vol. 1., p. 287), with LLOYI'S Report on the visit Optis, 1814, p. 338. For the remarks on colours see BOYLE'S Hork's vol. 11, pp. 1-40, and for the account of his experiments, pp. 41-80; and a slight notice in Boxwetten's Lefe at Neuton, vol. 1 pp. 155, 136, 216. It is, I think, not generally known, that Power is said to be indebted to Boyle for griginating some of his experiments on colours. See a letter from Hooks, in BOYLE'S Work 9 vol. v. p. 533.

Dr. Whewell (Bridgenater Treatise, p 266) well observes, that Boyle and Pascal are to hyprostatics what Galileo is to mechanics, and Copernicus, Kepler, and Newton to astronomy. See also on Boyle, as the founder of hydrostatics. Thomson's Hist, of the Royal Succeey, pp 397, 398, and his Hist, of Chemistry, vol. i. p. 204.

[&]quot; This was discovered by Boyle about 1650, and confirmed by

eminent modern naturalists, it was Boyle who opened up those chemical inquiries, which went on accumulating until, a century later, they supplied the means by which Lavoisier and his contemporaries fixed the real basis of chemistry, and enabled it for the first times to take its proper stand among those sciences that deal with the external world. 70

The application of these discoveries to the happiness of Man, and particularly to what the be called the material interests of civilization, will be traced in another part of this work; but what I now wish to observe, is the way in which such investigations harmonized with the movement I am attempting to describe. In the whole of his physical inquiries. Boyle constantly insists upon two fundamental principles, namely, the importance of individual experiments, and the comparative unimportance of the facts which, on these subjects, antiquity has handed down. These are the two great keys to his method:

Mariotte in 1676 See Whewell's Hist of the Inductive Sciences, vol 11 pp 557, 588, Thomson's Hist of Chemistry, vol 1, p. 215, Tuener's Chemistry, vol 1, pp 41, 200, Brande's Chemistry, vol 1, p 363. This law has been empirically verified by the French Institute, and found to hold good for a pressure even of twenty-seven atmospheres. See Challis on the Mathematical Theory of Fruids, in Sixth Report of Brit Assoc p 226, and Herschel's Nat Philos. p 231. Although Boyle preceded Mariotte by a quarter of a century, the discovery is rather unfairly called the law of Boyle and Mariotte; while foreign writers, refining on this, frequently omit the name of Boyle altogether, and term it the law of Mariotte! See, for instance, Liebig's Letters on Chemistry, p 126, Montell, Divers Flat's, vol. vin. p. 122, Kæmiz's Meleonology, p 236, Comtr., Philos Pos vol 1, pp. 583, 645, vol. ii. pp. 484, 615, Poulletet, Lémons de Physique, vol 1, 339, vol. 11, pp. 58, 183.

10 'L'un des créateurs de la physique experimentale. l'illustre Robert Boyle, avait aussi reconnu, des le mileu du dix septième siècle, une grande partie des faits qui servent aujourd'hui de base à cette discourse nouvelle.' Cuvier, Progres des Sciences, vol. i p. 30. The 'amsi' refers to Rey. See also Cuvier, Hist des Sciences Naturelles, part. ii. pp. 322, 346-349. A still more recent uniter says, that Boyle 'stood, in fact, on the very brink of the pneumatic chemistry of Priestley; he had in his hand the key to the great discovery' of Lavoisier.' Johnston on Dimorphous Bodies, in Reports of Brit Assoc. vol. vi. p. 163. Fee further respecting Boyle, Robin et Verdeil, Chimie Amatomique, Paris, 1833, vol. 1 pp. 576, 577, 579, vol. 11. p. 24; and Springell, Hist. de la Medecine, vol. iv. p. 177.

¹¹ This disregard of ancient authority sppears so constantly in his works, that it is difficult to choose among innumerable passages which

they are the views which he inherited from Bacon, and they are also the views which have been held by every man who, during the last two centuries, has added anything of moment to the stock of human knowledge. First to doubt, 72-then to inquire, and then to discover, has been the process universally followed by our great teachers. So strongly did Boyle feel this, that though he was an eminently religious man, 73 he gave to the most popular of his scientific works the title of The Sceptical Chemist; meaning to intimate, that until men were sceptical concerning the chemistry of their own time, it would be impossible that they should advance far in the career which lav before them. Nor can we fail to observe that this remarkable work, in which such havoc was made with old notions, was published in 1661. 74 the year after the acces-

might be quoted. I will select one which strikes me as well expressed and is certainly very characteristic. In his Fire Inquiry into the subjust precised Notion of Nature, he says (BOYLE'S Works, vol. iv p. 352), 'For I am wont to judge of opinions as of coins. I consider much less, in any one that I am to'receive, whose inscription it bears, than what metal it is made of. It is indifferent enough to me whether it was s'amped many years or ages since, or came but yesterday from the mint.' In other places he speaks of the 'schoolmen' and 'gowinnen,' with a co. tempt not much inferior to that expressed by Locke himself.

² In his Considerations touching Experimental Essays, he says (Boyle's Borks, vol 1 p. 197), 'Perhaps you will wonder, Pyrophilus, that in almost every one of the following essays I should speak so doubtingly, and use so often perhaps, it is not improbable, and such other expressions as argue a diffidence of the truth of the opinions I incline to,' &c. Indeed, this spirit is seen at every turn. Thus his his age on Crystals, which, considering the then state of knowledge, is a remarkable production, is entitled 'Doubts and Experiments touching the curious Figures of Salts.' Works, vol. ii, p. 484 It is, thorefore, with good reason that M. Humboldt terms him 'the cautious and doubting Robert Boyle.' Humbold's Cosmos, vol. ii p. 7-30.

On the sincere Christianity of Boyle, compare Burnet's Lines and Characters, edit. Jebb, 1833, pp. 351-360, Life of Ken, by a Layman, vol. i pp. 32, 33, WHEWELL'S Bridgewater Treatise, p. 373. He made several attempts to reconcile the scientific method with the defence of established religious opinions. See one of the best instances of this, in BOXLE'S Works, vol. v. pp. 38, 39.

The Sceptical Chemist is in BOYLE's Works, vol. i. pf. 290—371. It went through two editions in the author's lifetime, an unusual success for a book of that kind. BOYLE's Works, vol. i. p. 375, vol. iv. p. 89, vol. v. p. 345. I find, from a letter written in 1696 (Fairfax Correspondence, vol iv. p. 644), that Boyle's works were then becoming

sion of Charles II., in whose reign the spread of incredulity was indeed rapid, since it was seen not only among the intellectual classes, but even among the nobles and personal friends of the king. It is true, that in that rank of society, it assumed an offensive and degenerate form. But the movement must have been one of no common energy, which, in so early a stage, could thus penetrate the recesses of the palace, and excite the minds of the courtiers; a lazy and feeble race, who from the frivolity of their habits are, under ordinary circumstances, predisposed to superstition, and prepared to believe whatever the wisdom of their fathers has bequeathed to them.

In everything this tendency was now seen. Everything marked a growing determination to subordinate old notions to new inquiries. At the very moment when Boyle was prosecuting his labours, Charles II, incorporated the Royal Society, which was formed with the avowed object of increasing knowledge by direct experiment 75. And it is well worthy of remark, that the charter now first granted to this celebrated institution declares that its object is the extension of natural knowledge, as opposed to that which is supernatural 76.

scarce, and that there was an intention of reprinting the whole of them. In regard to the Serpical Chemis' it was so popular, that it attracted the attention of Monconys, a French traveller, who visited London in 1663, and from whom we learn that it was to be bright for four shillings, 'pour quatre cheims'. Voyings de Monconys vol. in, p. 67, edit 1695, a book containing some very curious facts respecting London in the reign of Charles II, but, so far as I am aware, not quoted by any English historian. In Spienosti's first de la Medeciae, vol. v. pp. 78-47, there is a summary of the views advocated in the Septical Chemis, respecting which Sprengel says, 'Co fut cependant aussi en Angletoric que s'élevérent les premiers doutes sur l'exactitude des explications chamques.'

before the nature and constitution of the Royal Society, the objects of their attention were necessarily unlimited. The physical sciences, however, or those which are promoted by experiment, were their declared objects, and experiment was the met od which they professed to follow in accomplishing their purpose. Thomson's link of the Royal Society, p. 6. When the society was first instituted, experiments were so unusual, that there was a difficulty of fluding the necessary workmen in London. See a curious passage in Weld's Hist of the Royal Society, 1848, vol. ii. p. 88.

¹⁶ Dr. Paris (Life of Sir H. Davy, 1831, vol. 11 p. 178) says, 'The charter of the Royal Society states, that it was established for the

It is easy to imagine with what terror and disgust these things were viewed by those inordinate admirers of antiquity, who, solely occupied in venerating past ages, are unable either to respect the present or hope for the future. These great obstructors of mankind played, in the seventeenth century, the same part as they play in our own day, rejecting every novelty, and therefore opposing every improvement. The angry contest which arose between the two parties, and the hostility directed against the Royal Society, as the first institution in which the idea of progress was distinctly embodied, are among the most instructive parts of our history, and on another occasion I shall relate them at considerable length. At present it is enough to say, that the reactionary party, though led by an everwhelming majority of the clergy, was entirely defeated; as, indeed, was to be expected, seeing that their opponents had on their side nearly all the intellect of the country, and were moreover reinforced by such aid as the court could bestow. The progress was, in truth, so rapid, as to carry away with it some of the ablest members even of the ecclesiastical profession; their love of knowledge proving too strong for the old traditions in which they had been bred. But these were exceptional cases, and, speaking generally, there is no doubt that in the reign of Charles II. the antagonism between physical science and the theological spirit was such as to induce nearly the whole of the clergy to array themselves against the science, and seek to bring it into discredit. Nor ought we to be surprised that they should have adopted this course. That inquisitive and experimental spirit which they wished

improvement of natural science. This epithet natural was originally intended to imply a meaning, of which very few persons, I believe, are aware. At the period of the establishment of the society, the arts of witcheraft and divination were very extensively encouraged, and the word natural was therefore introduced in contradistinction to supernatural. The charters granted by Charles II. are printed in Weld's History of the Royal Society, vol. in pp. 481-521. Evelyn (Diary, 13 Any, 1662, vol. ii. p. 195) mentions, that the object of the Royal Society was 'natural Rowledge.' See also Auber's Letters and Liefs, vol. ii p. 358; Pulterary's Hist. of Botany, vol. ii. pp. 87, 98; and on the distinction thus established in the popular mind between natural and supernatural, compare Royle's Works, vol. ii. p. 455, vol. iv. pp. 288, 359.

to check was not only offensive to their prejudices, but it was also detrimental to their power. For, in the first place, the mere habit of cultivating physical science taught men to require a severity of proof which it was soon found that the clergy were, in their own department, unable to supply. And, in the second place, the additions made to physical knowledge opened new fields of thought, and thus tended still further to divert attention from ecclesiastical topics. Both these effects would, of course be limited to the comparatively few persons who were interested in scientific mouiries: it is, however, to be observed, that the ultimate results of such inquiries must have been extended over a far wider surface. This may be called their secondary influence; and the way in which it operated is well worth our attention, because an acquaintance with it will go far to explain the reason of that marked opposition which has always existed between superstition and knowledge.

It is evident, that a nation perfectly ignorant of physical laws will refer to supernatural causes all the phenomena by which it is surrounded. 77 But so soon as natural science begins to do its work, there are introduced the elements of a great change. Each successive discovery, by ascertaining the law that governs certain events, de-

⁷⁷ The speculative view of this tendency has been recently illustrated in the most comprehensive manner by M Auguste Comte, in his Philosophie Positive; and his conclusions in regard to the earliest stage of the human mind are confirmed by eferything we know of barbarous nations; and they are also confirmed, as he has decisively proved, by the history of physical science. In addition to the facts he has adduced, I may mention, that the history of geology supplies evidence analogous to that which he has collected from other departments.

A popular notion of the working of this belief in supernatural causation may be seen in a circumstance related by Combe. He says, that in the middle of the eighteenth century the country west of Edinburgh was so unhealthy, 'that every spring the farmers and their servants were seized with fever and sque.' As long as the cause of this was unknown, 'these visitations were believed to be sent by Providence;' but after a time the kind was drained, the sque disappeared, and the inhabitants perceived that what they had believed to be supernatural was perfectly natural, and that the cause was the state of the land, not the intervention of the Deity. Combe's Constitution of Man. Edinb. 1847, p. 156.

prives them of that apparent mystery in which they were formerly involved." The love of the marvellous becomes proportionably diminished; and when any science has made such progress as to enable those who are acquainted with it to foretell the events with which it deals, it is clear that the whole of those events are at once withdrawn from the jurisdiction of supernatural, and brought under the authority of natural powers? The business of physical philosophy is to explain external phenomena with a view to their prediction, and every successful prediction which is accognised by the people causes a disruption of one of those links which, as it were, bind the imagination to the occult and invisible world. Hence it is that, supposing other things equal, the superstition of a nation must al-

I say apparent mystery, because it does not at all lessen the real mystery. But this does not affect the accuracy of my remark, masmuch as the people at large never enter into such subtleties as the difference between Law and Cause; a difference, indeed, which is s) neglected, that it is often lost sight of even in scientific books All that the people know is, that events which they once believed to be directly controlled by the Deity, and modified by Him, are not only foretold by the human-mind, but are altered by human interference The attempts which Paley and others have made to solve this mystery by rising from the laws to the cause, we ridently futile. because to the eye of reason the solution is as incomprehensible as the problem, and the arguments of the natural theologians, in so far as they are arguments, must depend on reason. As Mr. Newman truly says. 'A God uncaused and existing from eternity, is to the full as incomprehensible as a world uncaused and existing from eternity. We must not reject the latter theory as incomprehensible, for so is every other possible theory. NEWMAN'S Natural History of the Soul, 1849, p 36 The truth of this conclusion is unintentionally confirmed by the defence of the old method, which is set up by Dr. Whewell in his Bridgewater Treatise, pp. 262-5, because the remarks made by that able writer refer to men who, from their vast powers, were most likely to rise to that transcendental view of religion which is slowly but steadily gaining ground among us. Kant, probably the deepest thinker of the eighteenth century, clearly saw that no arguments drawn from the external world could prove the existence of a First Cause. See, among other passages, two particularly remarkable in Kritik der reinen Vernunft. KANT'S Werke, vol. 11. p. 478, 481, on 'Cer physikotheologische Beweis.'

This is te-sely expressed by M. Lamennais: 4Paurquo; fles corps gravitent-ils les uns vers les autres? Parceque Dieu l'a voulu, dianient les anciens. Parceque les corps s'attirent, dit la science', Maran Légendes du Moyen Age. p. 33. See to the same offect Mackar's Religious Development, 1850, vol. i. pp. 5, 30, 31, and elsewhere. See also a partial statement of the antithesis in Copleston's Inquiry issis Necessity and Predestination, p. 49; an ingenious but overrated book.

ways bear an exact proportion to the extent of its physical knowledge. This may be in some degree verified by the ordinary experience of mankind. For if we compare the different classes of society, we shall find that they are superstitious in proportion as the phenomena with which they are brought in contact have or have not been explained by natural laws. The credulity of sailors is notorious, and every literature contains evidence of the multiplicity of their superstitions, and of the tenacity with which they cling to them. 80 This is perfectly explicable by the principle I have laid down Meteorology has not vet been raised to a science; and the laws which regulate winds and storms being in consequence still unknown, it naturally follows, that the class of men most exposed to their dangers should be precisely the class which is most superstitious.81 On the other hand, soldiers live upon an element much more obedient to man, and they are less liable than sailors to those risks which defy the calculations of science. Soldiers, therefore, have fewer inducements to appeal to supernatural interference; and it is universally observed, that as a body they are less superstitious than sailors. If, again, we compare agriculturists

^{**}O I much regret that I did not collect proof of this at an earlier period of my reading. But having omitted taking the requisite notes, I can only refer, on the superstition of sailors, to Heber's Journey through India, vol. i. p. 423, Richardson's Tracels in the Sahaia, vol. i. p. 11, Burckhardt's Tracels in Arabia, vol ii. p. 247, Davis's Chinese, vol. iii. pp. 16, 17; Tracels of Ihn Batula in the Fourteenth Gentury, p. 43; Journal of Asiat Sov vol ii. p. 9, Books of Sik Thomas Browne, vol. i. p. 130, Alison's Hail, of Europe, vol. iv. p. 566, Burnes's Tracels into Bokhara, vol. iii. p. 53; Leigh Hurt's Lutobiography, 1850, vol. ii. p. 255, Cumberland's Venuars, 1807, vol. i. pp. 422-425; Walsh's Brazil, vol. i. pp. 96, 97, Richardson's Arctic Axpedition, vol. i. p. 93, Holchoyt's Memours, vol. i. p. 207, vol. iii. p. 197, 8

^{**} Andokides, when accused before the dikastery at Athens, said. No, dikaster, the dangers of accusation and trial are human, but the dangers encountered at sea are divine.' Grove's Hist. of Greece, vol. xi. p. 252. Thusktoo, it has been observed, that the dangers of the whale-fishery stimulated the superstition of the Anglo-Saxons. See Remble's Saxons in England, vol. i. ps. 390, 391. Erman, who mentions the dangerous navigation of the Lake of Baikal, says, 'There is a saying at Lantsk, that it is only upon the Baikal, in the autumn, that a man learns to pray from his heart.' Erman's Travels in Siberia vol. ii. p. 186.

with manufacturers, we shall see the operation of the same principle. To the cultivators of land, one of the most important circumstances is the weather, which, if it turn out unfavourable, may at once defeat all their calculations. But science not having yet succeeded in discovering the laws of ram, men are at present unable to foretell it for any considerable period; the inhabitant of the country is, therefore, driven to believe that it is the result of supernatural agency, and we still see the extraordinary spectacle of prayers offered up in our churches for dry weather or for wet weather; a superstition which to future ages will appear as childish as the feelings of mous awe with which our fathers regarded the presence of a comet, or the approach of an eclipse. We are now acquainted with the laws which determine the movements of comets and eclipses, and as we are able to predict their appearance, we have ceased to pray that we may be preserved from them. 82 But because our researches into the pheno-

^{*1} In Europe, in the tenth century, an entire army fied before one of those appearances, which would now scarcely, terrify a child 'Toute l'armée d'Othon se dispersa subitement à l'apparition d'une éclipse de soleil, qui la remplit de terreur, et qui fat regardée comme l'annonce du malheur qu'on attendant dequis longiemps' SPRENGFL, Hist de la Medecine vol it p 368. The terror inspired by echipses was not finally destroyed before the eighteenth century, and in the latter half of the seventeenth century they still caused great fear both in France and in England See Everyn's Diaru. vol ii p 52. vol in p 372, Carlyle's Cromwell, vol. ii p. 366; Lettres de Patin, vol. ni p. 36 Compare Voyages de Monconys vol. v. p. 104, with HARR's Guesses at Truth, 2nd series, pp. 194, 195. There probably never has been an ignorant nation whose superstition has not been excited by eclipses. For evidence of the universality of this feeling, see SYMES'S Embrissy to Ara, vol. ii p 296; RAFFLES'S Hist. of Jana, vol. 1. p. 530, Souther's Hist. of Brazil, vol. 1. p. 354, vol. ii, p 471; MARSDEN'S Hist, of Sumatra, p 159, NIEBUHR, Description de l'Arable, p. 105, MOFFAT'S Southern Africa, p. 337, MUNGO PARK'S Tracels. vol. 1 p. 414; MOOBCROTT'S Travels in the Himalayan Provinces, vol 11. p. 4. Chawfuhd's Hist. of the Indian Archipelago, vol i. p. 305; Ellis's Polypesian Researches, vol. 1. p. 331; MACKAY's Religious Development, vol. i p. 425. Works of Sin W. Jones, vol. iii, p. 176. vol. vi. p 16; Wilson's Note in the Vishnu Purana, p. 140, Wilson's Theatre of the Hindus, vol. i. part. ii p. 90; MONTUOLA, Hist. des Mathematiques, & vol. i. p. 444; Asiatic Researches, vol. wii, p. 484, WARD'S View of the Hindoos, vol. i. p. 101; PRESCOTT'S Higt. of Peru. vol i. p. 123; KORL'S Russia, p. 374; TRIBLWALL'S Hist. of Greece, vol iii, 440, vol. vi. p. 216; Musbay's Life of Bruce p. 103; Turkbr's Embassy to Tiber, p. 289; GROTE's Hist. of Greece, vol. vii p. 432, vol. xii pp. 205, 557;



mena of rain happen to have been less successful, 83 we resort to the impious contrivance of calling in the aid of the Deity to supply those deficiencies in science which are the result of our own sloth; and we are not ashamed, in our public churches, to prostitute the rites of religion by using them as a cloak to conceal an ignorance we ought frankly to confess. 84 The agriculturist is thus taught to

Journal Ascatique, I série, vol. m. p. 202, Paris, 1823, Clot-Bey. de la Peste, Paris, 1840, p. 224

In regard to the feelings inspired by comets, and the influence of Bayle in removing those superstitions late in the seventeenth century, compare Tennemann, Ges h. der Pholosoph vol xi p 252, Le Vasson, Hist de Louis XIII vol in. p. 415. Lettes de Secione, vol. iv. p. 336. Autobiography of Sir S. D'Ewes, edit. Halliwell, vol i. pp. 122, 136.

43 (In the peculiar complications which have retarded meteorology and thus prevented us from accurately predicting the weather, compare FORBES on Meteorology, in Second Report of British Association. pp. 249-251, Cuvier, Progres des Sciences, vol i. pp 69, 245, Kæmig's Meteorology , pp. 2-4, PROUT'S Bridgewater Treatise , pp 290 SOMERVILLE'S Physical Geog. vol 1i. pp. 18, 19. But all the best authorities are agreed that this ignorance cannot last long, and that the constant advance which we are now making in physical science will eventually enable us to explain even these phenomena. Thus, for instance, Sir John Leslie says, 'It cannot be disputed, however, that all the changes which happen in the mass of our atmosphere, involved, capricious, and irregular as they may appear, are yet the necessary results of principles as fixed, and perhaps as simple, as those which direct the revolutions of the solar system. Could we unravel the intricate maze, we might trace the action of each distinct cause, and hence deduce the ultimate effects arising from their combined operation. With the possession of such data, we might safely predict the state of the weather at any future period, as we now calculate an eclipse of the sun or moon, or foretell a conjunction of the planets.' LESLIE'S Natural Philosophy, p. 405; see also p. 175, and the remarks of Mr. Snow Harris (Best. Assoc. for 1844, p. 241), and of Mr. Hamilton (Journal of Geog Sor. vol. xix. p. xci.). Thus, too, Dr. Whewell (Bridgewater Treatise, p. 3) says, that 'the changes of winds and skies' are produced by causes, of whose rules 'no philosophical mind' will doubt the fixity.

** This connexion between ignorance and devotion is so clearly marked, that many nations have a separate god for the weather, to whom they say their prayers. In countries where men stop of this, they sacribe the changes to witchcraft, or to some other remained power. See Marker's Tonga Islands, vol. 'ii. pp. 7, ..., Tucker's Expedit. to the Zaire, pp. 214, 215; Ellis's Hist. of Magazar, vol. ii. p. 354; Asiatic Researches, vol. vi. pp. 193, 194, vol. xvi. pp. 223, 342; Souther's Hist. of Brazil, vol. iii. p. Avis's Chinese gol. ii. p. 154, Brausober, Hist. de Manchée, vol. ii. p. 394; Cupwowth's Intellect. Syst. vol. ii. p. 539. The Hindus referrain to supernatural causes in the Rig Villa, which is the pldest of

ascribe to supernatural agency the most important phenomena with which he is concerned; 55 and there can be no doubt that this is one of the causes of those superstitious feelings by which the inhabitants of the country are unfavourably contrasted with those of the town. 56 But the manufacturer, and, indeed, nearly every one engaged in the business of cities, has employments, the success of which being regulated by his own abilities, has no connexion with those unexplained events that perplex the magination of the cultivators of the earth. He who by his ingenuity, works up the raw material, is evidently less affected by uncontrollable occurrences, than he by whom the raw material is originally grown. Whether it is wet, he pursues his labours with

religious and they have held similar notions ever since Rig Vena Sant bl. 1. pp. xxx 10, 19, 26, 145, 175, 205, 224, 225, 265, 266, vol. 11. S, 41, 62, 110 153, 153, 164, 166, 192, 199, 231, 253, 265, 293, 32 Surnal of Attatic Soc. vol. 11. p. 91; Colemar's Mythol. of the Hit by p. 11, Ward's View of the Hindoos, vol. 1, p. 38. See further two colous passages in the Dabistan, vol. 1 p. 115, vol. 11 p. 337, and on the 'Rain-makers,' compare Catlin's North-American Indians, vol. 1 pp. 134-14, with Buchanar's North-American Indians, pp. 258, 260, also a precisely similar class in Africa (Moppat's Southern 1/11 a, pp. 305-325), and in Arabia (Nikbuhr, Disc de l'Arabie, pp. 237, 238).

Coming to a state of society nearer our own, we find that in the minth century it was taken for granted in Christian countries that wind and hail were the work of wizards (Neander's Hist of the Church, vol. vi. pp. 118, 139), that similar views passed on to the sixteenth century, and were sanctioned by Littler (Maury, Legendes Picuses, pp. 18, 19), and finally, that when Swinburne was in Spain, only eighty years ago, he found the clergy on the point of putting an end to the opera, because they 'attributed the want of rain to the influence of that ungodly entertainment.' Swinburne's Travels through Spain in 1775 and 1776, vol. i. p. 177, 2nd edit. London, 1787.

⁸⁵ See some remarks by the Rev Mr Ward, which strike me as rather incautious, and which certainly are dangerous to his own profession, as increasing the host lity between it and science, in Ward's livel of a Christian Church, p. 278. What Coleridge has sfid, is worth attending to see The Friend, vol. iii, pp. 272, 223.

"M. Kohl, whose acuteness as a traveller is well known, has found that the agricultural classes are the 'most blindly ignorant and prejudiced of all.' Kohli's Russia, p. 363. And Sir R. Murchison, who has enjoyed extensive means of observation, familiarly mentions the 'credulous farmers' Murchison's Situria, p. 81. In Asia, exactly the same tendency has been noticed: see Marsden's Hist. of Sumatra, p. 63. Some curious evidence of agricultural supersitions respecting the weather may be seen in Montrain, Hist des divers Etals, vol. iii. p. 31, 39

equal success, and learns to rely solely upon his own energy, and the cunning of his own arm. As the sailor is naturally more superstitious than the soldier, because he has to deal with a more unstable element; just in the same way is the agriculturist more superstitious than the mechanic, because he is more frequently and more seriously affected by events which the ignorance of some men makes them call capricious, and the ignorance of other men makes them call supernatural.

It would be easy, by an extension of these remarks, to show how the progress of manufactures, besides increasing the national wealth, has done immense service to civilization, by inspiring Man with a confidence in his own resources; *** and how, by giving rise to a new of employments, it has, if I may so say, shifted the same in which superstition is most likely to day. But to trace this would carry me beyond my present that; and the illustrations already given are sufficient to explain how the theological spirit must have been difficult to explain how the theological spirit must have been difficult to explain how the theological spirit must have been difficult by that love of experimental science which forms one of the principal features in the reign of Charles II ***

I have now laid before the reader what I conceive to be the point of view from which we ought to estimate a period whose true nature seems to me to have been grievously misunderstood. Those political writers who judge events without regard to that intellectual development of which they are but a part, will find much to condemn, and

⁸⁷ In this point of view, the opposite tendencies of agriculture and manufacture are judiciously contrasted by Mr. Porter, at the end of his essay on the Statistics of Agriculture, Journal of the Statist Soc vol. 11. pp. 295, 296

^{**} Indeed, there never has been a period in England in which physical experiments were so fashionable. This is morely worth observing as a symptom of the age, since Charles II, and the nobles were not likely to add, and did not add, anything to our knowledge, and their patronage of science, such as it was, degraded it rather than advanced it. Still, the prevalence of the taste is curious; and, in addition to the picture drawn by Mr Macaulay (History of England, in addition to the picture drawn by Mr Macaulay (History of England, in addition to the picture drawn by Mr Macaulay (History of England, in addition to the picture drawn by Mr Macaulay (History of England, in the picture drawn by Mr Macaulay (History of England, in pp. 408-412), I may refer the reader to Moncowys's loyupes, evol iii. p. 31, Sorbiter's Voyape to England, pp. 32, 33, EVELTE'S Diary, vol. ii pp. 199, 286, Per's's Diary, vol. i. p. 375, vol. ii p. 34, vog. iii. p. 85, vol. iv. p. 229; Burnet's Own Time, vol. i. pp. 171, 322, vol. ii. p. 372

scarcely anything to approve, in the reign of Charles II. By such authors, I shall be censured for having travelled out of that narrow path in which history has been too often confined. And yet I am at a loss to perceive how it is possible, except by the adoption of such a course, to understand a period which, on a superficial view, is full of the grossest inconsistencies. This difficulty will be rendered very obvious, if we compare for a moment the nature of the government of Charles with the great things which, under that government, were peaceably effected. Never before was there such a want of apparent connexion between the means and the end. If we look only at the characters of the rulers, and at their foreign policy, we must pronounce the reign of Charles II. to be the worst that has ever been seen in England. If, on the other hand, we confine our observations to the laws which were passed, and thathe principles which were established, we shall be obligate to confess, that this same reign forms one of the brightest epochs in our national annals. Politically and morally, there were to be found in the government all the elements of confusion, of weakness, and of crime. The king himself was a mean and spiritless voluptuary; without the morals of a Christian, and almost without the feelings of a man. "9 His ministers, with the exception of Clarendon, whom he hated for his virtues, had not one of the attributes of statesmen, and nearly all of them were pensioned by the crown of France. 90 The weight of taxa-

20 Even Clarendon has been charged with receiving bribes from Louis XIV.; but for this there appears to be no good anthority. Compare Hallam's Const. Hist. vol. ii. pp. 66, 67 note, with Campunll'a

Chancellors, vol iii. p. 21%

[&]quot;' His treatment of his young wife immediately after marriage is perhaps the worst thing recorded of this base and contemptible prince. LISTER'S Life of Clarendon, vol. ii. pp. 145-153. This is matter of proof, but Burnet (Own Time, vol. i. p. 522, and vol. ii. p. 467) whispers a horrible suspicion, which I cannot believe to be true, even of Charles II., and which Harris, who has collected some evidence of his astounding profigacy, does not mention, though he quotes one of the passages in Burnet. HARRIS'S Lives of the Stuarts, vol. v. pp. 36-43. However, as Dr. Parr says, in reference to another accusation against him, 'There is little occasion to blacken the memory of that wicked monarch, Charles II., hy the aid of invidious conjectures.' Notes on James II. in PARE'S Works, vol. iv. p. 477. Compare Fox's History of James II. p. 71.

tion was increased. 91 while the security of the kingdom was diminished. 92 By the forced surrender of the charters of the towns, our municipal rights were endangered. 93 By shutting the exchequer, our national credit was destroyed.94 Though immense sums were spent in maintaining our naval and military power, we were left so defenceless, that when a war broke out, which had long been preparing, we seemed suddenly to be taken by surprise. Such was the miserable incapacity of the government, that the fleets of Holland were able, not only to ride triumphant round our coasts, but to sail up the Thames, attack our arsenals, burn our ships, and insult the metropolis of England.95 Yet, notwithstanding all these things, it is an undoubted fact. that in this same reign of Charles II. more steps were taken in the right direction than had been taken, in any period of equal length, during the twelve conturies we had occupied the soil of Britain. By the mere force of that intellectual movement, which was unwittingly supported by the crown.

⁹¹ LISTER'S Life of Clarendon, vol. 11, p. 377, HARRIS'S Lices of the Stuarts, vol. 1v. pp. 340-344.

⁹² Immediately after the Restoration, the custom began of appointing to naval commands incompetent youths of birth, to the discouragement of those able officers who had been employed under Cromwell Compare BURNEY'S than Time, vol. 1 p. 290 with PEPYS'S Dury, vol. 11 p. 413, vol. 11, pp 68, 72.

⁹³ Harris's Lives of the Stuarts, vol. v pp. 323-328. The court was so bent on abrogating the charter of the city of London, that Saunders was made chief-justice for the express purpose. See Campbell's Chief-Justices, vol. n. p. 59. Roger North says (Lives of the Norths, vol. ii. p. 67), 'Nothing was accounted at court so meritorious as the procuring of charters, as the language then was.' Compare Bullstrodg's Memoirs, pp. 379, 388

²⁴ The panic caused by this scandalous robbery is described by De Foe; WILBON'S Life of De Foe, vol. i. p. 52 See also Calamy's Life if Himself, vol. i. p. 78; Parker's Hist. of his Own Time, pp. 141-148. The amount stolen by the king is estimated at 1,328,526. Sinclams's Hist. of the Revenue, vol. i. p. 315. According to Lord Campbell, 'nearly a million and a half.' Lives of the Chancellors, vol. iv. p. 113.

[&]quot;There is a very curious account in Prevs's Diary, vol. iii. pp. 242—264, of the terror felt by the Londoners on this occasion. Pepys himself buried this gold (p. 261 and pp. 376—379) Evelyn (Diary, vol. ii. p. 287) says: 'The alarme was so greate, that it put both country and citty into a paniq, feare, and consternation, such as I hope I shall never see more, every body was flying, none knew why or whither.'

there were effected, in the course of a few years, reforms which changed the face of society. The two great obstacles by which the nation had long been embarrassed, consisted of a spiritual tyranny and a territorial tyranny: the tyranny of the church and the tyranny of the nobles. An attempt was now made to remedy these evils; not by palliatives, but by striking at the power of the classes who did the mischief. For now it was that a law was placed on the statute-book, taking away that celebrated writ, which enabled the bishops or their delegates to cause those men to be burned whose religion was different to their own. Now it was that the clergy were deprived of the privilege of taxing themselves, and were forced to submit to an assessment made by the ordinary legislature "Now, too, there was enacted a law forbidding any

[&]quot;The most important of these reforms were carried, as is nearly always the case, in opposition to the real wishes of the ruling classes tharles II and James II. often said of the Habeas Corpus Act, that a government could not subsist with such a law." Dalkymple's Memory, vol. in 5. 104 Lord-Keeper Guilford was even opposed to the abolition of military tenures "He thought," says his brother, the taking away of the tenures a desperate wound to the liberties of the people of England. Lives of the Norths, vol. ii. p. 52. These are the sort of men by whom great nations are governed. A passage in Life of Vames, by Himself, edit. Clarke, vol. ii. p. 521, confirms the statement in Dalrymple, so far as James is concerned. This should be compared with a letter from Louis XIV., in the Barillon correspondence. Appendix to Fox's James II., p. cxxiv.

[&]quot;BLACKSTONE'S Commentaries, vol. iv. p. 48. Campbell's Chancellors, vol. iii. p. 431. This destruction of the writ De Harrettoe comburendo was in 1677. It is noticed in Palmer's Treatise on the Church, vol. 1 p. 500, and in Collier's Ecclerical Hist. vol viii. p. 478.

^{&#}x27;s This was in 1664. See the account of it in Collier's Ecclesiast. Hist. vol. viii. pp. 463-466. Collier, who is evidently displeased by the change, says: 'The consenting, therefore, to be taxed by the temporal Commons, makes the clergy more dependent on a foreign body, takes away the right of disposing of their own money, and lays their estates in some measure at discretion.' See also, on the injury this has inflicted on the church, LATHBURY'S Hist. of Concocation, pp. 259, 260. And Coloridge (Literary Remains, vol. iv. pp. 152, 153) points this out as characterizing one of the three 'grand evil epochs of our present church.' So marked, however, was the tendency of that time, that this most important measure was peaceably effected by an arrangement between Sheldon and Clarendon. See the notes by Onslow in Bunner's Own Time, vol. i. p. 340, vol. iv. pp. 508, 509. Compare Lord Camden's statement (Parl. Hist. vol. xvi. p. 169) with the speech of Lord Bathurst (vol. xxii. p. 77); and of Lord Temple on Tooke's case (vol. xxxiv. p. 1357). Mr Carwithen (Hist. of the

bishop, or any ecclesiastical court, to tender the ex-officio oath, by which the church had hitherto enjoyed the power of compelling a suspected person to criminate himself. 99 In regard to the nobles, it was also during the reign of Charles II, that the House of Lords, after a sharp struggle, was obliged to abandon its pretensions to an original jurisdiction in civil suits; and thus lost for ever an important resource for extending its own influence. 100 It was in the same reign that there was settled the right of the people to be taxed entirely by their representatives; the House of Commons having ever since retained the sole power of proposing money bills, and regulating the amount of imposts, merely leaving to the Peers the form of consenting to what has been already determined 101. These were the attempts which were made to bridle the clergy and the nobles. But there were also effected other things of equal importance. By the destruction of the scandalous pre-the power of the sovereign to vex his refractory subects. 102 By the Habeas Corpus Act, the liberty of every

Church of England, vol in p 354. Oxford, 1349) grieves over 'this deprivation of the liberties of the English clergy.'

⁹⁹ 13 Car II. c 12 Compare Stephens's Life of Tooke, vol i pp. 169, 170, with Blackstone's Commentaries, vol iii p 101 Mr Hallam (Const Hist vol. i pp. 197, 198) has adduced evidence of the way in which the clergy were accustomed to injure their opponents by the ex-officio oath.

100 This was the issue of the famous controversy respecting Skinner, in 1669; and 'from this time,' says Mr. Hallam, 'the Lords have tactily abandoned all pretensions to an original jurisdiction in civil suits.' Const. Hist. vol. ii. p. 184. There is an account of this case of Skinner, which was connected with the East-India Company, in Mitt.'s Hist. of India. vol. i. up. 102, 103.

MILL'S Hist. of India, vol. i. pp. 102, 103.

19 HALLAN'S Const. Hist. vol. ii. pp. 149—192; and Eccleston's English Antiquities, p. 326. The disputes between the two houses respecting taxation, are noticed very briefly in Parker's Hist. of his. One Time, pp. 135, 136.

102 The 'famous rights of purveyance and pre-emption' were abolished by 12 Car. II. c. 24. Hallan's Const. Hist vol. ii. p. 11. Burke, in his magnificent speech on Economical Reform, describes the abuses of the old system of purveyance. Burke's Works, vol. i. p. 239. See also Kemmin's Saxons in England, vol. K. p. 88 note; Barrimotom on the Statutes, pp. 183-185, 237; Lingard's Hist. of England, vol. ii pp. 338, 339; Singladis' Hist. of the Resenue, vol. i. p. 232; Parl. Hist. vol. iii. p. 1299. These passages will give an idea of the iniquishes practised under this 'right,' which, like most gross injustices, was

Englishman was made as certain as law could make it: it being guaranteed to him, that if accused of crime, he, instead of languishing in "prison, as had often been the case, should be brought to a fair and speedy trial. 108 By the Statute of Frauds and Perjuries, a security hitherto unknown was conferred upon private property. 104 By the abolition of general impeachments, an end was put to a great engine of tyranny, with which powerful and unscrupulous men had frequently ruined their political adversaries. 106 By the cessation of those laws which restricted the liberty of printing, there was laid the foundation of that great Public Press, which, more than any other single cause, has diffused among the people a knowledge of their own power, and has thus, to an almost incredible extent, aided the progress of English civilization. 106 And, to complete this noble picture, there were finally destroyed those

one of the good old customs of the British constitution, being at least as ancient as Canute. See Allen on the Royal Piercogaties, p. 152. Indeed, a recent writer of considerable learning (Spence, Origin of the Laws of Eurôpe p. 312) derives it from the Roman law A bill had been brought in to take it away in 1656. See Burton's Cromwellian Duary, vol. 1 p. 81. When Adam Smath wrote, it still existed in France and Germany Weath of Nations hook in chap it p. 181.

102 On the Habeas Corpus Act, which Weamse law in 1679, see Campbell's Chancillons, vol. in pp. 345-347, Mackintosh, Revolution of 1688, p. 49, and Lingand's History of England, vol., vin. p. 17. The peculiarities of this law, as compared with the imitations of it in other countries, are clearly stated in Medical Aspert des Institutions Judiciaires vol. ii. p. 283. Mr. Lister (Life of Clarendon, vol. ii. p. 454) says: 'Imprisonment in gaols beyond the seas was not prevented by law till the passing of the Habeas Corpus Act, in 1679.

104 Blackstone (Commentaries, vol. iv. p 439) calls this 'a great and necessary security to private property' and Lord Campbell (Chancetlors, vol. in. p. 423) terms it 'the most important and most beneficial piece of juridioal legislation of which we can boast' On its effects, compare Jones's valuable Commentary on Isaus (Works of Sir W. Jones, vol. iv. p. 239), with Story's Conflict of Laws, pp. 521, 522, 627, 884, and Tayler on Statute Law, in Journal of Statist. Soc. vol. xvii. p. 150.

ios Lord Campbell (Luces of the Chancellors, vol. iii. p. 247) says, that the struggle in 1667 'put an end to general impeachments'

104 Printing at first was regulated by royal proclamations; then by the Star-chamber; and afterwards by the Long Parliament. The decroes of the Star-chamber were taken as the basis of 13 and 14 Car. II. c. 33; but this act expired in 1679, and was not renewed during the reign of Charles II. Compare BLACESTONE'S Comment. val. iv. p. 152, with Husn's Hist. of Newspapers vol. i. p. 154, and Fox's Hist. of James II. p. 145.

feudal incidents which our Norman conquerors had imposed, — the military tenures; the court of wards; the fines for alienation; the right of forfeiture for marriage by reason of tenure; the aids, the homages, the escuages, the primer seisins; and all those mischievous subtletics, of which the mere names sound in modern ears as a wild and barbarous jargon, but which pressed upon our ancestors as real and serious cycls. 107

These were the things which were done in the reign of Charles II.; and if we consider the miserable incompetence of the king; the idle profligacy of his court, the unblushing venality of his ministers, the constant conspiracies to which the country was exposed from within, and the unprecedented insults to which it was subjected from without; if we, moreover, consider that to all this there were added two natural calamities of the most grievous description, - a Great Plague, which thinned society in all its ranks, and scattered confusion through the kingdom, and a Great Fire, which, besides increasing the mortality from the pestilence, destroyed in a moment those accumulations of industry by which industry itself is nourished; - if we put all these things together, how can we reconcile inconsistencies apparently so gross? How could so wonderful a progress be made in the face of these unparalled disasters? How could such men, under such circumstances. effect such improvements? These are questions which our political compilers are unable to answer; because they look too much at the peculiarities of individuals, and too

¹⁰⁷ The fullest account I have seen in any history, of this great Revolution, which swept away the traditions and the language of fendalism, is that given in Habrits's Lives of the Stuarts, vol. iv. pp. 369—378. But Harris, though an industrious collector, was a man of slender ability, and not at all aware of the real nature of a change, of which the obvious and immediately practical results formed the smallest part. The true point of view is, that it was a formal recognition by the legislature that the Middle Ages.were extinct, and that it was necessary to inaugurate a more modern and innovating polity. Hereafter I shall have occasion to examine this in detail, and show how it was merely a symptom of a revolutionary movement. In the mean time the reader may refer to the very short notices in Dalenthele's Hist. of Feudal Property, p. 89; Blackstorn's Comment. vol. ii. pp. 76, 77; Hallam's Const. Hist. vol. ii. p. 11; Part. Hist. vol. iv. pp. 53, 167, 168; Meyen, Institutione Judicaires, vol. ii. p. 58.

little at the temper of the age in which those individuals live. Such writers do not perceive that the history of every civilized country is the history of its intellectual development, which kings, statesmen, and legislators are more likely to retard than to hasten; because; however great their power may be, they are at best the accidental and insufficient representatives of the spirit of their time: and because, so far from being able to regulate the movements of the national mind, they themselves form the smallest part of it, and, in a general view of the progress of Man, are only to be regarded as the puppets who strut and fret their hour upon a little stage; while, beyond them, and on every side of them, are forming opinions and principles which they can scarcely perceive, but by which alone the whole course of human affairs is ultimately governed.

The truth is, that the vast legislative reforms for which the reign of Charles II, is so remarkable merely form a part of that movement, which, though traceable to a much earlier period, had only for three generations been in undisguised operation. These important improvements were the result of that hold, sceptical, inquiring, and reforming spirit, which had now seized the three great departments of Theology, of Science, and of Politics. The old principles of tradition, of authority, and of dogma, were gradually becoming weaker; and of course, in the same proportion, there was diminished the influence of the classes by whom those principles were chiefly upheld. As the power of particular sections of society thus declined, the power of the people at large increased. The real interests of the nation began to be perceived, so soon as the superstitions were dispersed by which those interests had long been obscured. This, I believe, is the real solution of what at first seems a curious problem, -namely, how it was that such comprehensive reforms should have been accomplished in so bad, and in many respects so infamous, a reign. It is, no doubt, true, that those reforms were essentially the result of the intellectual march of the age; but, so far from being made in spite of the vices of the sovereign, they were actually aided by them. With

24

the exception of the needy profligates who thronged his court, all classes of men soon learned to despise a king who was a drunkard, a libertine, and a hypocrite; who had neither shame nor sensibility; and who, in point of honour, was unworthy to enter the presence of the meanest of his subjects. To have the throne filled for a quarter of a century by such a man as this, was the surest way of weakening that ignorant and indiscriminate loyalty, to which the people have often sacrificed their dearest rights. "Thus, the character of the king, merely considered from this point of view, was emmently favourable to the growth of national liberty.108 But the advantage did not stop there. The reckless debaucheries of Charles made him abhor everything approaching to restraint; and this gave him a dislike to a class, whose profession, at least, presupposes a conduct of more than ordinary purity. The consequence was, that he, not from views of enlightened, policy, but merely from a love of vicious indulgence, always had a distaste for the clergy; and, so far from advancing their power, frequently expressed for them an open contempt.109 His most intimate friends directed

108 Mr Hallam has a noble passage on the services rendered to English civilization by the vices of the English court 'We are, however, much indebted to the memory of Barbara Duchess of Cleveland, Louisa Duchess of Portsmouth, and Mrs. Eleanor Gwyn. We one a tribute of gratitude to the Mays, the Killigrews, the Chiffichens, and the Grammonts. They played a serviceable part in ridding the kingdom of its besofted loyalty. They saved our forefathers from the Star-chamber and the High-commission court; they laboured in their vocation against standing aimies and corruption, they pressed forward the great ultimate security of English freedom—the expulsion of the House of Stuart' Hallam's Const. Hist vol. ii, p. 50.

10° Burnet (Gun Time. vol 1 p. 448) tells us that, in 1667, the king, even at the council-board, expressed himself against the bishops, and said, that the clergy 'thought of nothing but to get good benefices and to keep a good table.' See also, on his distike to the bishops, vol. in. p. 22; and Peprs's Diary, vol. in p. 22. In snother place, vol. iv. p. 42, Pepys writes. 'And I belief thierarchy will in a little time be shaken, whether they will or no; 'he king being offended with them, and set upon it, as I hear.' Evelyn, in a conversation with Pepys, noticed with regret such conduct of Charles, that a bishop shall never be seen about him, as the king of France hath always.' Peprs, vol. iii. p. 201. Evelyn, in his benevolent way, ascribes this to 'the negligence of the clergy,' but history teaches us that the clergy have never neglected kings, except when the king has first neglected them. Sir John Reresby gives a curious account of a conversation Charles II. held with him respecting 'mitred heads.' in

against them those coarse and profligate jokes which are preserved in the literature of the time; and which, in the opinion of the courtiers, were to be ranked among the noblest specimens of human wit. From men of this sort the church had, indeed, little to apprehend; but their language, and the favour with which it was received, are part of the symptoms by which we may study the temper of that age. Many other illustrations will occur to most readers; I may, however, mention one, which is interesting on account of the eminence of the philosopher concerned in it. The most dangerous opponent of the clergy the seventeenth century was certainly Hobbes, the subtlest dialectician of his time; a writer, too, of singular clearness, and, among British metaphysicians, inferior only to Berkeley. This profound thinker published several speculations very unfavourable to the church, and directly opposed to principles which are essential to ecclestastical authority. As a natural consequence he was hated by the clergy; his doctrines were declared to be highly pernicious; and he was accused of wishing to subvert the national religion, and corrupt the national morals. 110 So far did this proceed, that, during his life, and tor several years after his death, every man who ventured to think for himself was stigmatized as a Hobbist, or, as it was sometimes called, a Hobbian. 111 This marked hos-

which the feeling of the king is very apparent. Rekeels a Iracels and points 238.

This was a common expression for whoever attacked established apimons late in the seventeenth, and even early in the eighteenth

The animosity of the clergy against Hobbes, and on the extent to which he reciprocated it, compare Aubert's Letters and Liers, vol in pp. 232, 631; Tennemann, Gerch der Philos vol x p. 111; with the angry language of Burnet (Ourn Time, vol 1, p. 322), and of Whiston (Memoirs, p. 251). See also Wood's Athena Gronienses, edit. Bliss, vol. in. p. 1211. Monconys, who was in London in 1663, says of Hobbes, 'Il me dit l'aversion que tous les gens d'église tant catholiques que protestants avoient pour, lui.' Monconys's l'ougger, vol. in p. 43; and p. 115, 'Mr. Hobbes, que je trouvai toujours fort ennemi des prêtres catholiques et des protestants About the same time, Sorbiere was in London; and he writes respecting Hobbes. 'I know not how it comes to pass, the clergy are afraid of him, and so are the Oxford mathematicians and their adherents; wherefore his majesty (Charles II.) was pleased to make a very good comparison, when he told me, he was like a bear, whom they baited with dogs to try him.' Sorbiere's l'oyage to England, p. 40.

tility on the part of the clergy was a sufficient recommendation to the favour of Charles. The king, even before his accession, had imbibed many of his principles; 112 and, after the Restoration he treated the author with what was deemed a scandalous respect. He protected him from his enemies; he somewhat ostentatiously hung up his portrait in his own private room at Whitehall; 113 and he even conferred a pension on this the most formulable opponent who had yet appeared against the spiritual hierarchy. 114

If we look a moment at the ecclesiastical appointments of Charles, we shall find evidence of the same tendency. In his reign the highest dignities in the church were invariably conferred upon men who were deficient either in ability or in honesty. It would perhaps be an over-refinement to ascribe to the king a deliberate plan for lowering the reputation of the episcopal bench; but it is certain, that if he had such a plan, he followed the course most likely to effect his purpose. For it is no exaggeration to say, that, during his life, the leading English prelates were, without exception, either meapable or insincere; they were unable to defend what they really believed, or else they did not believe what they openly professed. Never before were the interests of the Anglican church so feebly guarded. The first Archbishop of Canterbury appointed by Charles was Juxon, whose deficiencies were notorious; and of whom his friends could only say, that his want of ability was compensated by the goodness of his intentions. 115 When he died, king

century. For instances of it. see Baxter's Life of Himself, folio. 1696, part. ni. p. 48, Boyle's Works. vol. v. pp. 505, 510; Monn's Life of Bentley, vol. i. p. 41; Vernon Correspond. vol. ni. p. 13; King's Life of Locke, vol. i. p. 191, Brewster's Life of Neuton, vol. n. p. 149.

¹¹² Burnet says, they 'made deep and lasting impressions on the king's mind.' Own Time, vol. 1. 'p. 172.

¹¹³ A likeness, by Cooper. See Wood's Athenæ Oxonienses, edit Bliss, vol. in. p. 1208.

¹¹⁴ SORBIBER'S Voyage to England, p. 89; Wood's A'heng Oromenkes, vol. iii. p. 1208. On the popularity of the works of Hobbes in
the reign of Charles II. compare Prevais Diary, vol. iv. p. 164, with
Lives of the Norths, vol. iii. p. 339.

¹¹⁵ Bishop Burnet says of him, at his appointment: 'As he was never a great divine, so he was now superannuated.' Own Time, vol. 1. p. 303.

raised up as his successor Sheldon, whom he had previously made Bishop of London; and who not only brought discredit on his order by acts of gross intolerance, 116 but who was so regardless of the common decencies of his station, that he used to amuse his associates by having exhibitions in his own house imitating the way in which the Presbyterians delivered their sermons. 117 After the death of Sheldon Charles appointed to the archbishopric Sancroft, whose superstitious fancies exposed him to the contempt even of his own profession, and who was as much despised as Sheldon had been hated. 118 In the rank immediately below this, we find the same principle at work. The three Archbishops of York during the reign of Charles II., were Frewen, Stearn, and Dolben: who were so utterly devoid of ability, that, notwithstanding their elevated position, they are altogether forgotten, not one reader out of a thousand having ever heard their names. 119

Such appointments as these are indeed striking; and what makes them more so, is, that they were by no means

¹¹⁰ Of which his own friend, Bishop Parker, gives a specimen See Parker's History of his own Time, pp. 31-33. Compare Neal's Hist of the Puritans, vol iv. p. 429, Wilson's Mem. of De Foc. vol 1. p. 46.

In 1669, Pepys was at one of these intertainments, which took place not only at the house, but in the presence of the archbishov See the scandalous details in PEPYs's Diary, vol. iv. pp. 321, 322, or in Wilson's De Foe, vol. i. pp. 44, 45.

¹¹⁸ Burnet, who knew Sancroft. calls him 'a poor-spirited and fearful man' (Own Time, vol. iii. p. 354), and inentions (vol. iii p. 138) an instance of his supersition, which will be easily believed by whosever has read his ridiculous sermons, which D'Oyly has wickedly published. See Appendix to D'Oyly's Sancroft, pp. 339—420. Dr. Lake says that every'tody was amased when it was known that Sancroft was to be archbishop. Lake's Dearg, 30th Dec. 1677, p. 18, in vol. 10 the Canaden Miscellany, 1347, 4to. His character, so far as he had one, is fairly drawn by Dr. Birch. 'slow, timorous, and narrow-spirited. but at the same time a good, honest, and well-meaning man' Birch's Life of Tillotson, p. 151. See also respecting him, MACAULAY's Hist. of England, vol. ii. p. 616, vol. iii. p. 77, vol. iv. pp. 40—42.

¹¹⁹ Frewen was so obscure a man, that there is no life of him either in Cualifical Biographical Dictionary, or in Rose's more recent but inferior work. The little that is known of Stearn, or Stearn, is unfavourable Compare Burane, vol. ii. p. 427. with Baxran's Life of Himself, folio, 1696, part ii. p. 338. And of Dolben I have been unable to collect anything of interest, except that he had a good library. See the traditionary account in Jones's Memours of Bushop Harne, p. 66.

necessary; they were not forced on the king by court intrigue, nor was there a lack of more competent men. The truth seems to be, that Charles was unwilling to confer ecclesiastical promotion upon any one who had ability enough to increase the authority of the church, and restore it to its former pre-eminence. At his accession, the two ablest of the clergy were undoubtedly Jeremy Taylor and Isaac Barrow. Both of them were notorious for their loyalty; both of them were men of unspotted virtue: and both of them have left a reputation which will hardly perish while the English language is remembered. But Taylor, though he had married the king's sister, 120 was treated with marked neglect; and, being exiled to an Irish bishopric, had to pass the remainder of his life in what, at that time, was truly called a barbarous country. 121 As to Barrow, who, in point of genius, was probably superior to Taylor, 122 he had the mortification of seeing the most incapable men raised to the highest posts in the church, while he himself was unnoticed; and, notwithstanding that his family had greatly suffered in the royal cause, 123 he received no sort of preferment until five years before his death, when the king conferred on him the mastership of Trinity College, Cambridge. 124

¹²⁰ His wife was Joanna Bridges, a bastard of Charles I. Compare Notes and Queries, vol. vii. p. 305. with Habber's Life of Jeremy Taylor, in Taylor's Works, vol. i. p. xxxiv. Bishop Heber, p. xxxv., adds, 'But, notwithstanding the splendour of such an alliance, there's no reason to believe that it added materially to Taylor's income.'

¹²¹ Coloridge (Lit Remains, vol. iii. p. 208) says, that this neglect of Jeremy Taylor by Charles 'is a problem of which perhaps his virtues present the most probable solution'

¹²² Superior, certainly, in comprehensiveness, and in the range of his studies; so that it is aptly said by a respectable authority, that he was at once 'the great precursor of Sir Isaac Newton, and the pride of the English pulpit.' Wordsworth's Ecclesiast. Biog. vol. iv. p. 344. See also, respecting Barrow, Mortucla, Hist. des Mathémat. vol. ii. pp. 88, 89, 859, 360, 504, 505, vol. iii. pp. 436—438.

^{&#}x27;His father having suffered greatly in his estate by his attachment to the royal cause.' CHAUMERS' Biog. Dict. vol. 4v. p. 89.

¹²⁴ Barrow, displeased at not receiving preferment after the Restoration, wrote the lines:

^{&#}x27;Te magis optavit rediturum Carole nemo;

Et sensit nemo te rediisse minus.'

HAMILTON'S Life of Barrow, in Barrow's Works, Edinb. 1845, vol. is
p xxiii

It is hardly necessary to point out how all this must have tended to weaken the church, and accelerate that great movement for which the reign of Charles II. is remarkable. 125 At the same time, there were many other circumstances which, in this preliminary sketch, it is impossible to notice, but which were stamped with the general character of revolt against ancient authority. In a subsequent volume, this will be placed in a still clearer light, because I shall have an opportunity of bringing forward evidence which, from the abundance of its details. would be unsuited to the present Introduction. Enough. however, has been stated, to indicate the general march of the English mind, and supply the reader with a clue by which he may understand those still more complicated events, which, as the seventeenth century advanced, began to thicken upon us.

A few years before the death of Charles II., the clergy made a great effort to recover their former power by reviving those doctrines of Passive Obedience and Divine Right, which are obviously favourable to the progress of superstition. 126 But as the English intellect was now suf-

the Clergy fell in the reaction of the contempt into which the clergy fell in the reaction of the reaction of whose immense research few people are competent judges, has rather understated the case than overstated it. On several subjects I should venture to differ from Mr Macaulay; but I cannot refrain from expressing my admiration of his unwearied diligence, of the consummate skill with which he has arranged his materials, and of the noble love of liberty which animates his entire work. These are qualities which will long survive the aspersions of his puny detractors,— men who, in point of knowledge and ability, are unworthy to loosen the shoe-latchet of him they foolishly attack.

¹² Hallan's Const. Hist. vol. ii. pp. 142, 143, 153—156, from which tappears that this movement began about 1681. The clergy, as a body, are naturally favourable to this doctrine, and the following passage, published only twelve years ago, will give the reader an idea of the views that some of them entertain. The Rev. Mr. Sewell (Christian Politics, Lond. 1844, p. 157) says, that the reigning prince is 'a being armed with supreme physical power by the hand and permission of Providence; as such, the lord of our property, the master of our lives, the foundain of honour, the dispenses of law, before whom each subject must surrender his will and conform his actions. ... Who, when he errs, errs as a man, and not as a king, and is responsible, not to man, but to God? And at p. 111, the same wagter informs us that the phurch, 'with one uniform, unhesitating

ficiently advanced to reject such dogmas, this futile attempt only increased the opposition between the interests of the people as a body and the interests of the clergy as a class. Scarcely had this scheme been defeated, when the sudden death of Charles placed on the throne a prince whose most earnest desire was to restore the Catholic church, and reinstate among us that mischievous system which openly boasts of subjugating the reason of Man. This change in affairs was, if we consider it in its ultimate results, the most fortunate circumstance which could have happened to our country. In spite of the difference of their religion, the English clergy had always displayed an affection towards James, whose reverence for the priesthood they greatly admired; though they were anxious that the warmth of his affections should be lavished on the Church of England and not on the Church of Rome They were sensible of the advantages which would accrue to their own order, if his piety could be turned into u new channel 127 They saw that it was for his interest to abandon his religion; and they thought that to a man so cruel and so vicious, his own interest would be the sole consideration 128 The consequence was, that in one of the most critical moments of his the, they made in his favour a great and successful ener; and they not only used all their strength to defeat the bill by which it was

voice, has proclaimed the duty of "passive obedience." See also on this slavish tenet, as upheld by the church. Wordsworm? Ecclesiant Biog. vol iv p. 6'8. Lite of Ken by a Layman, vol. ii. p. 523; Lath Burn's Hist of Convocation, p. 228, Lathburn's Nonjurers, pp. 50-135, 197; and a letter from Nelson, author of the Fasts and Februars. in Nichola's Lit. Anc. vol. iv. p. 216. With good reason, therefore, did Fox tell the House of Commons, that 'being a good churchman, a person might become a bad citizen.' Parl. Hist. vol. xxix. p. 1377.

The Archbishop of Canterbury, in 1678, was engaged in an attempt to convert James; and in a letter to the Bishop of Winchester, he notices the 'happy consequences' which would result from his success. See this characteristic letter in Charendon Corresp. vel. in pp. 465, 466. See also the motives of the bishops, candidly but broadly stated, an Mr. Wilson's valuable work. Life of Dz Foz, vol i. p. 74.

128 In a high-church pamphlet, published in 1682, against the Bill of Exclusion, the cause of James is advocated; but the inconvenience he would suffer by remaining a Catholic is strongly insisted upon. See the wily rumarks in Somers's Tracts. vol. viii. pp. 258, 259

proposed to exclude him from the succession, but when the measure was rejected, they presented an address to Charles, congratulating him on the result. 129 When James actually mounted the throne, they continued to display the same spirit Whether they still hoped for his conversion, or whether, in their eagerness to persecute the dissenters, they overlooked the danger to their own church. is uncertain; but it is one of the most singular and unquestionable facts in our history, that for some time there existed a strict alliance between a Protestant hierarchy and a Popish king. 180. The terrible crimes which were the result of this compact are but too notorious. But what is more worthy of attention is, the circumstance that caused the dissolution of this consuracy between the crown and the church. The ground of the quarrel was an attempt made by the king to effect, in some degree, a religious toleration. By the celebrated Test and Corporation Acts, it had been ordered, that all persons who were employed by government should be compelled, under a heavy penalty, to receive the sacrament according to the rites of the English church. The offence of James was, that he now issued what was called a Declaration of Indulgence. m which he announced his intention of suspending the execution of these laws. 131 From this moment, the position of the two great parties was entirely changed. The

^{12.} WONDEWORTH'S Ecclesiast. Biog vol iv, p. 665. On their eagerness against the bill, see Harris's Liess of the Stients, vol. v. p. 181; BURRET'S Own Time, vol. ii. p. 246; SOMERS'S Tracts, vol. x. pp. 216, 253; CAMPBELL'S Chancellors, vol. iii. p. 353, CARWITHER'S Hist. of the Church of England, vol. ii. p. 431.

¹²⁰ At the accession of James II. the pulpits throughout England resounded with thanksgivings, and a numerous set of addresses flattered his Majostry, in the strongest expressions, with assurances of unshaken loyalty and obedience, without limitation or reserve. NEAL'S Hist of the Paritans, vol. v. p. 2. See also Calamy's Life, vol. i. p. 118.

¹³¹ On the 18th March, 1887, the king announced to the Privy Council that he had determined 'to grant, by his own authority, entire theory of conscience to all his subjects.' On the 4th April appeared the memorable Declaration of Indulgence. Macaular's Hity of England, vol. ii, p. 211; and see Life of James II. edited by Clarke, vol. p. 112 There is a summary of the Declaration in NRAL's Hist, of the Purituas, vol. v. pp. 30, 31. As to the second Declaration, see Macaular, vol. ii, pp. 344, 345; Clarendon Currespond, vol. ii p. 176.

bishops clearly perceived that the statutes which it was thus attempted to abrogate, were highly favourable to their own power; and hence, in their opinion, formed an essential part of the constitution of a Christian country. They had willingly combined with James, while he assisted them in persecuting men who worshipped God in a manner different from themselves. 132 So long as this compact held good, they were indifferent as to matters which they considered to be of minor importance. They looked on in silence, while the king was amassing the materials with which he hoped to turn a free government into an absolute monarchy. 133 They saw Jeffreys and Kirke torturing their fellow-subjects; they saw the gaols crowded with prisoners, and the scaffold streaming with blood. 134 They were well pleased that some of the best and ablest men in the kingdom should be barbarously persecuted; that Baxter should be thrown into prison, and that Howe should be forced into exile. They witnessed with composure the most revolting cruelties, because the victims of them were the opponents of the English church. Although the minds of men were filled with terror and with loathing, the bi-

¹² It was in the autumn of 1685, that the clergy and the government persecuted the dissenters with the greatest virulence. See MACAULAY'S Hest vol. i pp 667, 668. Compare Naal's Hest of the Paritions vol. v. pp. 4-12, with a letter from Lord Clarendon, disserting 121st December 1685, in Clarendon Correspond, vol. i. p. 192. It is said (Burner's Own Time, vol. in. pp. 175, 176), that on many occasions the church party made use of the ecclesiasucal courts to extort money from the Nonconformists; and for confirmation of this, see Mackinger Revolution of 1888, pp. 173, 640.

^{1.1} It appears from the accounts in the War-Office, that James, even in the first year of his reign, had a standing army of nearly 20,000 men. Mackintosh's Recountion, pp. 3, 77, 638 'A disciplined army of about 20,000 men was, for the first time, established during peace in this island. As this naturally inspired great slarm, the king gave out that the number did not exceed 15,000. Life of James II., edited by Clarke, vol. ii. pp. 52, 57.

compare BURNET, v l. iii. pp. 55-62, with DALBYMPLE's Memoirs, vol 1. part. i. book ii. pp. 199-203. Ken, so far as I remember, was the only one who set his face against these atrocities. He was a very humane man, and did what he could to mitigate the sufferings of the passoners in Monmouth's rebekton; but it is not mentioned that he attempted to stop the persecutions directed against the innocent Nonconformists, who were barbarously punished, not because they rebelled, but because they dissented. Life of hea, by a Lagran, vol. 1. p. 298.

shops made no complaint. They preserved their loyalty unimpaired, and insisted on the necessity of humble submission to the Lord's anointed. 135. But the moment James proposed to protect against persecution those who were hostile to the church; the moment he announced his intention of breaking down that monopoly of offices and of honours which the bishops had long secured for their own party; - the moment this took place, the hierarchy became alive to the dangers with which the country was threatened from the violence of so arbitrary a prince. 136. The king had laid his hand on the ark, and the guardians of the temple flew to arms. How could they tolerate a prince who would not allow them to persecute their enemies? How could they support a sovereign who sought to favour those who differed from the national church? They soon determined on the line of conduct it behoved them to take. With an almost unanimous voice, they refused to obey the order by which the king commanded " them to read in their churches the edict for religious toleration. 137 Nor did they stop there. So great was their

^{135 &#}x27;From the conduct of the clergy in this and the former reign, it is quite clear, that if the king had been a Protestant, of the profession of the Church of England, or even a quiet, submissive Catholic, without any seal for his religion,—confining himself solely to matters of state, and having a proper respect for church-property,—he might have plundered other Protestants at his pleasure, and have trampled upon the liberties of his country, without the danger of resistance 'Wilson's Life of De Foe, vol. 1. p. 136. Or, as Fox says, 'Thus, as long as James contented himself with absolute power in civil matters, and did not make use of his authority against the church, everything went smooth and easy.' Fox's Hot. of James II., p. 165.

Compare Nmal's Hist, of the Puritans, vol. v. p. 58, with Life of James II., edit. Clarke, vol. ii. p. 70; where it is well said, that the clergy of the Church of England 'had preached prerogative and the sovereign power to the highest pitch, while it was invourable to them; but when they apprehended the least danger from it, they cried out as soon as the shoe pinched, though it was of their own putting on.' See also pp. 113, 164. What their servility was to the crown, while they thought that the crown was with them, may be estimated from the statement of De Foe: 'I have heard it publicly preached, that if the king commanded my head, and sent his messengers to fetch it, I was bound to submit, and stand while it was out off.' Wilson's Life of De Foe, vol. i. p. 116.

¹³⁷ D'Oyly (Life of Sancroft, p. 164) says, 'On the whole, it is supposed that not more than 200 out of the whole body of clergy, estimated at 10,000, complied with the king's requisition." 'Only seven

enmity against him they had recently cherished, that they actually applied for aid to those very dissenters whom, only a few weeks before, they had hotly persecuted; seeking by magnificent promises to win over to their side men they had hitherto hunted even to the death. 138 The most eminent of the Nonconformists were far from being duped by this sudden affection. 139 But their hatred of Popery, and their fear of the ulterior designs of the king, prevailed over every other consideration; and there arose that singular combination between churchmen and dissenters, which has never since been repeated. This coalition, backed by the general voice of the people, soon overturned the throne, and gave rise to what is justly deemed one of the most important events in the history of England.

obeyed in the city of London, and not above 200 all England over BURNET'S Oan Time, vol. ii p 218. On Sunday, 20th May 1688, Lord Clarendon writes: 'I was at St. James's church, in the evening I had an account that the Declaration was read only in four churches in the city and liberties.' Clarendon Corress vol. ii pp 172, 173. When this conduct became known, it was observed that the church 'supported the crown only so long as she dictated to it, and became rebellious at the moment when she was forbidden to be intolerant.' MACK-INTOBH's Recolution of 1688, p. 255.

The first advances were made when the Declaration of the king in favour of 'liberty of conscience' was on the point of being issued, and immediately after the proceedings at Oxford had shown his determination to break down the monopoly of offices possessed by the chirch. 'The clergy at the same time prayed and sutrested the dissenters to appear on their side, and stand by the Establishment, making large promises of favour and brotherly affection if ever they came into power.' Neal's Hists of the Puritians, vol. v. p. 29. See also, at pp. 58, 59, the conciliating letter from the Archbishop of Canterbury after the Declaration. 'Such.' says Neal, 'such was the language of the church in distress! Compare Birch's Life of Tillotson, p. 153; Ellis's Correspond. vol. ii. p. 63, Ellis's Orig. Letters, 2nd series, vol. iv. p. 117, Mackintosn's Revolution, p. 286; Somers's Tracts. vol. ix. p. 132; Macaullay's Hist. of England, vol. ii. pp. 218, 219.

For, vol. i. pp. 130, 131, 133, 134); and a Letter from a Dissenter to the For, vol. i. pp. 130, 131, 133, 134); and a Letter from a Dissenter to the Pettitioning Bishops, in Somens's Tractes, vol. ix, pp. 117, 118. The writer says. 'Pray, my lords, let me ask you'a question. Suppose the king, finstead of his Declaration, had issued out a proclamation, commanding justices of the peace, constables, informers, and all other persons for be more rigorous, if possible, against dissenters, and do their utmost to the perfect quelling and destroying them; and had ordered this to be read in your churches in the time of divine service,—would you have made any acruple of that?

Thus it was, that the proximate cause of that great revolution which cost James his crown, was the publication by the king of an edict of religious toleration, and the consequent indignation of the clergy at seing so audacious an act performed by a Christian prince. It is true, that if other things had not conspired, this alone could never have effected so great a change. But it was the immediate cause of it, because it was the cause of the schism between the church and the throne, and of the alliance between the church and the dissenters. This s a fact never to be forgotten. We ought never to forget, that the first and only time the Church of England has made war upon the crown, was when the crown had declared its intention of tolerating, and in some degree protecting, the rival religious of the country. 140 There is no doubt that the Declaration which was then issued was illegal, and that it was conceived in an insidious spirit. But declarations equally illegal, equally insidious, and much more tyrannical, had on other occasions been made by the sovereign, without exciting the anger of the clergy, 141

^{1.0} That this was the immediate cause, so far as the head of the church-party was concerned, is unblushingly avowed by the biographer and defender of the then Archbishop of Canterbury, 'The order published from the king in council, May 4th, 1688, directing the archbishops and bishops to send to the clergy in their respective dioceses the Declaration for Liberty of Conscience, to be publicly read in all the churches of the kingdom, made it impossible for the Archbishop of Cauterbury to abstain any longer from engaging in an open and declared opposition to the counsels under which the king was now unhappily acting.' D'OYLY's Life of Sancroft, p. 151.

¹⁰⁴ Some writers have attempted to defend the clergy, on the ground that they thought it illegal to publish a declaration of this kind. But such a defence is incompatible with their doctrine of passive obedience; and besides this, it was contradicted by precedents and decisions of their own. Jeremy Taylor, in his Ductor Dubitantium, their great work of authority, esserts that 'the unlawful proclamations and edicts of a true prince may be published by the clergy in their several charges. HEBER's Life of Taylor, p. cclxxxvi. Heber adds: 'I wish I had not found this in Taylor; and I thank Heaven that the principle was not adopted by the English clergy in 1687.' But why was it not adopted in 1687? Simply because in 1687 the king attacked the monopoly enjoyed by the clergy; and therefore the clergy forgot their principle, that they might smite their enemy. And what makes the motives of this change still more palpaple is, that as late as 1681, the Archbishop of Canterbury caused the clergy to read a Declaration issued by Charles II., and that in a revised copy of the Liturgy he

ENGLISH INTELLECT FROM THE

These are things which it is good for us to ponder. These are lessons of inestimable value for those to whom it is given, not, indeed, to direct, but in some degree to modify, the march of public opinion. As to the people in general, it is impossible for them to exaggerate the obligations which they and all of us owe to the Revolution of 168%. But let them take heed that superstition does not mingle with their gratitude. Let them admire that majestic edifice of national liberty, which stands alone in Europe like a beacon in the midst of the waters; but let them not think that they owe anything to men who, in contributing to its erection, sought the gratification of their own selfishness and the consolidation of that spiritual power which by it they foully hoped to secure.

It is, indeed, difficult to conceive the full amount of the impetus given to English civilization by the expulsion of the House of Stuart. Among the most immediate results, may be mentioned the limits that were set to the royal prerogative; ¹⁴² the important steps that were taken towards religious toleration; ¹⁴³ the remarkable and permanent improvement in the administration of justice; ¹⁴⁴

had also added to the rubric to the same effect. See NEAL'S Hist. of the Paritans, vol. v. p. 56. Compare Calleny's Green Life, vol. i. pp. 199, 200, Mackintosh's Revolution, pp. 242, 243; D'Oyly's Life of Sancroft, p. 152, King's Life of Locke, vol. i. p. 259, Life of James II., edit. Clarke, vol. n. p. 156.

142 They are summed up in a popular pamphlet ascribed to Lord Somers, and printed in Somers's Tracts, vol. x. pp. 263, 264. The diminished respect felt for the crown after 1688 is judiciously noticed in MAHOR'S Hist. of England, vol. 1, p. 9.

143 The Toleration Act was passed in 1689. A copy of it is given by the historians of the dissenters, who call it their Magna Charta. See Bogus and Bernert's History of the Instenters, vol. i. pp. 187—198. The historian of the Catholics equally allows that the reign of William III. is 'the era from which their enjoyment of religious toleration may be dated.' Butler's Memoirs of the Catholics, vol. iii. pp. 122. 139. This is said by Mr. Beties in regard, not to the Protestant dissenters, but to the Catholics; so that we have the admission of both parties as to the importance of this epoch. Even the shameful act forced upon William in 1700 was, as Mr. Hallam truly says, evaded in its worst provisions. Const. Hist. vol. i. pp. 333, 333.

NA CAMPBRLE'S Chancellors, vol. iv. Op. 102, 355, and his Chief-Justices, vol. ii. pp. 95, 118, 118, 136, 142, 143. See also Barrington's Observations on the Statutes, pp. 23, 102, 558; and even Alison's Hist. of Europe, vol. i. p. 236, vol. ix. p. 243; an unwary concession from such an enemy to popular liberty.

the final abolition of a censorship over the press; 145 and, what has not excited sufficient attention, the rapid growth of those great monetary interests by which, as we shall hereafter see, the prejudices of the superstitious classes have in no small degree been counterbalanced. 146 These are the main characteristics of the reign of William III. a reign often aspersed, and little understood. 147 but of which it may be truly said, that, taking its difficulties into due consideration, it is the most successful and the most splendid recorded in the history of any country. But these topics rather belong to the subsequent volumes of this work; and at present we are only concerned in tracing the effects of the Revolution upon that ecclesiastical power by which it was immediately brought about.

Scarcely had the clergy succeeded in expelling James,

¹⁹ This was effected before the end of the seventeenth century See Amprill's Chancellors, vol. iv. pp. 121, 122 Compare Lord Camden on Literary Property, in Part Hist vol. xvn p. 294, Hurt's Eistory of Newspapers, vol. i. pp. 161, 162; Somens's Tracks, vol. xii p. 355, and a more detailed account in Macaulan's Hist of England, vol. iv. pp. 349 seq. 340 seq., though Mr. Macaulan in ascribing, p. 353, so much to the influence of Blount, has not, I think, sufficiently dwelt on the operation of larger and more general causes

¹²⁰ Mr. Cooke (Hist. of Parto, vol in pp. 5, 148) notices this remarkable rise of the monied classes early in the eighteenth century, but he merely observes, that the consequence was to strengthen the Whig party. Though this is undoubtedly true, the ultimate results, as I shall hereafter point out, were far more important than any political or even economical consequences. It was not till 1034 that the Bank of England was established, and this great institution at first met with the warmest opposition from the admirers of old times, who thought it must be useless because their ancestors did without it. See the curious details in Sinclain's Hist. of the Receive vol in pp. 6—9; and on the connexion between it and the Whigs, see MACAULAT's Hist. of England, vol. iv. p. 502. There is a short account of its origin and progress in Smith's Wealth of Nations, book in chap. it. p. 130.

¹¹ Frequently misunderated, even by those who praise it. Thus, for instance, a living writer informs us that, 'great as have been the obligations which England owers, in many different views, to the Revolution, it is beyond all question the greatest, that it brought in a sovereign fustructed in the art of overcoming the ignorant impatience of taxation which is the invariable characteristic of free communities, and thus gave it a government capable of turning to the best account the activity and energy of its inhabitants. If the same time that it had the means given it of maintaining their independence. Alison's Hist. of Europe, vol. vn. p. 5. This, I should suppose, is the most eccentric eulogy ever passed on William III.

when the greater number of them repented of their own act. 148. Indeed, even before he was driven from the country, several things had occurred to make them doubt the policy of the course they were pursuing. During the last few weeks that he was allowed to reign, he had shown symptoms of increasing respect for the English hierarchy. The archbishopric of York had so long been vacant, as to cause a belief that it was the intention of the crown either to appoint to it a Catholic, or else to seize its revenues. 149 But James, to the delight of the church, now filled up this important office by nominating Lampligh, who was well known to be a stanch churchman and a zealous defender of episcopal privileges. 150 Just before this, the king also rescinded the order by which the Bishop of London had been suspended from the exercise of his functions. 151 To the bishops in general he made great promises of future favour: 152 some of them, it was said, were to be called to

NEAL'S Hist. of the Paritans, vol. v p. 71

¹⁰⁰ Mackintosh's Recolution of 1688, pp. 81, 191. After the death of Archbishop Dolben, the see was kept vacant for more than two years,' and Cartwright hoped to obtain it. See Cartwright's Diary, by Hunter, 4to, 1843, p. 45. In the same way, we find from a letter to the Archbishop of Canterbury (Clarendon Corresp. vol. 1, p. 409) that in May 1686 uneasiness was felt because the Irish bishoprics were not filled up. Compare Burnet, vol. in. p. 103 Carwithen (Hist of the Ch. of Endland, vol. 11, p. 492) says, that James had intended to raise the Jesuit Petre to the archbishopric.

Lamplugh was translated from the bishopric of Exeter to the archbishopric of York in November 1688. See the contemporary account in the Ellis Correspondence, vol. in. p. 303, and ELLIS'S Original Letters, second series, vol. iv. p. 151. He was a most orthodox man; and not only hated the dissenters, but showed his seal by persecuting them. Wilson's Lite of De For., vol. i. pp. 94, 95. Compare an anecdote of him in Baxter's Life of Himself, folio, 1696, part iii. pp. 178, 179

¹⁹ In a letter, dated London, 29th Saptember 1688 (Ellis Correspondence, vol. ii. p 224, and Ellis's Orig. Letters, second series, vol. iv. p. 128), it is stated, that the Bishop of London's 'suspension is taken off.' See also SOMERS's Tracts, vol. ix. p. 215. This is the more observable, because, according to Johnstone, there was an intention, in December 1687, of depriving him. MACKINTOSH's Revolution, pp. 211, 212.

¹⁵² This disposition on the part of the king again to favour the bishops and the church became a matter of common remark in September 1688, See Elis Correspond., vol. ii. pp. 201, 202, 209, 219. 224, 225, 226, 227; Clarendon Correspond., vol. ii. pp. 188, 192. Sir John

his privy council; and, in the meantime, he cancelled that ecclesiastical commission which, by limiting their power, had excited their anger. ¹⁵³ Besides this, there occurred some other circumstances which the clergy now had to consider. It was rumoured, and it was generally believed, that William was no great admirer of ecclesiastical establishments; and that, being a friend to toleration, he was more likely to diminish the power than increase the privileges of the English hierarchy. ¹⁵⁴ It was also known that he favoured the Presbyterians, whom the church not unreasonably regarded as her bitterest enemies. ¹⁵⁵ And when, in addition to all this, William, on mere grounds of expediency, actually abolished episcopacy in Scotland, it became evident that, by thus repudiating the doctrine of divine eright, he had directed a great blow against those

Reresby, who was then in London, writes, in October 1688, that James 'begins again' to court the Church of England.' Reressy's Memorrs, p. 357. Indeed, the difficulties of James were now becoming so great, that he had hardly any choice

153 Ellis Correspond., vol. ii. p. 211, Life of James II. edit Clarke, vol. ii. p. 189.

134 In November 1687, it was said that he wished the dissenters to have 'entire liberty for the full exercise of their religion,' and to be treed 'from the severity of the penal laws.' Somens's Tracts, vol. 1x p 184. This is the earliest distinct notice I have seen of William's desire to deprive the church of the power of punishing nonconformists; but after he arrived in England his intentions became obvious. In January 1688-89 the friends of the church complained 'that the countenance he gave the dissenters gave too much cause of jealousy to the Church of England.' Clarendon Correspond., vol. ii. p. 238 Compare Neal's Hist. of the Partians, vol. v. p. 81, Bogue and Benkett's Hist. of the Dissenters, vol. n. p. 318, Birch's Life of Tillotson, p. 156, 157; SOMERS'S Tracts, vol. x. p. 341, vol. xi. p. 108. Burnet, in his summary of the character of William, observes that, his indifference as to the forms of church-government, and his being zealous for toleration, together with his cold behaviour towards the clergy, gave them generally very ill impressions of him.' Own Time, vol. iv. p. 550. At p. 182 the hishop says. 'He took no notice of the clergy, and seemed to have little concern in the matters of the church or of religion.'

135 Sir John Beresby, who was an attentive observer of what was going on, says, 'The prince, upon his arrival, seemed more inclined to the Presbyterians than to the members of the church; which startled the clergy.' Breesby's Memoirs, p. 375: see also pp. 584, 405: 'the church-people hated the Dutch, and had rather turn Papiets than receive the Presbyterians among them.' Compare Evelus's Diary, vol. iii. p. 281: 'the Presbyterians, our new governors.'

opinions on which, in England, ecclesiastical authority was based. 156

While these things were agitating the public mind, the eyes of men were naturally turned upon the bishops, who, though they had lost much of their former power. were still respected by a large majority of the people as the guardians of the national religion. But at this critical moment they were so blinded, either by their ambition or by their prejudices, that they adopted a course which of all others was the most injurious to their reputation. They made a sudden attempt to reverse that political movement of which they were themselves the principal originators. Their conduct on this occasion amply confirms that account of their motives which I have already given. If, in aiding those preliminary measures by which the Revolution was effected, they had been moved by a desire of relieving the nation from despotism, they would have eagerly welcomed that great man at whose approach the despot took to flight. This is what the clergy would have done, if they had loved their country better than they loved their order. But they pursued a precisely opposite course; because they preferred the petty interests of their own class to the welfare of the great body of the people. and because they would rather that the country should be oppressed than that the church should be humbled. Nearly the whole of the bishops and clergy had, only a few weeks before, braved the anger of their sovereign sooner than read in their churches an edict for religious

The king was suspected by them, by reason of the clergy in 1689 'The king was suspected by them, by reason of the favour showed to be dissenters; but chiefly for his abolishing episcopacy in Scotland; and his consenting to the setting up presbytery there. On this great change, compare Bogus and Bennert's History of Dissenters, vol. ii. pp. 379—384; Banny's Hist. of the Oringe Islands, p. 257; Naal's Hist. of the Puritans, vol. v. pp. 85, 86; and on the indignation felt by the Anglican clergy at the abolition of episcopacy in Scotland, see a contemporary pamphlet in Somes's Tracts, vol. ix. pp. 510, 516, where fears are expressed lest William should effect a similar measure in England. The writer very fairly observes, p. 522, 'For if we give up the jus divinum of episcopacy in Scotland, we must yield it also as to England. And then we are wholly precarious.' See also vol. x. pp. 341, 503; Latheur's Hist. of Convocation, pp. 277, 278, and MacPierres.

toleration, and seven of the most influential of the episcopal order had, in the same cause, willingly submitted to the risk of a public trial before the ordinary tribunals of the land. This bold course they professed to have adopted, not because they disliked toleration, but because they hated tyranny. And yet when William arrived in England, and when James stole away from the kingdom like a thief in the night, this same ecclesiastical profession pressed forward to reject that great man, who, without striking a blow, had by his mere presence saved the country from the slavery with which it was threatened. We shall not easily find in modern history another instance of such gross inconsistency, or rather, let us say, of such selfish and reckless ambition. For this change of plan, far from being concealed, was so openly displayed, and the causes of it were so obvious, that the scandal was laid bare before the whole country. Within the space of a few weeks the apostasy was consummated. The first in the field was the Archbishop of Canterbury, who, anxious to retain his office, had promised to wait upon William. But when he saw the direction things were likely to take, he withdrew his promise, and would not recognize a prince who showed such indifference to the sacred order. 157. Indeed, so great was his anger, that he sharply rebuked his chaplain for presuming to pray for William and Mary, although they had been proclaimed with the full consent of the nation, and although the crown had been delivered to them by the solemn and deliberate act of a public convention of the estates of the realm. 158. While such was the conduct of the

¹³⁷ Burne.'s Own Time, vol. iii. p. 340. Burnet, who had the best means of information, says, "Though he had once agreed to it, yet would not come.' Lord Clarendon, in his Intary, 3rd January 1688—9, writes, that the archbishop expressed to him on that day his determination neither to call on William nor even to send to him (Clarendon Correspond., vol. ii. p. 240); and this resolution appears to have been taken deliberately: 'he was careful not to do it, for the reasons he formerly gave me.'

¹³⁵ See the® account given by his chaplain Wharton, in aD'ONLY's Life of Euroroft, p. 259, where it is stated that the archbishop was very irate ('vehementer excandescens'), and told him, 'that he must thenceforward desist from offering prayers for the new king and queen, or else from performing the duties of his chapel.' See also BIRCH's Life of Tillotson, p. 144. Thus, too, the Bishop of Norwich declared that he would not pray for King William and Queen Mary."

primate of England, his brethren were not wanting to him in this great emergency of their common fate. The oath of allegiance was refused not only by the Archbishop of Canterbury, but also by the Bishop of Bath and Wells, by the Bishop of Chester, by the Bishop of Chichester, by the Bishop of Elv. by the Bishop of Gloucester, by the Bishop of Norwich, by the Bishop of Peterborough, and by the Bishop of Worcester, 159 As to the inferior clergy, our information is less precise; but it is said that about six hundred of them imitated their superiors in declining to recognize for their king him whom the country had elected. 150 The other members of this turbulent faction were unwilling, by so bold a measure, to incur that deprivation of their livings with which William would probably have visited them. They, therefore, preferred a safer and more inglorious opposition, by which they could embarrass the government without injuring themselves, and could gain the reputation of orthodoxy without incurring the painof martyrdom.

The effect which all this produced on the temper of the nation, may be easily imagined. The question was now narrowed to an issue which every plain man could at once understand. On the one side there was an overwhelming majority of the clergy. 161 On the other side there was all the intellect of England, and all her dearest interests. The mere fact that such an opposition could exist without kindling a civil war, showed how the growing intelligence of the people had weakened the authority

Ctarendon Correspond. vol. ii. p. 263. The same spirit was universal among the high-church clergy; and when public prayers were offered up for the king and queen, they were called by the nonjurors 'the immoral prayers,' and this became a technical and recognized expression. Life of Ken, by a Layman, vol. ii. pp. 668, 630.

¹⁵⁹ LATHBURY'S Hist. of the Nonjurors, p. 45; D'OYLY'S Sancroft, p. 260.

¹⁴⁰ Nairne's Papers mention, in 1693, 'six hundred ministers who have not taken the oaths.' MACPHERSON's Orig. Papers, wol. i. p. 489.

¹⁶¹ The only friends William possessed among the clergy were the low-churchmen, as they were afterwards called; and it is supposed that they formed barely a tenth of the entire body in 1689: We should probably overrate their numerical strength, if we were to estimate them at a tenth part of the priesthood.' MACAULAY's Hist. of Empland, vol. iii. p. 74.

of the ecclesiastical profession. Besides this, the opposition was not only futile, but it was also injurious to the class that made it. 162 For it was now seen that the clergy only cared for the people, as long as the people cared for them. The violence with which these angry men 163 set themselves against the interest of the nation, clearly proved the selfishness of that zeal against James, of which they had formerly made so great a merit. They continued to hope for his return, to intrigue for him, and in some instances to correspond with him; although they well knew that his presence would cause a civil war, and that he was so generally hated, that he dared not show his face in England unless protected by the troops of a foreign and hostile power. 164

in: The earliest allusion I have seen to the injury the clergy were inflict, the church, by their conduct after the arrival of William, the church, by their conduct after the arrival of William, the 'wonder of many, at the behaviour of 'the Archbishop The 'wonder of many, at the rest.' With Evelyn, who loved the ch, this was an unpleasant subject; but others were less scrupuds, and in parliament, in particular, men did not refrain from expressing what must have been the sentiments of every impartial observer In the celebrated debate, in January 1688-9 when the throne was declared vacant. Pollexfen said 'Some of the clergy are for one thing, some for another. I think they scarce know what they would Pail Hist vol v. p. 55 In February, Maynard, one of the most influential members, indignantly said, 'I think the clergy are out of their wits, and I believe, if the clergy should have their wills, few or none of us should be here again' I'ld vol. v p 129 The clergy were themselves bitterly sensible of the general hostility, and one of them writes, in 1694 'The people of England, who were so excessively enamoured of us when the bishops were in the tower, that they hardly forbore to worship us, are now, I wish I could say but cool and very indifferent towards us' Somens's Tracts, vol. ix. p. 525. The growing indignation against the clergy, caused by their obvious desire to sacrifice the country to the interests of the church, is strikingly displayed in a letter from Sir Roland Gwyne, written in 1710, and printed in MACPHERSON'S Orig. Papers, vol 11. p. 207.

162 They are so called by Burnet: 'these angry men, that had raised this flame in the church.' Own Time, vol. v. p. 17.

104 Indeed, the high-church party, in their publications, distinctly intimated, that if James were not recalled, he should be reinstated by a foreign army. Sommes's Tracts, vol. x pp. 377, 403, 437, 462. Compare Mahor's Hist. of Enfland, vol. in. p. 138. Birnet (Gen Time, vol. iv. pp. 361, 362) says, they were 'confounded' when they heard of the peace of 1697; and Calamy (Life of Hinself, vol. it, p. 322) makes the same remark on the death of Louis XIV: 'It very much puzzled the counsels of the Jacobites, and spoiled their projects.'

But this was not the whole of the damage which, in those anxious times, the church inflicted upon herself. When the bishops refused to take the oaths to the new government, measures were adopted to remove them from their sees; and William did not hesitate to eject by force of law the Archbishop of Canterbury and five of his brethren. 165 The prelates, smarting under the insult, were goaded into measures of unusual activity. They loudly proclaimed that the powers of the church, which had long been waning, were now extinct 160. They demed the right of the legislature to pass a law against them. They demed the right of the sovereign to put that law into exeention, 197 They not only continued to give themselves the title of bishops, but they made arrangements to pernemate the schism which then own violence had treated. The Archbishop of Canterbury, as he insisted upon being - alled, made a formal renunciation of his imaginary right into the hands of Lloyd. 168 who still supposed has a so he Bishop of Norwich, although William had recent pelled him from his see. The scheme of these turbulant priests was then communicated to James, who willingly supported their plan for establishing a permanent feud in the English church 16". The result of this conspiracy between the rebellious prelates and the pretended king, was

¹⁰⁵ D'OYLY S Lete at Same cott (p. 256), Wokdswokith's J. J. Biog. 48 (p. 683).

[&]quot;Sancrott on his death bed, in 1693, prayed for the poor suffering church, which, by this revolution, is almost destroyed." DOYLEY'S SUCCOSE, p. 311, and MACPHERSON'S Original Papers, vol 1, p. 260, See also Remarks, published in 1693 (Somies's Traits, vol 2, p. 504), where it is said, that William had, 'as far as possible he could, dissolved the true old Church of England,' and that, 'in a moment of time, her face was so altered, as scarce to be known again.'

^{12.} Ken, though deprived, never admitted in the secular power the right of deprivation, and it is well known that he studiously retained ins title. Bownes's Life of Len, vol. ii. p. 225. Thus, too, Lloyd, to late as 1703, signs himself, 'Wm. Nor' (Life of Len, hy a Layman, vol. ii. p. 720), though, having been legally deprived, he was no more hishop of Norwich than he was emperor of China. And Sancroft, in the last of his letters, published by D'Oyly (Life, p. 303), signs 'W.C.'

the last of his letters, published by D'Oyly (Life, p. 303), signs 'W. C.'

"B Theostrange document. by which he appointed Dr. Lloyd his
vicar-general. is printed in Latin, in D'Oylv's Sancroft, p. 295, and
in English, in Life of Ken, by a Layman, vol. ii. p. 640.

¹⁶ LATHBURY'S Hist. of the Nonjurors, p. 96; Life of Ken, by a Layman, vol. ii. pp 641, 642.

the appointment of a series of men who gave themselves out as forming the real episcopacy, and who received the homage of every one who preferred the claims of the church to the authority of the state ¹⁷⁰. This mock succession of imaginary bishops continued for more than a rentury; ¹⁷¹ and, by dividing the allegiance of churchmen, ¹⁶⁸ sened the power of the church. ¹⁷² In several instances, the unseemly spectacle was exhibited of two bishops for the same place; one nominated by the spiritual power, at the other nominated by the temporal power. Those who considered the church as superior to the state, of course attached themselves to the sparious bishops, while the appointments of Wilham were acknowledged by that ra-

The struggle between lames and William was essentially a struggle between ecclematical interests and secular interests, and this was been as early as 1656, when, as we learn from Burnet, who was much more a politician than a priest, the church was as the word given out by the Jacobite party, under which they might more safely shelter themselves On a Time, vol 18, p 57 See also, on this identification of the Jacobites with the Church, Binch's Life of Tillotson, p. 222, and the argument of Dodwell, pp. 246, 247 in 1691. Dodwell justly observed, that the successors of the deprived hishops were schismafical, in a spiritual point of view, and that "if they should retend to lay authority as sufficient, they would overthrow the being of a church as a society. The bishops appointed by William were evidently intruders, according to church principles and as their intinsion could only be justified according to lay principles, it followed that the success of the intrusion was the triumph of lay principles ever church ones. Hence it is, that the fundamental idea of the rebellion of 1688 is the elevation of the state above the church, just as the fundamental idea of the rebellion of 1842 as the elevation of the commons above the crown.

[&]quot;According to Dr. D'Oyly (Life of Sawrott, p. 297), Dr. Gordon ided in London, November 1779, and is supposed to have been the last nonjuring bishop." In Shorr's Hist, of the Church of England, p. 583, Lond, 1847, it is also stated, that 'this schism continued till 1774." But Mr. Hallam (Const. Hist, vol. n. p. 404) has pointed out a passage, in the State Trials, which proves that another of the bishops, named Cartwright, was still living at Shrewsbury in 1793, and Mr. Lathbury (Hist, of the Nonjurors, Lond, 1845, p. 412) says, that he died in 1799)

Calamy (Oun Life, vol. i. pp. 328—330, vol. ii. pp. 338, 337),358), gives an interesting account of these feuds within the church, consequent upon the revolution. Indeed, their bitterness was such, that it was necessary to coin names for the two parties, and, between 1700 and 1702, we, for the first time, hear the expressions, high-church and low-church. See Buikket's Grew Time, vol. iv. p. 447, vol. v. p. 70. Compare Wilson's Life of the Fac, vol. ii. p. 26; Parl Hist, vol. vi. pp. 162, 40822 4th the difference between them, as it was understood

pidly increasing party who preferred secular advantages to ecclesiastical theories. 179 •

Such were some of the events which, at the end of the seventeenth century, widened the breach that had long existed between the interests of the nation and the interests of the clergy. 174 There was also another circumstance which considerably increased this alienation. Many of the English clergy, though they retained their affection for James did not choose to brave the anger of the government, or risk the loss of their livings. To avoid this and to reconcile their conscience with their interest, they availed themselves of a supposed distinction between a king by right and a king in possession. 175 The consequence was, that while with their lips they took an oath of allegiance to William, they in their hearts paid homage to James; and, while they prayed for one king in their churches, they were bound to pray for another in their closets. 176 By this wretched subterfuge, a large body of

in the reign of Anne, see SOMBES Tracts, vol xii p 532, and MARPHERSON'S Orig. Papers, vol it. p. 166. On the dawning schism the church, see the speech of Sir T. Littleton, in 1690, Part. The p 593 Hence many complained that they could not tell was the real church See curious evidence of this perplexity in SOMERS'S Tracts, vol ix. pp 477—481.

773 The alternative is furly stated in a letter written in 1691 (Luli of Ken, by a Lunnan, vol 11 p 599): 'If the deprived bishop be the only lawful bishop, then the people and clergy of his diocese are bound to own him, and no other, then all the bishops who own the authority of a new archbishop, and live in communion with him, are schismatics, and the clergy who hve in communion with schismatical bishops are schismatical themselves, and the whole Church of England now established by law is schismatical.'

174 Lord Mahon (Hist. of Fingland, vol 11 p 245) notices, what he terms, the 'unnatural alienation between the church and state,' consequent upon the Revolution of 1688; and on the diminished power of the church caused by the same event, see PHILLIMORE'S Mem. of Lyttleton, vol. i. p. 352.

175 The old absurdity of de facto and de jure; as if any man could retain a right to a throne which the people would not allow him to occupy!

position: 'You are now driven to this dilemma, — swear, or swear not; if you swear, you kill the soul; and if you swear not, you kill the body, in the loss of your bread.' Sourre's Tracts, vol. xiii. 1886
The result of the dilemma was what might have been expected and a high-church writer, in the reign of William III., boasts (Sourre's Tracts, vol. x.'p. 344) that the oaths taken by the clary were no

the clergy were at once turned into concealed rebels; and we have it on the authority of a contemporary bishop, that the prevarication of which these men were notoriously guilty was a still further aid to that scepticism, the progress of which he bitterly deplores.¹⁷⁷

As the eighteenth century advanced, the great movement of liberation rapidly proceeded. One of the most important of the ecclesiastical resources had formerly been Convocation; in which the clergy, by meeting in a body, were able to discountenance in an imposing manner whatever might be hostile to the church; and had, moreover, an opportunity, which they sedulously employed, of devising schemes favourable to the spiritual authority. 178 But, in the progress of the age, this weapon also was taken

protection to the government 'not that the government receives any security from oaths' Whiston, too, says in his Memoirs, p. 30. 'Yet do I too well remember that the far greatest part of those of the university and clergy that then took the oaths to the government, seemed to me to take them with a doubtful conscience, if not against its dictates.' This was in 1693; and, in 1710, we find 'There are no circumstances to make us believe that the Jacobite clergy have the like instructions to take any oaths, to get possession of a pulpit for the service of the cause, to bellow out the hereditary right, the pretended title of the Pretender.' Somens's Tracts, vol xii. p. 641. A knowledge of this fact, or; at all events, a belief of it, was soon diffused, and, eight years later, the celebrated Lord Cowper, then lord chancellor, said, in the House of Lords. that his majesty had also the best part of the landed, and all the trading interest, that as to the clergy, he would say nothing - but that it was notorious that the majority of the populace had been powoned, and that the poison was not yet quite expelled. Parl. Hist vol. vii. p 541. also given, but not quite verhatem, in CAMPBELL's Chancellars, vol. 1v p. 365.

17 The prevarication of too many in so sacred a matter contributed not a little to fortify the growing atheism of the present age. Burner's Own Time, vol. iii. p. 381. See also, to the same effect, vol. iv. pp. 176, 177; and a remarkable passage in Somers's Tracts, vol xii. p. 573. I need hardly add, that it was then usual to confuse scepticism with atheism, though the two things are not only different, but incompatible. In regard to the quibble respecting de facto and de jure, and the use made of it by the clergy, the reader should compare Wilson's Mem. of De Foe, vol. i. pp 171, 172; Somers's Tracts, vol. ix. p. 531; Carperll's Chancelors, vol. iv. p. 409; and as letter from the Nev. Francis Jessop, written in 1717, in Normal's lett. Illustrations, vol. iv. pp. 120—123.

178 Among which must be particularly mentioned the practice of censuring all books that encouraged free inquiry. In this respect, the clergy were extremely mischievous. See LATHBURY'S Hist. of Consocation, pp. 124, 286, 338, 331; and Wilson's Life of De Foe, vol. ii. p. 120.

from them. Within a very few years after the Revolution Convocation fell into general contempt; 179 and, in 1717 this celebrated assembly was finally protogued by an act of the crown, it being justly considered that the country had no further occasion for its services 150. Since that period, this great council of the English church has never been allowed to meet for the purpose of deliberating on its own affairs, until a few years ago, when, by the connivance of a feeble government, it was permitted to reassemble. So marked, however, has been the change in the temper of the nation, that this once formidable body does not now retain even a semblance of its ancient influence, its resolutions are no longer feared, its discussions are no longer studied, and the business of the country continues to be conducted without regard to those interests, which, only a few generations ago, were considered by every statesman to be of supreme importance. 181

Indeed, immediately after the Revolution, the tendency of things became too obvious to be mistaken, even by the most superficial observers. The ablest men in the country no longer flocked into the church, but preferred those secular professions in which ability was more likely to be rewarded. 182 At the same time, and as a natural

^{&#}x27;In 1704, Burnet (Own Time vol v p. 138) says of Convocation but little opposition was made to them, as very little regard was had to them.' In 1700, there was a squablle between the upper and lower house of Convocation for Canterbury; which, no doubt, aided these feelings. See Lite at Archivehop Sharp edited by Newcome, vol i. p. 348, where this wretched fend is related with great gravity

¹⁻⁴⁰ Charles Butler (Reminiscences, vol. n. p. 950 says, that the final prorogation was in 1720, but, according to all the other authorities I have met with, it was in 1717. See Haldan's Const. Hest vol in p. 395, Lathbury's Hist of Convocation, p. 355, Mahon's Hist, of England, vol. i p. 302, Monk's Life of Bentley, vol in p. 350

¹⁸⁰ A letter, written by the Rev. Thomas Clayton in 1727, is worth reading; as illustrating the feelings of the clergy on this subject. He asserts, that one of the causes of the obvious degeneracy of the age is, that, owing to Convocation not being allowed to meet, 'bold and impious books appear barefaced to the world without any public censure.' See this letter in Nichola's Illustrations of the Englishment Century, vol. iv pp. 414-417, and compare with it, Letters between Warbacton and Ilurd, pp. 410-312.

¹⁸² On the decline of ability in ecclesiastical literature, see note 38 in this chapter. In 1685, a complaint was made that secular professions were becoming more sought after than ecclesiastical ones. See

part of the great movement, the clergy saw all the offices of power and emolument, which they had been used to hold, gradually falling out of their hands. Not only in the dark ages, but even so late as the fifteenth century, they were still strong enough to monopolize the most honourable and lucrative posts in the empire ¹⁸³. In the sixteenth century, the tide began to turn against them, and advanced with such steadiness, that, since the seventeenth century, there has been no instance of any ecclesiastic being made lord chancellor; ¹⁸⁴ and, since the beguning of the eighteenth century there has been no instance of one receiving any diplomatic appointment, or, indeed, helding any important office in the state. ¹⁸⁵ Nor has this

England's Wants, sec. lvi in Somens's Tra 's, vol ix, p. 231, where the writer mournfully states, that in his time 'physic and law, professions ever acknowledged in all nations to be inferior to divinity, are generally embraced by gentlemen, and sometimes by persons nobly descended, and preferred much abuse the dreine's profession' This preference was, or course, most displayed by young men of intellect, and a large amount of energy being thus drawn off from the church, gave rise to that decay of spirit and of general power which has been already noticed, and which is also indicated by Coleridge, an his remarks on the 'apologizing theology' which succeeded the Revolution. Coleridge's Lit. Remains, vol in: pp 51, 52 116, 117, 119 Compare STRPHEN'S Frrays on Ecclesiast, Biog. 2d edit. 1850, Vol. 11. p. 61, on 'this depression of theology,' and HARE's Mission of the Comforter, 1850, p. 264, on the 'intellectually feebier age' Evelyn, in 16 1, laments the diminished energy then beginning to be observed among 'young preachers' Everyn's Inary, vol. in p 309, and for another notice, in 1696, of this 'dead and lifeless way of preaching.' see Life of Cudworth, p 35, in vol i of Cudworth's Intellect Syst

163 Sharon Turner, describing the state of things in England in the fifteenth century, says, 'Clergymen were secretaries of government, the privy seals, cabinet councillors, treasurers of the crown, ambassadors, commissioners to open parliament, and to Scotland, presidents of the king's council, supervisors of the royal works, chancellors, keepers of the records, the masters of the rolls, and even the physicians, both to the king and to the duke of Gloucester, during the reign of Henry VI. and afterwards' TURNER's Hist. of England, vol. vi. p. 132. On their encommons wealth, see Eccleston's English Antiquities, p. 146: a' In the early part of the fourteenth contray, it is calculated that very nearly one-half of the soil of the kingdom was in the hands of the clergy.

office of lord-keeper, and Lord Campbell observes (Lives of the Chancettors, vol. ii. p. 492): This is the last time that an equivariantic had held the great seal of England; and, notwithstanding the admiration in some quarters of medigaral usages, I presume the experiment is not likely to be soon repeated.

185 Monk (Life of Bentley, vol. i. p. 222) says, that Dr. John Robin-

increasing ascendency of laymen been confined to the executive government. On the contrary, we find in both Houses of Parliament the same principle at work. In the early and barbarous periods of our history, one half of the House of Lords consisted of temporal peers; the other half of spiritual ones. 186 By the beginning of the eighteenth century, the spiritual peers, instead of forming one-half of the upper house, had dwindled away to one-eighth; 187 and, in the middle of the nineteenth century, they have still further shrunk to one-fourteenth. 188 thus supplying a striking numerical instance of that diminution of ecclestastical power which is an essential requisite of modern civilization. Precisely, in the same way, more than fifty vears have elapsed since any clergyman has been able to take his seat as a representative of the people; the House of Commons having, in 1801, formally closed their doors against a profession which, in the olden time, would have been gladly admitted, even by the proudest and most exclusive assembly. 149 In the House of Lords, the bishops

son, bishop of Bristol, was lord privy seal, and plenipotentiary at the treaty of Utrecht, and is the last ecclesiatic in England who has held any of the high offices of state? A high-church writer, in 1712, complains of the efforts that were being made to 'thrust the churchmen out of their places of power in the government.' Somens's Tracts, vol. xiii p 211

180 In and after the reign of Henry III. 'the number of archbishops, bishops, abbots, priors, and ecclesiastical persons was for the most part equal to, and very often far exceeded, the number of the temporal lords and barons.' PARRY's Parliaments and Councils of England, London, 1839, p. xvii. Of this Mr. Parry gives several instances, the most remarkable of which is, that 'in 49 Henry III., 120 prelates, and only 23 temporal lords, were summoned.' This, of course, was an extreme case.

163 See an analysis of the House of Lords, in 1713, in Mahon's Hist. of England, vol. fi. pp. 43—45, from which it appears that the total was 207, of whom 26 were spiritual. This includes the Catholics.

186 By the returns in Dod for 1854, I find that the House of Lords contains 436 members, of whom 30 belong to the episcopal beach.

169 For different accounts, and of course different views, of this final expulsion of the clerky from the House of Commons, see PRILERW'S Life of Sidmouth, vol 1. pp. 419, 420, STEPHERS'S Mem. of Tooks, volc ii. pp. 247—260; HOLLARD'S Mem. of the Whip Party, vol. i. pp. 178—180; CAMPBELL'S Chanceltors, vol. vii. p. 145; Twiss's Life of Eddon, vol. i. p. 263; ADOLPHUS'S Hist. of George III., vol. vii. p. 457.

still retain their seats; but their precarious tenure is everywhere remarked, and the progress of public opinion is constantly pointing to a period, which cannot now be far distant, when the Peers will imitate the example set by the Commons, and will induce the legislature to relieve the upper house of its spiritual members; since they, by their habits, their tastes, and their traditions, are evidently unfitted for the profane exigencies of political life. 180

While the fabric of superstition was thus tottering from internal decay, and while that ecclesiastical author rity which had formerly played so great a part was gradually vielding to the advance of knowledge, there suddenly occurred an event which, though it might naturally have been expected, evidently took by surprise even those whom it most interested. I allude, of course, to that great religious revolution, which was a fitting supplement to the political revolution which preceded it. The dissenters, who were strengthened by the expulsion of James, had by no means forgotten those cruel punishments which the Church of England, in the days of her power, had constantly inflicted upon them; and they felt that the moment had now come when they could assume towards her a bolder front than that on which they had hitherto ventured.191 Besides this, they had in the mean time

ivo That the banishment of the clergy from the lower house was the natural prelude to the banishment of the bishops from the upper, was hinted at the time, and with regret, by a very keen observer. In the discussion 'on the Bill to prevent Persons in Holy Orders from sitting in the House of Commons,' Lord Thurlow 'mentioned the tenure of the bishops at this time, and said, if the bill went to disfranchise the lower orders of the clergy, it might go the length of striking at the right of the reservend bench apposite to seats in that house; though he knew it had been held that the reverend prelates sat, in the right of their baronies, as temporal peers' Part. Hest vol xxxx. p. 1542.

¹⁹ It is impossible now to ascertain the full extent to which the Church of England, in the seventeenth century, persecuted the dissenters; but Jeremy White is said to have had a list of sixty thousand of these sufferers between 1660 and 1688, of whom no less than five thousand died in prison. BOGUE and HENNETT'S Hist. of the plasenters, vol. i. p. 108. On the cruel spirit which the cheery displayed in the reign of Charles II. compare HARRIS'S lives of the Staarts, vol. v. p. 106. CHRE'S Life of Oren, p. 344; NOMER'S Tracts, vol. xii. p. 534. Indeed, Harwood frankly said in the House of Commons, in 1672, 'Our aim is to bring all dissenting men into the Promons, in 1672, 'Our aim is to bring all dissenting men into the Pro-

received fresh causes of provocation. After the death of our great king William III., the throne was occupied by a foolish and ignorant woman, whose love for the clergy would, in a more superstitious age, have led to dangerous results. ¹⁹² Even as it was, a temporary reaction took place, and during her reign the church was treated with a deference which William had disdained to show. ¹⁹³ The natural consequence immediately followed. New measures of persecution were devised, and fresh laws were passed against those Protestants who did not conform to the doctrines and discipline of the English church. ¹⁹⁴ But after the death of Anne the dissenters quickly rallied, their hopes revived, ¹⁹⁵ their numbers continued to increase, and in spite of the opposition of the clergy, the laws against them were repealed. ¹⁹⁶ As by these means they were placed

testant church, and he that is not willing to come into the church should not have ease. Part Hist. vol iv p 530 On the zeal with which this principle was carried out, see an account, written in 1671, in SOMERS'S Tracts, vol. vii. pp. 586—615, and the statement of De Foe, in Wilson's Life of De Foe, vol. ii. pp 443—444

12 Besides the correspondence which the Duchess of Marlborough preserved for the instruction of posterty, we have some materials for estimating the abilities of Anns in the letters published in Dalkymple's Memoirs. In one of them Anne writes, soon after the Declaration for Liberty of Conscience was issued, 'It is a melancholy prospect that all we of the Church of Eugland have All the sectarion may now do what they please Every one has the free exercise of their religion, on purpose, no doubt, to ruin us, which I think to all impartial judges is very plain.' Dalkymple's Memoirs, appendix to book v vol. ii. p. 173.

113 See a notable passage in Soners's Tracts, vol xii. p. 558, which should be compared with Wilson's Life of De For, vol. iii. p. 372.

10 BOGUE and BENNETT'S History of the Inscenters, vol. 1. pp. 228—230; 237, 260—277, and HALLAM'S Const Hist. vol. 11. pp. 336, 397. Mr. Hallam says, 'It is impossible to doubt for an instant, that if the queen's life had preserved the Tory government for a few years, every vestige of the toleration would have been effaced,' It appears from the Vernon Correspond vol. iii. p. 228, Lond. 1841, that soon after the accession of Anne, there was a proposal to debar dissenters of their votes in elections,' and we know from Burnet (Uses Time, vol. v. pp. 108, 136, 137, 218) that the clergy would have been glad if Anne had displayed even more zeal against them than she really did.

198 BOGUE and BENNETT'S Hist. of the Dinsenters, vol., ini. p. 118. In Iviney's History of the Baptists, it is said that the death of Anne was, an 'answer to the dissenters' prayers.' SOUTHEY'S Commonplace Book, third series, p. 135; see also p. 147, on the joy of the dissenters at the death of this troublesome woman.

196 Two of the worst of them. 'the act against occasional conformity, and that restraining education, were repealed in the session of

more on a level with their opponents, and as their temper was soured by the injuries they had recently received, it was clear that a great struggle between the two parties was inevitable, 197 For by this time the protracted tyranny of the English clergy had totally destroyed those feelings of respect which, even in the midst of hostility, often linger in the mind; and by the influence of which. if they had still existed, the contest might perhaps have been averted But such motives of restraint were now despised; and the dissenters, exasperated by incessant persecution.198 determined to avail themselves of the dechining power of the church. They had resisted her when she was strong, it was hardly to be expected that they would spare her when she was feeble. Under two of the most remarkable men of the eighteenth century, Whitefield, the first of theological orators, 199 and Wesley, the

1712' Harlan's Coust Hest vol n. p. 398. The repeal of the act against occasional conformity was strengously opposed by the archibishops of York and of Canterbury (Bogue and Bennert's Hest of the Inscenters, vol in p. 132), but their opposition was futile, and when the Bishop of London, in 1726 wished to strain the Act of Touration, he was prevented by Yorke, the attorney-general. See the 1727 reply of Yorke, in Harris's Life of Hinducke, vol 1, pp. 193,

cited by the way in which the dissenters were beginning to organize themselves into societies and expods. See, in the larmon Correspond, vol ii pp 128-130, 133, 156, some curious evidence of this, in letters written by Vernon, who was then secretary of state, and on the apprehensions caused by the increase of their schools, and by their systematic interference in elections, see Lite of Archivilop Sharp, edited by Newcome, vol. i pp 125, 358. The church was eager to put down all dissenters' schools, and in 1705 the Archbishop of York told the House of Lords that he 'apprehended danger from the in crease of dissenters, and particularly from the many academies set up by them.' Parl Hist, vol. vi. pp. 492, P3. See also, on the increase of their schools, pp. 1351, 1352.

1.9 In Somens's Tracts, vol xii, p 684, it is stated, that in the reign of Charles II. 'this hard usage had begotten in the dissenters the utmost animosity against the persecuting churchmen.' Their increasing discontent, in the reign of Anne, was observed by Chamy. See Calabay's Own Life, vol. ii. pp. 244, 255, 274, 284, 285...

which to judge an orator, we may certainly pronounce Whitefield to be the greatest since the apostles. His first sermon was dilivered in 1736 (Nichols's Lil. Anec. vol. ii. pp. 102, 122), his field-preaching began in 1739 (Souther's Life of Weden, vol. 1 pp. 196, 197); and the eighteen thousand sermons which he is said to have poured forth

first of theological statesmen, 200 there was organized a great system of religion, which bore the same relation to the Church of England that the Church of England bore to the Church of Rome. Thus, after an interval of two hundred years, a second spiritual Reformation was effected in our country. In the eighteenth century the Weslevans were to the Bishops what, in the sixteenth century, the Reformers were to the Popes. 201 It is indeed true, that the dissenters from the Church of England, unlike the dissenters from the Church of Rome, soon lost that intellectual vigour for which at first they were remarkable. Since the death of their great leaders, they have not produced one man of original genrus; and since the time of Adam Clarke, they have not had among them even a single scholar who has enjoyed an European reputation. This mental penury is perhaps owing, not to any circumstances peculiar to their sect, but merely to that general decline of the theological spirit, by which their adversaries have been weakened as well as themselves.202 Be this as it

during his career of thirty-four years (Southey's Herdey vil 14, p. 531) produced the most astonishing effects on all classes, educated. For evidence of the excitement caused by this many and of the eagerness with which his discourses were read as well as heard, see Nichola's Lot line, vol 11, pp. 546, 347, and his lituatiations vol. 1v. pp. 302-304, Mem of Franklin, by Himself, vol 1 pp. 161-167. Doddridge's Correspond vol 1v. p. 555; Stewart's Philos of the Mind, vol. 1ii pp. 291, 292, Lady Mary Montagu's Letters, in her Works, 1803, vol. 1v. p. 162, Correspond, between Ladies Pointed and Hartford, 2nd edit. 1806, vol. i pp. 138, 160-162, Marchmont Papers, vol. 11 p. 377

²⁰⁰ Of whom Mr. Macaulay has said (Escays, vol. i. p. 221, 3rd edit.), that his genius for government was not inferior to that of Richelieu, and strongly as this is expressed, it will hardly appear an exaggeration to those who have compared the success of Wesley with his difficulties.

201 It was in 1739 that Wesley first openly rebelled against the church, and refused to obey the Bishop of Bristol, who ordered him to quit his diocess. SOUTHEY'S Life of Wesley, vol. i pp. 226, 243. In the same year he began to preach in the fields. See the remarkably entry in his Journals, p. 73, 29th March, 1739.

They frankly confess that 'indifference has been another enemy to the increase of the dissenting cause.' Rogue and Bennert's Hist. of the Dissenters, vol. iv. p. 320. In Newman's Development of Christian Doctrine, pp. 39—43, there are some remarks on the diminished energy of Wesleyanism, which Mr. Newman seems to ascribe to the fact that the Wesleyans have reached that point in which order takes the place

may, it is at all events certain, that the injury they have inflicted on the English church is far greater than is generally supposed, and, I am inclined to think, is hardly inferior to that which in the sixteenth century Protestantism inflicted upon Popery. Setting aside the actual loss in the number of its members, 291 there can be no doubt that the mere formation of a Protestant faction, unopposed by the government, was a dangerous precedent; and we know from contemporary history that it was so considered by those who were most interested in the result. 2014.

of enthusiasm.' p. 43. This is probably true, but I still think that the larger cause has been the more active one

²⁰³ Walpole, in his sneering way, mentions the spread of Methodism in the middle of the eighteenth century (Walpole's Letters, vol. 11 pp. 266, 272), and Lord Carlisle, in 1775, told the House of Lords (Parl. Histo, vol. xviii. p. 634) "that Methodism was daily gaining ground, particularly in the manufacturing towns," while, to come down still later, it appears from a letter by the Duke of Wellington to Lord Eldon (Twiss's Lipe of Eldon, vol. 11, p. 36) that about 1805 it was making proscriptes in the army.

These statements, though accurate, are somewhat vague; but we have other and more precise evidence respecting the rapid growth of religious dissent. According to a paper found in one of the chests of William III, and printed by Dalrymple (Memoirs, vol ii. part ii, appendix to chapter 1 p. 40), the proportion in England of conformists to nonconformists was as 22%, to 1. Eighty-four years after the death of William, the dissenters, instead of comprising only a twentythird, were estimated at 'a fourth part of the whole community.' Letter from Watson to the Duke of Rutland, written in 1786, in Life of Watson, Bishop of Handaff, vol 1, p. 246. Since then, the movement has been uninterrupted, and the returns recently published by government disclose the startling fact, that on Sunday, 31st March 1851, the members of the Church of England who attented morning service only exceeded by one-half the Independents, Baptists, and Methodists who attended at their own places of worship See the Census Table, in Journal of Statist. Soc. vol. xviii. p. 151. If this rate of decline continues, it will be impossible for the Church of England to survive another century the attacks of her enemies.

204 The treatment which the Wesleyans received from the clergy, many of whom were magistrates, shows what would have taken place if such violence had not been discouraged by the government. See SOUTHEY'S Life of Wesley, vol. i. pp. 395—406 Wesley has himself given many details, which Southey did not think proper to make, of the calumnies and insults to which he and his followers were subjected by the clergy. See Wheley's Journals, pp. 114, 145.; 179, 181, 193, 235, 256, 275, 375, 562, 679, 637, 646. Compare Watson's Observations on Southey's Wesley, pp. 173, 174; and for other evidence of the treatment of those who differed from the church, see Coursepondence and Diary of Doddridge, vol. ii. p. 17, vol. iii. pp. 108, 131, 132, 144, 145, 156. Grogley, who visited England in 1655, says of

"sides this, the Wesleyans displayed an organization so superior to that of their predecessors the Puritans, that they soon became a centre round which the enemies of the church could conveniently rally. And, what is perhaps still more important, the order, regularity, and publicity, by which their proceedings have usually been marked, distinguished them from other sects; and by raising them as it were to the dignity of a rival establishment, have encouraged the diminution of that exclusive and superstitious respect which was once paid to the Anglican hierarchy.²⁰³

But these things, interesting as they are, only formed a single step of that vast process by which the ecclesiastical power was weakened, and our countrymen thus enabled to secure a religious liberty, imperfect indeed, but far superior to that possessed by any other people. Among the innumerable symptoms of this great movement, there were two of peculiar importance. These were, the separation of theology, first from morals, and then from politics. The separation from morals was effected late in the

Whiteheld. The ministers of the established religion did their utmost to baffle the new preacher, they preached against him, representing him to the people as a fanatic, a visionary, &c. &c; in fine, they opposed him with so much success, that they caused him to be pelted with stones in every place where he opened his mouth to the public.' GROSLEY'S Torn to London, Lond. 1772, vol i p. 356

200 That Wesleyamsm encouraged dissent by imparting to it an orderly character, which in some degree approximated to church-discipline, is judiciously observed in Boot & and Bennert's History of the Dissenters, vol mi. pp 185, 186. But these writers deal rather too harshly with Wesley, though there is no doubt that he was a very ambitious man, and over-fond of power. At an early period of his career he began to aim at objects higher than those attempted by the Puritans, whose efforts, particularly in the sixteenth century, he looked at somewhat contemptuously. Thus, for instance, 1747, only eight years after he had revolted against the church, he expresses in his Journal his wonder 'at the weakness of those holy confessors' (the Elizabethan Puritans), 'many of whom spent so much of their time and strength in disputing about surplice and hoods, or kneeling at the Lord's Supper!' Journals, p. 249, March 13th, 1747. Such warfare as this would have ill satisfied the searing mind of Wesley; and from the spirit which pervades his voluminous Journals, as well as from the careful and far-seeing provisions which he made for managing his sect, it is evident that this great schismatic had larger views than any of his predecessors, and that he wished to organize a system capable of rivalling the e-tablished church.

seventeenth century; the separation from politics before the middle of the eighteenth century. And it is a striking instance of the decline of the old ecclesiastical spirit, that both these great changes were begun by the clergy themselves. Cumberland, bishop of Peterborough, was the first who endeavoured to construct a system of morals without the aid of theology 200. Warburton, bishop of Gloucester, was the first who laid down that the state must consider religion in reference, not to revelation, but to expediency; and that it should favour any particular feed, not in proportion to its truth, but solely with a view to its general utility. 2007 Nor were these mere barren principles, which subsequent inquirers were unable to apply. The opinions of Cumberland, pushed to their furthest extent by flume. 2008 were shortly afterwards applied to prac-

2. Mi. Haflam (Lit of Europe vol in, p. 390) says, that Cumberland seems to have been the first Christian writer who sought to stablish systematically the principles of moral right independently of revelation. See also, on this important change, Whewell's Hist. of Moral Philosophy in English pp. 12. 54. The dangers always incurred by making theology the basis of morals are now pretty well anderstood but by no writer have they been pointed out more clearly than by M. Chailes Comte see the able exposition in his Treate de Legislation, vol. 1, pp. 223-247. There is a short and unsatisfactory account of Cumberland's book in Mackintosis's Fibral Philosophy, pp. 134-137. He was a man of considerable learning, and is noticed by M. Quatremère as one of the earliest students of Coptic. Quaterseeffer sur la Langue et la Interation de l'Emple. p. 89. He was made a bishop in 1691, having published the De Lembus in 1672. Chalmers's liting. Diet. vol. xi. pp. 134, 135.

" This was in his work entitled The Atleaner between Church and Sate, which first appeared according to Hurd (Life of Warburton, 1794 4to, p 13), in 1736, and, as may be supposed, caused great scandal. The history of its influence I shall trace on another occasion, in the mean time, the reader should compare, respecting its tendency, PALMER on the Church, vol ii, pp. 313 322, 323 PARR's Works, vol i. pp 656, 675, vol vii. p 128; WHATELY'S Daniers to Christian Faith, p 190, and Nichols's Lit. Anec. vol. iii. p. 18. In January 1739-40, Warburton writes to Stukeley (Nichole's litustrations, vol ii. p. 53): 'But you know how dangerous new roads in theology are, by the clamour of the bigots against me.' See also some letters which passed between him and the elder Pitt in 1762, on the subject of expediency, printed in Chatham Correspond, vol. ii. pp. 154 seq. Warburton wites, p 190, 'My opinion is, and ever was, that the state has nothing at all to do with errors in religion, nor the least right so much as to attempt to repress them.' To make such a man a bishop was a great feat for the eighteenth century, and would have been an impossible one for the seventeenth.

208 The relation between Cumberland and Hume consists in the

tical conduct by Paley, 209 and to speculative jurisprudence by Bentham and Mill; 210 while the opinions of Warburton, spreading with still greater rapidity, have influenced our legislative policy, and are now professed, not only by advanced thinkers, but even by those ordinary men, who, if they had lived fifty years earlier, would have shrunk from them with undissembled fear. 211

Thus it was that, in England, theology was finally severed from the two great departments of ethics and of government. As, however, this important change was at first not of a practical, but solely of an intellectual character, its operation was, for many years, confined to a small class, and has not yet produced the whole of those results which we have every reason to anticipate. But there were other circumstances which tended in the same.

entirely secular plan according to which both investigated ethics, in other respects, there is great difference between their conclusions, but if the anti-theological method is admitted to be sound, it is certain that the treatment of the subject by Hume is more consequential from the premisses, than is that by his predecessors. It is this which makes Hume a continuator of Cumberland, though with the advantage, not only of coming half a century after him, but of possessing a more comprehensive mind. The ethical speculations of Hume are in the third book of his Treatise of Human Nature (Hume's Philosophical Works, Edin. 1826, vol. ii. pp. 219 seq.), and in his Inquiry concerning the Principles of Morals, bid vol. iv. pp. 237—355.

^{20°} The moral system of Paley, being essentially utilitarian, completed the revolution in that field of inquiry; and as his work was drawn up with great ability, it exercised immense influence in an ago already prepared for its reception. His Moral and Political Philosophy was published in 1785; in 1786 it became a standard book at Cambridge, and by 1805 it had 'passed through fifteen editions.' Meadily's Memoirs of Paley, pp. 127, 145. Compare Whewell's Hist. of Moral Philosophy, p. 176.

²¹⁰ That the writings of these two eminent men form part of the same scheme, is well known to those who have studied the history of they school to which the belong; and on the intellectual relation they bore to each other, I cannot do better than refer to a very striking letter by James Mill himself, in Bertham's Works, edit. Howring, vol. x. pp. 481, 482.

The ropeal of the Test Act, the admission of Catholics into parliament, and the steadily increasing feeling in favour of the admission of the Jews, are the leading symptoms of this great movement. On the gradual diffusion among us of the doctrine of expediency, which, on all subjects not yet raised to sciences, ought to be the sole regulator of human actions see a remarkable, but a mournful letter, written in 1812, in the Life of Witherforce, vol. iv. p. 28. See also the speech of Lord Eldon in 1828, in Twiss's Life of Eldon, vol. ii. p. 203.

direction, and which, being known to all men of tolerable education, produced effects more immediate, though perhaps less permanent. To trace their details, and point out the connexion between them, will be the business of part of the future volumes of this work: at present, I can only glance at the leading features. Of these, the most prominent were: The great Arian controversy, which, rashly instigated by Whiston, ctarke, and Waterland, disseminated doubts among nearly all classes, 212 the Bangorian controversy, which, involving matters of ecclesiastical discipline hitherto untouched, led to discussions dangerous to the power of the church; 213 the great work of Blackburne on the Confessional, which at one moment almost caused a schism in the Establishment itself; 214 the

^{&#}x27;From a currous passage in Hullon's Lofe of Himsell, p. 27, we learn that in 17.9, the scepterism of the Anti-Trintarians had penetrated among the tradesmen at Nottingham Compare, respecting the spread of this heres, Nichols's Ist Anec. vol vin p. 375. Prinstley's Memoris. vol i pp. 15, 26, 53; Doddheide's Correspond, and Diary, vol ii p. 477 note, and on Peirce, who took an active part, and whom Whiston boasts of having corrupted, see Whiston's Memoris, pp. 143, 144. Sharp, who was Archbiehop of York when the contourers began, foresaw its dangerous consequences. Life of Sharp, edited by Newcome, vol ii pp. 7, 8, 135, 136. See further Maclains's note in Moshkin's Fielewicki Hist. vol ii. pp. 223, 294, Laihbury's Hist. of Concolation, pp. 338-342, 351, and whote in Buildes's Remains vol ii pp. 206, 207.

¹¹² Mr. Butler (Mem of the Catholics vol 111 pp 132-184, 347-359) notices with evident pleasure the effect of this famous controversy in weakening the Anglican Church Compare Bogur and Bennert's Hist of the Discenters, vol. 111. pp. 135-141 Whiston (Memoirs, p 234) says: 'Ahd, indeed, this Bangorian controversy seemed for a Igreat while to engross the attention of the public.' See more about it in Lathbury's Hist of Convocation, pp. 372-383, Nichole's Illustrations, vol. i. p. 840, Bishop Newtons Life of Himrelf, pp. 177, 178.

²¹⁴ The Confessional, a most able attack on the subscription of creeds and articles, was published in 1766, and, according to a contemporary observer, 'it excited a general spirit of inquiry.' Capper's Memoirs, pp. 147, 148. The consequence was, that in 1772 a society was instituted by Blackburne and other clergy of the Church of England, with the avowed object of doing away with all subscriptions in religion. *Nionole's Int. Amec. vol. i. p. 570, Illustrations, vol. vi, p. 554. A petition against the Articles was at once drawn up, signed by 200 clergy (Adolfpules's George III. vol. 1, p. 506), and absought before the House of Commons. In the animated dobate which followed, Sir William Meredith said that 'the Thirty-nine Articles of the Church of England were framed when the spirit of free inquiry, when liberal and enlarged notions, were yet in their infancy.' Park Hist. vol. xvii. p. 246 He added, p. 247 'Soveral of the Articles are ab-

ENGLISH INTELLECT FROM THE

celebrated dispute respecting miracles between Middleton. Church, and Dodwell, continued, with still larger views, by Hume, Campbell, and Douglas; ²¹⁵ the exposure of the gross absurdities of the Fathers, which, already begun by Daillé and Barbeyrac, was followed up by Cave, Middleton, and Jortin; the important and unrefuted statements of Gibbon, in his fifteenth and sixteenth chapters; the additional strength conferred on those chapters by the lame attacks of Davis, Chelsum, Whitaker, and Watson; ²¹⁶ while, not to mention inferior matters, the century was closed amid the confusion caused by that decisive controversy between Porton and Travis, respecting the text of the Heavenly Witnesses, which excited immense attention, ²¹⁷ and was immediately accompanied by the discov-

solutely unintelligible, and, indeed, contradictory and absurd.' Lord George German said 'In my apprehension, some of the Articles are incomprehensible, and some self-contradictory,' p. 265. Mi. Sawbridge declared that the Articles are 'strikingly absurd,' Mr. Salter that they are 'too absurd to be defended,' p. 294. For further information on this attempt at reform, see DISNEY'S Life of Jehh, pp. 31—36; MEADLEY'S Mem. of Paley, pp. 88—94, HODGSON'S Life of Poiteus, pp. 38—40, Memoirs of Piestley, vol 11 p. 582, and a characteristic notice in Palmer's Tiesties on the Church, vol. i. pp. 370, 271.

215 Hume says, that on his return from Italy in 1749, he found 'all England in a ferment on account of Dr. Middleton's Free Inquiry.' Hume's Life of Himself, in his Works, vol. i. p. 7 See also, on the excitement caused by this masterly attack, Nicholas's Illustrations of the Eighteenth Century vol. ii. p. 176, which should be compared with Doddledon's Correspond. vol. iv. pp. 536, 537 and on the 'miraculous controversy' in general, see Porteus's Life of Secker, 1197, p. 38; Phillimore's Mem of Lyttleton, vol. i. p. 161, Nicholas's Lit. linec. vol. ii. pp. 440, 527, vol. iii. pp. 535, 750, vol. v. pp. 417, 418, 600; Hull's Letters, 1778, vol. 1. p. 109, Warburton's Letters to Hurd, pp. 49, 50.

216 Gibbon's Decline and Fall has now been jealously scrutinized by two generations of eager and unscrupulous opponents, and I am only expressing the general opinion of competent judges when I say, that by each successive scrutiny it has gained fresh reputation. Against his celebrated fifteenth and sixteenth chapters, all the devices of controversy have been exhausted; but the only result has been, that while the fame of the historian is untarnished, the attacks of his enemies are falling into complete oblivion. The work of Gibbon remains; but who is there who feels any interest in what was written against him?

217 On the effect produced by these matchless letters of Posson, see Harpord's Life of Bishop Burgess, p. 374; and as to the previous agitation of the question in England, see Calamy's Own Life, vol. 4. pp. 442, 443; Monk's Life of Bentley, vol. ii. pp. 16—19, 146, 286—28;

eries of geologists, in which, not only was the fidelity of the Mosaic cosmogony impugned, but its accuracy was shown to be impossible. 218 These things, following each other in rapid and startling succession, perplexed the faith of men, disturbed their easy credulity, and produced effects on the public mind, which can only be estimated by those who have studied the history of that time in its original sources. Indeed, they cannot be understood, even in their general bearings, except by taking into consideration some other circumstances with which the great progress was intimately connected.

For, in the mean time, an immense change had begun, not only among speculative minds, but also among the people themselves. The increase of scepticism stimulated

RUTLER'S Reminiscences, vol. 1. p. 211 Compare Somers's Tracts, vol. xii. , vol. xiii. p. 458.

The Sceptical character of geology was first clearly exhibited during the last thirty years of the eighteenth century. Previously, the geologists had for the most part allied themselves with the theologians, but the increasing boldness of public opinion now enabled them to institute independent investigations, without regard to doctrines hitherto received. In this point of view, much was effected by the researches of Hutton, whose work, says Sir Charles Lyell, contains the first attempt 'to explain the former changes of the earth's crust by reference exclusively to natural agents.' LYELL's Principles of Geology, p. 50 To establish this method was, of course, to dissolve the alliance with the theologians, but an earlier symptom of the change was seen in 1773, that is, fifteen years before Hutton wrote. see a letter in WATSON'S Life of Himself, vol. 1 p. 402, where it is stated that the 'free-thinkers' attacked the 'Mosaic account of the world's age, especially since the publication of Mr. Brydone's Travels through Sicily and Malta.' According to Lowndes (Biblio-grapher's Manual, vol. i. p. 279), Brydone's book was published in 1773; and in 1784 Sir William Jones notices the tendency of these inquiries; see his Discourse on the Gods of Greece, Italy, and India, m which he observes (Works, vol. i. p. 233) with regret, that he lived in 'an age when some intelligent and virtuous persons are inclined to doubt the authenticity of the accounts delivered by Moses concerning the primitive world. Since then, the progress of geology has been so rapid, that the historical value of the writings of Moses 18 abandoned by all enlightened men, even among the clergy them-selves. I need only refer to what has been said by two of the most eminent of that profession, Dr. Arnold and Mr. Baden Powell, See the observations of Arnold in ENEWMAN'S Phases of Faith, p. 111 (compare pp. 122, 123); and the still more decisive remarks in Powell's Sermons on Christianity without Judaism, 1856, pp. 38, 39 For other instances, see Lyell's Second Visit to the United States, 1849, vol. 1. Pp. 219, 220.

their curiosity; and the diffusion of education supplied the means of gratifying it. Hence, we find that one of the leading characteristics of the eighteenth century, and one which pre-eminently distinguished it from all that preceded, was a craving after knowledge on the part of those classes from whom knowledge had hitherto been shut out. It was in that great age, that there were first established schools for the lower orders on the only day they had time to attend them. 219 and newspapers on the only day they had time to read them. 220 It was then that there were first seen, in our country, circulating libraries; 221 and it was then, too, that the art of printing.

11 It is usually supposed that Sunday-schools were began by Raikes. in 17s1 but, though he appears to have been the first to organize them on a suitable scale there is no doubt that they were established by Lindsey, in or immediately after 1765. See Cappe's Memoirs, pp 118, 122 Harbord's Life of Buildess, p 92; Richols's Lit. Anec. vol. in pp 440, 441, vol. ix p 540, Chalibers's Bool Dut vol. xxv. p 485, Journ. of State Soc. vol. x. p. 1% vol. xtil. p 265, Hoddson's Life of Porteus, p 92. It is said, in Spencke's Social States, p 333, that the clergy of the Church of England were, as a body, opposed to the establishment of Sunday-schools. (Compare Watson's Observations on Southey's Bretien, p 149). At all events, they increased rapidly, and by the end of the century had become common. See Nichols's Lit. Anec. vol. v. pp 678, 679. Nichols's Hustrations, vol. p. 460. Life of Wilbertorce, vol., p 180, vol. ii. p. 296, Wesley's Lurnals, pp. 806, 887.

20 Mr Hunt Hist of Neupapers, vol 1, p 273) makes no mention of Sunday nowspapers earlier than 8 notice by Crabbe in 1785, but, in 1799, Lord Belgiave said, in the House of Commons, that they mist appeared 'about the year 1780' Part That vol xxxiv, p 1006 In 1799, Wilberforce tried to have a law enacted to suppress them. Life of Wilberforce, vol 11 p 338, 424

221 When Franklin came to London, in 172s, there was not a single circulating library in the metropolis. See Franklin's Life of Himself. vol 1 p 64, and, in 1697, 'the only library in London which approached the nature of a public library was that of Sion College, belonging to the London clergy,' ELLIS's Letters of Literary Men, p. 245. The exact date of the earliest circulating library I have not yet ascertained, but, according to Southey (The Doctor, edit. Warter, 1848, p. 271), the first set up in London was about the middle of the eighteenth century, by Samuel Fancourt. Hutton (Life of Himself. p. 279) says: 'I was the first who opened a circulating library in Birmingham, in 1751.' Other notices of them, during the latter half of the century, will be found in Colenidon's Riographia Literaria. vol. ii. p. 329, edit. 1847, LEIGH HURT's Autobiography, vol. i. p. 260, Nichols's Lit. Anec. vol. iii. pp. 648, 682, Nichols's Illustrations, vol. 1. p 424, Whewall's Hist of Moral Philosophy, p. 190; Singlain's Correspond vol. i p. 143. Indeed, they increased so rapidly, that some wise men proposed to tax them, 'by a licence, at the rate of instead of being almost confined to London, began to be generally practised in country-towns ²²² It was also in the eighbeenth century, that the earliest systematic efforts were made to popularize the sciences, and facilitate the acquisition of their general principles, by writing treatises on them in an easy and untechnical style; ²²³ while, at the

24. 6d. per 100 volumes per annum? Sinclaims Hist of the Recenue, vol. iii. p. 268.

202 In 1746, Gent, the well-known printer, wrote his own life. In this curious work, he states, that in 1714 there were 'few printers in England, except London, at that time none then, I am sure, at Chester, Liverpool, Whitehaven, Preston, Manchester, Kendal, and Leeds, as for the most part now abound' Life of Thomas GENT, pp 20, 21 (Compare a list of country printing-houses, in 1724, in Nichols's Lit Anec vol. 1 p. 289) How this state of things was remedied, is a most important inquiry for the historian, but in this note I can only give a few illustrations of the condition of different districts. The first printing-office in Rochester was established by Fisher, who died in 1786 (Nichola's Lit Ance vol in p 675), the first in Whitby, was in 1770 (Illustrations, vol in. p 787), and Richard Greene, who died in 1793, 'was the first who brought a printing-press to Lichfield' (fluid vol vi p 320) In the reign of Anne, there was not a single bookseller in Birmingham (Southey's Commonplace Book, 1st series, 1849, p. 568), but, in 1749, we find a printer established there (HULL's Letters, Lond 1778, vol i p 92), and, in 1774, there was a printer even in Falkirk (Parl Hist vol xvn, p. 1099) In other parts the movement was slower, and we are told that, about 1780, 'there was scarcely a bookseller in Cornwall.' Lafe of Samuel Drew, hy hir Son, 1834, pp 40, 41

²²⁸ Desaguliers and Hill were the two first writers who gave themselves up to popularizing physical truths. At the beginning of the reign of George I Desaguliers was 'the first who read lectures in London on experimental philosophy' Southey's Commonplac Rook, 3d series, 1850, p. 77. See also Penny Coclopadia, vol vin p. 430, and on his elementary works, compare Nichola's Lie Line vol vi p. 81. As to Hill, he is said to have set the example of publishing popular scientific works in numbers; a plan so well suited to that inquisitive age, that, if we believe Horace Walpole, he 'carned fifteen guineas a week.' Letter to Henry Zouch, January 3d, 1761, in Walpole's Letters, vol. iv. p. 117, edit. 1860.

In the latter half of the eightcenth century, the demand for books on the natural sciences rapidly increased (see, among many other instances which might be quoted, a note in Pulltner's Hist. of Botany, vol. M. p. 180); and, early in the reign of theoree III., Priestley began to write popularly on physical subjects (Memorry of Priestley, vol. i. pp. 288, 289.) Goldsmith did something in the same direction (Priori's Life of Goldsmith, vol. i. pp. 414, 469, vol. ii. p. 1861; and Pennant, whose earliest work appeared in 1766, was 'the first who treated the natural history of Britain in a popular and interesting style.' Swaitson on the Study of Natural History, p. 50. In the reign of George II. publishers began to encourage elementary works on chemistry. Nichols's Lit afact vol. ix p. 763.

ENGLISH INTELLECT FROM THE

same time, the invention of Encyclopædias enabled their results to be brought together, and digested in a form more accessible than any hitherto employed. 224 Then, too, we first meet with literary periodical reviews; by means of which large bodies of practical men acquired information, scanty indeed, but every way superior to their former ignorance. 225 The formation of societies for purchasing books now became general; 226 and, before the close of the century, we hear of clubs instituted by reading men among the industrious classes. 227 In every department, the same eager curiosity was shown. In the middle of the eighteenth century, debating societies sprung up among tradesmen: 228 and this was followed by a still bolder innovation, for, in 1769, there was held the first public meeting ever assembled in England, the first in which it was attempted

²²⁴ In 1704, 1708, and 1710, Harris published his Inctionary of Arra and Sciences; and from this, according to Nichola's Let Aner vol. ix. pp 770, 771, has 'originated all the other dictionaries and cyclopedias that have since appeared? Compare vol. v. p. 659, and Bosus and Bennett's Hist. of the Dissenters, vol. iv. p. 500

England to establish literary journals Hallam's Lit. of Europe, vol. in. p. 539, and Dibdis's Bibliomania, 1842. p 16. But reviews as we now understand the word, meaning a critical publication, we make now before the accession of George II, but, about the middle of his reign, they began to increase. Compare Wright's England under the House of Hanover, 1848, vol. p. 304, with Nichols's Lit Anec vol. in. pp. 507, 508. At an earlier period, the functions of reviews were performed, as Monk says, by pamphlets. Monk's Life of Bentley, vol. 1 p. 112.

²²¹ As we find from many casual notices of book-clubs and book-societies. See, for example DODDRIDGE'S Correspond vol. ii. pp. 57. 119, JESSE'S Lye of Selwyn, vol. ii. p. 23; NICHOLE'S Hustrations of the Lighteenth Century, vol v. pp. 184, 824, 825; WARKYIKLD'S Life of Himself, vol. i. p. 528, Memoirs of Sir J. E. Smith, vol. i. p. 8; Life of Roscoe, by his Son, vol. i. p. 228 (though this last was perhaps a circulating library).

^{22;} 'Numerous associations or clubs, composed principally of reading men of the lower ranks.' Life of Dr. Currie, by his Son, vol. i. p. 175.

230 Of which the most remarkable was that called the Robin-Hood Society; respecting which the reader should compare Campbell's Lives of the Chancellors, vol. vi. p. 373; (Robin's Lond, vol. i. p. 150, Parl, Hist. vol. xvii. p. 301; Souther's Commonplation, etc. as eries, p. 339; Forestre's Life of Goldsmith, vol. i. provide the of Goldsmith, vol. i. provide the of Goldsmith, vol. i. pp. 419, 420; Prior's Life of Burke, p. 754 Nichole's Lit. Ancc. vol. ii p. 154.

to enlighten Englishmen respecting their political rights.²²⁹ About the same time, the proceedings in our courts of law began to be studied by the people, and communicated to them through the medium of the daily press.²³⁰ Shortly before this, political newspapers arose,²³¹ and a sharp struggle broke out between them and the two Houses of Parliament touching the right of publishing the debates; the end of which was, that both houses, though aided by the grown, were totally defeated; and, for the first time, the people were able to study the proceedings of the factional legislature, and thus gain some acquaintance with

22.4 From the summer of 1769 is to be dated the first establishment of public meetings in England' ALBEMARL'S Mem. of Rockingham. vol 11. y. 93. 'Public meetings, . . . through which the people might declare their newly-acquired consciousness of power, . . . cannot be distinctly traced higher than the year 1769, but they were now (**. **e. 1709) of daily occurrence.' Cooke's Hist. of Paity, vol. iii. p. 187. See also Hallan's 'cost Hist. vol 1i p. 420.

The most interesting trials were first noticed in newspapers wards the end of the reign of George II. CAMPBLL's Chancellers, vol. v. p. 52, vol. vi. p. 54.

231 In 1696, the only newspapers were weekly; and the first daily paper appeared in the reign of Anne. Compare SIMMONDS'S Essay on Newspapers; in Journal of Statist. Society, vol. iv. p. 113, with HURT'S Hist. of Newspapers, vol. 1. pp. 167, 175, vol. ii. p. 90, and Nichols's Lit Anec vol. iv p. 80 In 1710, they, instead of merely communicating news, as heretofore, began to take part in 'the discussion of political topics' (HALLAM'S Cong. Hist, vol. 11 p. 443); and, as this change had been preceded a very few years by the introduction of cheap political pamphlets (see a curious passage in Wilson's Life of De Fue, vol ii p 29), it became evident that a great movement was at hand in regard to the diffusion of such inquiries. Within twenty years after the death of Anne the revolution was completed; and as, for the first time in the nistory of the new power of public opinion. The earliest notice of this new power as, for the first time in the history of the world, was made an tion I have met with, in parliament, is in a speech delivered by Mayers, in 1738; which is worth quoting, both because it marks an epoch, and because it is characteristic of that troublesome class to which the man belonged. 'But I believe.' says this distinguished legislator, - 'but I believe the people of Great Britain are governed by a power that never was heard of, as a supreme authority, in any age or country before. This power, sir, does not consist in the absolute will of the prince, in the direction of parliament, in the atrangth of an army, in the influence of the clergy, neither, sir, is it's petticoat government: but, sir, it is the government of the press. The stuff which our weekly newspapers are filled with, is received with greater reverence than acts of parliament, and the sentiments of one of those scribblers have more weight with the multitude than the opinion of the best politician in the kingdom' Parl. Hist. vol x. p. 448

the national affairs. ²³² Scarcely was this triumph completed, when fresh stimulus was given by the promulgation of that great political doctrine of personal representation, ²³³ which must eventually carry all before it; and the germ of which may be traced late in the seventeenth century when the true idea of personal independence began to take root and flourish. ²³⁴ Finally, it was reserved for the eighteenth century, to set the first example of calling on the people to adjudicate upon those solemn questions of religion in which hitherto they had never been consulted, although it is now universally admitted that to their

232 This great contest was brought to a close in 1771 and 1772, when, as Lord Campbell says, 'the right of publishing parliamentary debates was substantially established' Campbell's Chancellors vol v. p. 511, vol vi p 90. For further information respecting that important victory, see Cooke's Hist of Party, vol in pp 179-184. Almon's Corresp of Wilkes 1805, vol v p 03 Stephens's Mem of Cooke, vol 1, pp 329-351, Mahon's Hist of Indiand, vol. v p 290, and, on its connexion with Junich's Letters, see Forbers's Letter Goldsmith, vol. ii, pp 183, 184

George III., always consistent and always wrong, strenuously opposed this extension of the popular rights. In 1771, he wrote to Lord North. 'It is highly necessary that this strange and lawless method of publishing debates in the papers should be gut a stop to But is not the House of Lords the best court to bring such miscreants before, as it can fine, as well as imprison, and has broader shoulders to support the odium of so salutary a measure.' App to MAHON, vol. v. p. xlvin, and not in Walpole's Groupe III vol. v. 280, where the words, 'in the papers' are omitted, but I copy the letter, as printed by Lord Mahon. In other respects, both veisions are the same, so that we now know the idea George III, had of what con stituted a miscreant.

Constitution, says 'Dr Jebb, and after him Mr. Cartwright, beauthed the theory of personal representation,' but this appears to mistake, since the theory is said to have been first put forward. Cartwright, in 1776 Compare Russell. on the Constitution, 1821, 240, 241, with Life and Corresp. of Cartwright, 1826, vol. 1. pp. 362. A letter in the Life of Dr. Currie, vol. 11. pp. 307—314, shows the interest which even sober and practical men were beginning to feel in the doctrine before the end of the century.

214 On this I have a philological remark of some interest, — namely, that there is reason to believe that 'the word' 'independence,' in its modern acceptation,' does not occur in our language before the early part of the eighteenth century. See HARR'S Guesses at Truth, 2d series, 1848, p. 252. A similar change, though at a later period, took place in France. See the observations on the word 'individualisme,' in Tocqueville, Démocratie en Amérique, vol. iv. p. 156; and in the later work, by the same author, L'Ancien Régime, Paris, 1856, pp. 148, 149.

growing intelligence these, and all other matters, must altimately be referred. 235

In connexion with all this, there was a corresponding change in the very form and make of our literature. The barsh and pedantic method, which our great writers had long been accustomed to employ, was ill suited to an impetuous and inquisitive generation, thirsting after knowledge, and therefore intolerant of obscurities formerly unheeded. Hence it was that, early in the eighteenth century, the powerful but cumbrons language, and the long involved sentences so natural to our ancient authors, were, notwithstanding their beauty, suddenly discarded, and were succeeded by a lighter and simpler style, which, being more rapidly understood, was better sinted to the exigencies of the age, 2.50

The extension of knowledge being thus accompanied

Architechop Whatery (Danaers & Constant Faith, pp. 76, 77) says. Neither the attacks on our religion nor the evidences in its spiport, were to any great extent, brought forward in a popular form, till near the close of the last century. On both sides, the learned (or those who professed to be such) seem to have agreed in this, - that the mass of the people were to acquiesce in the decision of their superiors and neither should, nor could exercise then own minds on the question. This is well put and quite true, and should be compared with the complaint in WARLDELDS Lie of Himcolf, vol. ii, p. 21. Nichole's Le Ana. of the Fighteenth Century, vol. viii, p. 144, and Hodosos s Lie of Bid op Postens, pp. 73, 74-122-125, 128. See also a speech by Mansheld, fn. 1781 (Fair Hist. vol. xxii) p. 255, when an attempt was made to put down the "theological Society." The whole debate is worth reading. not on account of its merits, but because it supplies evidence of the prevailing spirit.

2" Coleringe (11), R majors, vol 1 pp 230 seq) has made some interesting remarks on the vicissitudes of English style, and he justly observes, p 238, that, siter the Revolution, the spirit of the nation became much more commercial than it had been before, a learned body, or clerisy, as such, gradually disappeared, and literature in general began to be addressed to the common, miscellaneous public? He goes on to lament this change, though, in that, I disagree with him See also The Friend, vol. 1, p 14, where he contrasts the modern style with 'the stately march and difficult evolutions' of the great writers of the seventeenth century Compare, on this alteration, the preface to Nader Shah, in Works of Six W. Jones, vol. v, p. 544. See also, in Maktorn's Life of Burgers, pp. 40, 41, a curious letter from Monboddo, the last of our really great pedants, mourain this characteristic of modern composition. He terms it contemptuously a 'short cut of a style,' and wishes to return to 'the true ancient taste,' with plenty of 'parentheses'!

The truth is, that this movement was merely part of that tendency to approximate the different classes of society which was first clearly

by an increased simplicity in the manner of its communication, naturally gave rise to a greater independence in literary men, and a greater boldness in literary inquiries. As long as books, either from the difficulty of their style. or from the general incuriosity of the people, found but few readers, it was evident that authors must rely upon the patronage of public bodies, or of rich and titled individuals. And, as men are always inclined to flatter those upon whom they are dependent, it too often happened that even our greatest writers prostituted their abilities. by fawning upon the prejudices of their patrons. The consequence was, that literature, so far from disturbing ancient superstitions, and stirring-up the mind to new inquiries, frequently assumed a timid and subservient air. natural to its subordinate position. But now all this was changed. Those servile and shameful dedications; 237 that

seen in the eighteenth century, and which influenced not only the style of authors, but also their social habits. Hume observes that, in the 'last age,' learned men had separated themselves too much from the world, but that, in his time, they were becoming more 'conversible ' Essay I' in Hume's Philosophical Works, vol iv. pp. 53; 540 That 'philosophers' were growing men of the world, is also noticed in a curious passage in Alciphron, dial 1, in BERKELEY's Works. vol 1 p 312, and, respecting the general social amalgumation, see a letter to the Countess of Bute, in 1753, in Works of Lady Mary Montagu, edit 1803, vol 1v. pp. 194, 195. As to the influence of Addison. who led the way in establishing the easy, and therefore democratic, style, and who, more than any single writer, made literature popular, compare Aikin's Life of Addison, vol. ii p. 65, with Tunner's Hist of England, vol 11 p 7 Subsequently a reaction was attempted by Johnson, Gibbon, and Parr, but this, being contrary to the spirit of the age, was short-lived

237 And the servility was, for the most part, well paid, indeed, rewarded far more than it was worth. During the sixteenth, seventeenth, and early part of the eighteenth century, s sum of money was invariably presented to the author in return for his dedication. Of course, the grosser the flattery, the larger the sum. On the relation thus established between authors and men of rank, and on the eagerness with which even eminent writers looked to their patrons for gratuities, varying from 40s. to 1001, see Drake's Shakespeare and his Times, 1817, 4to, vol. ii. p. 225, Monk's Life of Bentley, vol. 1. pp. 194, 309; Whiston's Memoirs, p. 203, Nicholas's Illustifations, vol. ii. p. 709; Harris's Life of Hardwicke, vol. iii. p. 35; Bundley's Life of Hammer, p. 81. Compare a note in Buston's Diary, vol. iii. p. 52; and as to the importance of fixing on a proper person to whom to dedicate, see Ellis's Leiters of Lit. Men, pp. 231—234; and the matter-of-fact remark in Bishop Newlon's Life, p. 14; also Hughes's Letters, edit. 1773, vol. iii. p. xxxi. appendix

mean and crouching spirit; that incessant homage to mere rank and birth: that constant confusion between power and right; that ignorant admiration for everything which is old, and that still more ignorant contempt for every thing which is new: -all these features became gradually fainter; and authors, relying upon the patronage of the people, began to advocate the claims of their new allies with a boldness upon which they could not have ventured in any previous age. 238

From all these things there resulted consequences of vast importance. From this simplification, independence, and diffusion 239 of knowledge, it necessarily happened, that the issue of those great disputes to which I have alluded became, in the eighteenth century, more generally known than would have been possible in any preceding century.

About the middle of the eighteenth century was the turning-point of this deplorable condition, and Watson, for instance, in 1769, laid it down as a rule, 'never to dedicate to those from whom I expected favours' Warson's Lite of Himself, vol 1 p 54. So, too, Warburton, in 1758, boasts that his dedication was not, as usual, 'occupied by trifles or talsehoods.' See his letter, in Chatham Correspond, vol 1. p 315. Nearly at the same period, the same change was effected in France, where D'Alembert set the example of ridiculing the old custom See BROUGHAM'S Men of Letters, vol n pp 439, 440, Correspond, de Madame Imteffand, vol. n. p. 148; and (Lurres de Voltaire, vol. xl. p 41, vol 1x1, p 285.

236 When Le Blanc visited England, in the middle of the reign of George II., the custom of authors relying upon the patronage of individuals was beginning to die away, and the plan of publishing by subscription had become general. See the interesting details in LE BLANG, Lettres dun Français, vol. 1 pp. 305-308 and for the former state of things, see vol. ii. pp 148-153 Burke, who came to London in 1750, observes, with surprise, that 'writers of the first talents are left to the capricious patronage of the public. Netwithstanding discouragement, literature is cultivated to a high degree' PRIOR'S Life of Burke, p 21 This increasing independence also appears from the fact that, in 1762, we find the first instance of a popular writer attacking public men by name; authors having previously confined themselves 'to the initials of the great men whom they assailed.' MAHON'S Hist. of England, Vol. v. p. 19. The feud between literature and rank may be further illustrated by an entry in Holosoft's Diary for 1798, Mein. of Holoroft, vol. iii. p. 28.

189 In England, the marked increase in the number of books took place during the latter half of the eighteenth century, and particularly after 1756. See some valuable evidence in Journal of the Statistical Society, vol. iii. pp. 383, 384. To this I may add, that between 1753 and 1792, the circulation of newspapers was more than doubled.

HUNT'S Hist of Newspagers, vol. i. p. 252

It was now known that theological and political questions were being constantly agitated, in which genius and learning were on one side, and orthodoxy and tradition on the It became known that the points which were mooted were not only as to the credibility of particular facts, but also as to the truth of general principles, with which the interests and happiness of Man were intimately concerned. Disputes which had hitherto been confined to a very small part of society began to spread far and wide, and suggest doubts that served as materials for national thought. The consequence was, that the spirit of inquiry became every year more active, and more general; the desire for reform constantly increased; and it affairs had been allowed to run on in their natural course, the eighteenth century could not have passed away without decisive and salutary changes both in the church and the state. But soon after the middle of this period, there unfortunately arose a series of political combinations which disturbed the march of events, and eventually produced a crisis so full of danger, that, among any other people, it would certainly have ended either in a loss of liberty or m a dissolution of government. This disastrous reaction, from the effects of which England has, perhaps, barely recovered, has never been studied with anything like the care its importance demands, indeed, it is so little understood, that no historian has traced the opposition between it and that great intellectual movement of which I have just sketched an outline. On this account, as also with the view of giving more completeness to the present chapter, I intend to examine its most important epochs, and point out, so far as I am able, the way in which they are connected with each other. According to the scheme of this Introduction, such an inquiry must, of course, be very cursory, as its sole object is to by a foundation for those general principles, without which history is a mere assemblage of empirical observations, unconnected, and therefore unimportant. It must likewise be remembered, that as the circumstances about to be considered were not social. but political, we are the more liable to err in our conclusions respecting them; and this partly because the materials for the history of a people are more extensive, more indirect, and therefore less liable to be garbled, than are those for the history of a government: and partly because the conduct of small bodies of men, such as ministers and kings, is always more capricious, that is to say, less regulated by known laws, than is the conduct of those large bodies collectively called society, or a nation. 240 With this precautionary remark, I will now endeavour to trace what, in a mere political point of view, is the reactionary and retrogressive period of English history.

It must be considered as a most fortunate circumstance, that after the death of Anne, 244 the throne should be occupied for nearly fifty years by two princes, aliens in manners and in country, of whom one spoke our language but indifferently, and the other knew it not at all, 242. The immediate predecessors of George III were, indeed, of so sluggish a disposition, and were so profoundly ignorant of the people they undertook to govern, 245 that, notwithstanding their arbitrary temper, there was no danger

The apparent caorice and irregularity in small numbers arise from the perturbations profuced by the operation of minor and usually unknown laws. In large numbers these perturbations have a tenuency to balance each other and dins I take to be the sole foundation of the accuracy obtained by striking an average. If we could refer all phenomena to their laws, we should never use averages. Of course the expression enjoy ones is strictly speaking, maccurate and is merely a measure of our ignorance.

⁴¹ The temporary political reaction under Anne is well related by Lord Cowper, in his Hest of parties printed in appendix to CAMPBELU'S Lives on the Chancillors, vol iv pp. 111-112. This able work of Lord Campbell's, though rather naccurate for the earlier period, is particularly valuable for the history of the eighteenth century.

¹² See Remarke of the Course I George I and George II by Horace Walpote, pp. 18, xery; and Mahos switch of England, vol. 1, pp. 160, 235. The fault of George II, was in his bad pronunciation of English, but George I was not even able to pronounce it badly, and could only converse with his minister. Sir Robert Walpole, in Latin. The French court savishis state of things with great pleasure, and in December 1714, Made de Maintenon wrote to the Princess des Ursins (Lettres inedites de Maintenon, vol ii p 157). On dit que le nouveau roi d'Angleterre se dégoûte de ses sujets, et que ses sujets sont dégoûtés de lui. Dieu veuille remettre le tout en meilleux ordre! On the effect this produced on the language spoken at the English court, compare Lu Blanc, Lettres d'un Français, vol. i. p. 159.

²⁴³ In 1715, Leslie writes respecting George I., that he is 'a stranger to you, and altogether ignorant of your language, your laws, oustoms, and constitution' Somers's *Tracts*, vol. in. p. 703

of their organizing a party to extend the boundaries of the royal prerogative. ²⁴⁴ And as they were foreigners, they never had sufficient sympathy with the English church to induce them to aid the clergy in their natural desire to recover their former power. ²⁴⁵ Besides this, the fractious and disloyal conduct of many of the hierarchy must have tended to alienate the regard of the sovereign, as it had already cost them the affection of the people. ²⁴⁶

which fully confirms what we know from other sources respecting the king's ignorance of English politics. Indeed, that prince cared for nothing but soldiers and women, and his highest ambition was to combine the reputation of a great general with that of a successful hibertine. Besides the testimony of Lord Hervey, it is certain, from other authorities, that George II. was despised as well as disliked, and was spoken of contemptionsly by observers of his character, and even by his own ministers. See the Varchmont Papers, vol. 1, pp. 29, 181, 187.

In reference to the decline of the royal authority, it is important to observe, that since the accession of George I none of our sovereigns have been allowed to be present at state deliberations. See BANCKOFT'S American Revolution, vol. ii. p 47, and (AMPBELL'S Chandlors, vol. iii. p 191

Nee the remarks said to be written by Bishop Atterbury, in SOMERS'S Tracts, vol. xiii p. 534, contrasting the affection Anne felt for the church with the coldness of George I. The whole of the pamphlet (pp. 521—541) ought to be read. It affords a curious picture of a baffled churchman

²⁴⁴ The ill-feeling which the Church of England generally bore against the government of the two first Georges was openly displayed, and was so pertinacious as to form a leading fact in the history of England In 1722, Bishop Atterbury was arrested, because he was known to be engaged in a treasonable conspiracy with the Pretender. As soon as he was seized, the church offered up prayers for him. 'Under the pretence,' says Lord Mahon, — 'under the pretence of his being afflicted with the gout, he was publicly prayed for in most of the Churches of London and Westminster.' Mahon's Hist of England, vol. 11, p. 38. See also Part. Hist. vol. vii. p. 988, and vol. viii. p. 347.

At Oxford, where the clergy have long been in the ascendant, they made such efforts to instil their principles as to call down the indignation of the elder Pitt, who, in a speech in Parliament in 1754, denounced that university, which he see had for many years 'been raising a succession of treason — there flever was such a seminary!' WALPOLE'S Mem. of George II., vol. i. p. 413. Compare the Bedford Correspondence, vol. i. pp. 594, 595, with HARLIS'S Life of Hardwicke, vol. ii. p. 383; and on the temper of the clergy generally after the death of Arkie, Parl. Hist. vol. vii. pp. 541, 542, BowLes's Life of Ken, vol. ii. pp. 188, 189; Mosk's Life of Bentley, vol. i. pp. 370, 426.

The immediate consequence of this was very remarkable. For the government and the dissenters, being both opposed by the church,

These circumstances, though in themselves they may be considered triffing, were in reality of great importance. because they secured to the nation the progress of that spirit of inquiry, which, if there had been a coalition between the crown and the church, it would have been attempted to stifle. Even as it was, some attempts were occasionally made; but they were comparatively speaking rare, and they lacked the vigour which they would have possessed, if there had been an intimate alliance between the temporal and spiritual authorities. Indeed, the state of affairs was so favourable, that the old Tory faction, pressed by the people and abandoned by the crown, was unable for more than forty years to take any share in the government. 247 At the same time, considerable progress, as we shall hereafter see, was made in legislation; and our statute-book, during that period, contains ample evidence of the decline of the powerful party by which England had once been entirely ruled.

But by the death of George II. the political aspect was suddenly changed, and the wishes of the sovereign became once more antagonistic to the interests of the people. What made this the more dangerous was, that, to a superficial observer, the accession of George III. was one of the most fortunate events that could have occurred. The new king was born in England, spoke English as his mother tongue. ²⁴⁸ and was said to look upon Hanover as

naturally combined together: the dissenters using all their influence against the Pretender, and the government protecting them against ecclesiastical prosecutions. See evidence of this in Doddbinder's Correspond, and Diary, vol 1, p. 30, vol. in, p. 321, vol in, pp 110, 125, vol. iv pp 428, 436, 437, HUTTOR'S Life of Himself, pp. 153, 160 Parl. Hist. vol. xxviii. pp. 11, 393, vol. xxix, pp. 1434, 1463, Memoirs of Priestley, vol in, p. 506, Life of Wakeneld vol 1, p. 220.

The year 1762 forms an era in the history of the two factions, since it witnessed the destruction of that monopoly of honours and emoluments which the Whigs had held for forty-five years.' Cooke's Hist. of Party, vol. ii. p. 406. Compare Albermarle's Memoirs of Rockingham, vol. ii. p. 92. Lord Bolingbroke clearly foresaw what would happen in consequence of the accession of George I. Immediately after the death of Anne, she wrote to the Bishop of Rochester: But the grief of my soul is this. I see plainly that the Tory party is gone.' Macpherson's Original Papers, vol. ii. p. 651.

²⁴⁸ Grosley, who visited England only five years after the accession of George III., mentions the great effect produced upon the English

a foreign country, whose interests were to be considered of subordinate importance. 249 At the same time, the last hopes of the House of Stuart were now destroyed; 260 the Pretender himself was languishing in Italy, where he shortly after died; and his son, a slave to vices which seemed hereditary in that family, was consuming his life in an unpitied and ignominious obscurity. 251

And yet these circumstances, which appeared so favourable, did of necessity involve the most disastrous consequences. The fear of a disputed succession being removed, the sovereign was emboldened to a course on which he otherwise would not have ventured. (2) All those

when they heard the king pronounce their language without 'a for eign accent' (Krosky's Int & London, vol it p 106. It is well known that the king, in his first speech, boasted of being'a Briton, but what is, perhaps, less generally known is, that the honour was on the side of the country. What a lustre,' said the House of Lords in their address to him — 'what a lustre does it east upon the name of Briton, when you, sir are pleased to esteem it amongst your glories!' Park Heel, vol xy p 286.

** 24) Parl Hist, vol xxix, p 955, Walrour's Mr. I beorge III., vol. 1 pp. 4, 110

The accession of George III, is generally fixed on as the passed when English Jacobinism became extinct. See By the streamment of the Interferences of the post of the first court held by the new king, it was observed, says Holace Walpole that the Larled Interfield, Sir Walter Bagot, and the principal Jacobites, went to court? Walpole's Men, of treor w III, vol. 1 p. 14. Only three years earlier, the Jacobites had been active, and in 1757, highly writes to the Duke of Bedford Fox's election at Windson is very doubtful. There is a Jacobite subscription of 50001 three dagment him, with Sir James Dashwood's name at the head of it? Redford Correspond vol. 11 p. 261

25 Charles Stuart was so stopidly ignorant, that at the age of twenty-five he could hardly write, and was altegether unable to spell. Mahon's Hist of Landand, vol. an pp 165 166, and appendix, p. ix. After the death of his father, in 1766, this abject creature, who called himself king of England, went to Rome, and took to drinking Ind. vol. ii pp 351-353 In 1779, Swinburne saw him at Florence, where he used to appear every night at the opera, perfectly drunk. Swin Burner & Courts of Europe, vol. 1 pp. 253-255, and in 1787, only the year before he died, he continued the same degrading practice. See a letter from Sir J. E. Smith, written from Naples in March 1787, in Smith's Correspond, vol. i. p. 208. Another letter, written as early as 1761 (Grenville Papers, vol. 1. p. 366), describes 'theyoung betender always drunk.'

222 On the connexion between the decline of the Stuart in and the increased power of the crown under George III., confident on the Present Discontents, in Bubars's Works, vol. i. pp. 37, 128, with Watson's Life of Himself, vol. i. p. 136; and for an intimation that this result was expected, see Groslev's London, vol. ii. p. 326.

monstrous doctrines respecting the rights of kings, which the Revolution was supposed to have destroyed, were suddenly revived.233 The clergy, abandoning the now hopeless cause of the Pretender, displayed the same zeal for the House of Hanover which they had formerly displayed for the House of Stuart. The pulpits resounded with praises of the new king, of his domestic virtues, of his piety, but above all of his dutiful attachment to the English church. The result was, the establishment of an alliance between the two parties more intimate than any that had been seen in England since the time of Charles L2.4 Under their auspices, the old Tory faction rapidly rallied, and were soon able to dispossess their rivals of the management of the government. This reactionary movement was greatly aided by the personal character of George III.; for he, being despotic as well as superstitious, was equally anxious to extend the prerogative, and strengthen the church Every liberal sentiment, everything approaching to reform, nav, even the mere mention of inquiry, was abomination in the eyes of that narrow and ignorant prince. Without knowledge, without taste, without even a glimpse of one of the sciences, or a feeling for one of the fine arts, education had done nothing to enlarge a mind which nature had more than usually contracted. 255

²³³ Campbell's Chancellars, vol. v p. 245. 'The divine indefeasible right of kings became the favourite theme—in total forgetfulness of its incompatibility with the parliamentary title of the reigning monarch.' Horace Walpole (Mem. of treorge III., vol. i. p. 16) says, that in 1760 'prerogative became a fashionable word'

The respect George III. always displayed for church ceremonies formed of itself a marked contrast with the indifference of his immediate predecessors, and the change was gratefully noticed. Compare Mahon's Hist. of England, vol. v. pp. 54, 55, with the extract from Archbishop Secker, in Bangroff's American Revolution, vol. 1. p. 440. For other evidence of the admiration both parties felt and openily expressed for each other, see an address from the bishop and clergy of St. Asaph (Park's Works, vol. vii. p. 352), and a letter from the king to Pitt (Russell's Memorials of Fox, vol. iii. p. 251), which should be compared with Pringit of Fox, vol. iii. p. 251, which

Should be compared with PRIESTLEY'S Memoirs, vol. 1. pp. 137, 288.

233 The education of George III had been shamefully neglected; and when he arrived at manhebd, he never attempted to regain its deficiencies, but remained during his long life in a state of miliable ignorance. Compare BROUGHAM'S Statesmen, vol. i. pp. 13—15; WAL-POLE'S Mem. of George III. vol. i. p. 55; MAHON'S Hist. of England, vol. iv. pp. 54, 207.

[·] BUCKLE II

Totally ignorant of the history and resources of foreign countries, and barely knowing their geographical position, his information was scarcely more extensive respecting the people over whom he was called to rule. In that immense mass of evidence now extant, and which consists of every description of private correspondence, records of private conversation and of public acts, there is not to be found the slightest proof that he knew any one of those numerous things which the governor of a country ought to know; or, indeed, that he was acquainted with a single duty of his position, except that mere mechanical routine of ordinary business which might have been effected by the lowest clerk in the meanest office in his kingdom.

The course of proceeding which such a king as this was likely to follow could be easily foreseen. He gathered round his throne that great party, who, clinging to the traditions of the past, have always made it their boast to check the progress of their age. During the sixty years of his reign, he, with the sole exception of Pitt, never willingly admitted to his councils a single man of great ability: 256 not one whose name is associated with any measure of value either in domestic or in foreign policy. Even Pitt only maintained his position in the state by forgetting the lessons of his illustrious father, and abandoning those liberal principles in which he had been educated, and with which he entered public life. Because George III. hated the idea of reform, Pitt not only relinquished what he had before declared to be absolutely necessary,257 but did not besitate to persecute to the death the party with

²⁵⁶ See some good remarks by Lord John Russell in his Introduction to the Bedford Correspondence, vol. iii. p. lxii.

¹⁵⁷ In a motion for reform in Parliament in 1782, he declared that it was 'essentially necessary.' See his speech, in Parl. Hist. vol. xxii. p 1418. In 1784 he mentioned 'the necessity of a parliamentary reform;' vol. xxiv. p. 349; see also pp. 998, 999. Compare Dispar's Life of Jebb, p. 209. Nor is it true, as some have said, that he afterwards abandoned the cause of reform because the times were ganfavourable to it. On the contrary, he, in a speech delivered in 1800, said (Parl. Hist. vol. xxxv. p. 47); 'Upon this subject, sir, I think it right to state the inmost thoughts of my mind: I think it right to declare my most decided opinion, that, even if the times were proper for experiments, any, even the slightest, change in such a constitution must be considered as an evil' It is remarkable that, even as early as 1783, Paley

whom he had once associated in order to obtain it. 268 Because George III. looked upon slavery as one of those good old customs which the wisdom of his ancestors had consecrated, Pitt did not dare to use his power for procuring its abolition, but left to his successors the glory of destroying that infamous trade, on the preservation of which his royal master had set his heart. 269 Because George III. detested the French, of whom he knew as much as he knew of the inhabitants of Kamtchatka or of Tibet, Pitt, contrary to his own judgment, engaged in a war with France by which England was seriously imperilled, and the English people burdened with a debt that their remotest posterity will be unable to pay. 260 But, notwithstanding all this, when Pitt,

appears to have suspected the sincerity of Pitts professions in favour of reform. See MEADLEY's Memoirs of Paley, p. 121.

²⁶ In 1794 Grey taunted him with this in the House of Commons: 'William Pitt, the reformer of that day, was William Pitt, the prosecutor, ay and persecutor too, of reformers now.' Parl. Hist. vol. xxxi. p. 532; compare vol. xxxii. p. 559. So too Lord Campbell (Chief-Justices, vol. ii. p. 544): 'He afterwards tried to hang a few of his brother reformers who continued steady in the cause.' See further, on this damning fact in the career of Pitt, CAMPBELL'S Chancellors, vol. vii. p. 105, Brougham's Statesmen, vol. ii. p. 21, Belsham's History, vol. ix. pp. 78, 242, Life of Cartweight, vol. i. p. 198; and even a letter from the mild and benevolent Roscoe, in Life of Roscoe, by his Son, vol. i. p. 113.

25" Such was the king's zeal in favour of the slave-trade, that in 1770 'he issued an instruction under his own hand commanding the governor (of Virginia), upon pain of the highest displeasure, to assent to no law by which the importation of slaves should be in any respect prohibited or obstructed.' BANCROFT'S American Recolution, vol. m p. 456: so that, as Mr. Bancroft indignantly observes, p 469, while the courts of law had decided 'that as soon as any slave set his foot on English ground he becomes free, the king of England stood in the path of humanity, and made himself the pillar of the colonial slave-trade. The shuffling conduct of Pitt in this matter makes it hard for any honest man to forgive him. Compare BROUGHAM's Statesmen, vol. n. pp. 14, 103-105; RUSSELL'S Mem. of Fox, vol. iii. pp. 131, 278, 279; BELSHAM'S Hist. of Great Britain, vol. x. pp. 34, 35; Life of WAREFIELD, vol. i. p. 197; PORTER'S Progress of the Nation, vol. in. p. 426; HQLLAND's Mem. of the Whig Party, vol. ii. p. 157 and the striking remarks of Francis, in Part. Hist. vol. xxxii. p. 949.

²⁶⁰ That Pitt wished to remain at peace, and was hurried into the war with France by the influence of the court, is admitted, by the best-informed writers, men in other respects of different opinions. See, for instance, BROUGHAM'S Statesmen, vol. ii. p. 9; ROGERS'S Introduction to Burke's Works, p. lxxxiv.; NICHOLLE'S Recollections, vol. 11. pp. 155, 200.

only a few years before his death, showed a determination to concede to the Irish some small share of their undoubted rights, the king dismissed him from office; and the king's friends, as they were called, 261 expressed their indignation at the presumption of a minister who could oppose the wishes of so benign and gracious a master. 262 And when, unhappily for his own fame, this great man determined to return to power, he could only recover office by conceding that very point for which he had relinquished it; thus setting the mischievous example of the minister of a free country sacrificing his own judgment to the personal prejudices of the reigning sovereign.

As it was hardly possible to find other ministers who to equal abilities would add equal subservience, it is not surprising that the highest offices were constantly filled by men of notorious incapacity. Indeed, the king seemed to have an instinctive antipathy to everything great and noble. During the reign of George II., the elder Pitt had

The mere existence of such a party, with such a name, shows how, in a political point of view, England was receding during this period from the maxims established at the Revolution. Respecting this active faction, compare the indignant remarks of Burke (Works, vol. i p. 174) with Albemarks's Rockinghan, vol. i. p. 5, 307, Buckingman's Mem of George III vol. i p. 284, vol. ii. p. 184, Russrial's Mem of Fox vol. i pp. 61, 120, vol. ii. p. 51, 77, Reduct Correspond vol. iii. p. 313, Burding Remarks conces, vol. ii. p. 348, Burding Remarks George III vol. iv. p. 315; The Greenille Papers, vol. ii. pp. 33, 34, vol. iii. p. 57, vol. iv. pp. 73, 152, 174, 293, Part. Hist. vol. xvi. pp. 841, 973, vol. xviii. pp. 1001, 1246, vol. xix. pp. 435, 856, vol. xxiii. pp. 650, 1173

²⁴² See an extraordinary passage in Prilipw's Life of Sidmonth, vol 1, p. 334.

This decline in the abilities of official men was noticed by Burke, in 1770, as a necessary consequence of the new system. Compare Thoughts on the Present Discontests (Burke's Works, vol. 1. p. 149) with his striking summary (Part. Mist. vol. xvi p. 879) of the degeneracy during the first nine years of George III. 'Thus situated, the question at last was not, who could do the public business best, but who would undertake to do it at all. Men of talents and integrity would not accept of employments where they were meltiger allowed to exercise their judgment nor display the rectitude of their hearts.' In 1780, when the evil had become still force obvious, the same great observed flenounced it in his celebrated address to his Bristol constituents. 'At present,' he says, 'it is the plan of the court to make its servants insignificant.' Burke's Works, vol. i. p. 257. See further Park's Works, vol. ii. pp. 258, 269, 261.

won for himself a reputation which covered the world, and had carried to an unprecedented height the glories of the English name. ²⁶⁴ He, however, as the avowed friend of popular rights, strenuously opposed the despotic principles of the court; and for this reason he was hated by George III. with a hatred that seemed barely compatible with a sane mind. ²⁶⁵ Fox was one of the greatest statesmen of the eighteenth century, and was better acquainted than any other with the character and resources of those foreign nations with which our own interests were intimately connected. ²⁶⁴ To this rare and important knowledge he added a sweetness and an amenity of temper which extorted the praises even of his political opponents. ²⁶⁷ But he, too, was the steady supporter of civil and religious liberty;

2^{c4} The military success of his administration is related in very strong language, but not unfairly, in Mahon's Hist. of England, vol 19, 185, 186, and see the admirable summary in Brougham statesmen, vol 1 pp 33, 34 and for evidence of the fear with which he inspired the enemies of England, compare Mahon, vol. v. p. 165 note, Bedford Correspond. vol. iii. pp. 87, 246, 247, Walfole's Letters to Mann. vol 1, p. 304, edit. 1843; Walfole's Hem of George III. vol. ii. p. 232; and the reluctant admission in Grorgel. Memoires, vol. 1 pp. 79, 80.

²⁴ Lord Brougham (Sketches of Statesmen, vol. 1, pp. 22, 33) has published striking evidence of what he calls 'the truly savage feelings' with which George III, regarded Lord Chatham (compare Russell's Mem. of Fox, vol. i. p. 129). Indeed, the sentiments of the king were even displayed in the arrangements at the funeral of the great minister. Note in Adouthus's Hist. of George III. vol. ii p. 568, and for other evidence of ill-will, see two notes from the king to Lord North, in Mahon's Hist. of England, vol. vi. appendix, pp. 161. vol. ii. The Georgie III. vol. ii. p. 386, Banckoft's American Resolution, vol. ii. p. 438.

"4 Lord Brougham (Sketcher of Statesmen, vol. i. p. 219) says: 'It may be questioned if any politician, in any age, ever knew so thoroughly the various interests and the exact position of all the countries with which his own had dealings to conduct or relations to maintain.' See also Parrs Works, vol. iv. pp. 14, 15, Russenly's Mem. of Fox, vol. i. pp. 320, 321, vol. ii. pp. 91, 243; Bisser's Life of Burks, vol. i. p. 338.

Burke, even after the French revolution, said, that Fox 'was of the most griless, sandid, open, and benevolent disposition; disinterested in the extreme; of a temper mild and phisable even tog fault, without one drop of gall in his whole constitution.' Speech on the Army Estimates in 1780, in Part. Hist. vel. xxviii. p. 356. For further evidence, compare Altsow's Hist. of Europe, vol. vii. p. 171; Holland's Men. of the Whig Party, vol. 4. pp. 3, 273; TROTTER'S Mem. of Fox, pp. xi. xii., 24, 178, 415.

and he, too, was so detested by George III., that the king, with his own hand, struck his name out of the list of privy councillors, 268 and declared that he would rather abdicate the throne than admit him to a share in the government. 269

While this unfavourable change was taking place in the sovereign and ministers of the country, a change equally unfavourable was being effected in the second branch of the imperial legislature. Until the reign of George III., the House of Lords was decidedly superior to the House of Commons in the liberality and general accomplishments of its members. It is true, that in both houses there prevailed a spirit which must be called narrow and superstitious, if tried by the larger standard of the present age. But among the peers such feelings were tempered by an education that raised them far above those country gentlemen and ignorant fox-hunting squires of whom the lower house was then chiefly composed. From this superiority in their knowledge, there naturally followed a larger and more liberal turn of thought than was possessed by those who were called the representatives of the people. The result was, that the old Tory spirit, becoming gradually weaker in the upper house, took refuge in the lower; where, for about sixty years after the Revolution, the high-church party and the friends of the Stuarts formed a dangerous faction. 270 Thus, for instance,

²⁰⁸ ADOLPHUS'S Hist of George III. vol. vi. p. 692. A singular circumstance connected with this wanton outrage is related in the Mem of HOLCHOFT, vol. iii. p. 60.

²⁴⁹ Compare Adolphus's Hist of George III. vol. iv. pp. 107, 108, with Russill's Mem. of Fox, vol. i. pp. 191, 287, 288, vol. ii. p. 44. Dutens, who had much intercourse with English politicians, heard of the threat of abdication in 1784. Durris's Memoires, vol. iii. p. 104. Lord Holland says, that during the fatal ilness of Fox, 'the king had watched the progress of Mr. Fox's disorder. He could hardly suppress his indecent exultation at his death.' Holland's Mem. of the Wild Party, vol. ii. p. 49.

²¹⁶ In 1725, the Duke of Wharton, in a letter to the Fretender, after mentioning some proceedings in the Cammons, adds, 'In the House of Lords our number is so small, that any behaviour there will be immaterial' Mahon's Hist. of England, vel. ii. appendix, p. maiii. See also, respecting the greater extength of the Tories in the House of Commons, Somens's Tracts, vol. mi. p. 242, vol. miii. pp. 524, 531;

the two men who rendered the most eminent services to the Hanoverian dynasty, and therefore to the liberties of England, were undoubtedly Somers and Walpole. Both of them were remarkable for their principles of toleration, and both of them owed their safety to the interference of the House of Lords. Somers, early in the eighteenth century, was protected by the peers from the scandalous prosecution instituted against him by the other house of parliament.271 Forty years after this, the Commons, who wished to hunt Walpole to the death, carried up a bill encouraging witnesses to appear against him by remitting to them the penalties to which they might be liable.272 This barbarous measure had been passed through the lower house without the least difficulty; but in the Lords it was rejected by a preponderance of nearly two to one.273 In the same way the Schism Act, by which the friends of the church subjected the dissenters to a cruel persecution, 274 was hurried through the Commons by a large and eager majority.273 In the Lords, however, the votes were nearly balanced; and although the bill was passed, amendments were added by which the violence of its provisions was in some degree softened. 276

CAMPBELL'S Chance tors, vol iv. p. 158; CAMPBELL'S Chief-Justices, vol. ii p. 156.

^{2 1} Compare Ternon Correspond, vol. id. p. 149, with Bunner's Own Time, vol. 1v. p 504. Burnet says, 'All the Jacobites joined to support the pretensions of the Commons.' The Commons complained that the Lords had shown 'such an indulgence to the person accused as is not to be paralleled in any parliamentary proceedings.' Parl Hist vol. v. p. 1294. See also their angry remonstrance, pp. 1314, 1315.

²⁷⁷ MARON'S Hint. of England, vol. (iii. p. 122. ²⁷⁸ (Content, 47; non-content, 92.) Part. Hist. vol. x(i. p. 71). Mr. Phillimore (Mem. of Lyttleton, vol. i. p. 218) ascribes this to the exertions of Lord Hardwicke; but the state of parties in the upper house is sufficient explanation; and even in 1725 it was said that 'the Lords were betwirt the devil and the deep sea,' the devil being Walpole. Marchmont Papers, vol. ii. p. 59. Compare Bishop Nawtons Life of Himself, p. 60.

²¹⁴ See an account of some of its provisions in Manon's Hist. of England, vol. i. pp. 80, 81. The object of the bill is frankly stated in Part. Mist. vol. vi. p. 1349, where we are informed that, 'as the mitther discouragement and even ruin of the discenters was thought neglectary for accomplishing this scheme, it was begun with the famous Schlem Bill.

²¹⁵ By 237 to 126. Parl. Hist. vol. vi. p. 1351.

MAHOR'S Hist. of England, vol. i. p. 38: BURSURY's Correspond. of Hannier, p. 48. The bill was carried in the Lords by 77 against 72.

This superiority of the upper house over the lower was, on the whole, steadily maintained during the reign of George II.;²⁷⁷ the ministers not being anxious to strengthen the high-church party in the Lords, and the king himself so rarely suggesting fresh creations as to cause a belief that he particularly disliked increasing their numbers.²⁷⁸

It was reserved for George HI., by an unsparing use of his prerogative, entirely to change the character of the upper house, and thus lay the foundation for that disrepute into which since then the peers have been constantly falling. The creations he made were numerous beyond all precedents their object evidently being to neutralize the liberal spirit hitherto prevailing, and thus turn the House of Lords into an engine for resisting the popular wishes, and stopping the progress of reform. 278 How completely this plan succeeded, is well known to the readers of our history; indeed, it was sure to be successful, considering the character of the men who were promoted. They consisted almost entirely of two classes: of country gentlemen remarkable for nothing but their wealth and the number of votes their wealth enabled them to control; 280 and of mere lawyers who had risen to judicial appointments partly from their professional learning, but chiefly from the zeal with which they repressed the popular liberties, and favoured the royal prerogative. 281

^{2 &#}x27;If we scrutinize the votes of the peers from the period of the revolution to the death of George II., we shall find a very great majority of the old English nobility to have been the advocates of Whig principles.' Cooke's Hist of Party, vol. ii. p. 363.

²⁷⁸ Compare. HARRIE'S Life of Hardwicke, vol. iii. p. 519, with the conversation between Sir Robert Walpale and Lord Hervey, in Henvey's Mem. of George II. vol. ii. p. 251, edit. 1848.

³⁷⁶ COOKE'S Hist. of Party, vol. iii. pp. 363, 364, 365, 463; Part. Hist. vol. xviii. p. 1418, vol. xxiv. p. 493, vol. xxvii. p. 1069, vol. xxix. pp. 1334, 1494, vol. xxxiii. pp. 90 602, 1315.

This was too notorious to be denied; and in the House of Commons, in 1800, Nicholis taunted the government with 'holding out a pessage, or elevation to a higher rank in the persage to every man who could procure a nomination to a certain number of seativin parliament.' Parl. Hist. vol. xxxv. p. 762. So too Sheridam, in 1792 said (vol. xxix. p. 1333), 'In this country peerages had been bartered for election interest.'

²⁹¹ On this great influx of lawyers into the House of Lords, of whom scalously advocated arbitrary principles, see BRESHA

That this is no exaggerated description, may be ascertained by any one who will consult the lists of the new peers made by George III. Here and there we find an eminent man, whose public services were so notorious that it was impossible to avoid rewarding them; but, putting aside those who were in a manner forced upon the sovereign, it would be idle to deny that the remainder, and of course the overwhelming majority, were marked by a narrowness and illiberality of sentiment, which, more than any thing else, brought the whole order into contempt. 282 No great thinkers; no great writers; no great orators; no great statesmen; none of the true nobility of the land,were to be found among these spurious nobles created by George III. Nor were the material interests of the country better • represented in this strange composition. Among the most important men in England, those engaged in banks and commerce held a high place: since the end of the seventeenth century their influence had rapidly increased; while their intelligence, their clear, methodical habits, and their general knowledge of affairs, made them every way superior to those classes from whom the upper house was now recruited. But in the reign of George III. claims of this sort were little heeded; and we are assured by Burke, whose authority on such a subject no one will dispute, that there never had been a time in which so

Mist. of Great Britain, vol. vii. pp. 266, 267, Adolphus's Hist. of George III vol. iii. p. 363; Part. Hist. vol. xxxv. p. 1523.

^{2&}lt;sup>22</sup> It was forefold at the time, that the effect of the numerous creations made during Pitt's power would be to lower the House of Lords. Compare BUTLER'S Reminiscences, vol. 1. p. 7c, with Brakine's speech in Part. Hist. vol. xxix. p. 1330; and see Sheridan's speech, vol. xxxiii. p. 1137. But their language, indignant as it is, was restrained by a desire of not wholly breaking with the court. Other men, who were more independent in their position, and cared nothing for the change of future office, expressed themselves in terms such as had never before been heard within the walls of Parliament. Rolle, for instance, declared that 'there had been persons created poems during the present minister's power, who were not fit to be his grooms. Part. Hist. vol. xxvii. p. 1198. Out of doors the feeling of contempt was equally strong; see Life of Carteright, vol. i. p. 278; and see the remark spee of the courily Sir W. Jones on the increasing disregard for learning shown by 'the nobles of our days.' Preface to Persian Grammar, in Jones's Works, vol. ii, p. 125.

few persons connected with commerce were raised to the peerage. 283

It would be endless to collect all the symptoms which mark the political degeneracy of England during this period; a degeneracy the more striking, because it was opposed to the spirit of the time, and because it took place in spite of a great progress, both social and intellectual How that progress eventually stopped the political reaction, and even forced it to retrace its own steps, will appear in another part of this work; but there is one circumstance which I cannot refrain from noticing at some length, since it affords a most interesting illustration of the tendency of public affairs, while at the same time it exhibits the character of one of the greatest men, and, Bacon alone excepted, the greatest thinker, who has ever devoted himself to the practice of English politics.

The slightest sketch of the reign of George IIL. would indeed be miserably imperfect if it were to omit the name of Edmund Burke. The studies of this extraordinary man not only covered the whole field of political inquiry, 264 but extended to an immense variety of subjects, which, though apparently unconnected with politics, do in reality bear upon them as important adjuncts; since, to a philosophic mind, every branch of knowledge lights up even those that seem most remote from it. The eulogy passed upon him by one who was no mean judge of men, 2.5 might be justified

²⁸³ In his Thoughts on French Afairs, written in 1791, he says, 'At no period in the history of England have so few peers been taken out of trade, & from families newly created by commerce.' BURKE'S Workv, vol. i. p. 566. Indeed, according to Sir Nathaniel Wraxall (Posthumous Memoirs, vol. i. pp. 56, 67, Lond. 1836), the only instance when George III. broke this rule was when Smith the banker was 'made Lord Carrington. Wraxall is an indifferent anthority, and there may be other cases; but they were certainly very few, and I cannot call any to mind.

²⁸⁴ Nicholis, who knew him, says, 'The political knewledge of Mr. Burke might be considered almost as an encyclopadia; every man who approached him received instruction from his stores. Nicholles's Recollections, vol. 1. p. 20.

²⁶⁶ The excursions of his genius archimmense. His imperial fancy has laid all nature under tribute, and has collected riches from every scene of the creation, and every walk of art. Works of Robert Hall. London, 1846, p. 196. -69, too, Wilberforce says of him, 'He had come late into Parliament; and had had time to lay in vast stores of know-

and more than justified, by passages from his works, as well as by the opinions of the most eminent of his contemporaries. 286 Thus it is, that while his insight into the philosophy of jurisprudence has gained the applause of lawyers, 287 his acquaintance with the whole range and theory of the fine arts has won the admiration of artists; 288 a striking combination of two pursuits, often, though erroneously, held to be incompatible with each other. At the same time, and notwithstandig the occupations of political life, we know on good authority, that he had paid great attention to the history and filiation of languages; 289 a vast subject, which within the last thirty

ledge. The field from which he drew his illustrations was magnificent. Like the fabled object of the fairy's favours, whenever he opened this mouth pearls and diamonds dropped from him. Life of Wiberforce, vol. i. p. 159.

we Lord Thurlow is said to have declared, what I suppose is now the general opinion of competent judges, that the fame of Burke would survive that of Pitt and Fox. BUTLER'S Reminiscence, vol 1. p. 169. But the noblest eulogy on Burke was pronounced by a man far greater than Thurlow. In 1790, Fox stated in the House of Commons, that if he were to put all the political information which he had learnt from books, all which he had gained from science, and all which any knowledge of the world and its affairs had taught him, into one scale, and the improvement which he had derived from his right hon. friend's instruction and conversation were placed in the other, he should be at a loss to decide to which to give the preference.' Part. Hist. vol xxviii. p. 363.

²⁸⁷ Lord Campbell (Lives of the Chief-Justices, vol. ii. p. 443) says, 'Burke, a philosophic statesman, deeply imbued with the scientific principles of jurisprudence.' See also, on his knowledge of law, Butler's Reminiscences, vol. i. p. 131; and BISSET's Life of Burke, vol. i. p. 230.

²⁶⁸ Barry, in his celebrated Letter to the Dilettanti Society, regreta that Burke should have been diverted from the study of the fine arts into the pursuit of politics, because he had one of those 'minds of an admirable expansion and catholicity, so as to embrace the whole concerns of art, ancient as well as modern, domestic as well as foreign.' Barry's Works, vol. ii. p. 538, 4to, 1849. In the Annucl Register for 1798, p. 1798, p. 329, 2nd edit., it is stated, that Sir Joshua Reynolds 'deemed Burke the best judge of pictures that he ever knew.' See further Works of Sir J. Reynolds', Lond. 1846, vol. i. p. 185: and Brass''s Life of Burke, vol. ii. p. 257. A somewhat carious conversation between Burke and Reynolds, on a point of grt, is preserved in Holdwort's Members, vol. ii. pp. 276, 277.

²⁰⁹ See a letter from Winstanley, the Camden Professer & Ancient History, in Busser's Life of Burke, vol. ii. pp. 390, 391, and in Panon's Life of Burke, p. 427. Winstanley writes, 'It would have been exceedingly difficult to have met with a person who knew more of the

108

the human mind, but the very idea of which had, in its large sense, only begun to dawn upon a few solitary thinkers. And, what is even more remarkable, when Adam Smith came to London full of those discoveries which have immortalized his name, he found to his amazement that Burke had anticipated conclusions the maturing of which cost Smith himself many years of anxious and unremitting labour. 290

To these great inquiries, which touch the basis of social philosophy, Burke added a considerable acquaintance with physical science, and even with the practice and routine of mechanical trades. All this was so digested and worked into his mind, that it was ready on every occasion; not, like the knowledge of ordinary politicians, broken and wasted in fragments, but blended into a complete whole, fused by a genius that gave life even to the dullest pursuits. This, indeed, was the characteristic of Burke, that in his hands nothing was barren. Such was the strength and exuberance of his intellect, that it bore fruit in all directions, and could confer dignity upon the meanest subjects, by showing their connexion with general principles and the part they have to play in the great scheme of human affairs.

But what has always appeared to me still more remarkable in the character of Burke, is the singular sobriety with which he employed his extraordinary acquirements. During the best part of his life, his political principles, so far from being speculative, were altogether practical. This is particularly striking, because he had every temptation to adopt an opposite course. He possessed materials for generalization far more ample than any politician of his time, and he had a mind emiritally

philosophy, the history, and filiation of languages, er of the principles of etymological deduction, than Mr. Burke.

^{2°0} Adam Smith told Burke, 'after they had converted on subjects of political economy, that he was the only man who, without communication, thought on these topics exactly as he did.' Bisser's Life of Burke, vol. is. p. 429; and see Pajos's Life of Burke, p. 58; and on his knowledge of political economy, BROUGHAM'S Sketches of Statesnier, vol. is. p. 205.

prone to take large views. On many occasions, and indeed whenever an opportunity occurred, he showed his capacity as an original and speculative thinker. But the moment he set foot on political ground, be changed his method. In questions connected with the accumulation and distribution of wealth he saw that it was possible, by proceeding from a few simple principles, to construct a deductive science available for the commercial and financial interests of the country. Further than this he refused to advance, because he knew that, with this single excestion, every department of politics was purely empirical and was likely long to remain so. Hence it was, that he recognized in all its bearings that great doctrine. which even in our own days is too often forgotten, that the ains of the legislator should be, not truth, but expediency. Looking at the actual state of knowledge, he was forced to admit, that all political principles have been raised by hasty induction from limited facts; and that. therefore, it is the part of a wise man, when he adds to the facts, to revise the induction, and, instead of sacrincing practice to principles, modify the principles that he may change the practice. Or, to put this in another way. he lays it down that political principles are at the best but the product of human reason; while political practice has to do with human nature and human passions, of which reason forms but a part;291 and that, on this account, the proper business of a statesman is, to contrive the means by which

^{211 &#}x27;Politics ought to be adjusted, not to human reasonings, but to human nature, of which the reason is but a part, and by no means the greatest part. Observations on a late State of the Nation, in Burke's Works, vol.i.p 113. Hence the distinction he had constantly in view between the generalizations of philosophy, which ought to be impregnable, and those of politics, which must be fluctuating; and hence in his noble work, Thoughts on the Cause of the present Discontents, he says (vol. i. p. 136), 'No lines can be laid down for civil or political wisdoms. They are a matter incapable of exact deflation.' See also p. 151, on which he grounds his defence of the spirit of party; it being evident, that if truth were the prime object of the political art, the idea of party, as such, would be indefensible. Compare with this the difference between 'la vérité en soi' and 'la vérité sociale,' as expounded by M. Bey in his Science Sociale, vol. ii. p. 332, Paris, 1842.

certain ends may be effected, leaving it to the general voice of the country to determine what those ends shall be, and shaping his own conduct, not according to his own principles, but according to the wishes of the people for whom he legislates, and whom he is bound to obey.²⁹²

It is these views, and the extraordinary ability with which they were advocated, which make the appearance of Burke a memorable epoch in our political history.²⁹³ We had, no doubt, other statesmen before him, who denied the validity of general principles in politics; but their

292 In 1780 he plainly told the House of Commons that 'the people are the masters. They have only to express their wants at large and in gross. We are the expert artista, we are the skilful workmen, to shape their desires into perfect form, and to fit the utensil to the use They are the sufferers, they tell the symptoms of the complaint, but we know the exact seat of the disease, and how to apply the remedy according to the rules of art. How shocking would it be to see us pervert our skill into a simister and servile dexterity, for the purpose of evading our duty, and defrauding our employers, who are our natural lords, of the object of their just expectations!' BURKE'S Works, vol 1, p. 254. In 1777, in his Letter to the Sheriffs of Bristol (Works, vol. 1. p. 216), 'In effect, to follow, not to force, the public inclination, to give a direction, a form, a technical dress, and a specific sanction, to the general sense of the community, - is the true end of legislature.' In his Letter on the Incation of Parliament (vol in p 430), 'It would be dreadful, indeed, if there was any power in the nation capable of resisting its unanimous desire, or even the desire of any very great and decided majority of the people. The people may be deceived in their choice of an object. But I can scarcely conceive any choice they can make to be so very mischievous, as the existence of any human force capatte of resisting it. So, too, he says (vol 1. pp. 125, 214), that when government and the people differ, government is generally in the wrong; compare pp. 217, 218, 276, vol ii. p. 440. And to give only one more instance, but a very decisive one, he, in 1772, when speaking on a Bill respecting the Importation and Exportation of Corn, said, 'On this occasion I give way to the present Bill, not because I approve of the measure in itself, but because I think it prudent to yield to the spirit of the times. The people will have it so; and it is not for their representatives to say nay. I cannot, however, help entering my protest against the general principles of policy on which it is supported, because I think them extremely dangerous.' Part. Hest. vol. xvii. p. 480.

2.13 The effect which Burke's profound views produced in the House of Commons, where, however, few men were able to understand them in their full extent, is described by Dr. Hay, who was present at one of his great speeches, which, he says, *iseemed a kind of new political philosophy.' Burke's Correspond, vol. i. p. 103. Compare a letter from Lee, written in the same year, 1766, in Fonstan's Life of Goldsmith, vol. ii. pp. 38, 39, and in Burkeny's Correspond, of Hammer, p. 458.

denial was only the happy guess of ignorance, and they rejected theories which they had never taken the pains to study. Burke rejected them because he knew them. It was his rare merit that, notwithstanding every inducement to rely upon his own generalizations, he resisted the temptation, that, though rich in all the varieties of political knowledge, he made his opinions subservient to the march of events; that he recognized as the object of government, not the preservation of particular institutions, nor the propagation of particular tenets, but the happiness of the people at large; and, above all, that he insisted upon an obedience to the popular wishes, which no statesman before him had paid, and which too many statesmen since him have forgotten. Our country, indeed, is still full of those vulgar politicians, against whom Burke raised his voice; feeble and shallow men, who, having spent their little force in resisting the progress of reform, find themselves at length compelled to yield; and then, so soon as they have exhausted the artifices of their petty schemes, and, by their tardy and ungraceful concessions, have sown the seed of future disaffection, they turn upon the age by which they have been baffled; they mourn over the degeneracy of mankind; they lament the decay of public spirit; and they weep for the fate of a people who have been so regardless of the wisdom of their ancestors as to tamper with a constitution already hoary with the prescription of centuries.

Those who have studied the reign of George III. will easily understand the immense advantage of having a man like Burke to oppose these miserable delusions; delusions which have been fatal to many countries, and have more than once almost ruined our own. 294 They will also un-

^{2.4} Burke was never weary of attacking the common argument, that, because a country has long flourished under some particular custom, therefore the custom must be good. See an admirable instance of this in his speech, on the power of the attorney-general to file informations *x offico; where he likens such reasoners to the father of Scriblarus, who 'venerated the rust and canker which exalted a brazen pot-lid into the shield of a hero.' He adds: 'But, sir, we are told that the time during which this power existed, is the time during which monarchy most flourished: and what, then, can no two things subsist together but as cause and effect? May not a man

in the opinion of the king, this great statesman was, at best, but an eloquent declaimer, to be classed in the same category with Fox and Chatham; all three ingenious men, but unsafe, unsteady, quite unfit for weighty concerns, and by no means calculated for so exalted an honour as admission into the royal councils. In point of fact, during the thirty years Barke was engaged in public life, he never once held an office in the cabinet;²⁹⁵ and the only occasions on which he occupied even a subordinate post, were in those very short intervals when the fluctuations of politics compelled the appointment of a liberal ministry.

Indeed, the part taken by Burke in public affairs must have been very galling to a king who thought everything good that was old, and everything right that was established. 216 For, so far was this remarkable man in advance of his contemporaries, that there are tew of the great measures of the present generation which he did not anticipate and zealously defend. Not only did he attack the absurd laws against forestalling and regrating. 227 but, by advo-

have enjoyed better health during the time that he walked with an oaken stick, than afterwards, when he changed it for a cane, without supposing, like the Druids, that there are occult virtues in oak, and that the stick and the health were cause and effect ' Part. Hist vol. xvi pp. 1190, 1191

295 This, as Mr Cooke truly says, is an instance of aristocratic prejudice, but it is certain that a hint from George III would have remedied the shameful neglect Cooke's Hist, of Party, vol. iii pp. 277, 278

2"6 It is easy to imagine how George III must have been offended by such sentiments as these. 'I am not of the opinion of those gentlemen who are against disturbing the public repose; I like a clamour whenever there is an abuse. The fire-bell at midnight disturbs your sleep, but it keeps you from being burnt in your bed. The hue and cry alarms the country, but preserves all the property of the province. Burke's speech on Prosecutions for Libels, in 1771, in Parl, Hist, vol. xvii. p. 54.

Hist, vol. xvii. p. 3s.

271 He moved their repeal. Parl. Hist. vol. xxvi. p. 1169. Eventual to the moved their repeal. Parl. Hist. vol. xxvi. p. 1169. Eventual to the admiration of Lord Mahen, who say 'Lord Chatham acted with characteristic energy.' Mahon's Hist. England, vol. v. p. 166. More than thirty years later, and say. Burke's death. Lord Kenyon, then chief-justice, eulogized these preposterous laws. Holland's Mem. of the Whig Party, vol. i. p. 167. Compare Adolphus's Hist. of George III. vol. vii. p. 406; and Cockburn's Memorials of his Time, Edinb. 1856, p. 78.

-cating the freedom of trade, he struck at the root of all similar prohibitions. 298 He supported those just claims of the (atholics, 299 which, during his lifetime, were obstinately refused; but which were conceded, many years after his death, as the only means of preserving the integrity of the empire. He supported the petition of the Dissenters, that they might be relieved from the restrictions to which, for the benefit of the Church of England. they were subjected 300 Into other departments of politics, he carried the same spirit. He opposed the cruel laws against insolvents, 301 by which, in the time of George III., our statute-book was still defaced; and he vainly attempted to soften the penal code,302 the increasing severity of which was one of the worst features of that bad reign. 303 He wished to abolish the old plan of enlisting soldiers for life: 304 a barbarous and impolitic practice, as the English legislature began to perceive several years later.305 He

²⁾ That liberality in the commercial system, which, I trust, will one day be adopted. BULKE'S Works, vol. i. p. 223. And, in his letter to Burgh (Pint vol it p. 409). But that to which I attached myself the most particularly, was to fix the principle of a free trade in all the ports of these islands, as founded in justice, and beneficial to the whole; but principally to this, the seat of the supreme power?

²¹⁰ PRIOR'S Lefe of Burke, p. 467, BURKE'S Works, vol. i. pp. 263—271, 537—561, vol. ii. pp. 431-447. He refutes (vol. 1 p. 548) the notion that the coronation-oath was intended to bind the crown in its legislative capacity. Compare Mom. of Mackinfosh, vol. 1. pp. 170, 171, with Butler's Reminiscences, vol. i. p. 134.

⁸⁰⁰ Parl. Hist vol. xvii. pp. 435, 436, vol. xx. p. 306. See also Burke's Correspondence, vol. ii. pp. 17, 18; and Prior's Life of Burke, p. 143.

BURKE'S Works, vol i pp. 261, 262, part of his speech at

⁵⁰² PRIOR'S Life of Burke, p. 317. See also his admirable remarks, in Works, vol. ii. p. 417; and his speech, in Part. Hist. vol. xxviii p. 146.

¹⁻² On this increasing cruelty of the English laws, compare PARR's Works, vol. iv. pp. 150, 259, with Part. Hist. vol. xxii. p. 271, vol. xxiv. p. 1222, vol. xxvii. p. 1057, vol. xxviii. p. 143, and, in regard to the execution of them, see Life of Romilly, by Himself, vol. i. p. 65; and Alison's Hist. of Europe, vol. 4x. p. 620.

³⁰⁴ In one short speech (Pari. Hist. vol. xx. pp. 150, 151)? he has almost exhausted the arguments against enlistment for life.

²⁰⁵ In 1806, that is nine years after the death of Burke, parliament first authorized enlistment for a term of years. See an account of the debates in Alison's Hist. of Europe, vol. vii. pp. 380-391. Com-

attacked the slave-trade; 300 which, being an ancient usage,the king wished to preserve, as part of the British constitution. 307 He refuted, 308 but, owing to the prejudices of the age, was unable to subvert, the dangerous power exercised by the judges, who, in criminal prosecutions for libel, confined the jury to the mere question of publication; thus taking the real issue into their own hands, and making themselves the arbiters of the fate of those who were so unfortunate as to be placed at their bar. 2019. And, what many will think not the least of his merits, he was the first in that long line of financial reformers, to whom we are deeply indebted 540. Notwithstanding the difficulties thrown in his way, he carried through Parliament a series of bills, by which several useless places were entirely abolished, and, in the single office of paymaster-general. a saving effected to the country of 25,000l, a year. "1

pare Nichols's Illustrations of the Finiteenth Century, vol. v.p. 475, and Holland's Memorithe Why Party vol. n. p. 416

• 306 PRIOR'S Life it Burke, p. 316, Part Hest vol. xxvii. p. 507, vol. xxviii. pp. 69, 96, and Life of Bilberg ree, vol. 1 pp. 152, 171, contain evidence of his animosity against the slave-trade, and a more than sufficient answer to the ill-natured, and, what is worse, the ignorant, remark about Burke, in the Dake of Buckingham's Mem of George III, vol. 1, p. 350.

¹⁰⁷ On the respect which theorge III, felt for the slave-trade, see note 259 to this chapter. I might also have quoted the testimony of Lord Brougham. The court was decidedly against abolition. George III, always regarded the question with abborrance, as savouring of innovation. BROUGHAM'S Statesmen, vol. ii, p. 104. Compare Comm's North America, vol. ii, p. 332.

208 BURKE'S Works, vol. n pp 490-4,6, Part. Hest, vol. xvn pp 44-55, a very able speech, delivered in 1771. Compare a letter to Dowdeswell, in BURKE'S Correspond vol. i pp. 251, 252

¹⁰⁷ The arguments of Burke anticipated, by more than twenty years, Fox's celebrated Libel Bill, which was not passed till 1792; although, in 1752, juries had begun, in spite of the judges, to return general verdicts on the merits. See Campbell's Chancillors, vol. v. pp. 238; 243, 341-345, vol. vi. p. 210, and Meyer, Institutions Judiciatres, vol. 11, pp. 204, 203, Paris, 1823.

¹¹⁰ Mr. Farr, in his valuable essay on the statistics of the civil service (in Journal of Statist. Noc. vol. xii pp. 183-125), calls Eurke 'one of the first and ablest financial reformers in parliament.' p. 194. The truth, however, is, that he was not only one of the first, but the first. He was the first man who laid before parliament a general and systematic scheme for diminishing the expenses of government; and his preliminary speech on that occasion is one of the finest of all his compositions.

PRIOR'S Life of Burke, pp. 206, 234 See also, on the retrench-

These things alone are sufficient to explain the animosity of a prince, whose boast it was, that he would bequeath the government to his successor in the same state as that in which he had received it. There was, however, another circumstance by which the royal feelings were still further wounded. The determination of the king to oppress the Americans was so notorious, that, when the war actually broke out, it was called the 'king's war:' and those who opposed it were regarded as the personal enemies of their sovereign. 312 In this, however, as in all other questions, the conduct of Burke was governed, not by traditions and principles such as George III. cherished, but by large views of general expediency. Burke, in forming his opinions respecting this disgraceful contest, refused to be guided by arguments respecting the right of either party 313. He would not enter into any discussion. as to whether a mother-country has the right to tax her colonies, or whether the colonies have a right to tax themselves. Such points he left to be mooted by those politicians who, pretending to be guided by principles, are, in

ments he effected. Sinclair's Hist, of the Revenue, vol. n. pp. 84, 85, Burke's Correspond. vol. ni. p. 14, and Bisset's Life of Burke. vol. ni. pp. 57-60.

¹⁰² In 1778, Lord Rockingham said, in the House of Lords, 'Instead of calling the war, the war of parliament, or of the people, it is called the king's war, his majesty's favourite war? Parl Hist vol. xix p. 877. Compare ('Ooke's Hist of Party, vol. iii, p. 235, with the pungent remarks in Walpole's George III., vol. iv. p. 114. Nicholls (Recoilections, vol. i. p. 35) says: 'The war was considered as the war of the king personally. Those who supported it were called the king's friends, while those who wished the country to pause, and reconsider the propriety of persevering in the contest, were branded as disloyal.'

^{13 &#}x27;I am not here going into the distinction of rights, nor attempting to mark their boundaries. I do not enter into these metaphysical distinctions, I hate the very sound of them.' Speech on American taxation in 1774, in Burkk's Works, vol. i. p. 173. In 1775 (vol. i. p. 192): 'But my consideration is narrow, confined, and wholly limited to the policy of the question.' At p. 183: we should act in regard to America, not 'according to abstract ideas of right, by no means according to mere general theories of government; the resort to which appears to me, in our present situation. no better than arrant trifling.' In one of his earliest political pamphlets, written in 1769, he says, that the arguments of the opponents of America 'are conclusive; conclusive as to right; but the very reverse as to policy and practice,' vol. i. p. 112. Compare a letter, written in 1775, in Burke's Correspond., vol. ii p. 12.

reality, subjugated by prejudice. 314 For his own part, he was content to compare the cost with the gain. It was enough for Burke, that, considering the power of our American colonies, considering their distance from us, and considering the probability of their being aided by France, it was not advisable to exercise the power: and it was, therefore, idle to talk of the right. Hence he opposed the taxation of America, not because it was unprecedented, but because it was inexpedient. As a natural consequence, he likewise opposed the Boston-Port Bill, and that shameful bill, to forbid all intercourse with America, which was not inaptly called the starvation plan; violent measures, by which the king hoped to curb the colonies; and break the spirit of those noble men, whom he hated even more than he feared. 315

It is certainly no faint characteristic of those times, that a man like Burke, who dedicated to politics abilities equal to far nobler things, should, during thirty years, have received from his prince neither favour nor result. But George III. was a king whose delight it was the humble and exalt the meek. His reign, indeed was the golden age of successful mediocrity: an age in which little men were favoured and great men depressed; when Addington was cherished as a statesman, and Beattie pensioned as a philosopher; and when, in all the walks

²¹⁶ In 1766, George III. writes to Lord Rockingham (ALBEMARLE'S Rockingham, vol. i. pp. 271, 272): 'Talbot is as right as I can desire, in the stamp act; strong for our declaring our right, but willing to repeal!' In other words, willing to offend the Americans, by a speculative assertion of an abstract right, but careful to forego the advantage which that right might produce.

²¹⁵ The intense hatred with which George III. regarded the Americans, was so natural to such a mind as his, that one can hardly blame his constant exhibition of it during the time that the struggle was actually impending. But what is truly disgraceful is, that, after the war was over, he displayed this rancour on an occasion when, of all others, he was bound to suppress it. In 1786, Jefferson Adams were in England officially, and, as a matter of courtesy to king, made their appearance at court. So regardless, however, George MI. of the common decencies of his station, that he treat these eminent men with marked incivility, although they were then paying their respects to him in his own palace. See Tucker's Life of Jeferson, vol. 1. p. 220; and Mem. and Corresp. of Jeferson, vol. 1.

of public life, the first conditions of promotion were, to fawn upon ancient prejudices, and support established abuses.

This neglect of the most eminent of English politicians is highly instructive; but the circumstances which followed, though extremely painful, have a still deeper interest, and are well worth the attention of those whose habits of mind lead them to study the intellectual peculiarities of great men.

For, at this distance of time, when his nearest relations are no more, it would be affectation to deny that Burke, during the last few years of his life, fell into a state of complete hallucination. When the French Revolution broke out, his mind, already fainting under the weight of incessant labour, could not support the contemplation of an event so unprecedented, so appalling, and threatening results of such frightful magnitude. And, when the crimes of that great revolution, instead of diminishing, continued to increase, then it was that the feelings of Burke finally mastered his reason; the balance tottered; the proportions of that gigantic intellect were disturbed. From this moment, his sympathy with present suffering was so intense, that he lost all memory of the tyranny by which the derings were provoked. His mind, once so steady, some swayed by prejudice and passion, recled und the pressure of events which turned the brains of thou sands.316 And whoever will compare the spirit of his latest works with the dates of their publication, will see how this melancholy change was aggravated by that bitter bereavement, from which he never rallied, and which alone

All great revolutions have a direct tendency to increase insanity as long as they last, and probably for some time afterwards; but in this, as in other respects, the French revolution stands alone in the number of its victims. On the horrible, but curious subject of madness, caused by the excitement of the events which occurred in France late in the eighteenth century, compare Prochard on Insanity in relation to Jurisprudence, 1942, p. 90; his Treatise on Insanity, 1835, pp. 161, 193, 230, 3 9, Esquirol, Maladies Mentales, vol. i. pp. 83, 53, 54, 66, 211, 447, vol. ii. pp. 194, 726; FRUCHTERSLEER'S Medical Psychology, p. 254; GROGGER, de la Folie, p. 156; PINEL, Traité sur l'Alienation Mentale, pp. 30, 106, 109, 177, 178, 185, 207, 215, 237, 349, 392, 457, 481, Alison's Hist. of Europe, vol. iii. p. 112.

was sufficient to prostrate the understanding of one in whom the severity of the reason was so tempered, so nicely poised, by the warmth of the affections. Never, indeed, can there be forgotten those touching, those exquisite allusions to the death of that only son, who was the joy of his soul, and the pride of his heart, and to whom he fondly hoped to bequeath the inheritance of his imperishable name. Never can we forget that image of desolation, under which the noble old man figured his immeasurable grief. 'I live in an inverted order They who ought to have succeeded me, have gone before me. They who should have been to me as posterity, are in the place of ancestors . . The storm has gone over me, and I lie like one of those old oaks which the late hurricane has scattered about me. I am stripped of all my honours; I am torn up by the roots, and he prostrate on the earth.' 317

It would, perhaps, be displaying a morbid curiosity, to attempt to raise the veil, and trace the decay of so mighty a mind. 318 Indeed, in all such cases, most of the evidence perishes; for those who have the best opportunities of witnessing the infirmities of a great man, are not those who most love to relate them. But it is certain, that the change was first clearly seen immediately after the breaking-out of the French Revolution; that it was aggragated by the death of his son, and that it became progressively worse till death closed the scene 319 In his Reflections, on

^{31?} BURKE'S Works, vol. ii p 268

which showed the presence of disease, were in the debates on the regency bill, in February 1789, when Sir Richard Hill, with brutai candour, hinted at Burke's madness, even in his presence. Part Hist, vol. xxvii. p. 1249. Compare a letter from Sir William Young, in Buokingham's Mem. of George III, 1853, vol. ii. p. 73; 'Burke finished his wild speech in a manner next to madness.' This was in December 1788; and, from that time until his death, it became every year more evident that his intellect was disordered. See a mejancholy description of him in a letter written by Dr. Currie in 1792 (Life of Currie, vol. ii. p. 150); and, above all, *see his own incoherent letter, in 1796, iff his Correspond. with Laurence, p. 67.

³¹⁷ His son died in August 1794 (Burke's Correspond., vol. iv. p. 224); and his most violent works were written between that period and his own death, in July 1797.

the French Revolution; in his Remarks on the Policy of the Allies; in his Letter to Elliot, in his Letter to a Noble Lord; and in his Letters on a Regicide Peace, we may note the consecutive steps of an increasing, and at length an uncontrollable, violence. To the single principle of hatred of the French Revolution, he sacrificed his oldest associations and his dearest friends. Fox, as is well known. always looked up to Burke as to a master from whose lips he had gathered the lessons of political wisdom. 320 Burke. on his side, fully recognized the vast abilities of his friend. and loved him for that affectionate disposition, and for those winning manners, which, it has often been said, none who saw them could ever 'resist'. But now, without the slightest pretence of a personal quarrel, this long intimacy 41 was rudely severed. Because Fox would not abandon that love of popular liberty which they had long cherished in common, Burke, publicly, and in his place in parliament, declared that their friendship was at an end: for that he would never more hold communion with a man who lent his support to the French people. 322 At the same time, and indeed the very evening on which this occurred, Burke, who had hitherto been remarkable for the courtesy of his manners, 523 deliberately insulted another of his friends, who was taking him home in his carriage; and, in a state of frantic excitement, insisted on being immediately set down, in the middle of the night.

BROUGHAM'S Statesmen, vol. 1 p. 214 In 1741. Fox said, that Burke had taught him everything he knew in politics.' Parl. Hist. vol. xxix p 579 See also ADOLPHUS'S Hist. of George III., vol. iv. pp. 472, 610, and a letter from Fox to Parr, in Parr's Works, vol. vii. p. 387.

¹²¹ It had begun in 1766, when Fox was only seventeen. Russell's Mem. of Fox, vol. 1 p. 26

³¹² On this painful rupture, compare with the Parliamentary History, HOLLAND'S Mem. of the Whip Party, vol. i. pp. 10. 11, PRIOR'S Life of Burke, pp. 375-379; TOMLIKE'S Life of Pitt, vol. ii. pp. 385-395. The complete change in Burke's feelings towards his old friend also appears in a very intemperate letter, written to Dr. Laurence in 1797. Burke's Correspond. with Laurence, p. 152. Compare Pana's Works, vol. iv. pp. 67-30, 34-30, 109.

with the bluntness of Johnson pottesse eminent men being the two best talkers of their time. See Bissan's Life of Burke, vol. i. p. 127

16

in a pouring rain, because he could not, he said, remain seated by a 'friend to the revolutionary doctrines of the French.' 324

Nor is it true, as some have supposed, that this mania of hostility was solely directed against the criminal part of the French people. It would be difficult, in that or in any other age, to find two men of more active, or indeed enthusiastic benevolence, than Condorcet and La Fayette. Besides this, Condorcet was one of the most profound thinkers of his time; and will be remembered as long as genius is honoured among us.325 La Fayette was no doubt inferior to Condorcet in point of ability; but he was the intuite friend of Washington, on whose conduct he modelled his own, 326 and by whose side he had tought for the liberties of America: his integrity was, and still is, unsullied; and his character had a chivalrous and noble turn, which Burke, in his better days, would have been the first to admire. 327 Both, however, were natives of that hated country whose liberties they vainly attempted

²²⁴ ROGEES'S Introduction to Burke's Works, p. xliv., Prior's Life of Burke, p. 384.

²²³ There is an interesting account of the melancholy death of this remarkable man, in Lamartine . *Hist. des terondins*. vol. viii. pp. 76—80, and a contemporary relation in Musser-Pailmay, *Vie de Rousseau*, vol. ii. pp. 42—47.

²³⁶ This is the honourable testimony of a political opponent, who says, that after the dissolution of the Assembly 'Lis Fayette se conforma à la conduite de Washington, qu'il avait pris pour modèle.' CABBAGNAC, Répolution Française, vol. in. pp. 370, 371 Compare the grudging admission of his enemy Bouillé, Ment. de Bouille, vol. 1. p. 125; and for proofs of the affectionate intimacy between Washington and Lis Fayette, see Mént. de La/ayette, vol. i. pp. 16, 21, 29, 44, 55, 83, 92, 111, 162, 197, 204, 395, vol. ii. p. 123.

rai The Duke of Bedford, no bad judge of character, said in 1794, that La Fayette's 'whole life was an illustration of truth, disinterstedness, and honour.' Part. Hist. vol. xxxi. p. 664. So, too, the continuator of Sismondi (Hist. des Français, vol. xxx. p. 355), 'La Fayette, le chevalier de la liberté d'Amérique;' and Lamartine (Hist. des Girondin, vol. iii. p. 200), 'Martyr de la liberté après en avoir été le héros.' Ségur, who was intimately acquainted with him, gives some account of his noble character, as it appeared when he was a boy of nineteen. Mém. de Ségur, vol. i pp. 106, 107. Forty years later, Lady, Morgan met him in France; and what she relates shows how little he had changed, and how simple his tastes and the habits of his mind still were. MORGAN'S France, vol. ii. pp. 265—312. Other notices, from personal knowledge, will be found in Life of Roscoe, vol. ii. pp. 176; and in TROTTER'S Mem. of Fox, pp. 319 seq.

to achieve. On this account, Burke declared Condorcet to he guilty of 'impious sophistry;'328 to be a 'fanatic atheist, and furious democratic republican; 328 and to be capable of 'the lowest, as well as the highest and most determined villainies.'380 As to La Fayette, when an attempt was made to mitigate the cruel treatment he was receiving from the Prussian government, Burke not only opposed the motion made for that purpose in the House of Commons, but took the opportunity of grossly insulting the unfortunate captive, who was then languishing in a dupgeon. 331 So dead had he become on this subject, even to the common instincts of our nature, that, in his place in parliament, he could find no better way of speaking of this injured and high-souled man, than by calling him a ruffian: o'I would not,' says Burke, -'I would not debase my humanity by supporting an application in behalf of such a horrid ruffian, 332

As to France itself, it is 'Cannibal Castle;' 333 it is 'the republic of assassins;' 334 it is 'a hell;' 335 its government is composed of 'the dirtiest, lowest, most frau-

[&]quot;The impious sophistry of Condorcet' Letter to a Noble Lord, in BURKE'S Works, vol in p. 273.

¹² Thoughts on French Affairs, in Burke's Works, vol. i. p. 574.

^{310 &#}x27;Condorcet (though no marquis, as he styled himself before the Rovolution) is a man of another sort of birth, fashion, and occupation from Brissot; but in every principle and every disposition, to the lowest as well as the highest and most determined villainies, fully his equal. Thoughts on French Aguirs, in Burry's Works, vol. i. p. 579.

²⁶ Groaning under the most oppressive cruelty in the dongeons of Magdeburg' Belsham's Hist. of Great. Brit., vol. ix. p. 151. See the afflicting details of his sufferings, in Mem. de Lajayette, vol. i. p. 479, vol. ii. pp. 75, 77, 78, 80, 91, 92; and on the noble equanimity with which he bore them, see Ds Starl. Rév. Françoise, Paris, 1830, vol. ii. p. 103.

¹³² It is hardly credible that such language should have been applied to a man like La Fayette: but I have copied it from the Parlamentary History, vol. xxxi p 51, and from Adoldhus, vol. v. p. 593. The only difference is, that in Adolphus the expression is 'I would not debase my humanity;' but in the Parl. Hist., 'I would not debauch my humanity But both authorities are agreed as to the term 'horrid ruffian' being used by Burke. Compare Burke's Correspondence with Laurence, pp. 91, 99.

³³⁸ BURKE'S Works, vol. ii. p. 319. In every instance I quote the precise words employed by Burke.

³⁸⁴ Ibid. vol. ii. p. 279.

³³⁰ Burke's speech, in Parl. Hist, vol xxxi. p. 379.

dulent, most knavish, of chicaners; 336 its National Assembly are 'miscreants;'337 its people are 'an allied army of Amazonian and male cannibal Parisians: 338 they are 'a nation of murderers;' 339 they are 'the basest of mankind;'340 they are 'murderous atheists;'341 they are 'a gang of robbers;'342 they are the prostitute outcasts of mankind; 343 they are 'a desperate gang of plunderers, murderers, tyrants, and atheists." To make the slightest concessions to such a country in order to preserve peace, is offering victims 'on the altars of blasphemed regicide; '315 even to enter into negotiations is 'exposing our lazar sores at the door of every proud servitor of the French republic, where the court-dogs will not deign to lick them.'346 When our ambassador was actually in Paris, he had the honour of passing his mornings in respectful attendance at the office of a regicide pettifogger; 347 and we were taunted with having sent a 'peer of the realm to the scum of the earth."348 France has no longer a place in Europe; it is expunded from the map; its very name should be forgotten. 449 Why, then, need men travel in it? Why need our children learn its language? and why are we to endanger the morals of our ambassadors? who can hardly fail to return from such a land with their principles corrupted, and with a wish to conspire against their own country. 330

```
12. BURKE'S Works, vol. 11. p 335.
   237 BURKE'S Correspond., vol 111. p. 140.
   328 BURKE'S Works, vol 11 p 322
   339 Parl. Hist., vol xxx' p 115
   240 Ibid. p. 112.
   141 Ibid. p. 188.
   342 Ibid. p. 435.
   244 Ibid. p. 646; the concluding sentence of one of Burke's speeches
in 1793
   244 Ibid. vol xxxi. p. 426.
   343 BURKE'S Works, vol. 11, p 320
   346 Ibid. p. 286.
   347 Ibid. p. 329.
  3.0 Ibid. p. 318.
  249 Parl. Hist., vol xxvin p. 353, vol. xxx p. 390, ADOLPHUS, vol.
iv. p. 467.
  150 In the Letters on a Regicide Peace, published the year before he
```

died, he says, "These ambassadors may easily return as good courtiers as they went: but can they ever return from that degrading regidence loyal and faithful subjects; or with any true affection to their master.

This is sad, indeed, from such a man as Burke once was; but what remains, shows still more clearly how the associations and composition of his mind had been altered. He who, with humanity not less than with wisdom, had strenuously laboured to prevent the American war, devoted the last few years of his life to kindle a new war, compared to which that with America was a light and trivial episode. In his calmer moments, no one would have more willingly recognized that the opinions prevalent in any country are the inevitable results of the circumstances in which that country had been placed. But now he sought to alter those opinions by force. From the beginning of the French Revolution, he insisted upon the right, and indeed upon the necessity, of compelling France-to change her principles;331 and, at a later period, he blamed the allied sovereigns for not dictating to a great people the government they ought to adopt.352 Such

or true attachment to the constitution, religion, or laws of their country? There is great danger that they who enter smiling into this Tryphoman cave, will come out of it sad and serious conspirators, and such will continue as long as they live' BURKE'S Works, vol ii. p 282 He adds in the same work p Js1, 'Is it for this benefit we open "the usual relations of peace and amity?" Is it for this our youth of both sexes are to form themselves by travel? Is it for this that with expense and pains we form their lisping infant accents to the language of France? . . Let it be remembered, that no young man can go to any part of Europe without taking this place of pestilential contagion in his way, and, whilst the less active part of the community will be debauched by this travel, whilst children are possoned at these schools, our trade will put the finishing hand to our ruin. No factory will be settled in France that will not become a club of complete French Jacobins The minds of young men of that description will receive a taint in their religion, their morals, and their polities, which they will in a short time communicate to the whole kingdom.

^{2.0} In Observations on the Conduct of the Minority, 1793, he says, that during four years he had wished for 'a general war against jacobins and jacobinsma.' BURKE'S Works, vol. 1. p. 611.

^{151 &#}x27;For, in the first place, the united sovereigns very much injured their cause by admitting that they had nothing to do with the interior arrangements of France.' Heads for Consideration on the Present State of Affairs, written in November 1792, in BURKE'S Works, vol. i. p. 583. And that he knew that this was not merely a question of destroying a faction, appears from the observable circumstance, that even in January 1791 he wrote to Trevor respecting war. 'France is weak indeed, divided and deranged, but God knows, when the things came to be tried, whether the invaders would not find that their enterprise

was the havoc circumstances had made in his well-ordered intellect, that to this one principle he sacrificed every consideration of justice, of mercy, and of expediency. As if war, even in its mildest form, were not sufficiently hateful, he sought to give to it that character of a crusade 363 which increasing knowledge had long since banished; and loudly proclaiming that the contest was religious rather then temporal, he revived old prejudices in order to cause fresh crimes.354 He also declared that the war should be carried on for revenge as well as for defence, and that we must never lay down our arms until we had utterly destroyed the men by whom the Revolution was brought about.355 And, as if these things were not enough, he insisted that this, the most awful of all wars, being begun, was not to be hurried over; although it was to be carried on for revenge as well as for religion, and the resources of civilized men were to be quickened by the ferocious passions of crusaders, still it was not to be soon ended; it was to be durable; it must have permanence; it must, says Burke, in the spirit of a burning hatred, be protracted in a long war: 'I speak it emphatically, and with a desire that it should be marked, in a long war.'356

It was to be a war to force a great people to change their government. It was to be a war carried on for the

was not to support a party, but to conquer a kingdom.' Burke's Correspond. vol. iii. p. 184.

²⁰³ As Lord J. Russell truly calls it, Mem. of Fox, vol. iii. p. 34. See also Schlosser's Eighteenth Century, vol. ii. p. 93, vol. v. p. 103, vol. vi. p. 291; Nicholl's Recollections, vol. ii. p. 300, Parr's Works, vol. ii. p. 322.

of this dreadful contest. It is a religious war.' Remarks on the Policy of the Allies, in Burke's Works. vol. i. p. 600.

²³⁵ See the long list of proscriptions in BURKE'S Works, vol. 1. 2, 604. And the principle of revenge is again advocated in a letter written in 1793, in BURKE'S Correspond. vol. iv. p. 183. And in 179 he told the House of Commons that the war must no longer be confined to the vain attempt of raising a barrier to the lawless and vage power of France; but must be directed to the only rational edit can pursue, namely, the entire destruction of the desperate he which gape it birth. Part. Hist. vol xxxi. p. 427.

In this horrible sentence, perhaps the most horrible ever permed by an English politician, the stalics are not my own; they are in the text

purpose of punishment. It was also to be a religious war. Finally, it was to be a long war. Was there ever any other man who wished to afflict the human race with such extensive, searching, and protracted calamities? Such cruel, such reckless, and yet such deliberate opinions, if they issued from a sane mind, would immortalize even the most obscure statesman, because they would load his name with imperishable infamy. For where can we find, even among the most ignorant or most sanguinary politicians, sentiments like these? Yet they proceed from one who, a very few years before, was the most eminent political philosopher England has ever possessed. To us it is only given to mourn over so noble a wreck. More than this no one should do. We may contemplate with reverence the mighty ruin; but the mysteries of its decay let no man presume to invade, unless, to use the language of the greatestof our masters, he can tell how to minister to a diseased mind, pluck the sorrows which are rooted in the memory, and raze out the troubles that are written in the brain.

It is a relief to turn from so painful a subject, even though we descend to the petty, huckstering politics of the English court. And truly, the history of the treatment experienced by the most illustrious of our politicians, is highly characteristic of the prince under whom he lived. While Burke was consuming his life in great public services, labouring to reform our finances, improve our laws, and enlighten our commercial policy,—while he was occupied with these things, the king regarded him with coldness and aversion. 327 But when the great statesman degenerated into an angry brawler; when, irritated by disease, he made it the sole aim of his declining years to kindle a deadly war between the two first countries of Europe, and declared that to this barbarous object he would sacrifice all other questions of policy, however im-

^{157 &#}x27;I know,' said Burke, in one of those magnificent speeches which mark the senith of his intellect,—'I know the map of England as well as the noble lord, or as any other person; and I know that the way I take is not the road to preferment.' Part Hist. vol. xvii. p. 1262.

portant they might be; 348—then it was that a perception of his vast abilities began to dawn upon the mind of the king. Before this, no one had been bold enough to circulate in the palace even a whisper of his merits. Now, however, in the successive, and eventually the rapid decline of his powers, he had fallen almost to the level of the royal intellect; and now he was first warmed by the beams of the royal favour. Now he was a man after the king's own heart. 319 Less than two years before his death, there was settled upon him, at the express desire of George III., two considerable pensions; 160 and the king even wished to raise him to the peerage, in order that the House of Lords might benefit by the services of so great a counsellor. 401

This digression respecting the character of Burke has been longer than I had anticipated; but it will not, I hope, be considered unimportant; for, in addition to the matrinsic interest of the subject, it illustrates the feelings of George III, towards great men, and it shows what the opinions were which in his reign it was thought necessary to hold. In the sequel of this work, I shall trace the effect of such opinions upon the interests of the country, con-

⁵⁸ See, among many other instances, an extraordinary passage on 'Jacobinism,' in his Books, vol in p. 449, which should be compared with a letter he wrote in 1792, respecting a proposed coalition ministry, Correspond vol in. pp. 519, 520. But my advice was that as a foundation of the whole, the political principle must be settled as the preliminary, namely, "a total hostility to the French system, at home, and abroad."

¹³⁰ The earliest evidence I have met with of the heart of George III. beginning to open towards Burke, is in August 1791, see, in Burke 8 Correspondence, vol in. p 27s, an evquisitely absurd account of his reception at the levee. Burke must have been fallen, indeed, before he could write such a letter

²⁰⁰ 'Said to have originated in the express wish of the king' Prior's Life of Barks, p. 483. Mr. Prior estimates these pensions at 3,770% a-year; but if we may rely on Mr. Nicholls, the sum was even greater' Mr. Burke was rewarded with two pensions, estimated to be worth 40,000%. Nicholls's Recollections, vol. 1, p. 136. Burke was sixty-five, and a pension of 3,700% a-year would not be worth 40,000%, as the tables were then calculated. The statement of Mr. Prior is, however, confirmed by Wansey, in 1794. See Nichols's Lit. Lines. of the Eighteenth Century, vol. iii. p. 81.

²⁴¹ PRIOR'S Life of Burke, p. 460; NICHOLE'S Lit. Anec. vol in p. 81, Bisser's Life of Burke, vol. ii. p. 414.

sidered as a whole; but for the object of the present Introduction, it will be sufficient to point out the connexion in one or two more of those prominent instances, the character of which is too notorious to admit of discussion.

Of these leading and conspicuous events, the American war was the earliest, and for several years it almost entirely absorbed the attention of English politicians. In the reign of George II. a proposal had been made to increase the revenue by taxing the colonies; which, as the Americans were totally unrepresented in parhament, was simply a proposition to tax an entire people without even the form of asking their consent. This scheme of public cobbery was rejected by that able and moderate man who was ther at the head of affairs; and the suggestion, being generally deemed impracticable, fell to the ground, and seems, indeed, hardly to have excited attention. 362 But what was deemed by the government of George II. to be a dangerous stretch of arbitrary power, was eagerly welcomed by the government of George III. For the new king, having the most exalted notion of his own authority, and being, from his miserable education, entirely ignorant of public affairs, thought that to tax the Americans for . the benefit of the English, would be a masterpiece of policy. When, therefore, the old idea was revived, it met with his cordial acquiescence; and when the Americans showed their intention of resisting this monstrous injustice, he was only the more confirmed in his opinion that it was necessary to curb their unruly will. Nor need we be surprised at the rapidity with which such angry feelings broke out. Indeed, looking, on the one hand, at the despotic principles which, for the first time since the Revolution, were now revived at the English court; and looking, on the other hand, at the independent spirit of the

by imposing taxes on America but that minister, who could foresee beyond the benefit of the actual moment, declared it must be bolder man than himself who should venture on such an expedient? Walnoth's George III. vol. ii, p. 70. Compare Phillinorn's Mem. of Littleton, vol. ii, p. 662, Barchoff's American Recolution, vol. i. p. 865, Balbham's Hist. of Great Britain, vol. v. p. 102.

tolonists,—it was impossible to avoid a struggle between the two parties; and the only questions were, as to what form the contest would take, and towards which side victory was most likely to incline 363

On the part of the English government, no time was lost. Five years after the accession of George III., a bill was brought into parliament to tax the Americans;364 and so complete had been the change in political affairs, that not the least difficulty was found in passing a measure welfch, in the reign of George II., no minister had dared to propose. Formerly, such a proposal, if made, would certainly have been rejected; now the most powerful parties in the state were united in its favour. The king, on every occasion, paid a court to the clergy, to which, since the death of Anne, they had been unaccustomed; he was, therefore, sure of their support, and they zealously aided him in every attempt to oppress the colonies.313 aristocracy, a few leading Whigs alone excepted, were the same side, and looked to the taxation of America as a means of lessening their own contributions 308 As to

^{2.3} That some sort of rupture was unavoidable, must I think, be admitted, but we are not bound to believe the assertion of Horace Walpole, who says (Mem of teenge II, vol. 1, p. 397) that in 1754 he predicted the American rebellion. Walpole, though a keen observer of the surface of society, was not the man to take a view of this kind, unless, as is hardly probable, he heard an opinion to that effect expressed by his father Sir Robert Walpole may have said something respecting the increasing love of liberty in the colonies; but it was impossible for him to foresee how that love would be fostered by the arbitrary proceedings of the government of George III.

³⁶⁴ The general proposition was introduced in 1764, the bill itself early in 1765. See MAHON'S Hist. of England, vol. v. pp. 82, 85, and drenville Papers, vol. ii. pp. 373, 374. On the complete change of policy which this indicated, see BROUGHAM'S Polit Phitos. part iii. p. 328.

²⁶⁵ The correspondence of that time contains ample proof of the bitterness of the clergy against the Americans. Even in 1777, Burke wrote to Fox: 'The Tories do universally think their power and consequence involved in the success of this American business. The clergy are astonishingly warm in it; and what the Tories are when embodied and united with their natural head, the crown, and animated by their clergy, no man knows better 'man ourself.' Burke's Wart's Vol. ii. pp 390. Compare Bushop Newton's Lefe of Himself, pp. 134.

^{3.6 &#}x27;The 'overbearing aristocracy desired some reduction of the land-tax, at the expense of America.' BANGROTT'S Hist, of the Interican Revolution, vol. ii. p. 414. The merchants, on the other hand, were opposed to these violent proceedings. See, on this contrast be

George III., his feelings on the subject were notorious; ³⁶⁷ and the more liberal party not having yet recovered from the loss of power consequent on the death of George II., there was little fear of difficulties from the cabinet; it being well known that the throne was occupied by a prince whose first object was to keep ministers in strict dependence on himself, and who, whenever it was practicable, called into office such weak and flexible men as would yield unhesitating submission to his wishes. ³⁶⁸

Everything being thus prepared, there followed those events which were to be expected from such a combination. Without stopping to relate details which are known

tween the landed and commercial interests, a letter from Lord Shelburne, me1774, and another from Lord Canden, in 1777, in Chatham Corr spond vol iv pp. 341, 461. See also the speeches of Trecothick and Vener, in Part Had vol xvi. p. 597 vol. xviii. p. 1361

It was believed at the time, and it is not improbable, that the king himself suggested the taxation of America, to which Grenville at first objected. Compare Wharall's Mem. of his own Time, vol. 11 pp 111, 112, with Nicholl's Resollections, vol 1 pp 205, 386. This may have been merely a rumour, but it is quite consistent with everything we know of the character of George III., and there can, at all events, be no doubt as to his feelings respecting the general question. It is certain that he over-persuaded Lord North to engage in the contest with America, and induced that minister to go to war. and to continue it even after success had become hopeless. See Bax-CROFI'S American Revolution, vol in pp 307, 308, Rissell's Mem, of Fox, vol. i. pp 247, 254, and the Redtord Correspond vol in p 11 See also, in regard to the repeal of the Stamp Act, the terentille Papers, vol in p 373, a curious passage, with which Lord Mahon, the last edition of whose history was published in the same year (1853), appears to have been unacquainted Manon's Hist, of England, vol. v p 139 In America, the sentiments of the king were well known. In 1775, Jefferson writes from Philadelphia 'We are told, and everything proves it true, that he is the bitterest enemy we have.' JEFFERbox's Correspond vol i. p 153 And in 1782 Franklin writes to Livingston, 'The king hates us most cordially,' Life of Franklin, vol ii. p 126

rea 'A court,' as Lord Albemarle observes,—'a court that required ministers to be, not the public servants of the state. but the private domestics of the sovereign' ALBEMARLE'S Mem. of Rockingham, vol. 1 p. 248 Compare Bancrort's American Revolution, vol, ii. p. 109 In the same way, Burke, in 1767, writes. 'His majesty never was in better spirits. He has got a ministry weak and dependent; and, what is better, willing to continue so.' Burke's Correspond, vol. is p. 133. Ten years later, Lord Chatham openly taunted the king with this disgraceful pseudianty. 'Thus to phable men, not capable men, was the government of this once glonous empire intrusted.' Chatham's Speech in 1777, in Adolphus, vol. ii pp. 439, 500

¹² For some evidence of the terocity with which this war was conducted by the English, see Tucken's Lote of Lefterson, vol 1 pp. 138, 139, 160, Jefferson's Mone and Correspond vol. 1, pp. 352, 421, vol. 11 pp. 356, 337, Almon's Correspond of Buken, vol. v. pp. 222-232, edit. 1805, Adolphus's Hist, of theore III vol. 11 pp. 362, 341. These horrible cruelties were frequently mentioned in parliament, but without producing the least effect on the king or his ministers. See Parl, Hist, vol. xix. pp. 371, 403, 423, 424, 432, 435, 440, 477, 487, 489, 567, 578, 579, 695, 972, 1391, 1994, vol. xx. p. 43. Among the expenses of the war which government laid before parliament, one of the items was for five gross of scalping knives? Parl, Hist vol. xix. pp. 971, 972. See further Mone de Lajayette, vol. 1, pp. 23, 25, 39.

³⁷⁶ In Manchester, in consequence of the American troubles, nine in ten of the artisans in that town had been discharged from employment. This was stated in 1766, by no less an authority than Conway. Mahon's Hist. of England, vol. v. p. 135. As the struggle became more obstinate the evil was more marked, and ample evidence of the enormous injury indicted on England will be found by comparing Franklin's Correspondence, vol. v. p. 352, Adolphus 8 Hist. of George III. vol. n. p. 261, Buske's Works, vol. v. p. 111, Parl. Hist vol. xviii. pp. 734, 951, 963, 464, vol. xix. pp. 259, 311, 710, 711, 1072, Walpole's Mem. of George III. vol. n. p. 218.

Even Mr. Adolphus, in his Tory history, says, that in 1782 the cause of Great Britain seemed degraded to the lowest state, ill suctess and the prevalent opinion of mismanagement rendered the espousal of it among the selfish powers of the continent almost dispersively. Hist. of George III. vol. in. pp. 491, 392. For proof of the opinions held in foreign countries respecting this I cannot do better than refer to Mem. de Segur, vol. iii. pp. 184, 185, Guerce de Turyot, grol. ix. p. 377; Soulavie, Mem. de Louis XVI. vol. iv. pp. 363, 764, Koch, Tableau des Revolutions, vol. ii. pp. 190—194; Mem. of Mallet du Pan, vol. 1. p. 37.

⁷⁷² Sir John Sinclair, in his Hist of the Revenue, vol. 11, p. 114, 8ays 139,171,876t.

and we lost by far the most valuable colonies any nation has ever possessed.

Such were the first fruits of the policy of George III. But the mischief did not stop there. The opinions which it was necessary to advocate in order to justify this barbarous war, recoiled upon ourselves. In order to defend the attempt to destroy the liberties of America, principles were laid down which, if carried into effect, would have subverted the liberties of England. Not only in the court, but in both houses of parliament, from the episcopal bench, and from the pulpits of the church-party, there were promulgated doctrines of the most dangerous kind-doctrines unsuited to a limited monarchy, and, indeed, incompatible with it. The extent to which this reaction proceeded is known to very few readers, because the evidence of it is chiefly to be found in the parliamentary debates, and in the theological literature, particularly the sermons, of that time, none of which are now much studied. But, not to anticipate matters belonging to another part of this work, it is enough to say, that the danger was so imminent as to make the ablest defenders of popular liberty believe that everything was at stake; and that if the Americans were vanquished, the next step would be to attack the liberties of England, and endeavour to extend to the mother-country the same arbitrary government which by that time would have been established in the colonies."73

Whether or not these fears were exaggerated, is a question of considerable difficulty; but after a careful study of that time, and a study too from sources not

[&]quot;I Dr. Jebb, an able observer, thought that the American war must be decisive of the liberties of both countries." Diskey's Life John, p. 92 So, too, Lord Chatham wrote in 1777, "poor England will have fallen upon her own sword." The Grenetile Papers, vol. iv. p. 573 In the same year, Burke said of the attempt made to rule the colonies by military force, 'that the establishment of such a power in America will utterly ruin our finances (though its certain effect), is the smalless part of our concern. It will become an apt, powerful, and certain engine for the dest ection of our freedom here.' Burke's Works, vol. iv. p. 399. Compare vol. 1. pp. 189, 210, Parl Histovol. xvi pp. 104, 107, 651, 652, vol. xix. pp. 11, 1056, vol. xx. p. 119, vol. xxi p. 907. Hence it was that Fox wished the Americans to be victorious (Russell's Mem. of For. vol. 1 p. 143), for which some writers have actually accused him of want of patriotism!

much used by historians, I feel satisfied that they who are best acquainted with the period will be the most willing to admit that, though the danger may have been overrated, it was far more serious than men are now inclined to believe. At all events, it is certain that the general aspect of political affairs was calculated to excite great alarm. It is certain, that during many years, the authority of the crown continued to increase, until it reached a height of which no example had been seen in England for sectral generations. It is certain that the Church of England exerted all her influence in tayour of those despotic principles which the king wished to enforce certain that, by the constant creation of new peers, all holding the same views, the character of the House of Lords was undergoing a slow but decisive change; and that, whenever a tayourable opportunity arose, high judicial appointments and high ecclesiastical appointments were conferred upon men notorious for their leaning towards the royal prerogative. These are facts which cannot be denied, and, putting them together, there remains, I think, no doubt, that the American war was a great crisis in the history of England, and that if the colomsts had been defeated, our liberties would have been for a time in considerable jeopardy. From that 11sk we were saved by the Americans, who with heroic spirit resisted the royal armies, defeated them at every point, and at length, separating themselves from the mother-country, began that wonderful career, which, in less than eighty years, has raised them to an uncampled prosperity, and which to us ought to be deeply interesting, as showing what may be effected by the unaided resources of a free people.

Seven years after this great contest had been brought to a successful close, and the Americans, happily for a interest of mankind, had finally secured their independence, another ration rose up and turned against its rule. The history of the causes of the French Revolution ill be found in another part of this volume; at present we have only to glance at the effects it produced upon the policy of the English government. In France, as is well known, the movement was extremely rapid; the old in-

stitutions, which were so corrupted as to be utterly unfit for use, were quickly destroyed; and the people, frenzied by centuries of oppression, practised the most revolting cruelties, saddening the hour of their triumph by crimes that disgraced the noble cause for which they struggled.

All this, frightful as it was, did nevertheless form a part of the natural course of affairs; it was the old story of tyranny exciting revenge, and revenge blinding men to every consequence except the pleasure of glutting their own passions. If, under these circumstances, France had been left to herself, the Revolution, like all other revolutions, would soon have subsided, and a form of government have arisen suited to the actual condition of things. What the form would have been, it is impossible now to say; that, however, was a question with which no foreign country had the slightest concern. Whether it should be an oligarchy, or a despotic monarchy, or a republic, it was for France to decide; but it was evidently not the business of any other nation to decide for her. Still less was it likely that, on so delicate a point, France would submit to dictation from a country which had always been her rival, and which not unfrequently had been her bitter and successful enemy.

But these considerations, obvious as they are, were lost upon George III., and upon those classes which were then in the ascendant. The fact that a great people had risen against their oppressors, disquieted the consciences of men in high places. The same evil passions, and indeed the same evil language, which a few years before were directed against the Americans, were now turned against the French; and it was but too clear that the same results would follow. 374 In defiance of every maxim, of sound policy, the English ambassador was recalled from France simply because that country chose to do away

and therefore before the war broke out. Lord Landsdowne, one of the extremely few peers who escaped from the prevailing corruption, said, 'The present instance recalled to his memory the proceedings of this country previous to the American war. The same abusive and degrading terms were applied to the Americans that were now used to the National Convention.—the same consequences moght follow. Part Hist. vol. xxx. p. 155.

with the monarchy, and substitute a republic in its place. This was the first decisive step towards an open rupture, and it was taken, not because France had injured England, but because France had changed her government. 375 A few months later, the French, copying the example of the English in the preceding century, 376 brought their king to a public trial, sentenced him to die, and struck off his head in the midst of his own capital. It must be allowed that this act was needless, that it was cruel, and that it was grossly impolitic. But it is palpably evident that they who consented to the execution were responsible only to God and their country; and that any notice of it from abroad, which bore the appearance of a threat, would rouse the spirit of France, would unite an parties into one, and would induce the nation to adopt as its own acrime of which it might otherwise have repented, but which it could not now abjure without incurring the shame of having vielded to the dictation of a foreign power

In England, however, as soon as the fate of the king was known, the government, without waiting for explanation, and without asking for any guarantee as to the future, treated the death of Louis as an offence against itself, and imperiously ordered the French resident to quit the country: 377 thus wantonly originating a war which lasted

Vis Compare Belshams Hist of locast Restain, vol. vin. p. 430, with TOMLINE'S Life of Pitt, vol. 11 p. 548. The letter to Lord Gower, the English minister in Paris, is printed in Part Hist, vol. xxx. pp. 143, 144. Its date is 17th August, 1792.

^{2.6} Just before the Revolution. Robert de Saint-Vincent pertinently remarked, by way of caution, that the English have dethroned seven of their kings, and beheaded the eighth "Mem. of Mallet du Pan, vol. 1. p. 146, and we are told in ALISONS Furope (vol ii pp. 139, 29n, 315), that in 1793 Louis 'anticipated the fate of Charles I' Compare Williams's Letters from France, 2nd edit 1796, vol iv p. 2

^{21.} Belsham (Hist. of treat Britain, vol. viii. p. 525) supposes, and probably with reason, that the English government was bent upon war even before the death of Louis; but it appears (Tomlink's Pitt, vol., ii. p. 599) that it was not until the 24th of January 1793 that Chauvelin was actually ordered to leave England, and that this was in consequence of the British minister. having received information of the execution of the king of France. Compare Belsham, vol. viii. p. 530. The common opinion, therefore, seems correct, that the proximate cause of hostilities was the execution of Louis. See Alison's Hist vol. ii. p. 522, vol. v. p. 244, vol. vi. p. 656, and Neumarch, im Journal of Statished Society, vol. xviii. p. 103.

twenty years, cost the lives of millions, plunged all Europe into confusion, and, more than any other circumstance, stopped the march of civilization, by postponing for a whole generation those reforms, which, late in the eighteenth century, the progress of affairs rendered indispensable.

The European results of this, the most hateful, the most unjust, and the most atrocious war. England has ever waged against any country, will be hereafter considered; ¹⁷⁸ at present I confine myself to a short summary of its leading effects on English society.

What distinguishes this sanguinary contest from all preceding ones, and what gives to it its worst feature, is, that it was eminently a war of opinions, - a war which we carried on, not with a view to territorial acquisitions, but with the object of repressing that desire for reforms of every kind, which had now become the marked characteristic of the leading countries of Europe. 379 As soon, therefore, as hostilities began the English government had a twofold duty to perform: it had to destroy a republic abroad, and it had to prevent improvement at home. The first of these duties it fulfilled by squandering the blood and the treasure of England, till it had thrown nearly every family into mourning, and reduced the country to the verge of national bankruptcy. The other duty it attempted to execute by enacting a series of laws intended to put an end to the free discussion of political questions, and stifle that spirit of inquiry which was every year becoming more active. These laws were so comprehensive, and so well

¹⁷⁸ Lord Brougham (Sketches of Statesmen, vol 1, p. 75) rightly says of this war, that 'the youngest man hying will not survive the fatal effects of this flagrant political crime. So eager, however, was George III in its favour, that when Wilberforce separated himself from Pitt on account of the war, and moved an amendment on the subject in the House of Commons, the king showed his spite by refusing to take any notice of Wilberforce the next time he appeared at court. Life of Wilberforce, vol 11, pp. 10, 72.

¹⁹ In 1795 and subsequently, it was stated both by the opposition, and also by the supporters of evernment, that the war with France was directed against docrines and opinions, and that one of its main objects was to discourage the progress of democratic infittutions. See, among many other instances, Pari Hist. vol. xxx. pp. 443, 417, 1077, 1190, 1200, 1283, vol. xxxii. pp. 466, 592, 649, 680, 1036, 1047, vol. xxxiii. pp. 603, 604; NICHOLLE'S Recollections, vol. 11 pp. 156, 157.

calculated to effect their purpose, that if the energy of the nation had not prevented their being properly enforced, they would either have destroyed every vestige of popular liberty, or else have provoked a general rebellion. Indeed, during several years the danger was so imminent, that, in the opinion of some high authorities, nothing could have averted it, but the bold spirit with which our English juries, by their hostile verdicts, resisted the proceedings of government, and refused to sanction laws which the crown had proposed, and to which a timid and servile legislature had willingly consented.^{3×0}

We may form some idea of the magnitude of the crisis by considering the which were actually taken against the two most important of all our institutions, namely, the freedom of the public press, and the right of assembling in meeting for the purpose of public discussion. These are, in a political point of view, the two most striking peculiarities which distinguish us from every other European. people. As long as they are preserved intact, and as longs as they are fearlessly and frequently employed, there always be ample protection against those encroachments on the part of government which cannot be too jealously watched, and to which even the freest country is liable. To this may be added, that these institutions possess other advantages of the highest order. By encouraging political discussion, they increase the amount of intellect brought to bear upon the political business of the country. They also increase the total strength of the nation, by causing

180 Lord Campbell (Liver of the Chancellors, vol. vi. p. 440 says), that if the laws passed in 1734 had been enforced, 'the only chance of escaping servitude would have been civil war.' Compare Broughlan's Statesmen, vol. 1. p. 237, vol. ii pp. 63, 64, on our 'escape from proscription and from arbitrary power... during the almost hopeless struggle from 1793 to 1801.' Both these writers pay great and deserved honour to the successful efforts of Erskine with juries, Indeed the spirit of our jurors was so determined, that in 1744, at Tooke's trial, they only consulted eight minutes before bringing in a verdict of acquittal. Stepher's Mem. of Horne Tooke, vol. ii. p. 167; see also, on this crisis, Life of Cartwright, vol. \(\frac{1}{2} \), vol. ii. p. 167; see also, on this crisis, Life of Cartwright, vol. \(\frac{1}{2} \), vol. ii. p. 167; see also, on the attorney-general. Scott, was always mobbed when he left the court, and on one occasion his life was in danger. Twiss's Life of Eldon, vol. i. pp. 185, 186. Compare Holdroft's Memoirs, vol. ii. pp. 180, 181.

large classes of men to exercise faculties which would otherwise lie dormant, but which by these means are quickened into activity, and become available for other purposes of social interest.

But in the period we are now considering, it was deemed advisable that the influence of the people should be lessened; it was, therefore, thought improper that they should strengthen their abilities by exercising them. To relate the details of that bitter war which, late in the eighteenth century, the English government carried in against every kind of free discussion, would lead me far beyond the limits of this Introduction, and I can only hastily refer to the vindictive prosecutions, and, whenever a verdict was obtained, the vindictive punishments, of men like Adams, Bonney, Crossfield, Frost, Gerald, Hardy, Holt, Hodson, Holcroft, Joyce, Kidd, Lambert, Margarot, Martin, Muir, Palmer, Perry, Skirving, Stannard, Thelwall, Tooke, Wakefield, Wardle, Winterbotham all of whom were indicted, and many of whom were fined, unprisoned, or transported, because they expressed their sentiments with freedom, and because they used language such as in our time is employed with perfect impunity, by speakers at public meetings, and by writers in the public press.

As, however, juries in several cases refused to convict men who were prosecuted for these offences, it was determined to recur to measures still more decisive. In 1795, a law was passed, by which it was manifestly intended to put an end for ever to all popular discussions either on political or religious matters. For by it every public meeting was forbidden, unless notice of it were inserted in a newspaper five days beforehand; ¹⁵¹ such notice to contain a statement of the objects of the meeting, and of the time

¹¹ Five days at least. Stat. 36 triorge III c 3, § 1. This applied to meetings 'ho'den for the purpose or on the pretext of considering of or preparing any petition, complaint, remonstrance, or declaration, or other address to the king, of to both houses, or either house, of parliament, for alteration of matters established in church or state, or for the purpose or on the pretext of deliberating upon any grievance in church or state. The only exceptions allowed were in the case of meetings called by magistrates, officials, and the majority of the grand jury

and place where it was to assemble. And, to bring the whole arrangement completely under the supervision of government, it was ordered, that not only should the notice, thus published, be signed by householders, but that the original manuscript should be preserved, for the information of the justices of the peace, who might require a copy of it: a significant threat, which, in those days; was easily unterstood.382 It was also enacted that, even after these precautions had been taken, any single justice might comper the meeting to disperse, if, in his opinion, the language held by the speakers was calculated to bring the sovereign or the government into contempt, while, at the same time, he was authorized to arrest those whom he considered to be the offenders. 443 The power of dissolving a public meeting, and of seizing its leaders, was thus conferred upon a common magistrate, and conferred too without the slightest provision against its abuse. In other words, the right of putting an end to all public discussions on the most important subjects, was lodged in the hands of a man appointed by the crown, and removable by the crown at its own pleasure. To this it was added, that if the meeting should consist of twelve, or upwards of twelve persons, and should remain together for one hour after being ordered to separate,-in such case, the penalty of death was to be inflicted, even if only twelve disobeyed this the arbitrary command of a single and irresponsible magistrate 384

In 1799, another law was passed, forbidding any open field, or place of any kind, to be used for lecturing, or for debating, unless a specific license for such place had been

³⁸² The insertor of the notice in the newspaper shall cause such notice and authority to be carefully preserved. . and cause a true copy thereof (if required) to be delivered to any justice of the peace for the county. city. town, or place where such person shall reside, or where such newspaper shall be printed, and who shall require the same. 36 George III. c. 8, § 1.

²⁵³ C. 8, §§ 6 and 7, referring to 'meetings on notice; i, and to persons holding language which shall end 'tend to incite.' These two sections are very remarkable

³⁶⁴ 'It shall be adjudged,' says the Act, 'felony without benefit of clergy; and the offenders therein shall be adjudged felons, and shall suffer death as in case of felony without benefit of clergy.' 36 George III. c. 8, § 6.

obtained from the magistrates. It was likewise enacted, that all circulating-libraries, and all reading-rooms, should be subject to the same provision; no person, without leave from the constituted authorities, being permitted to lend on hire in his own house, newspapers, pamphlets, or even books of any kind. 385 Before shops of this sort could be opened, a license must first be obtained from two justices of the peace: which, however, was to be renewed at least once a year, and might be revoked at any intermediate period. 386 If a man lent books without the permission of the magistrates, or if he allowed lectures or debates, 'on any subject whatever,' to be held under his roof, then, for such grievous crime, he was to be fined 1007, a-day; and every person who aided him, either by presiding over the discussion, or by supplying a book, was for each offence to be fined 201. The proprietor of so pernicious an establishment was not only to suffer from these ruinous fines, but was declared liable to still further punishment as the keeper of a disorderly house 187

To modern ears it sounds somewhat strange, that the owner of a public reading-room should not only incur extravagant fines, but should also be punished as the keeper of a disorderly house; and that all this should happen to him, simply because he opened his shop without asking permission from the local magistrates. Strange, however, as this appears, it was, at all events, consistent, since it

³⁸ Stat 39 George III e 79; § 15.

The license, shall be in force for the space of one year and no longer, or for any less space of time therein to be specified, and which license it shall be lawful for the justices of the peace' &c 'to revoke and declare void, and no longer in force by any order of such justices; and thereupon such license shall cease and determine, and be thenceforth utterly void and of no effect 39 George III. c 79, § 18.

³⁶ Such things are so incredible, that I must again quote the words of the Act 'Every house, room, or place, which shall be opened or used as a place of meeting for the purpose of reading books, pamphlets, newspapers, or other publications, and to which any person shall be admitted by payment of money (if not regularly licensed by the authorities)... 'shall be deemed a disorderly house,' and the person opening it shall 'be otherwise pupished as the law directs in case of disorderly houses' 39 George III. c. 79, § 15. The germ of this law may be found in 36 George III. c. 8, §§ 12, 13, 14, 15, 16. Nowhere are the weakest parts of the human mind more clearly seen than in the history of legislation.

formed part of a regular plan for bringing, not only the actions of men, but even their opinions, under the direct control of the executive government. Thus it was that the laws, now for the first time passed, against newspapers, were so stringent, and the prosecution of authors so unrelenting, that there was an evident intention to ruin every public writer who expressed independent sentiments. 588 These measures, and others of a similar character, which will hereafter be noticed, excited such alarm, that, in the opinion of some of the ablest observers, the state of public affairs was becoming desperate, perhaps irretrievable. The extreme despondency with which, late in the eighteenth century, the best triends of liberty looked to the future, is very observable, and forms a striking feature in their private correspondence. The And although comparatively few

"See the particulars in Hunis Her of Newspapers, vol. 1 pp 281-254 Mr Hunt says, p 284 'In addition to all these laws, directed solely towards the press, other statutes were made to bear upon it, for the purpose of repressing the free expression of popular In 1793, Dr. Curne writes 'The prosecutions that are commenced by government all over England against printers, put ers. &c would astomsh you, and most of these are for offences, mitted many months ago. The printer of the Manchester Heruis had seven different indictments preferred against him for paragraphs in his paper, and six different indictments for selling or disposing of six different copies of Paine, - all previous to the trial of Paine. The man was opulent, supposed worth 20,000/, but these different actions will ruin him, as they were intended to do ' Currie's Life, vol 1 pp. 185, 186 See also a letter from Roscoe to Lord Lansdowne, in Life of Roscoe, vol a. p. 124 and Mem. of Holcroft, vol. ii pp. 151, 152. 'Printers and booksellers all over the kingdom were hunted out for prosecution.' See further, Lite of Cartwright, vol. 1 pp. 199, 200, ADOLPHUS'S Hist of George III., vol. v. pp. 525, 526, Mem of Wakeneld, vol. 11, p 69.

²⁶⁷ In 1793, Dr. Currie, after mentioning the attempts made by government to destroy the liberty of the press, adds 'For my part. I foresee troubles, and conceive the nation was never in such a dangerous crisis.' Currie's Mem. vol. i. p. 186. In 1795, Fox writes (Russell's Mem. of Fox, yol. iii pp 124, 125). 'There appears to me to be no choice at present, but between an absolute surrender of the liberties of the people and a vigorous exertion, attended, I admit, with considerable hazard, at a time like the present. My of things is, I own, very gloomy, and am convinced that, in a yfew years; this government will become completely absolute, or confusion will arise of a nature almost as much to be deprecated despotism itself.' In the same year, Dr. Raine writes (Pare's Work, vol. vii. p. 533). 'The mischevous conduct of men in power has long made this country an uneasy dwelling for the moderate and peaceful

men venture to express such sentiments in public, Fox, whose fearless temper made him heedless of risk, openly stated what would have checked the government, if anything could have done so. For this eminent statesman, who had been minister more than once, and was afterwards minister again, did not hesitate to say, from his place in parliament, in 1795, that if these, and other shameful laws which were proposed, should be actually passed, forcible resistance to the government would be merely a question of prudence, and that the people, if they felt themselves equal to the conflict, would be justified in withstanding the arbitrary measures by which their rulers sought to extinguish their liberties 3000

Nothing, however, could stop the government in its headlong career. The ministers, secure of a majority in both houses of parliament, were able to carry their measures in defiance of the people, who opposed them by every mode short of actual violence ¹⁹¹ And as the object

man, their present proceedings render our situation alarming and our prospects dreadful. See also p. 530. In 17% the Bishop of Llandaff writes (Lite of Balson, vol. n. pp. 36, 37). The malady which attacks the constitution (influence of the crown) is without temedy violent applications might be used, their success would be doubtful, and I, for one, never wish to see their tried. Compare vol. 1. p. 222. And, in 17%. Priestley dreaded a revolution, but, at the same time, thought there was 'no longer any hope of a peaceable and gradual reform. Man, at Priviley, vol. 1. pp. 198, 199.

" In this memorable declaration, Fox said, that he had a right to hope and expect that these bills, which positively repealed the Bill of Rights, and cut up the whole of the constitution by the roots, by changing our limited monarch; into an absolute despotism, would not be enacted by parliament against the declared sense of a great majority of the people. If, however, ministers were determined, by means of the corrupt influence they possessed in the two houses of parliament, to pass the bills in direct opposition to the declared sense of a great majority of the nation, and they should be put in force with all their rigorous provisions, if his opinion were asked by the people as to their obedience, he should tell them, that it was no longer a question of moral obligation and duty, but of prudence. It would indeed, be a case of extremity slone which could justify resistance; and the only question would be, whether that resistance was prudent? Parl. Het. vol. xxii p 183. On this, Windham remarked, and Fox did not deny, that the meaning obviously was, that the right hon, gentleman would advise the people, whenever they were strong enough, to resist the execution of the law;' and to this both Sheridan and Grey immediately assented. p. 383-387.

"Never had there appeared, in the memory of the oldest man,

of these new laws was, to check the spirit of inquiry, and. prevent reforms, which the progress of society rendered indispensable, there were also brought into play other means subservient to the same end. It is no exaggeration to say, that for some years England was ruled by a system of absolute terror. 392 The ministers of the day, turning a struggle of party into a war of proscription, filled the prisons with their political opponents, and allowed them, when in confinement, to be treated with shameful severity. 393 If a man was known to be a reformer, he was constantly in danger of being arrested; and if he escaped that, he was watched at every turn, and his private letters were opened as they passed through the post-office. 394 In such cases, no scruples were allowed. Even the confidence of domestic life was violated. No opponent of government was safe under his own root, against the tales of eavesdroppers and the gossip of servants. Discord was introduced into the bosom of families, and schisms caused between parents and their children. 195 Not only were

so firm and decided a plurality of silversaries to the ministerial measures, as on this occasion (i e in 17%) the interest of the public seemed so deeply at stake, that individuals, not only of the decent, but of the most vulgar professions, gave up a considerable portion of their time and occupations in attending the numerous meetings that were called in every part of the kingdom, to the professed intent of counteracting this attempt of the ministry. Note in Part History, vol. xxxii, p. 381—It was at this period that Fox made the declaration which I have quoted in the previous note.

1°2 It was called at the time the 'Reign of Terror,' and so indeed it was for every opponent of government. See Campbell's Chancellors, vol. vi. p. 441, Mem. of Wakepeld, vol. ii. p. 67 and Trotier's Mem. of Fox. p. 10.

The inequitous system of secret imprisonment, under which Pitt and Dundas had now filled all the gaois with parliamentary reformers; men who were cast into dungeons without any public accusation, and from whom the babeas-corpus suspension act had taken every hope of redress. Cooke's Hist. of Farty, vol ni p. 447. On the cruelty with which these political opponents of government were treated when in prison, see Stephen's Mem. of Teckr. vol. ii. pp. 121, 125, 423; Parl. Hist. vol. xxxvv pp. 112, 113, 126, 129, 170, 515, vol. xxxv pp. 742, 743, CLONCUBHY'S Recollections, pp. 46, 86, 87, 140, 225.

*** Life of Currie, vol. ii. p. 160, STEPHERS'S Mem. of Tooke, vol ii. pp. 118, f19.

²⁷⁵ In 1793, Roscoe writes. 'Every man is called on to be a spy upon his brother.' Life of Roscoe, vol. i. p. 127. Compare Fox's statement (Parl. Hist, vol. xxx. p. 21), that what government had done

the most strenuous attempts made to silence the press. but the booksellers were so constantly prosecuted, that they did not dare to publish a work if its author were obnoxious to the court. 396 Indeed, whoever opposed the government was proclaimed an enemy to his country. 397 Political associations and public meetings were strictly torbidden. Every popular leader was in personal danger: and every popular assemblage was dispersed, either by threats or by military execution. That hateful machinery familiar to the worst days of the seventeenth century was put into motion. Spies were paid; witnesses were suborned; juriemere packed. "" The coffee-houses, the inns. and the clubs, were filled with emissaries of the government, who reported the most hasty expressions of common conversation 199 If, by these means, no sort of evi-

was, 'to erect every man, not merely into an inquisitor, but into a sudge, a spy, an informer, -- to set father against father, brother against brother, and in this way you expect to maintain the tranquillity of the country' See also vol xxx p 1529, and a remarkable passage in Coleringl's Biog. Lit (vol. i. p. 192), on the extent of 'secret defamation ' in and after 1793 For further evidence of this horrible state of society, see Meri, of Holcrott, vol. ii pp. 150, 151, STEPHENS'S Mem of Horne Tooke, vol. ii pp 11: 116

3 There was even considerable difficulty in finding a printer for Tooke's great philological work. The Inversions of Purley. See STE-PHENS'S Mem. et Tooke, vol. ii. pp 345-348. In 1798. Fox wrote to (artwight Lite of Carturight, vol i. p. 248) 'The decision agains Wakefield's publisher appears to me decisive against the liberty of the press, and, indeed, after it, one can hardly conceive how anyf prudent tradesman can venture to publish anything that can, in any way, be disagreeable to the ministers'

" Those who opposed the slave-trade were called jacobins, and 'enemies to the ministers,' and the celebrated Dr. Currie was pronounced to be a jacobin, and an 'enemy to his country,' because he remonstrated against the shameful manner in which the English government, in 1800, allowed the French prisoners to be treated. Life of Curro, vol. 1 pp 330, 432, Lite of Withertorce, vol 1, pp. 342-344. vol n pp 18, 133, Part. Hist. vol. xxx. p. 614, vol. xxxi. p. 467. vol. xxxiii. p. 1387, vol. xxxiv. pp. 1114, 1485

18 Life of Cartweight, vol. i. p 209; Hunt's Hist of Newspapers, vol 11. p. 104, Belsham's Hist. vol. ix. p 227, Adolphus's Hist. vol. vi. p. 264; Annual Register for 1735, pp. 156, 160, STEPHENE'S Mem. of Tooke, vol. ii p. 115; Lite of Carre, vol. i. p. 172, CAMPBELL'S Chancellors, vol. vi. p. 316, vol. vi. p. 316; Lite of Witherforce, vol. iv. pp. 369, 377; Parl. Hest. vol. xxxi. pp. 543, 607, 868, 1067, vol. xxxii. pp. 296, 302, 366, 367, 374, 664, vol. xxxv, pp. 1538, 1540; HOLCROIT'S Memoirs, vol. 11 p. 190

199 In addition to the passages referred to in the preceding note,

dence could be collected, there was another resource, which was unsparingly used. For, the habeas-corpus act being constantly suspended, the crown had the power of imprisoning without inquiry, and without limitation, any person offensive to the ministry, but of whose crime no proof was attempted to be brought.

Such was the way in which, at the end of the eightcenth century, the rulers of England, under pretence of protecting the institutions of the country, oppressed the people, for whose benefit alone those institutions ought to exist. Nor was even this the whole of the injury they actually inflicted. Their attempts to stop to progress of opinions were intimately connected with that monstrous system of foreign policy, by which there has been entailed upon us a debt of unexampled magnitude. To way the interest of this, and to meet the current expenses of a profuse and reckless administration, taxes were laid upon nearly every product of industry and of nature. In the vast majority of cases, these taxes fell upon the great body of the people, 461 who were thus placed in a position of singular hardship. For the upper classes not only refused to the rest of the nation the reforms which were

compare Hutton's Lite of Humsell, p. 209, with Campupill's Chancellors, vol. vi. p. 441, vol. vii. p. 104, and Adoldhus's Hot of George III. vol. vi. p. 45. In 1798, Caldwell wrote to Sir James Smith (Correspondence of Sir J. E. Smith, vol. ii. p. 143). The power of the crown become irresistible. The new scheme of inquisition into every man's private circumstances is beyond any attempt I have ever heard of under Louis XIV.

We In 1794, Fox said, in his speech on the habeas-corpus suspension bill. Every man who taked freely, every man who detested as he did from his heart, this war, might be, and would be, in the hands and at the mercy of ministers. Living under such a government and being subject to insurrection, comparing the two evils, he confessed, he thought the evil they were pretending to remedy, was less than the one they were going to inflict by the remedy itself? Parl Hist vol xxxi. p. 509. In 1800, Lord Holland stated, in the House of Lords that, 'of the seven years of the war, the habeas-copus act had been suspended five; and, of the multitudes who had been impributed in virtue of that suspension, few had been brought to trial, an sonly one convicted,' vol. xxxiv. pp. 14%. See also vol xxxv. p. 6034510. On the effect of the suspension of the habeas-corpus act upon ture, see Life of Currie, vol. 1 p. 500.

ture, see Life of Currie, vol. 1 p 500.

601 See decisive evidence of this, in Porrier's Progress of the property of the vol. 1. pp 283-285, and, on the enormous increase of expeditional taxation, see Pellew's Lie of Sidmouth, vol. 1, p. 358, vol. 1 47.

urgently required, but compelled the country to pay for the precautions which, in consequence of the refusal, it was thought necessary to take. Thus it was that the government diminished the liberties of the people, and wasted the fruit of their industry, in order to protect that very people against opinions which the growth of their knowledge had irresistibly forced upon them.

It is not surprising that, in the face of these circumstances, some of the ablest observers should have despaired of the liberties of England, and should have believed that, in the course of a few years, a despotic government would be firmly established. Even we, who, looking at these things half a century after they occurred, are able to take a calmer view, and who moreover possess the advantages of a larger knowledge, and a riper experience, must nevertheless allow that, so far as political events were concerned, the danger was more imminent than at any moment since the reign of Charles I. But what was forgotten then, and what is too often the otten now, is. that political events form only one of the many parts which compose the history of a great country. The the period we have been considering, the political mevement was, no doubt, more threatening than it had been for several generations. the other hand, the intellectual movement was, as we have seen, highly favourable, and its influence was rapidly spreading. Hence it was that, while the government of the country tended in one direction, the knowledge of the country tended in another; and while political events kept us back, intellectual events urged us forward way, the despotic principles that were enforced were, in some degree, neutralized; and although it was impossible to prevent them from causing great suffering, still the effect of that suffering was to increase the determination of the people to reform a system under which such evils could be inflicted. For while they felt the evils, the knowledge which they had obtained made them see the remedy. They saw that the men who were at the head of affairs were despotic; but they saw, too, that the system must be wrong, which could secure to such men such authority. This confirmed their dissatisfaction, and justified their re-

BUCKLE II

solution to effect some fresh arrangement, which should. allow their voices to be heard in the councils of the state. 402 And that resolution, I need hardly add, grew stronger and stronger, until it eventually produced those great legislative reforms which have already signalized the present century, have given a new tone to the character of public men, and changed the structure of the English parliament.

It is thus that, in the latter part of the eighteenth century, the increase and diffusion of knowledge were, in England, directly antagonistic to the political events which occurred during the same period. The extent and the nature of that antagonism I have endeavoured to explain. as clearly as the complexity of the subject, and the limits of this Introduction, enable me to do. We have seen that, looking at our country as a whole, the obvious tendency of affairs was to abridge the authority of the church, the nobles, and the crown, and thus give greater play to the power of the people. Looking, wever, at the country, not as a whole, but looking merely at its political history, we find that the personal peculiarities of George III., -and the circumstances under which he came to the throne, enabled him to stop the great progress, and eventually cause a dangerous reaction. Happily for the fortunes of England, those principles of liberty which he and his supporters wished to destroy, had before his reign become so powerful, and so widely diffused, that they not only resisted this political reaction, but seemed to gain fresh strength from the contest. That the struggle was arduous, and at one time extremely critical, it is impossible to deny. Such, however, is the force of liberal opinions when they have once taken root in the popular mind, that notwithstanding the ordeal to which they were exposed, and '

⁴⁰² A careful observer of what was going on late in the eighteenth contury, expresses what, early in the nineteenth century, was becoming the conviction of most men of near a sound understanding, who had no interest in the existing collaption. 'Immoderate taxation, the result of the unnecessary wars of the reign of George III, is the cause of our embarrassments; and that immoderate taxation has been occasioned by the House of Commons being, composed of men not interested to protect the property of the people.' Nicholks's Receilections, vol. i. p. 213.

notwithstanding the punishments inflicted on their advocates, it was found impossible to stifle them; it was found impossible even to prevent their increase. subversive of every principle of freedom were personally favoured by the sovereign, openly avowed by the government, and zealously defended by the most powerful classes; and laws in accordance with these doctrines were placed on our statute-book, and enforced in our courts. All, however, was in vain. In a few years that generation began to pass away; a better one succeeded in its place; and the system of tyranny fell to the ground. And thus it is, that in all countries which are even tolerably free, every system must fall if it opposes the march of opinions, and gives shelter to maxims and institutions repugnant to the spirit of the age. In this sort of contest, the ultimate result is never doubtful. For the vigour of an arbitrary government depends merely on a few individuals. who, whatever their abilities may be, are liable, after their death, to be replaced by timid and incompetent successors. But the vigour of public opinion is not exposed to these casualties, it is unaffected by the laws of mortality; it does not flourish to-day and decline to-morrow; and so far from depending on the lives of individual men, it is governed by large general causes, which, from their very comprehensiveness, are in short periods scarcely seen, but on a comparison of long periods, are found to outweigh all other considerations, and reduce to insignificance those little stratagems by which princes and statesmen think to disturb the order of events, and mould to their will the destinies of a great and civilized people.

These are broad and general truths, which will hardly be questioned by any man who, with a competent knowledge of history, has reflected much on the nature and conditions of modern society. But during the period we have been considering, they were utterly neglected by our political rulers, who not only thought themselves able to check the growth of opinions, but entirely mistook the very end and object of government. In those days, it was believed that government is made for the minority, to whose wishes the majority are bound humbly to sub-

mit. It was believed that the power of making laws must always be lodged in the hands of a few privileged classes; that the nation at large has no concern with those laws, except to obey them; 403 and that it is the duty of a wise government to secure the obedience of the people by preventing them from being enlightened by the spread of knowledge. 464 We may surely deem it a remarkable circumstance, that these notions, and the schemes of legislation founded upon them, should, within half a century, have died away so completely, that they are no longer advocated, even by men of the most ordinary abilities. What is still more remarkable is, that this great change should have been effected, not by any external event, nor by a sudden insurrection of the people, but by the unaided action of moral force,-the silent, though overwhelming pressure of public opinion. This has always seemed to me a decisive proof of the natural, and, if I may so say, the healthy march of English civilization. It is a proof of an elasticity, and yet a sobriety of spirit, such as no other nation has ever displayed. No other nation could have escaped from such a crisis, except by passing through a revolution, of which the cost might well have exceeded the gain. The truth, however, is that in England the course of affairs, which I have the voured to trace since the sixteenth century, had diffused among the people a knowledge of their own resources, and a skill and independence in the use of them, imperfect, indeed, but still far superior to that possessed by any other of the great European countries. Besides this, other circumstances, which will be hereafter related, 40% had, so early

405 See chapters ix. and x., on the history of the protective spirit.

⁴⁶³ Bishop Horsley, the great champion of the existing state of things, said in the House of Lords, in 1795, that he 'did not know what the mass of the people in any country had to do with the laws, but to obey them' Cooke's Hist. of Party, vol. ii p. 435 Compare Godwin on Population, p. 569

⁴⁰⁴ Lord Cockburn (Lite of Jeffrey, 1852, vol. i. pp. 67, 68) says: 'If there was any principle that was reverenced as indeputable by almost the whole adherents of the playi in power sixty, or even fifty, or perhaps even forty years ago, it was that the ignorance of the people was necessary for their obedience to the law.' One argument, was, 'that to extend instruction, would be to multiply the crime of forgery!' Porter's Progress of the Nation, vol. iii, p. 205.

as the eleventh century, begun to affect our national character, and has assisted in imparting to it that sturdy boldness, and, at the same time, those habits of foresight, and of cautious reserve, to which the English mind owes its leading peculiarities. With us, therefore, the love of liberty has been tempered by a spirit of prudence, which has softened its violence, without impairing its strength. It is this which, more than once, has taught our countrymen to bear even considerable oppression rather than run the risk of rising against their oppressors. It has taught them to stay their hands; it has taught them to husband their force until they can use it with irresistible effect. To this great and valuable habit we owe the safety of England late in the eighteenth century. If the people had risen, they would have staked their all; and what the result of that desperate game would have been, no man can say. Happily for them, and for their posterity, they were content to wait vet a little; they were willing to bide their time, and watch the issue of things. Of this noble conduct their descendants reap the reward. After the lapse of a few years, the political crisis began to subside, and the cople reentered on their former rights. For although the sights had been in abeyance, they were not destroyed, she because the spirit still existed by which they were or won. Nor can any one doubt that, if those evil decided been prolonged, that same spirit which had animated their fathers in the reign of Charles I. would have again broken forth, and society have been convulsed by a revolution, the bare idea of which is frightful to contemplate. In the mean time, all this was avoided; and although popular tumults did raise in different parts of the country, and although the incusures of government caused a disaffection of the most serious kind, 406 still the people, taken as a whole, remained firm, and patiently reserved their force till a better time, when, for their benefit, a new party was organized in the state, by whom

⁴⁰⁶ Sir A. Alison notices in his History, (vol. iv. p. 213) how widely the spirit of digital that was diffused in 1736, and the only wonder is, that the people were able to keep it in bounds. That, kowever, is a question which writers of his stamp never consider.

their interests were successfully advocated even within the walls of parliament.

This great and salutary reaction began early in the present century: but the circumstances which accompanied it are so extremely complicated, and have been so little studied, that I cannot pretend in this Introduction to offer even a sketch of them. It is sufficient to say, what must be generally known, that for nearly fifty years the movement has continued with unabated speed. Everything which has been done, has increased the influence of the people. Blow after blow has been directed against those classes which were once the sole depositaries of power. The Reform Bill, the Emancipation of the Catholics, and the Repeal of the Corn-laws, are admitted to be the three greatest political achievements of the present generation. Each of these vast measures has depressed a powerful party. The extension of the suffrage has lessened the influence of hereditary rank, and has broken up that great oligarchy of landowners, by which the House of Commons had long been ruled. The abolition of Protection has still further enfeebled the territorial aristocracy; while those superstitious feelings by which the ecclesiastical order is mainly upheld, received a severe shock, firmly the repeal of the Test and Corporation Acts, and a wards by the admission of Catholics into the legislate steps which are with reason regarded as supply precedents of mischievous import for the interests of the Established Church. 407 These measures, and others which are now obviously inevitable, have taken, and will continue to take,

⁴⁰⁷ Bishop Burgess, in the terror to Lord Melbourne, bitterly complained that Catholic emitterpation was "the extinction of the purely Protestant character of the British legislature." Haupenn's Life of Burgess, p. 506: see also pp. 238, 239, 369, 370. There can be no doubt that the bishop rightly estimated the danger to his own party; and as to the Corporation and Test Acta, which, says another bishop (Tomlers's Life of Pitt, vol. in. p. 604), 'were justly regarded as the firmest bulwarks of the British constitution,' the feeling was so strong, that at an episcopal meeting in 1787, there were only two members who were willing to repeal these persecuting laws. See Bishop Warson's Life of Himself, vol. i. p. 262. Lord Eldon, who to the last stood up for the church, pronounced the bill for repealing these acts to be 'a revolutionary bill.' Twiss's Life of Eldon, vol. ii, p. 202.

, power from particular sections of society, in order to confer it upon the people at large. Indeed, the rapid progress of democration opinions is a fact which no one in the present day ventures to deny. Timid and ignorant men are alarmed at the movement; but that there is such a movement is notorious to all the world. No one now dares to talk of bridling the people, or of resisting their united wishes. The utmost that is said is, that efforts should be made to inform them as to their real interests, and enlighten public opinion; but everyone allows that, so soon as public opinion is formed, it can no longer be withstood. On this point all are agreed; and this new power, which is gradually superseding every other, is now obeyed by those very statesmen who, had they lived sixty years ago, would have been the first to deny its authority, ridicule its pretensions, and, if possible, extinguish its liberty.

Such is the great gap which separates the public men of our time from those who flourished under that bad system which George III. sought to perpetuate. And it is evident, that this vast progress was brought about rather by destroying the system, than by improving the men. It is also evident, that the system perished because it was unsuited to the age; in other words, because a progressive people will never tolerate an unprogressive government. But it is a merit matter of history, that our legislators, even to the last moment, were so terrified by the idea of innovation, that they refused every reform until the voice of the people rose high enough to awe them into submission, and forced them to grant what, without such pressure, they would by no means have conceded.

These things ought to serve as a lesson to our political rulers. They ought also to moderate the presumption of legislators, and teach them that their best measures are but temporary expedients, which it will be the business of a later and riper age to efface. It would be well if such considerations were to check the confidence, and silence the loquacity, of those superficial men, who, raised to temporary power, think themselves bound to guarantee certain institutions, and uphold certain opinions. They ought clearly to understand, that it does not lie within

200

then function thus to anticipate the march of affairs, and provide for distant contingencies. In trifling matters, indeed, this may be done without danger; though, as the constant changes in the laws of every country abundantly prove, it is also done without benefit. But in reference to those large and fundamental measures which bear upon the destiny of a people, such anticipation is worse than useless,-it is highly injurious. In the present state of knowledge, politics, so far from being a science, is one of the most backward of all the arts; and the only safe course for the legislator is, to look upon his craft as consisting in the adaptation of temporary contrivances to temporary emergencies. 408 His business is to follow the age, and not at all to attempt to lead it. He should be satisfied with studying what is passing around him: and should modify his schemes, not according to the notions he has inherited from his fathers, but according to the actual exigencies of his own time. For he may rely upon it, that the movements of society have now become so rapid, that the wants of one generation are no measure of the wants of another; and that men, urged by a sense their own progress, are growing weary of idle talk about the wisdom of their ancestors, and are fast discarding those trite and sleepy maxims which has hitherto imposed upon them, but by which they will not consent to be much longer troubled.

⁴⁰⁸ Sir C. Lewis, though in his learned work he over-estimates the resources possessed by politicians, does nevertheless allow that they are rarely able to anticipate the manner in which their measures will work. Lewis on the Methods of Observation and Reasoning in Politics, 1859, vol. ii. pp. 360—362. A writer of repute, M. Plassan, says (Hist. de la Diplomatie, vol. i. p. 19). 'On doit être très-indulgent sur les erreurs de la politique, a cause de la facilité qu'il y a à en commettre, erreurs auxquelles la sagesse même quelquefois entraîne.' The first part of this sentence is true enough; but it conveys a truth which ought to repress that love of interfering with the natural march of affairs which still characterizes politicians, even in the freest countries.

CHAPTER VIII.

OUTLINE OF THE HISTORY OF THE FRENCH INTELLECT FROM THE MIDDLE OF THE SIXTEENTH CENTURY TO THE ACCESSION TO POWER OF LOUIS XIV.

THE consideration of these great changes in the English mind, has led me into a digression, which, so far from being foreign to the design of this Introduction, is absolutely necessary for a right understanding of it. In this, as in many other respects, there is a marked analogy between investigations concerning the structure of society and investigations concerning the human body. Thus, it has been found, that the best way of arriving at a theory of disease is by beginning with the theory of health; and that the foundation of all sound pathology must be first sought in an observation, not of the abnormal, but of the normal functions of life. Just in the same way, it will, I believe, be found, that the best method of arriving at great social truths, is by first investigating those cases in which society has developed itself according to its own . laws, and in which the governing powers have least opposed themselves to the spirit of their times. 1 It is on

The question as to whether the study of normal phenomena should or should not precede the study of abnormal ones, is of the greatest importance—and a neglect of it has introduced confusion into every work I have seen on general or comparative history. For this preliminary being unsettled, there has been no recognized principle of arrangement; and historians, instead of following a scientific method suited to the actual exigencies of our knowledge, have adopted an empirical method suited to their own exigencies; and have given priority to different countries, sometimes according to their size, some-

this account that, in order to understand the position of France, I have begun by examining the position of England. In order to understand the way in which the dis-

times according to their geographical position, sometimes according to their wealth, sometimes according to their religion, sometimes according to the brilliancy of their literature, and sometimes according to the facilities which the historian himself possessed for collecting materials. All these are factitious considerations, and, in a philosophic view, it is evident that precedence should be given to countries by the historian solely in reference to the ease with which their history can be generalized, following in this respect the scientific plan of proceeding from the simple to the complex. This leads us to the conclusion, that in the study of Man, as in the study of Nature, the question of priority resolves itself into a question of aberration, and that the more aberrant any people have been, that is to say, the more they have been interfered with, the lower they must be placed in an arrangement of the history of various countries. Coleridge (Lit. Remains, vol. 1 p. 326, and elsewhere in his works) seems to suppose that the order should be the reverse of what I have stated, and that the laws both of mind and body can be generalized from pathological data. Without wishing to express myself too positively in opposition to so profound a thinker as Coleridge, I cannot help saying that this is contradicted by an immense amount of evidence, and, so far as 1 am aware, is supported by none. It is contradicted by the fact, that" those branches of inquiry which deal with phenomena little affected by foreign causes, have been raised to sciences sooner than those which deal with phenomena greatly affected by foreign causes. The organic world, for example, is more perturbed by the inorganic world. than the morganic world is perturbed by it. Hence we find that the inorganic sciences have always been cultivated before the organic ones, and at the present moment are far more advanced than they, In the same way, human physiology is older than human pathology; and while the physiology of the vegetable kingdom has been successfuly prosecuted since the latter half of the seventeenth century, the pathology of the vegetable kingdom can scarcely be said to exist, since none of its laws have been generalized, and no systematic researches, on a large scale, have yet been made into the morbid anatomy of plants. It appears, therefore, that different ages and different sciences bear unconscious testimony to the uselessness of paying much attention to the abnormal, until considerable progress has been made in the study of the normal, and this conclusion might be confirmed by innumerable authorities, who, differing from Coleridge, hold that physiology is the basis of pathology, and that the laws of disease are to be raised, not from the phenomena presented in disease, but from those presented in health; in other words, that pathology should be investigated deductively rather than inductively, and that morbid anatomy and clinicial observations may verify the conclusions of science, but can never supply the means of heating the science itself. On this extremely interesting question, compare Geoffeot Safet Hilaires, Hist. des Anomalies de l'Organisation, vol. 11, pp. 9, 10, 127; BOWMAN'S Surgery, in Encyclop. of the Medical Sciences, p. 824; Blonat, Anatomie Générale, vol. i p. 20; Cullen's Horks, vol. i. p. 424; Comth. Philos. Positive, vol. ili, pp. 334/335, Robin at Vandell, Chimie Anatomieus,

eases of the first country were aggravated by the quackery of ignorant rulers, it was necessary to understand the way in which the health of the second country was preserved by being subjected to smaller interference, and allowed with greater liberty to continue its natural march. With the light, therefore, which we have acquired by a study of the normal condition of the English mind, we can, with the greater ease, now apply our principles to that abnormal condition of French society, by the operations of which, at the close of the eighteenth century, some of the dearest interests of civilization were imperilled.

In France, a long train of events, which I shall hereafter relate, had, from an early period, given to the clergy a sharen of power larger than that which they possessed in England. The results of this were for a time decidedly beneficial, inasmuch as the church restrained the lawlessness of a barbarous age, and secured a refuge for the weak and oppressed. But as the French advanced in knowledge, the spiritual authority, which had done so much to curb their passions, began to press heavily upon their genius, and impede its movements. That same ecclesiastical power, which to an ignorant age is an unmixed benefit, is to a more enlightened age a serious evil. The proof of this was soon apparent. For when the Reform: ation broke out, the church had in England been so weakened, that it fell almost at the first assault; its revenues were seized by the crown, 2 and its offices, after being

vol. i. p. 68; Esquinol., Maladies Mentales, vol. 1. p. 111; Georget, de la Fotie, pp. 2, 391, 392, Brodie's Pathology and Surgery, p. 3, Blainville, Physiologic comparee, vol. i p. 20; Fruchtersleben's Medical Psychology, p. 200, Lawrence's Lectures on Man. 1844, p. 45; Sinon's Pathology, p. 5.

Another confirmation of the accuracy of this view is, that pathological investigations of the nervous system, numerous as they have been, have effected scarcely anything, the reason evidently being, that the preliminary knowledge of the normal state is not sufficiently advanced. See Noble on the Rain, pp. 16.—92, 337, 339; Herry on the Nersous System, in Third Report of Brn. Assoc. p. 78; Holliand Medical Notes, p. 808; JOHNE and Sieverning's Patholog. Arkl. p. 211.

² A circumstance which Harris relates with evident delight, and goes out of his way to mention it. Lives of the Stuarts, vol. iii.p. 330. On the amount of loss the church thus sustained, see Sinclaims Hist.

greatly diminished both in authority and in wealth, were bestowed upon new men, who, from the uncertainty of their tenure, and the novelty of their doctrines, lacked that long-established prescription by which the claims of the profession are mainly supported. This, as we have already seen, was the beginning of an uninterrupted progress, in which, at every successive step, the ecclesiastical spirit lost some of its influence. In France, on the other hand, the clergy were so powerful, that they were able to withstand the Reformation, and thus preserve for themselves those exclusive privileges which their English brethren vainly attempted to retain.

This was the beginning of that second marked divergence between French and English civilization, which had its origin, indeed, at a much earlier period, but which now first produced conspicuous results. Both countries had, in their infancy, been greatly benefited by the church, which always showed itself ready to protect the people against the oppressions of the crown and the nobles. But in both countries, as society advanced, there arose a capacity for self-protection; and early in the sixteenth, or probably even in the fifteenth century, it became urgently necessary to diminish that spiritual authority, which have prejudging the opinions of men, has impeded the of their knowledge. It is on this account that

of the Revenue, vol. i. pp. 181-184, and Eccleston's English Assique-

³ The first divergence arose from the influence of the protective spirit, as I shall endeavour to explain in the next chapter.

⁴ On the obligations Europe is under to the Catholic clergy, see some liberal and very just remarks in Kemble's Narons in England, vol. ii. pp. 374, 375; and in Guizot's Civitisation en France. See also Neaddorn's Hist. of the Churr', vol. iii. pp. 199—200, 255—257, vol. v. p. 138, vol. vi. pp. 406, 407, Palgrave's Anglo-Saxon Commonwealth, vol. i. p. 655; Liegard's Hist. of England, vol. ii. p. 44; Klimrath, Travaux sur l'Hist. du droit, vol. i. p. 394, Carwither's Hist. of the Church of England, vol. i. p. 157.

The way in which this acted is concisely stated by Tennemann Wenn sich nun auch ein freierer Geltt der Forschung regte, so fade r sich gleich durch swei Grundstize, welche aus jenem Sunremat fact Theologie flossen, beengt und gehemmt. Der erste war: die menschliche Vernunft kann nicht über die Offenbarung hinausgeben. . Der zweite: die Vernunft kann nichts als wahr erkennen, was Inbalte der Offenbarung widerspricht, und nichts für falsch erkennen.

tantism, so far from being, as its enemies have called it, an aberration arising from accidental causes, was essentially a normal movement, and was the legitimate expression of the wants of the European intellect. Indeed, the Reformation owed its success, not to a desire of purifying the church, but to a desire of lightening its pressure; and it may be broadly stated, that it was adopted in every civilized country, except in those where preceding events had increased the influence of the ecclesiastical order, either among the people or among their rulers. This was, unhappily, the case with France, where the clergy not only triumphed over the Protestants, but appeared, for a time, to have gained fresh authority by the defeat of such dangerous enemies.

The consequence of all this was, that, in France, every thing assumed a more theological aspect than in England. In our country, the ecclesiastical spirit had, by the middle of the sixteenth century, become so feeble, that even intelligent foreigners were struck by the peculiarity. The

was derselben angemessen ist, — folgte aus dem ersten.' Gesch. der Philos. vol viii part i. p. 8

che power of the Catholic clargy, see M. Ranke's important work on the History of the Catholic clargy, see M. Ranke's important work on the History of the characteristic and as to the result in France, see Montell, Hist, des divers to collect the collect of the

The indeference of the English to theological disputes, and the facility with which they oblanged their religion, caused many foreigners to consure their fickleness. See, for insuance, Essais de Moutainne, livre ii. chap. xii. p. 365. Perlin, who travelled in England in the middle of the sixteenth century, says, 'The people are reprobable, and thorough enemies to good manners and letters; for they don't know whether they belong to God or the devil, which St Paul'man repre-

same nation, which, during the Crusades, -had sacrificedinnumerable lives in the hope of planting the Christian standard in the heart of Asia, 8 was now almost indifferent to the religion even of its own sovereign. Henry VIII., by his sole will, regulated the national creed, and fixed the formularies of the church, which, if the people had been in earnest, he could not possibly have done; for he had no means of compelling submission, he had no standing army; and even his personal guards were so scanty, that, at any moment, they could have been destroyed by a rising of the warlike apprentices of London. After his death, there came Edward, who, as a Protestant king, undid the work of his father; and, a few years later, there came Mary, who, as a Popish queen, undid the work of her brother; while she, in her turn, was sacceeded by Elizabeth, under whom another great alteration was effected in the established faith. 10 Such was the indifference of the people, that these vast changes were accomplished without any serious risk. 11 In France, on the

hended in many people, saying, Be not transported with divers sorts of winds, but be constant and steady to your belief.' Antiquarian Repertury, vol. iv. p. 511, 4to. 1809. See also the remarks of Michele in 1557, and of Crespet in 1590; Ellis's Original Letters, 2nd series, vol. ii. p. 239, Hallam's Constitutional History, vol. i. p. 102; SOUTHEY'S Commonplace_Book, 3rd series, p. 408.

An historian of the thirteenth century artifactly expresses the theological feelings of the English crusaders and the complete subordination of the political ones 'Indignum' appe judicabant animarum salutem omittere, et obsequium caclestis Regis, chentele regis alicujus terreni postponere, constituerunt igitur terminum, videlicet festum nativitatis beati Johannis Baptistæ.' MATTHEI PARIS Historia Major, p. 671. It is said, that the first tax ever imposed on England on personal property was in 1166, and was for the purpose of crusading. Sinclair's History the Regence, vol. i. p. % 'It would not probable have been easily submitted to, had it not been appropriated for so popular a purpose'

Henry VIII. had, at one time, fifty horse-guards, but they being expensive, were soon given up, and his only protection consisted of the yeomen of the guard, fifty in number, and the common servants of the king's household. Hallam's Const. Rist., vol. i. p. 46. These 'yeomen of the guard were raised by Heary VII. in 1455.' Guosk's Military Antiquities, vol. ip. 167. Compare Tuerra's Hist. of England, vol. vii. p. 54; and Lingard's Hist. of England, vol. vii. p. 298.
10 Locke, in his First Letter on Toleration, has made some pungent.

10 Locke, in his First Letter on Toleration, has made some pungent, and, I should suppose, very offensive, observations on these rapid changes. Lockets Works, vol. v. p. 27.

11 But, although Mary easily effected a change of religion, the

other hand, at the mere name of religion, thousands of men were ready for the field. In England, our civil wars have all been secular; they have been waged, either for a change of dynasty, or for an increase of liberty. But those far more horrible wars, by which, in the sixteenth century, France was desolated, were conducted in the name of Christianity, and even the political struggles of the great families were merged in a deadly contest between Catholics and Protestants. 12

The effect this difference produced on the intellect of the two countries is very obvious. The English, concentrating their abilities upon great secular matters, had, by the close of the sixteenth century, produced a literature which never can perish. But the French, down to that period had not put forth a single work, the destruction of which would now be a loss to Europe. What makes this contrast the more remarkable is, that in France the civilization, such as it was, had a longer standing; the material resources of the country had been earlier developed; its geographical position made it the centre of European thought; ¹³ and it had possessed a literature at a time when our ancestors were a mere tribe of wild and ignorant barbarians.

The simple fact is, that this is one of those innumerable instances which teach us that no country can rise to eminence so long as the ecclesiastical power possesses much authority. For, the predominance of the spiritual

anti-ecclesiastical spirit was far too strong to allow her to restore to the church its use of the Church its use the 'In Mary's reign, accordingly, her parliament, so obsequious in 'matters of religion, adhered with a firm grasp to the possession of church-lands' Hallar's Const. Hist. vol. i. p. 77. See also Short's Hist. of the Church of England, p. 213; LINGARD's Hist. of England, vol. iv. pp. 339, 340, Butler's Mem. of the Catholics, vol. i. p. 253; and Carwithen's Hist. of the Church of England, vol. i. p. 346.

^{12 &#}x27;Quand éclata la guerre des opinions religieuses, les antiques rivalités des barons se transformèrent en haine du prêche ou de la messe.' Camprigue, Hist. de la Esforme et de la Ligue, vol. iv. p. 32. Compare Duplessis Monnay, Mem. et Correspond. vol. ii. pp. 422, 563; and Boullier, Maison Militaire des Rois de France, p. 25, 'des querelles d'antant plus vives, qu'elles avoient la religion pour bass.'

¹² The intellectual advantages of France, arising from its position between Italy, Germany, and England, are very fairly stated by M. Lerminier (Philosophie du Droit, vol. i. p. 9).

classes is necessarily accompanied by a corresponding predominance of the topics in which those classes delight, Whenever the ecclesiastical profession is very influential, ecclesiastical literature will be very abundant, and what is called profance literature will be very scanty. Hence it occurred, that the minds of the French, being almost entirely occupied with religious disputes, had no leisure for those great inquiries into which we in England were beginning to enter; 14 and there was, as we shall presently see, an interval of a whole generation between the progress of the French and English intellects, simply because there was about the same interval between the progress of their scepticism. The theological literature, indeed, rapidly increased; 15 but it was not until the seventeenth century that France produced that great secular literature, the counterpart of which was to be found in England before the sixteenth century had come to a close.

Such was, in France, the natural consequence of the power of the church being prolonged beyond the period which the exigencies of society required. But while this was the intellectual result, the moral and physical results, were still more serious. While the minds of men were thus heated by religious strife, it would have been idle to expect any of those maxims of charity to which theological faction is always a stranger. While the Protestants were murdering the Catholics, 16 and the Catholics murdering the Protestants, it was hardly likely that either sect should feel tolerance for the opinions of its enemy. 17

¹⁶ Just in the same way, the religious disputes in Alexandria injured the interests of knowledge. See the instructive remarks of M. Matter (*Hist. de l'Ecole d'Alexandrie*, vol. ii. p. 131).

¹⁵ MONTEIL, Hist. des dwerr ktats, vol. vi. p. 136 Indeed, the theological spirit seized the theatre, and the different sectarians riducated each other's principles on the stage. See a curious passage at p. 182 of the same learned work.

¹⁶ The crimes of the French Protestants, though hardly noticed in Felice's History of the Protestants of France, pp. 135-163, were as revolting as those of the Catholics, and quite as numerous relatively to the numbers and power of the two parties. Compare Sismondi, Hist. des Français, vol. xviii. pp. 516, 517, with Caperious, Hist. de la Réforme, vol. ii. p. 173, vol. vi. p. 54; and Smedley, Hist. of the Reformed Religion in France, vol. ii. pp. 199, 200, 237.

¹⁷ In 1559 Corero writes. 'Ritrovai quel regno, certo, posto in grany

SIXTEENTH TO THE EIGHTEETH CENTURY.

During the simenth century, treaties were occasionally made between the two parties; but they were only made to be immediately broken, 18 and, with the single exception of l'Hôpital, the bare idea of toleration does not seem to have entered the head of any statemen of the age. It was recommended by him; 19 but neither his splendid abilities, nor his unblemished integrity, could make head against the prevailing prejudices, and he eventually retired into private life without effecting any of his noble schemes. 20

Indeed, in the leading events of this period of French history, the predominance of the theological spirit was painfully shown. It was shown in the universal determination to subordinate political acts to religious opinions.²¹ • It was shown in the conspiracy of Amboise,

dissima confusione, perche, stante quella divisione di religione (convertita quasi in due fazioni e inimizie particolari), era causa ch' ognuno, senza che amicizia o parentela potesse aver luoco, stava con l'orecchie attente, e pieno di sospetto ascoltava da che parte nasceva qualene romoge.' Relat des Ambassad. Vinitiens, vol. ii. p. 106. He emphatically adds. 'Temevano gl' ugonotti, temevano li cattolici, temeva il prencipe, temevano li sudditi.' See also, on this horrible atate of opinions Sismondi, Hist. des Français, vol. xviii pp. 21, 22, 118—120, 236, 430. On both sides, the grossest calumnies were propagated and beheved, and one of the charges brought against Catherine de Medici was, that she caused the Cesarean operation to be performed on the wives of Protestants, in order that no new heretics might be born. Sprekkeki, Hist. de la Medecine, vol. vii. p. 234.

MABLY, Observations sur l'Hist. de France, vol. in. p. 149. In the reign of Charles IX. alone, there were no less than five of these religious wais, each of which was concluded by a treaty. See Flassam, Hist de la Implomatie Française, vol. ii. p. 69.

1' For which l'Hôpital was accused of atheism: 'Hômo doctus, sed verus atheus' Durt. Philos. article Atheisme, in Œucres de Voltaire,

vol. xxxvii. pp. 181, 182.

10 I have not been able to meet with any good life of this great man: that by Charles Butler is very superficial, and so is that by Bernardi, in Hiog. Univ. vol. xxiv. pp. 412—424. My own information respecting l'Hôpital is from Sismondi, Hist. des Français, vol. xviii. pp. 431—436; CAPKFIGUE, Hist. de la Reforme, vol. ii. pp. 135—137, 168—170; Dm.Thou, Hist. Univ. vol. iii. pp. 519—523. vol. iv. pp. 2—8, 159—159, vol. v. pp. 180—182, 520, 521, 535, vol. vi. pp. 703, 704; Sullay, Œconomies Royales, vol. i. p. 234. Duvernet (Hist. de la Sorbonne, vol. i. pp. 215—218) is unsatisfactory, though fully recognizing his marit.

21 'Ce fut alors que la nation ne prit conseil que de son fenatisme. Les esprits, de jour en jour plus échauffés, ne virent plus d'autre objet que celui de la religion, et par piété se firent les injures les plus atroces.' Manur's Observations sur l'Hist. de France, vol. iii. p. 145.

BUCKLE. II

FRENCH INTELLECT FROM THE

and the conference of Poissy; and still more was it. shown in those revolting crimes so natural to superstition, the massacres of Vassy and of St. Bartholomew, the murder of Guise by Poltrot, and of Henry III. by Clement. These were the legitimate results of the spirit of religous bigotry. They were the results of that accursed spirit, which, whenever it has had the power, has punished even to the death those who dared to differ from it; and which, now that the power has passed away, still continues to dogmatize on the most mysterious subjects, tamper with the most sacred principles of the human heart, and darken with its miserable superstitions those sublime questions that no one should rudely touch, because they are for each according to the measure of his own soul, because they lie in that unknown tract which separates the Finite from the Infinite, and because they are as a secret and individual covenant between Man and his God.

How long these sad days²² would, in the ordinary course of affairs, have been prolonged in France, is a question which we now perhaps have no means of answering; though there is no doubt that the progress even of empirical knowledge must, according to the process already pointed out, have eventually sufficed to rescue so great a country from her degraded position. Fortunately, however, there now took place what we must be content to call an accident, but which was the beginning of a most

22 The 19th and 20th volumes of SIBMONDI'S Histoire des Français, contain painful evidence of the internal condition of France before the accession of Henry IV. Indeed, as Sismondi says (vol. xx. pp. 11-16), it seemed at one time as if the only prospect was a relapse into feudalism. See also MONTEIL, Hist. des divers Ltats, vol. v. pp. 242-249; 'plus de trois cent mille maisons détruites'. De Thou, in the memoirs of his own life, says, 'Les loix furent méprisées, et l'honneur de la France fut presque anéanti et sous le voile de la religion, on ne respiroit que la haine, la vengeance, le massacre et l'incendie. Mem. de la Vie, in Histoire Univ. vol. i. p. 120; and the same writer, in his great history, gives almost innumerable instances of the crimes and persecutions constantly occurring. See, for some of the most striking cases, vol. ii. p. 383, vol. 7. pp. 378, 380, 387, 495, 496, 539, vol. v. pp. 189, 518, 561, 647, vol. vi. pp. 421, 422, 424, 426, 427, 430, 469. Compare DUPLESSIS, Mem. et Correspond. vol. ii. pp. 41, 42, 322, 335, 611, 612, vol. iii. pp. 344, 445, vol. iv. pp. 112-114; Benoret, Hist. de l'Edit de Nantes, vol. i. pp. 307, 308; Duvernet, Hist. de la Sorbonne, vol. i p. 217.

important change. In the year 1589, Henry IV. ascended the throne of France. This great prince, who was far superior to any of the French sovereigns of the sixteenth century, 23 made small account of those theological disputes which his predecessors had thought to be of paramount importance. Before him, the kings of France, animated by the piety natural to the guardians of the church, had exerted all their authority to uphold the interests of the sacred profession. Francis I. said, that if his right hand were a heretic, he would cut it off. 24 Henry II., whose zeal was still greater, 25 ordered by judges to proceed against the Protestants, and publicly declared that he would 'make the extirpation of the heretics his principal business. 26 Charles IX., on the

²³ This, indeed, is not saying much; and far higher praise might be justly bestowed As to his domestic policy, there can be only one opinion, and M Flassan speaks in the most favourable termes of his management of foreign affairs. FLASSAN, Hist, de la Diplomatie Franc. vol. ii. pp. 191, 192, 294-297, vol. iii. p. 243. And see, to the same offect, the testimony of M Capefigue, an unfriendly judge. Hist, de la Reforme, vol. vii. p. xiv. vol. viii. p. 156 Fontenay Mareuil, who was a contemporary of Henry IV., though he wrote many years after the king was murdered, says, 'Ce grand roy, qui estoit en plus de considération dans le monde que pas un de ses prédécesseurs n'avoit esté depuis Charlesmagne ' Mém. de Fontenay, vol. i p. 46. Duplessis Mornay calls him 'le plus grand roy que la chrestienté ait porté depuis cinq cens are,' and Sully pronounces him to be 'le plus grand de nos rois ' DUPLERSIS MORNAY, Mem. et Correspond. vol. xi. pp. 30, 77. 131. SULLY, Economies Royales, vol. vii p. 15. Compare vol. vi pp. 397, 398, vol. ix pp. 35, 242, with some sensible remarks in Mem. de Gentis, Paris, 1825, vol. 1x. p. 299.

²⁴ So it is generally related; but there is a slightly different version of this orthodox declaration in SERULEY'S Hist. of the Reformation in France, vol i p. 30. Compare Magalann's note in Moshem's Eccles. Hist. vol. ii p. 24. with SISMONDI. Hist. des Français, vol. xvi. pp. 453, 454, and Relat. des Ambassad. Vensitens, vol. i. p. 50, vol. ii. p. 48. It was also Francis I. who advised Charles V to expel all the Mohammedans from Spain LLORENTE. Hist. de l'Inquisition, vol. i. p. 469.

²⁵ The historian of the French Protestants says, in 1548, 'le non-veau roi Henri II fut encore plus rigoureux que son père.' BEROIST, Hist. de l'Edit de Nantes, vol. i. p. 12.

²⁶ M. Banke (Civil Wars in France, vol. i. pp. 240, 241) says, that he issued a giroular addressed to the parliaments and to the judicial tribunals, in which they were urged to proceed against the Lutherans with the greatest severity, and the judges informed that they would be held responsible, should they neglect these orders; and in which he declared plainly, that as soon as the peace with Spain was concluded, he was determined to make the extirpation of the heretics his principal business. See \$80, on Henry II. in connexion with the

celebrated day of St. Bartholomew, attempted to relieve the church by destroying them at a single blow. Henry III. promised to 'oppose heresy even at the risk of his life;' for he said 'he could not find a prouder grave than amidst the mins of heresy.'27

These were the opinions expressed, in the sixteenth century, by the heads of the oldest monarchy in Europe. 28 But with such feelings, the powerful intellect of Henry IV. had not the slightest sympathy. To suit the shifting politics of his age, he had already changed his religion twice; and he did not besitate to change it a third time, 29 when he found that by doing so he could ensure tranquility to his country. As he had displayed such indifference about his own creed, he could not with decency show much bigotry about the creed of his subjects 39. We find, accordingly, that he was the author of the first public act of toleration which any government promulgated in France since Christianity had been the religion of the country. Only five years after he had solemnly abjured Protestant-

Protestants, Mably, Observ. san t Hist de Fiance, vol in pp 133, 134, Dr Thou, Hist Unic. vol 1 pp. 334, 335, 357, vol. n. p 640, vol. in. pp. 365, 366, Felice's Hist of the French Protestants, p. 38

27 He said this to the Estates of Blois in 1588 RANKE'S Cred Wars in France, vol ii p. 202. Compare his edict, in 1585, in Caperiour, Hist, de la Reforme, vol. iv pp 244, 245, and his speech in vol v. p 122; and see Benoist, Hist, de la Edit de Nuntes, vol. 1 p. 323. Duplessis Mornay, Mem. et Corresp vol i p 110, Dr Thou, Hist Unie vol i, p 250, vol vin p. 651, vol x, pp 294, 589, 674, 6 5

²⁸ With what zeal these opinious were enforced, appears, besides many other authorities, from Marino Cavalli, who writes in 1546, 'Li maestri di Sorbona hanno autorità estrema di castigare li eretici, il che fanno con il fuoco, brustolandoli vivi a poco a poco' Retai des Ambassad Jeniticas, vol. i. p. 262; and see vol. ii. p. 24

²⁾ Indeed, Clement VIII. was afterwards apprelensive of a fourth apostasy. Er meinte noch immer, Heinrich IV. werde suletzt vielleicht wieder zum Protestantismus zurückkehren, wie er es schon einmal gethan' Rabke, Die Papide, vol. il. p. 246. M. Ranke, from his great knowledge of Italian manuscripts, has thrown more light on these transactions than the French historians have been able to do.

¹⁰ On his conversion, the character of which was as obvious then as it is now, compare Duplemens Monray, Mim. et Correspond, vol. i. p. 257, with Sully, Economies Royales, vol. ii. p. 128. See also Howell's Letters, book i. p. 42; and a letter from Sir H. Wotton in 1598, printed in Reliquiæ Wottonianæ, p. 711. See also Rakke, Civil Wars in France, vol. ii. pp. 257, 355; Caparioue, Hist. de la Riforme, vol. vi. pp. 305, 338.

ism, he published the celebrated Edict of Nantes,31 by which, for the first time, a Catholic government granted to heretics a fair share of civil and religious rights. This was, unquestionably, the most important event that had yet occurred in the history of French civilization. 32 If it is considered by itself, it is merely an evidence of the enlightened principles of the king; but when we look at its general success, and at the cessation of religious war which followed it, we cannot fail to perceive that it was part of a vast movement, in which the people themselves participated. Those who recognize the truth of the principles I have laboured to establish, will expect that this great step towards religious liberty was accompanied by that spirit of scepticism, in the absence of which toleration has always been inknown. And that this was actually the case, may be easily proved by an examination of the transitionary state which France began to enter towards the end of the sixteenth century

The writings of Rabelais are often considered to afford the first instance of religious scepticism in the French language. Rut, after a tolerably intimate acquintance with the works of this remarkable man, I have found nothing to justify such an opinion. He certainly treats the clergy with great disrespect, and takes every opportunity of covering them with ridicule. His attacks, however, are always

The ed et of Nantes was in 1598, the abjuration in 1553. Sismonoll, Hist des Français, vol. xxi. pp. 202, 486. But in 1590 it was intimated to the pope as probable, if not certain, that Henry would in den Schoos der katholischen Kirche zurückkehren. Ranke, die Papite, vol. 2, p. 210.

²² Of this edict, Sismondi says, 'Aucune (poque dans l'insteire de France ne marque mieux peut-être la fin d'un monde ancien de commencement d'un monde nouvean' *Hest. des Françaes*, vol. xxi p. 489.

On Rabelais, as the supposed founder of French scepticism, compare Lanallée, Hist des Français vol ii. p. 206. Stephen's Lectures on the History of France, vol. ii p. 242, Sismondi, Hist. des Français, vol. xvi p. 376

^{**} Paricularly the monks. See, among numerous other instances, vol. i. pp. 278; 282, vol. ii pp. 284, 285, of Gueres de Rabelais, edit. Amsterdam, 1725. However, the high digmtanes of the church are not spared; for he says that Gargantua 'se morvoit en archidiacre,' vol i. p. 132; and on two occasions (vol ii p. 65, vol. iv. pp. 198, 200) he makes a very indecent allusion to the pope. In vol. 1, pp. 260, 261, he satirically notices the way in which the services of the church

made upon their personal vices, and not upon that narrow and intolerant spirit to which those vices were chiefly to be ascribed. In not a single instance does he show any thing like consistent scepticism; 36 nor does he appear to be aware that the disgraceful lives of the French clergy were but the inevitable consequence of a system, which, corrupt as it was, still possessed every appearance of strength and vitality. Indeed, the immense popularity which he enjoyed is, almost of itself, a decisive consideration; since no peone who is well informed as to the condition of the French early in the sixteenth century, will believe it possible that a people so sunk in superstition, should delight in a writer by whom superstition is constantly attacked.

But the extension of experience, and the consequent increase of knowledge, were preparing the way for a great change in the French intellect. The process, which had just taken place in England. was now beginning to take place in France; and in both countries the order of event was precisely the same. The spirit of doubt, hitherto confined to an occasional solitary thinker, gradually assumed a bolder form: first it found a vent in the national literature, and then it influenced the conduct of practical statesmen. That there was, in France, an intimate connexion between scepticism and toleration, is proved, not only in those general arguments which make us infer that si connexion must always exist, but also by the circumstance that only a few years before the promulgation of the Edict of Nantes, there appeared the first systematic sceptic

were performed. 'Dont luy dist le moyne. Je no dors jamais à mon aise, sinon quand je suis an sermon, ou quand je prie Dieu.'

³⁵ His joke on the strength of Samson (*Curres de Rabeluis*, vol. ii. pp. 29, 30), and his ridicule of one of the Mosaic laws (vol. iii. p. 34) are so unconnected with other parts of his work, as to have no appearance of belonging to a general scheme. The commentators, who find a hidden meaning in every author they annotate, have represented. Rabelais as aiming at the highest objects, and seeking to effect the most extensive social and religious reforms. This I greatly doubt, at all events I have seen no proof of it, and I cannot help thinking that Rabelais owes a large share of his reputation to the observity of his language. On the other side of the question, and in factor of his comprehensiveness, see a bold passage in Colernous's Remains, vol. i pp. 138, 139.

who wrote in the French language. The Essays of Montaigne were published in 1588,36 and form an epoch, not only in the literature, but also in the civilization, of France. Putting aside personal peculiarities, which have less weight than is commonly supposed, it will be found, that the difference between Rabelais and Montaigne is a measure of the difference between 154537 and 1588, and that it, in some degree, corresponds with the relation I have indicated between Jewel and Hooker, and between Hooker and Chillingworth. For, the law which governs all these relations, is the law of a progressive scepticism. What Rabelais was to the supporters of theology, that was Montaigne to the theology itself. The writings of Rabelais were only directed against the clergy; but the writings of Montaigne were directed against the system of which the clergy were the offspring. 38 Under the guise of a mere man of the world, expressing natural thoughts in common language, Montaigne concealed a spirit of lofty and audacious in-

"The two first books in 1580, the third in 1588, with additions to the first two See NICERON, Mem pour servir a l'Hist des Mommes ellustres, vol. xvi. p. 210, Paris 1731.

²⁷ The first impression of the Pantagruel of Rabelais has no date on the title-page, but it is known that the third book was first printed in 1545, and the fourth book in 1546 hee BRUNER, Manuel du Libraire, vol. iv pp. 4-6, Paris, 1843. The statement in Biog. Unic. vol xxxvi. pp. 482, 483, is rather confused.

3 Mr. Hallam (Lit of Europe, vol ii. p. 29) says, that his scepticism 'is not displayed in religion.' But if we use the word 'religion' in its ordinary sense, as connected with dogma, it is evident, from Montaigne's language, that he was a sceptic, and an unflinching one too. Indeed, he goes so far as to say that all religious opinions are the result of custom. 'Comme de vray nous n'avons aultre mire de la vérité et de la raison, que l'exemple et idée des opinions et usances du pals où nous sommes: la est tousiours la partante religion, la parfaicte police, parfaict et accomply usage de toutes choses.' Essars de Montaigne, p. 121, livre 1. chap xxx. As a natural consequence, he lays down that religious error is not priminal, p. 53, compare p. 28. See also how he notices the usurpations of the theological spirit, pp. 116, 508, 528. The fact seems to be, that Montaigne, while recognizing abstractedly the existence of religious truths, doubted our capacity for knowing them; that is to say, he doubted if, out of the immense number of religious opinions, there were any means of ascertaining which were accurate. His observations on miracles (pp. 541, 653, 654, 675) illustrate the character of his mind; and what he say? on prophetic visions is quoted and confirmed by Pincel, in his profound work Alienation Mentale, p. 256. Compare MAURY, Legendes Pieuses, p. 268 note.

quiry.³⁰ Although he lacked that comprehensiveness which is the highest form of genus, he possessed other qualities essential to a great mind. He was very cautious, and yet he was very bold. He was cautious, since he would not believe strange things because the had been handed down by his forefathers: and he was bold, since he was undaunted by the reproaches with which the ignorant, who love to dogmatize, always cover those whose knowledge makes them ready to doubt.⁴⁰ These peculiarities would, in any age, have made Montaigne a useful man: in the sixteenth century they made him an important one. At the same time, his easy and amusing style⁴¹ increased the circulation of his works, and thus contributed to popularize those opinions which he ventured to resommend for general adoption.

This, then, is the first open declaration of that scepticism, which, towards the end of the sixteenth century, publicly appeared in France.⁴² During nearly three generations, it continued its course with a constantly increasing activity, and developed itself in a manner similar to that which took place in England. It will not be necessary to

¹⁹ His friend, the celebrated De Thou, calls him 'homme franc, ennemi de toute contrainte.' Memoires, in De Thou, Hist. Univ. vol. i. p. 59: see also vol xi. p. 590. And M. Lamartine classes him with Montesquieu, as 'ces deux grands républicains de la pensée française.' Hist des Girondins, vol. i. p. 174.

⁴⁰ He says (Essais, p. 97), 'Ce n'est pas à l'adventure sans raison que nous attribuons à simplesse et ignorance la facilité de cfoire et de se laisser persuader' Compare two striking passages, pp 199 and 685. Nothing of this sort had ever appeared before in the French language.

Dugald Stewart, whose turn of mind was very different from that of Montaigne, calls him 'this most amusing author.' STEWART'S Philos. of the Mind. vol. i. p. 468. But Rousseau, in every respect a more competent judge, enthusiastically praises 'la naïveté, la grâce et l'énergie de son style inimitalle' Musser PATHAY, Vie de Rousseau, vol. i. p. 165. Compare Lettres de Servane, vol. ii. p. 441, edit Paris, 1843, and Lettres de Dudefand a Walpole. vol. i. p. 94

^{** &#}x27;Mais celui qui a répandu et popularisé en France le scepticiame, c'est Montaigne.' Cousin, Hist. de (3 Philos, II. série, vel. ii. pp 288, 289. 'Die erste Regung des skeptischen Geistes finden wir in den Versuchen des Michael von Montaigne.' Таннинани, diesch. der Philos vol. ix. p. 443. On the immense influence of Montaigne, compare Таннинани, vol. ix. p. 458; Момтиц. Dieers Etats, vol. v. pp. 263—265; Sobbl. Bibliothèque Françoise, pp. 80—91; Le Long, Bibliothèque Historique, vol. iv. p. 527

follow all the steps of this great process; but I will endeavour to trace those which, by their prominence, seem to be the most important.

A few years after the appearance of the Essays of Montaigne, there was published in France a work, which, though now little read, possessed in the seventeenth century a reputation of the highest order. This was the celebrated Treatise on Wisdom, by Charron, in which we find, for the first time, an attempt made in a modern language to construct a system of morals without the aid of theology. 43 What rendered this book, in some respects, even more formidable than Montaigne's, was the air of gravity with which it was written. Charron was evidently deeply impressed with the importance of the task he had undertaken, and he is honourably distinguished from his contemporaries, by a remarkable purity both of language and of sentiment. His work is almost the only one of that age in which nothing can be found to offend the chastest ears. Although he borrowed from Montaigne innumerable illustrations,44 he has carefully omitted those indecencies into which that otherwise charming writer was often betraved. Besides this, there is about the work of Charron a systematic completeness which never fails to attract attention. In originality, he was, in some respects, inferior

¹² Compare the remarks on Charron in TENNEMANN, Geschickte der Philosophie, vol. ix. p. 527, with two insidious passages in Charron, De la Sageste, vol. i. pp 4, 366.

⁴⁴ The obligations of Charron to Montaigne were very considerable, but are stated too strongly by many writers Soret, Ribliotheque Françoise, p. 93, and HALLAN'S Literature of Europe, vol. ii. pp. 362, 509. On the most important subjects, Charron was a bolder and deeper thinker than Montaigne; though he is now so little read, that the only tolerably complete account I have seen of his system is in TEX-NEMARE, treach. der Philosophie, vol. ix. pp. 458-487. Buhle (Geschichte der neuern Philosophie, vol. ii. pp. 248-325) and Cousin (Hist. de la Philos. II. série, vol. ii p. 289) are short and unsatisfactory. Even Dr. Parr, who was extensively read in this sort of literature, appears only to have known Charron through Bayle (see notes on the Spital Sermon, in Paux's Works, vol. ii. pp. 520, 521); while Dugald Stewart, with suspicious tautology, quotes, in three different places, the same passage from Charron. STEWART'S Philosophy of the Mind, vol. ii. p. 238, vol. ifi. pp. 365, 393. Singularly enough, Talleyrand was a great admirer of De la Sagesse, and presented his favourite copy of it to Madame de Genlis! See her own account, in Mem. de Gentis, vol. iv. pp. 352, 358.

to Montaigne; but he had the advantage of coming after him, and there can be no doubt that he rose to an elevation which, to Montaigne, would have been inaccessible. Taking his stand, as it were, on the summit of knowledge, he boldly attempts to enumerate the elements of wisdom, and the conditions under which those elements will work. In the scheme which he thus constructs, he entirely omits theological dogmas; 45 and he treats with undissembled scorn many of those conclusions which the people had hitherto universally received. He reminds his countrymen that their religion is the accidental result of their birth and education, and that if they had been born in a Mohammedan country, they would have been as firm believers in Mohammedanism as they then were in Christianity.40 From this consideration, he insists on the absurdity of their troubling themselves about the variety of creeds, seeing that such variety is the result of circumstances over which they have no control. Also it is to be observed, that each of these different religions declares itself to be the true one;47 and all of them are equally based upon supernatural pretensions, such as mysteries, miracles, prophets, and the like. 48 It is because men forget these things, that they are the slaves of that confidence which is the great obstacle to all real knowledge, and which can only be removed by taking such a large and comprehensive view, as will show us how all nations cling with equal zeal to the tenets in which they have been educated.49 And, says ('harron, if we look

⁴⁵ See his definition, or rather description, of wisdom, in Charron, De la Sagesse, vol. 1. p. 295, vol. n. pp. 113-115

⁴⁶ De la Sagesse, vol. 1, pp 63, 351

^{47 &#}x27;Chacune se préfère aux autres, et se confie d'être la meilleure et plus vraie que les autres, et s'entre-reprochent aussi les unes aux autres quelque chose, et par-là s'entre-condamnent et rejettent. De la Sagesse, vol. 1. p. 344, see also vol i. pp 144, 304, 305, 306, p. 116. Expressions almost identical are used by M. Charles (**Traite de Législation, vol. 1. p. 233)

^{**}Toutes trouvent et fournissent miracles, prodiges, oracles, astères sacrés, saints prophètes, fêtes, certains articles de fou et oréance nécessaires au saiut.' De la Suyesse, vol. i. p. 346.

**Hènce he opposes proselytism, and takes up the placophic

^{**} Hence he opposes proselytism, and takes up the pilosophic ground, that religious opinions, being governed by undeviating laws, owe their variations to variations in their antecedents, and are glways, if left to themselves, suited to the existing stale of things: 'Et de ces conclusions, nous apprendrons à n'épouser rien, ne jurer à rien, n'ad-

a little deeper, we shall see that each of the great religions is built upon that which preceded it. Thus, the religion of the Jews is founded upon that of the Egyptians; Christianity is the result of Judaism; and, from these two last, there has naturally sprung Mohammedanism. We, therefore, adds this great writer, should rise above the pretensions of hostile sects, and, without being terrified by the fear of future punishment, or allured by the hope of future happiness, we should be content with such practical religion as consists in performing the duties of life; and, uncontrolled by the dogmas of any particular creed, we should state to make the soul retire inward upon itself, and by refforts of its own contemplation, admire the ineffable grandeur of the Ling of beings, the supreme cause of created thin

Such were the sentiments which, in the year 1601, were for the first time laid before the French people in their own mother-tongue. 52 The sceptical and secular

mirer rien, ne se troubler de rien, mais quoi qu'il advienne, que l'on crie, tempéte, se resoudre à ce point, que c'est le cours du monde, c'est nature qui fait des viennes. De la Sagesse, vol. 1. p. 311

50 'Mais comme elles naissent l'une après l'autre, le plus jeune bâtit toujours sur son aînée et prochaine précédente, laquelle elle n'improuve ni ne condamne de fond en comble, autrement elle ne seroit pas ouie, et ne pourroit prendre pied, mais seulement l'accuse ou d'imperfection, ou de son terme fini, et qu'à cette occasion elle vient pour lui succéder et la parfaire, et ainsi la ruine peu-à-peu, et s'enrichit de ses dépouilles, comme la Judaïque a fait à la Gentille et Egyptienne, la Chrétienne à la Judaique, la Mahométane à la Judaique et Chrétienne ensemble. mais les vieilles condamnent bien tout-à-fait et entièrement les jounes, et les tiennent pour ennemies capables.' De la Sagesse, vol. 1 p. 349. This, I believe, is the first instance in any modern language of the doctrine of religious development; a doctrine which, since Charron, has been steadily advancing, particularly among men whose knowledge is extensive enough to enable them to compare the different religious which have prevailed at different times. In this, as in other subjects, they who are unable to compare, suppose that everything is isolated, simply because to them the continuity is invisible. As to the Alexandrian doctrine of development, found particularly in Clement and Origen, see NEANDER'S Hist. of the Church. vol. ii. pp. 234-257; and in particular pp. 241, 246.

1 De la Sagesse, vol. i. pp. 356, 365, two magnificent passages. But

1) He la Sagesac, vol. i. pp 356, 365, two magnificent passages. But the whole chapter ought to be read, livre n. chap. v. In it there is an occasional ambiguity Tennemann, however, in the most important point, understands Charron as I do in regard to the doctrine of atture; punishments. Geschichte der Philosophie, vol. ix. p. 473.

22 The first edition of La Sayesse was published at Bourdesex in

spirit, of which they were the representatives, continued to increase; and, as the seventeenth century advanced, the decline of fanaticism, so far from being confined to a few isolated thinkers, gradually became common, even among ordinary politicians. ⁶³ The clergy, sensible of the danger, wished the government to check the progress of inquiry; ³⁴ and the pope himself, in a formal remonstrance with Henry, urged him to remedy the evil, by prosecuting the heretics, from whom he thought all the mischief had originally proceeded. ⁵³ But this the king steadily refused. He saw the immense advantages that would arise, if he could weaken the ecclesiastical power by the ting the two sects against each other; ⁵⁵ and therefore, along he

1601. NICERON, Homeney disserts, vol. wi. p. 224, HALLE Lit. of Furope, vol. 11 p 509, Boog Unic vol. villep. 250 Two editions were subsequently published in Paris, in 1604 and 1607 BRUNKI. Manuel district. vol. 1, p 639

53 Sismondi (Hist. des Français, vol xxii. p. 86) and Lavallée (Hist. des Français, vol in p. 84) have noticed the diminution of religious zeal early in the seventeenth century, and some curious evidence will also be found in the correspondence of Duplessis Mornay. See, for instance a letter he wrote to Diodati, in 1604: 'A beaucoup aujourd'hui il fault commencer par là, qu'il y a une religion, premier que de leur dire quelle' DUPLESSIS, Mem. de Carresp vol x. p 415 middle, or secular party, received the name of 'Politiques,' and began to be powerful in 1592 or 1593 Benoust (Hist, de l'Edit de Nantes, vol. i. p. 113), under the year 1593, contemptuously says. 'Il s'éleva une foule de conciliateurs de religion,' see also pp. 201, 273 In 1590. and in 1594, the 'Politiques' are noticed by De Thou (Hist Univ. vol. xi. p. 171, vol. xii. p. 134), and on the morease, in 1593, of 'le tiers parti politique et négociateur,' sec CAPERIGUE, Hist de la Réforme. vol vi p 235. See also, respecting 'les politiques,' a letter from the Spanish ambassador to his own court, in 1615, in CAPEFIGUE's Richc-lieu, vol. i p. 93, and for the rise in Paris. in 1592, of a 'politisch und kirchlich gemässigte Gesinnung,' see RANKE, Die Päpste, vol. ii. p. 243.

The Sorbonne went so far as to condemn Charron's great work, but could not succeed in having it prohibited Compare DUVERNET, Hist. de la Sorbonne, vol. ii. p 139, with Bayle, srticle Charron, note F.

pp. 161, 142), there will be found the instructions which were given to the nuncio, in 1803, when he was sent to the French court; and which should be compared with a letter, written in 1804, in Sully, Wednesday, vol. v. p. 122, edit. 1820

Seila Sinn war im Aligemeinen, ohne Zweifel, das Gleichgewicht Swischen ihnen zu erhalten? RANKE, Die Päpsie, vol. ii. pp. 430, 481.
Henri IV, l'expression de l'indifférentisme religieux, se posa comme une transaction entre ces deux systèmes. CAPEFIGUE, Hist de la

was a Catholic, his policy rather leaned in favour of the Protestants, as being the weaker party. ⁵⁷ He granted sums of money towards the support of their ministers and the repair of their churches; ⁵⁸ he banished the Jesuits, who were their most dangerous enemies; ⁵⁹ and he always had with him two representatives of the reformed church, whose business it was to inform him of any infraction of those edicts which he had issued in favour of their religion. ⁶⁰

Thus it was, that in France, as well as in England, toleration was preceded by scepticism; and thus it was, that out of this scepticism there arose the humane and enlightened measures of Henry IV. The great prince, by whom these things were effected, unhappily fell a victim to that fanatical spirit which he had done much to curb; 51

Reforme, vol. vi. p. 558. 'Henry IV, endeavoured to adjust the balance evenly.' SMFDLEY'S Hist of the Reformed Retigion in France, vol. iii. p. 19. See also BENOIST, Hist de l'Edit de Names, vol. i. p. 136. Hence, of course, neither party was quite satisfied. MABLY'S Observations, vol. iii. p. 220, MEZERAY'S, Histoire de France, vol. ii. p. 959.

⁵ (Compare CAPEFIGLE, Hist de la Réforme, vol. vii p 61, with BAZIN, Hist, de Louis XIII, vol. 1 pp. 32, 33 See also, on his inclination towards the Protestants, Mem de Fontenay Marent, vol i, p 91. Fontenay, p. 94, mentions, as a singular instance, that 'il se vist de son temps des huguenots av ur des abbayes.'

⁵⁸ SULLY, 'H. onomic Ronales, vol. iv. p. 134, vol. vi. p. 233; Duplessis Morsay, Men. et. Corresp. vol. xi. p. 242, Briotst, Hist. de l'Edit de Nontes, vol. ii. pp. 68, 205. These grants were annual, and were apportioned by the Protestants themselves. See their own account, in QCICK's Synodicen in trallia, vol. ii. pp. 198, 122, 246, 247, 249, 275.—277

2 Henry IV. banished the Jesuits in 1994, but they were allowed, later in his reign, to make fresh settlements in France. Flassan, list, de la Inpomatic, vol. vi. p. 485, Barin, Hist, de Louis XIII, vol. i p. 106, Montell. Divers Fia's vol. v. p. 192 note; De Thou Hist, Univ. vol. xiv. p. 298. Compare the notices of them in Sully, (Economics, vol. ii. p. 234, vol. iv. pp. 200, 235, 245. But there can be little doubt that they owed—their recall to the dread entertained of their intrigues (Gragours, Hist. des Confesseurs, p. 316); and Henry evidently disliked, as well as feared them. See two letters from him in Duplessis, Mem. et Corresp. vol. vi. pp. 129, 151. It would opens, from the Mim. de Richelieu, vol. v. p. 350, Paris, 1823, that the king never restored to them their former authority in regard.

⁶⁰ BAZIN, Hist. de Louis XIII, vol. i. pp. 142, 143; Lavol. i. p. 156, SISMONDI, vol. xxii. p 116; DUPLESSIS MORBAL p 389; SULLY, Œconomies, vol. vii. pp. 105, 432, 442.

41 When Ravaillac was examined, he said, 'qu'il y avait 45

the circumstances which occurred after his death.

On the murder of Henry IV., in 1610, the government fell into the hands of the queen, who administered it during the minority of her son, Louis XIII. And it is a remarkable evidence of the direction which the mind was now taking, that she, though a weak and bigoted woman, 62 refrained from those persecutions which, only one generation before, had been considered a necessary proof of religious sincerity. That, indeed, must have been a movement of no common energy, which could force toleration, early in the seventeenth century, upon a prin of the house of Medici, an ignorant and superstitiou tholic, who had been educated in the midst of her priest and had been accustomed to look for their applauge as the highest object of earthly ambition.

Yet this was what actually occurred. The queen continued the ministers of Henry IV., and announced, that in every thing she would follow his example. ⁶³ Her first public act was a declaration, that the Edict of Nantes should be inviolably preserved; for, she says, 'experience has taught our predecessors, that violence, so far from inducing men to return to the Catholic church, prevents them from doing so. ⁷⁶⁴ Indeed, so anxious was she upon

par l'intéret de la religion, et par une impulsion irrésistible. Hasin, Hist de Louis AIII, vol. i p. 38. This work contains the fullest account I have met with of Ravaillac; of whom there is, moreover, a description in Les Historiettes de Tallemant des Reaux, vol. 1 p. 85, Paris, 1840, a very curious book.

⁴⁷ Le Vassor (Hist. de Louis XIII, vol i. p. 279) calla her 'superstitiense au dernier point;' and, in vol v. p. 481, 'femmatorédule et superstitiense' See also vol. ii. p. 250, vol vi. p. 825, and Gazdoffiz, Hist. des Confesseurs, p. 65.

^{&#}x27;Elle annouça qu'elle vouloit suivre en tout l'exemplifie feu roi. . . Le ministère de Henri IV, que la reine continue de Sissandel, Hist des Français, vol. xxii. pp. 206, 210; and see transitiers from her, in Duplessis Monnay, Mem et Corresp. vol. xxii. p. 288 vol. xii. p. 428 Sully had feared that the death of Henry IV. would sause a change of policy: 'que l'on s'alloit fetter dans des desseins tous contraires aux règles, ordres et maximes du feu roy.' Geonomies Roisses, vol. viii. p. 401.

Rostes, vol. viii. p. 401.

Santhe declaration in Bazin, Hist. de Louis XIII. vol. i. pp. 74,
75; and motices of it Mém. de Richelieu, vol. i. p. 58; Caperious's

Histolieu, vol. i p. 27; Benoist, Hist. de l'Edit de Nuntes, vol. ii. p. 7;

LE VAROOT, Hist. de Louis XIII., vol. i. p. 58. But none of these

this point, that when Louis, in 1614, attained his nominal majority, the first act of his government was another confirmation of the Edict of Nantes. And, in 1615, she caused the king, who still remained under her tutelage, to issue a declaration, by which all preceding measures in favour of the Protestants were publicly confirmed. In the same spirit, she, in 1611, wished to raise to the presidency of parliament the celebrated De Thou; and it was only by making a formal announcement of his heresy, that the pope succeeded in frustrating what he considered an impious design.

The turn which things were now taking, caused no little alarm to the friends of the hierarchy. The most zealous church loudly censured the policy of the queen; and a great leading has observed that when, during the reign of Louis XIII., such alarm was caused in Europe by the active encroachments of the ecclesiastical power, France was the first country that ventured to oppose them. 69 The nuncio openly complained to the queen of her conduct in favouring heretics; and he anxiously desired that those Protestant works should be suppressed, by which the consciences of true believers were greatly

writers, nor Sismondi (vol. xxii p. 221), appear to be aware that the issuing of this declaration was determined on, in council, as early as the 17th of Maj; that is, only three days after the death of Henry IV. This is mentioned by Ponchartrain, who was then one of the ministres. See Mem. de Fontchartrain, edit. Petitot. 1822, vol. i. p. 409; a book little known, but well worthy of being read.

[&]quot;BAZIN, Hest. de Louis XIII, vol. 1. p. 262; BENDIST, Hist. de l'Edit de Nunces, vol. 11. p. 140, Mem de Fontenay Mareuit, vol. i. p. 257, LE VASIOR, vol. i. p. 604.

[&]quot;Laissant néanmoins l'administration du royaume à la reine sa mère," Mem. de Bassompierre, vol. in. p. 52. Compare Sully, Économies, vol. ix. p. 177. She possessed complete authority over the king till 1617. See Mémoires de Montglat, vol. i. p. 24: 'avoit éte tenu fort bas par la reine sa mère.' See also Lee Vasson. Hist. de Louis XIII, vol. ii. pp. 640, 677, 716, 764.

¹⁷ BARIN, Hist. de Louis XIII, vol. i. pp. 381, 362.

vol. i. p. 178. This is glossed over by Pontchartrain (Mensor vol. i. p. 450); but the statement of M. Basin is confirmed in the p. 450 but the statement of M. Basin is confirmed in the p. 250 but the statement of M. Basin is confirmed in the p. 250 but Thou, Histoire Universelle, vol. i. p. xvi.

[&]quot;Der erste Einhalt den die kirchliche Restauration geschah in Frankreich.' RANKE, Die Römischen Papste,

scandalized. 70 But these, and similar representations, were no longer listened to with the respect they would formerly have received; and the affairs of the country continued to be administered with those purely temporal views, on which the measures of Henry IV. had been avowedly based-71

Such was now the policy of the government of France; a government which, not many years before, had considered it the great duty of a sovereign to punish heretics and extirpate heresy. That this continued improvement was merely the result of the general intellectual development, is evident, not only from its success, but also from the character of the queen-regent and the king. No one who has read the contemporary memoria and deny that Mary de Medici and Louis XIII, were as approximates any of their predecessors, and it is, therefore, evident, that this disregard of theological prejudices was due, not to their own personal merits, but to the advancing knowledge of the country, and to the pressure of an age which, in the rapidity of its progress, hurried along those who believed themselves to be its rulers.

But these considerations, weighty as they are, will only slightly diminish the merit of that remarkable man, who now appeared on the stage of public affairs. During the last eighteen years of the reign of Louis XIII., France was entirely governed by Richelieu, 72 one of that extremely small class of statesmen to whom it is given to impress their own character on the destiny of their coun-

This desire was expressed several times but in vain 'Gern hatten die Nuntien Werke wie von Thou und Richer verboten, aber es war ihnen nicht möglich' RARKE, Die Papsie, vol. in. p. 181, Anhang. Compare Mem. de Richelieu, vol ii. p. 68; Mem. de Pontchartrain, vol. i. p. 428.

[&]quot;1 This decline of the ecclesiastical power is noticed by many writers of the time; but it is sufficient to refer to the very curious remonstrance of the French clergy, in 1605, in Da Thou, Hist. Univ. vol. xiv. pp. 446, 447.

As M. Monteil says (Hist. der Français des disers États, vol. vii. p. 6), 'Richelieu tint le sceptre; Louis XIII. porta la couronne.' And Chastapion (Mémoires, p. 37) calls him plutôt le maître que le ministre,' and adds, pp. 218, 219, that he 'avoit gouverné dix-huit ans la France avec un pouvoir absolu et une gloire sans pareille.' Compare Mém. du Cardinal de Retz, vol. i. p. 63.

try. This great ruler has, in his knowledge of the political art, probably never been surpassed, except by that prodigy of genius who, in our time, troubled the fortunes of Europe. But, in one important point of view, Richelien was superior to Napoleon. The life of Napoleon was a constant effort to oppress the liberties of mankind; and his unrivalled capacity exhausted its resources in struggling against the tendencies of a great age. Richelieu, too, was a despot; but his despotism took a nobler turn. He displayed, what Napoleon never possessed, a just appreciation of the spirit of his own time. In one great point, indeed, he failed. His attempts to destroy the power of the French nobility were altogether futile;73 for, owing to a long course of events, the authority of that insolent class was so deeply rooted in the popular mind, that the labours of another century were required to efface its ancient influence. But, though Richelieu could not diminish the social and moral weight of the French nobles, he curtailed their political privileges; and he chastised their crimes with a severity which, for a time at least, repressed their former license.74 So little, however, can even the ablest statesman effect, unless he is seconded by the general temper of the age in which he lives, that these checks, rude as they were, produced no permanent result. After his death, the French nobles, as we shall presently see. quickly rallied; and, in the wars of the Fronda, debased that great struggle into a mere contest of rival families.

¹⁶ Richelieu appears to have formed the design of humbling the nobles, at least as early as 1624. See a characteristic passage in his Mémoires, vol. ii. p. 340. In Swinhuann's Courts of Europe, well dir pp. 63-65, there is a curious traditional anecdote, which, though arebably false, shows, at all events, the fear and hatred with which the French nobles regarded the memory of Richelieu more than a starry after his death.

⁷⁵ The common opinion, put forth in Alison's Hist. of Europe, vol. 1. pp. 101-104, and in many other books, is, that Richelieu did destroy their influence, but this error arises from confusing political influence with social influence. What is termed the political power of a class, is merely the symptom and manifestation of its real power, and it is no use to attack the first, unless you can also weaken the second The real power of the nobles was social, and that neither Richelieu nor Louis XIV. could impair; and it remained intact until the middle of the eighteenth century, when the intellect of France rebelled against it, overthrew it, and finally effected the French Revolution.

FRENCH INTELLECT FROM THE

Nor was it until the close of the eighteenth century, that France was finally relieved from the overweening influence of that powerful class, whose selfishness had long retarded the progress of civilization, by retaining the people in a thraldom, from the remote effects of which they have not yet fully recovered.

Although in *this respect Richelieu failed in achieving his designs, he in other matters met with signal success. This was owing to the fact, that his large and comprehensive views harmonized with that sceptical tendency of which I have just given some account. For this remarkable man, though he was a bishop and a cardinal, never for a moment allowed the claims of his profession to make him forego the superior claims of his country. He knew, what is too often forgotten, that the governor of a people should measure affairs solely by a political standard, and should pay no regard to the pretensions of any sect, or the propagation of any opinions, except in reference to the present and practical welfare of men. The consequence was, that, during his administration, there was seen the marvellous spectacle of supreme authority wielded by a priest, who took no pains to increase the power of the spiritual classes. Indeed, so far from this, he often treated them with what was then considered unexampled rigour. The royal confessors, on account of the importance of their functions, had always been regarded with a certain veneration; they were supposed to be men of unspotted piety; they had hitherto possessed immense influence, and even the most powerful statesmen had thought it advisable to show them the deference due to their exalted position. 75 Richelieu, however, was too familiar with the arts of his

On their influence, see GREGOIRE, Hestoire des Confesseurs; and compare the remarks of Mr. Grote, a great writer, whose mind is always ready with historical analogies. GROTE'S Hist, of terever, vol. vi. p. 393, 2nd edit. 1851. Many of the French kings had a strong natural affection for monks, but the most singular instance I have found of this sort of love is mentioned by no less a man than De Thon, respecting Henry III. De Thou (Hist. Univ. vol. x. pp. 666-667) says@of that prince: 'Soit tempérament, soft éducation, la présence d'an moine faisait toujours plaisir à Henri; et je lui si moi-même souvent entendu dire, que leur vue produisoit le même effect sur son ame, que le chat millement le plus délicat au le corps.'

profession, to feel much respect for these keepers of the consciences of kings. Caussin, the confessor of Louis XIII., had, it seems, followed the example of his predecessors, and endeavoured to instil his own views of policy into the mind of the royal penitent. But Richelieu, so soon as he heard of this, dismissed him from office, and sent him into exile; for, he contemptuously says, 'the little father Caussin' should not interfere in matters of government, since he is one of those 'who have always been brought up in the innocence of a religious life. The Caussin was succeeded by the celebrated Sirmond; but Richelieu would not allow the new confessor to begin his duties, until he had solemnly promised never to interfere in state affairs.

On another occasion of much more importance. Richelieu displayed a similar spirat. The French clergy were then possessed of enormous wealth; and, as they enjoyed the privilege of taxing themselves, they were careful not to make what they considered unnecessary contributions towards defraying the expenses of the state. They had cheerfully advanced money to carry on war against the Protections, because they believed it to be their duty to

Cone of his suggestions was, 'sur les dangers que couroit le catholicisme en Allemagi e, par ses haisons avec les puissances pri-testantes 'Grevoirs, Histoire des Confesseurs, p. 342. The fullest account of Caussin is in Lx Vasson, Hist de Louis XIII, vol ix pp. 287-299, to which however, Grégoirs never refers. As I shall diave frequent occasion to mate Le Vasson, I may observe, that he is far more accurate than "generally supposed, and that ha has been very unitarly treated by the majority of French writers, among whom he is unpopular, on account of his constant attacks on Louis XIV. Sismondi (Hist des Français, vol. XXII., pp. 188, 189) speaks highly of his Histof Louis XIV.; and so far as my own reading extends, I can confirm his favourable optimon.

"Le petit père Caussin' Mem de Reheaun vol x, p. 206, and at p. 217, he is classed among the 'personnes qui avoient toujours été nourries dans l'innocence d'une vie religieuse.' see also p. 215, on his 'simplicité et ignorance.' Respecting Richelieu's treatment of Caussin, see Mém. de Montglat, vol. 1, pp. 173-175, Lettres de Patin, vol. 1, p. 49, DES RÉAUX, Mistoriettes, vol. 1j. p. 182.

³⁸ SISMONDI, Hist. des Français, vol. xxiii p. 332, TALLEMANT DES RÉAUX, Historiettes, vol. ini. p. 78 note. Le Vassor (Mistinde Louis XIII., vol. x. part. ii p. 761) says, that Sirmond 'se southint's he sour sous le ministère de Richelieu, parce qu'il ne se méloit point des affaires d'étai.' According to the same writer (vol. viii. p. 156), Richelieu thought at one time of depriving the Jesuits of their post of confessor to the king.

assist in the extirpation of heresy.79 But they saw no reason why their revenues should be wasted in effecting mere temporal benefits; they considered themselves as the guardians of funds set apart for spiritual purposes, and they thought it impious that wealth consecrated by the piety of their ancestors should fall into the profane hands of secular statesmen. Richelieu, who looked on these scruples as the artifices of interested men, had taken a very different view of the relation which the clergy bore to the country.80 So far from thinking that the interests of the church were superior to those of the state, he laid it down as a maxim of policy, that the reputation of the state was the first consideration 181. With such fearlessness did he carry out this principle, that having convoked at Mantes a great assembly of the clergy, he compelled them to aid the government by an extraoidinary supply of 6,000,000 francs; and finding that some of the highest dignitaries had expressed their discontent at so unusual a step, he laid hands on them also, and to the amazement of the church, sent into exile not only four of the bishops. but likewise the two archbishops of Toulouse and Sens. 82

LAVALLEE, Hist. des Français, vol nii. p. 87; LB VARSOR, Hist de Louis XIII., vol. iv p. 208, Bazir, Hist. de Louis XIII., vol. ii. p. 144; Beroist, Hist. de l'Édit de Nantes, vol. ni. pp. 337, 338 Benoist says. Le clergé de France, ignorant et corrompu, croyont tout son devoir compris dans l'extirpation des hérétiques; et même il ôffroit de grandes sommes, à condition qu'on les employat apparte guerre.

^{**} In which he is fully borne out by the high sufficient of Vattel, whose words I shall quote, for the sake of those politicians who still cleave to the superannuated theory of the accrediences of church-property. Loin que l'exemption appartienne aux biens d'église parce qu'ils sont consacrés à Dieu. C'est au contraire par cette raison même, qu'ils doivent être pris les premiers pour le salut de l'état; car il n'y a rien de plus agréable au Père commun des hommes, que de garantir une nation de sa ruine. Dieu n'ayant besoin de rien, lui consacrer des biens, c'est les destiner à des usages qui lui soient agréables. De plus, les biens de l'église, de l'aveu du clergé lui-même, sont en grande partie destinés aux pauvres. Quand l'état est dans le besoin, il est sans doute le premier pauvre, et le plus digne de secours. Vattel. le Droit des Gens, vol. i. pp. 176, 177

^{81 &#}x27;Que la réputation de l'état est préférable à toutes choses.'
Mém. de Richeteu, vol. if. p. 482. This was in 1625, and by way of
refuting the legate.

^{**} SISMONDI, Hist. des Français, vol. xxiii. pp. 477, 478; Bazin, Hist. de Louis XIII., vol. iv. pp. 325, 326. The Cardinal de Betz, who knew Richelieu personally, says: 'M le cardinal de Richelieu avoit donné

If these things had been done fifty years earlier, they would most assuredly have proved fatal to the minister who dared to attempt them. But Richelieu, in these and similar measures, was aided by the spirit of an age which was beginning to despise its ancient masters. For this general tendency was now becoming apparent, not only in literature and in politics, but even in the proceedings of the ordinary tribunals. The nuncio indignantly complained of the hostility displayed against ecclesiastics by the French judges; and he said that, among other shameful things, some clergymen had been hung, without being first deprived of their spiritual character *3 On other occasions, the increasing contempt showed itself in a way well suited to the coarseness of the prevailing manners. Sourdis, the archbishop of Bourdeaux, was twice ignominiously beaten; once by the Duke d'Epernon, and afterwards by the Maréchal de Vitry. 84 Nor did Richelieu, who usually treated the nobles with such severity, seem anxious to punish this gross outrage. Indeed, the archbishop not only received no sympathy, but, a few years later, was peremptorily ordered by Richelieu to retire to his own diocese; such, however, was his alarm at the state of affairs, that he fled to Carpentras, and put himself under the pro-

une atteinte cruelle'à la dignité et à la liberté du clergé dans l'assemblée de Maute, et il avoit exilé avec des circonstances atroces, six de ses prélats les plus considérables? Mem de Ret, vol i p 50.

^{**} Die Nuntien finden kein Ende der Beschwerden die sie machen zu mässen glauben, vorätglich über die Beschränkungen, welche die geistliche Jurisdiction erfahre . Zuweilen werde ein Gefstlicher lingerichtet ohne erst degradirt zu seyn Ranks, Die Popule, vol. in. p. 157 a summary, in 1611, of the complaints of the then nuncio, and of those of his predecessors. Le Vassor (Hist de Louis Alli, vol. v. pp. 51, seq.) has given some curious details respecting the animosity between the clergy and the secular tribunals of France in 1624.

[&]quot;* SISMONDI, Hist. des Françaix. vol xxm. p. 301. Mem. de Rassompierre, vol iii. pp. 302, 353. Baxin. who notices this disgraceful affair, simply says (Hist. de Louis XIII, vol in p. 453). 'Le maréchal de Vitry, suivant l'exemple qui lui en avoit donné le duc d'Epernon, s'emporta Augu'à le frapper de son bâton'. In regard to Epernon, the best account is in Mem. de Richelieu, where it is stated (vol. viii. p. 194) that the duke, just before flogging the archbishop a disoit au peuple, "Ranger-vous, vous verrez comme j'étrillerai votre archévêque." This was stated by a witness, who heard the duke utter the words Compare, for further information, Lie Vassor, Hist de Louis XIII. vol. x part. in p. 97. with Tallemant des Réaux, Histoiresses, vol.

FRENCH INTELLECT FROM THE

tection of the pope. 83 This happened in 1641; and nine years earlier, the church had incurred a still greater scandal. For in 1632, serious disturbances having arisen in Languedoc, Richelieu did not fear to meet the difficulty by depriving some of the bishops, and seizing the temporalities of the others. 85

The indignation of the clergy may be easily imagined. Such repeated injuries, even if they had proceeded from a layman, would have been hard to endure: but they were rendered doubly bitter by being the work of one of themselves-one who had been nurtured in the profession against which he turned. This it was which aggravated the offence, because it seemed to be adding treachery to insult. It was not a war from without, but it was a treason from within. It was a bishop who humbled the episcopacy, and a cardinal who affronted the church. 87 Such. however, was the general temper of men, that the clerky did not venture to strike an open blow; but, by means of their partisans, they scattered the most odious libels against the great minister. They said that he was unchaste, that he was guilty of open debauchery, and that he held incestuous commerce with his own niece.88 They

^{111.} p 116 Des Réaux, who, in his own way, was somewhat of a philosopher, contentedly says "Cet archevêque se pouvoit vanter d'être le prélat du monde qui avoit éte le plus battu." His brother was Cardinal Sourdis, a man of some little reputation in his own time, and concerning whom a curious anecdote is related in Mem. de Conrart. pp. 231-234

⁸⁵ SISMONDI, Hist. des Françair, vol. xxiii. p. 470. Le Vassor (Hist. de Louis, XIII), vol. x part ii p. 149) saya: 'Il s'enfuit done honteusement à Carpentras sous la protection du pape.'

[&]quot;Les évêques furent punis par la saisse de leur temporel, Alby, Nimes, Uzès, furent privées de leurs prélats "Capstiques Richelieu, Paris, 1844, vol. ii. p. 24. The Protestants were greatly delighted at the punishment of the bishops of Alby and Nimes, which 'les ministres regardoient comme une vengeance divine' BEROIST, Hist. de l'Édit de Nantes, vol. ii. pp. 528, 529.

⁸⁷ In a short account of Bichelieu, which was published immediately after his death, the writer indignantly says, that 'being a cardinal, he afflicted the church.' SOMERS'S Tracts, vol. v. p. 540. Compare BAZIN, High de Louis XIII., vol. iv. p. 322.

es This scandalous charge in regard to his niece was a favourite one with the clergy; and among many other instances, the accusation was brought by the Cardinal de Valençay in the grossest manner. See Tallemant DES Raux, Historictics, vol. ii. p. 201.

declared that he had no religion; that he was only a Catholic in name; that he was the pontiff of the Huguenots; that he was the patriarch of atheists; "" and what was worse than all, they even accused him of wishing to establish a schism in the French church. "" Happily, the time was now passing away in which the national mind could be moved by such artifices as these. Still, the charges are worth recording, because they illustrate the tendency of public affairs, and the bitterness with which the spiritual classes saw the reins of power falling from their hands. Indeed, all this was so manifest, that in the last civil war raised against Richelieu, only two years before his death, the insurgents stated in their proclamation, that one of their objects was to revive the respect with which the clergy and nobles had formerly been treated."

The more we study the career of Richelieu, the more prominent does this antagonism become. Every thing proves that he was conscious of a great struggle going on between the old ecclesiastical scheme of government, and the new secular scheme; and that he was determined to put down the old plan, and uphold the new one. For, not only in his domestic administration, but also in his toreign policy, do we find the same unprecedented disregard of theological interests. The House of Austria, particularly its Spanish branch, had long been respected by all pious men as the faithful ally of the church; it was looked upon as the scourge of heresy; and its proceedings against the heretics had won for it a great name in ecclesiastical history.*22 When, therefore, the French government, in the

[&]quot;' De là ces petits écrits qui le dénonçaient comme le "pontife des huguenots" ou "le patriarche des athées" Caparigue's Ri helieu, vol. i. p. 312.

[&]quot;Compare DES RÉAUX, Historiettes, vol. ii. p. 233, with LE VASSOR, Hist. de Louis XIII., vol. viii. part. ii. pp. 177, 178, vol. ix. p. 277.

[&]quot; See the manifesto in Sismondi, Hist. des Français, vol. xxiii. pp. 452, 453.

² Late is the sixteenth century, 'fils ainé de l'église' was the recognized and well-merited title of the kings of Spain. Dr Thou, Hist. Une., vol. xi. p. 290. Compare Duplessis Monnay, Mem. et Correspond., vol. xi. p. 21. And on the opinions which the Catholics early in the seventeenth century generally held respecting Spain, see Mém. de Fontenay Mareuti, vol. 1. p. 189; Mém. de Bassompierre, vol. 1. p. 424.

reign of Charles IX., made a deliberate attempt to destroy the Protestants, France naturally established an intimate connexion with Spain as well as with Rome; 95 and these three great powers were firmly united, not by a community of temporal interests, but by the force of a religious compact. This theological confederacy was afterwards broken up by the personal character of Henry IV., 94 and by the growing indifference of the age, but during the minority of Louis XIII., the queen-regent had in some degree renewed it, and had attempted to revive the superstitious prejudices upon which it was based. 95 In all her feelings, she was a zealous Catholic, she was warmly attached to Spain, and she succeeded in marrying her son, the young king, to a Spanish princess, and her daughter to a Spanish princes. 96

It might have been expected that when Richelieu, a great dignitary of the Romish church, was placed at the head of affairs, he would have reestablished a connexion so eagerly desired by the profession to which he belonged. That his conduct was not regulated by such

¹ As to the connexion between this foreign policy and the massacre of Saint Bartholomew, see Caperioue, Hist. de la Reforme, vol. in. pp. 253, 268, 269

³² On the policy, and still more on the feelings, of Henry IV towards the House of Austria, see SULLY, (Economes Egyales, vol. it. p. 291, vol. ni. ppr 162, 166, vol. iv. pp. 289, 290, 321, 343, 344, 364, vol. v. p. 123, vol. vi. p. 293, vol. vii. pp. 195, 202, 348.

²⁵ CAPEFIGUE'S Ri helieu, vol. 1. pp. 26, 369; Mem. de Montglat. vol. 1. p. 16, 17, LE VARSOB, Hist. de Louis XIII., vol. i. p. 268, vol. vi. p. 349, BISMONDI, Hist des Français, vol. xxii, p. 227. Her husband, Henry IV, said that she had 'the soul of a Spaniard.' CAPEFIGUE, Hist. de la Réforme, vol. viii. p. 150
* This was, in her opinion, a master-stroke of policy 'Entètée

^{**} This was, in her opinion, a master-stroke of policy 'Entètée du double mariage avec l'Espagne qu'elle avoit ménagé avec tant d'application, et qu'elle regardoit comme le plus ferme appui de son autorité 'LE Valson, Hist. de Louis XIII., vol. i. pp. 453, 454.

[&]quot;So late as 1656, the French clergy wished 'to hasten a peace with Spain, and to curb the heretics in France.' Letter from Pell to Thurtor, written in 1656, and printed in Vaturan's Protectorale of Cromwell, vol. i. p. 436, 8vo 1839. Daring the minority of Louis XIII. we hear of 'les sélés catholiques, et ceux qui désiroient, à quelque prix que ce fast, l'union des deux roys, et des deux couronnes de France et d'Espagne, comme le seul moyen propre, selon leur advis, pour l'extirpation des hérésies dans la chrestienté.' Rully, Cècos. Royales, vol ix. p. 181: compare vol. vii. p. 248, on 'les néléz catholiques espagnolisez de France.'

· views as these. His object was, not to favour the opinions of a sect, but to promote the interests of a nation. ·His treaties, his diplomacy, and the schemes of his foreign alliances, were all directed, not against the enemies of the church, but against the enemies of France. By erecting ' this new standard of action. Richelieu took a great step towards secularizing the whole system of European poli-For he thus made the theoretical interests of men subordinate to their practical interests. Before his time. the rulers of France, in order to pumsh their Protestant subjects, had not hesitated to demand the aid of the Catholic troops of Spain; and in so doing, they merely acted upon the old opinion, that it was the chief duty of a government to suppess heresy. This pernicious doctrine was first openly repudiated by Richelieu. As early as 1617, and before he had established his power, he, in an instruction to one of the foreign ministers which is still extant, laid it down as a principle, that, in matters of state, no Catholic ought to prefer a Spaniard to a French Protestant. 98 To us, indeed, in the progress of society, such preference of the claims of our country to those of our creed, has become a matter of course; but in those days it was a startling novelty. 99 Richelieu, however, did not fear to push the paradox even to its remotest consequences. The Catholic church justly considered that its interests were bound up with those of the House of Austria; 100 but Richelieu, directly he was called to the council, determined to humble that house in both its branches. 101

[&]quot;See Sismondi, Hist. des Françair, vol. xxii pp. 357-36, where the importance of this document is noticed, and it is said that Richelieu had drawn it up, 'avec beaucoup de soin.' The language of it is very percuptory. 'Que nul catholique n'est si aveugle d'estimer en matière d'état un Espagnol meilleur qu'un françaishuguenot.'

[&]quot;" Even in the reign of Henry IV. the French Protestants were not considered to be Frenchmen. 'The intolerant dogmas of Roman Catholicism did not recognize them as Frenchmen. They were looked upon as foreigners, or rather assenances; and were treated as such.' Falice, Hist. of the Protestants of France, p. 216.

¹⁰⁰ Sismondi says, under the year 1610, 'Totte l'église catholique croyoit son sort he à celui de la maison d'Autriche' Host des Français, vol. xxii, p. 180.

¹⁰¹ Sa vue dominante fut l'abaissement de la maison d'Autriche.'
FLASSAN, Hist, de la Diplomatie Française, vol. nii. p. 81 And, on the

FRENCH INTELLECT FROM THE

To effect this, he openly supported the bitterest enemies of his own religion. He aided the Lutherans against the Emperor of Germany; he aided the Calvinists against the King of Spain. During the eighteen years he was supreme, he steadily pursued the same undeviating policy. 102 When Philip attempted to oppress the Dutch Protestants. Richelieu made common cause with them; at first advancing them large sums of money, and afterwards inducing the French king to sign a treaty of intimate alliance with those who, in the opinion of the church, he ought rather to have chastized as rebellious heretics. 103 In the same way, when that great war broke out, in which the emperor attempted to subjugate to the true faith the consciences of German Protestants, Richelien stood forward as their protector; he endeavoured from the beginning to save their leader the Palatine; 104 and, failing in that,

early formation of this scheme, see Mem. de La Rochefoucauld, vol. i. p. 350. De lietz says, that before Richelieu, no one had even thought of such a step 'Celiu d'attaquer la formidable maison d'Autriche n'avoit été imaginé de personne' Mem de Retz. vol. i p. 45. This is rather too strongly expressed, but the whole paragraph is emious, as written by a man who possessed great ability, which De Retz undoubtedly did, and, who, though hating Richelieu, could not refrain from bearing testimony to his immense services.

195. Obwohl Cardinal der romischen Kirche, trug Richellen kein Bedenken, mit den Protestanten selbst unverhohlen in Bund zu treten. Ranke, Inc Papste, vol 11 p 510. Compare, in Mem. de Fontesam Marcail, vol. 11. pp 28, 29 the reproach which the nuncio Spada addressed to Richellen for treating with the Protestants, 'de la paix qui se traitoit avge les luguenots.' See also Le Vassou, Hist. de Louis XIII., vol v pp 236, 354-355 567; and a good passage in Lavallés, Hist des Français, vol. 111. p. '90,--an able little work, and perhaps

the best small history ever published of a great country.

De Retz mentions a curious illustration of the feelings of the ecclesiastical party respecting this treaty. He says, that the Bishop of Beauvais, who, the year after the death of Richelieu, was for a moment at the head of affairs, began his administration by giving to the Dutch their cloice, either to abandon their religion, or else forfeit their alliance with France: 'Et il demanda dès le premier jour aux Hollandois qu'ils se convertissent à la religion catholique, a'ils vouloient demeurer dans l'alliance de France.' Min. du'Cardinal de Retz, vol. i. p. 39. This, I suppose, is the original authority for the statement in the Biog. Unic., vol. xiv p. 440; though, as is too often the case in that otherwise valuable work, the writer has omitted to indicate the source of his information

104 In 1626, he attempted to form a league 'en faveur du Palatin.' SISMONDI. Hist. des Français, vol. xxii. p. 576. Sismondi seems not quite certain as to the sincerity of his proposal, but as to this there

· he concluded in their favour an alliance with Gustavus Adolphus, 106 the ablest military commander the Reformers had then produced. Nor did he stop there. After the death of Gustavus, he, seeing that the Protestants were thus deprived of their great leader, made still more 'vigorous efforts in their favour. 106 He intrigued for them in foreign courts; he opened negotiations in their behalf; and eventually he organized for their protection a public confederacy, in which all ecclesiastical considerations were set at defiance. This league, which formed an important precedent in the international polity of Europe, was not only contracted by Richelieu with the two most powerful enemies of his own church, but it was, from its tenor, what Sismondi emphatically calls a 'Protestant confederation.' -a Protestant confederation, he says, between France, England, and Holland. 107

These things alone would have made the administration of Richelieu a great epoch in the history of European dilization. For his government affords the first example of an eminent Catholic statesman systematically disregarding ecclesiastical interests, and showing that disregard in the whole scheme of his toreign, as well as of his domestic, policy Some instances, indeed, approaching to this, may be found, at an earlier period, among the petty rulers of Italian states; but, even there, such attempts had never been successful; they had never been continued

can, I think, be little doubt, for it appears from his own memoirs, that even in 1624 he had in view the recovery of the Palatinate. Mem. de Richelege, vol. ii. p. 405, and again in 1625 p. 465.

¹⁰⁵ SIRMONDI, vol. XXIII. p. 173, CAPEPIGUE'S Richelieu vol. 1. p. 415; LE VASSOB, Hist de Louis XIII., vol. vi. pp. 12, 500, and at p. 489 Le roi de Suède qui comptoit uniquement sur le cardinal

with Mem. de Fontenan Marend, vol. n. pp. 74, 75, vol. ni. pp. 92, 93, with Mem. de Fontenan Marend, vol. n. p. 198, and Howkil's Letters, p. 247. The different views which occurred to his fertile mind in consequence of the death of Gustavus, are strikingly summed up in Mem. de Richelleu, vol. vii. pp. 272-277. On his subsequent pecuniary advances, we vol. ix. p. 397

¹⁰¹ In 1633, 'les ambassadeurs de France d'Angleterre et de Hollande mirent à profit le repos de l'hivor pour resserrer la confédération protestante.' Sismondi, Hist. des Français, vol. xxin. p. 421. Compare, in Whitehocke's Swedish Embarsy, vol. 1. p. 272, the remark made twenty years later by Christina, daughter of Gustavus; on the union with 'papista.'

for any length of time, nor had they been carried out on . a scale large enough to raise them to the dignity of international precedents. The peculiar glory of Richelieu is; that his foreign policy was, not occasionally, but invariably, governed by temporal considerations; nor do I believe that, during the long tenure of his power, there is to be found the least proof of his regard for those theological interests, the promotion of which had long been looked upon as a matter of paramount importance. By thus steadily subordinating the church to the state; by enforcing the principle of this subordination, on a large scale, with great ability, and with unvarying success, he laid the foundation of that purely secular polity, the consolidation of which has, since his death, been the aim of all the best European diplomatists. The result was a most solutary change; which had been for some time preparing, but which, under him, was first completed. For, by the introduction of this system, an end was put to religious wars; and the chances of peace were increased, by thus removing one of the causes to which the interruption of peace had often been owing 10%. At the same time, there was pre-

This change may be illustrated by comparing the work of Grotius with that of Vattel. These two eminent men are still respected as the most authoritative expounders of international law; but there is this important difference between them, that Vattel wrote more than a century after Grotius, and when the secular principles enforced by Richelieu had penetrated the minds even of common politicans. Therefore, Vattel says (Le Droit des G. m. vol 1. pp. 579, 380) 'On demande s'il est permis de faire alliance avec une nation qui ne professe pas la même religion? Si les traités faits avec les ennemis de la foi sont valides? Grotius a traité la question nesez au long. Cette discussion pouvait être nécessaire dans un temps où la fureur des partis obscurcissait encore des principes qu'elle avait long temps fait oublier, osons croire qu'elle serait superflue dans notre siècle. La loi naturelle seule régit les traités des nations; la différence de religion y est absolument étrangère 'See also p 318, and vol. ii p 451. On the other hand, Grotius opposes alliances between nations of different religion, and says, that nothing can justify them except 'une extrême nécessité. . . . Car il faut chercher premièrement le règne céleste , c'est à dire penser avant toutes choses à la propagation de l'évangile.' And he further recommends that princes should follow the advice given on this subject by Foulques, Archbishop of Rheims! GRovius, le Droit de la liverre et de la Paix, livre in chip xv sec. xi. vol i pp 485, 486, edit Barbeyrac, Amsterdam 1724, 4to, a passage the more instructive, because Grotius was a man of great genius and great humanity. On religious wars, as naturally recognized in barbarous times, see the curious and important work, Institutes of Temeur, pp. 141, 333, 335.

pared the way for that final separation of theology from politics, which it will be the business of future generations fully to achieve. How great a step had been taken in this direction, appears from the facility with which the operations of Richelieu were continued by men every way his inferiors. Less than two years after his death, there was assembled the Congress of Westphalia; 109 the members of which concluded that celebrated peace, which is remarkable, as being the first comprehensive attempt to adjust the conflicting interests of the leading European countries do In this important treaty, ecclesiastical interests were altogether disregarded; iii and the contracting parties, instead of, as heretofore, depriving each other of their possessions, took the bolder course of indemnifying themselves at the expense of the church, and did not hesifate to seize her revenues, and secularize several of her bishoprics. 112 From this grievous insult, which became a precedent in the public law of Europe, the spiritual power has never recovered; and it is remarked by a very competent authority, that, since that period, diplomatists have, in their official acts, neglected religious interests, and have preferred the advocacy of matters relating to the commerce and colonies of their respective countries. 113 The

⁴⁷ 101 Le Congrès de Westphalie s'ouvrit le 10 avril 1043 LAVALLER, Hist des Français, vol. 111, p. 150. Its two great divisions at Munster and Osnabruck, were formed in March 1044. Flassan, Hist. de la Implomatie, vol. if. p. 110. Richelieu died in December 1042. Biog. Univ. vol. xxxviii. p. 25.

^{110 &#}x27;Les règnes de Charles-Quint et de Henri IV, font époque pour certaines parties du droit international, mais le point de départ le plus sailant, c'est la paix de Westphuhe' Lechbach, Introduc, a l'Ftude dh Irroit, Paris, 1846, p. 92. Compare the remarks & Mably, in Biog. Une, vol. xxvi p. 7, and Sismondi, Hist. des Français, vol. xxiv. p. 179 'base au droit public de l'Europe'

¹⁰¹ Compare the indignation of the pope at this treaty (VATTEL, le Inoit des tiens, vol. n. p. 28), with RANKE'S Päpste, vol. ii p. 576.

10 Pas religiose Element ist zurückgetreten, die politischen Rücksichten beherrschen die Welt 's zummar, of the general state of affairs

^{112 &#}x27;La France obtint, par ce traité, en indemnité la souveraineté des trois évêchés, Metz. Toul et Verdun, ainsi que celle d'Alsace. La satisfaction ou indemnité des autres parties intéressées fut convenue, en grande partie, aux dépens de l'église, et moyennant la sécularisation de plusieurs évêchés et bénéfices ecclésiastiques.' Koen, Tabless des Récolutions, vol 1 p 328.

¹¹⁴ Dr. Vaughan (Protectorate of Cromuell, vol. 1. p. civ.) says: 'It is a leading fact, also, in the history of modern Europe, that, from

truth of this observation is confirmed by the interesting. fact, that the Thirty Years' War, to which this same treaty put an end, is the last great religious war which has ever been waged;114 no civilized people, during two centuries, having thought it worth while to peril their own safety in order to disturb the behef of their neighbours. This, indeed, is but a part of that vast secular movement, by which superstition has been weakened, and the civilization of Europe secured. Without, however, discussing that subject. I will now endeavour to show how the policy of Richelieu, in regard to the French Protestant church. corresponded with his policy in regard to the French Catholic church; so that, in both departments, this great statesman, aided by that progress of knowledge for which his age was remarkable, was able to struggle with prejudices from which men, slowly, and with infinite difficulty, were attempting to emerge

The treatment of the French Protestants by Richeleu is, undoubtedly, one of the most honourable parts of his system; and in it, as in other liberal measures, he was assisted by the course of preceding events. His administration, taken in connexion with that of Henry IV, and the queen-regent, presents the noble spectacle of a toleration far more complete than any which had then been seen in Catholic Europe. While in other Christian countries, men were being incessantly persecuted, simply because they held opinions different from those professed by the established clergy, France refused to follow the general example, and protected those heretics whom the church was eager to punish. Indeed, not only were they protected,

the peace of Westphalia, in 1648, religion, as the great object of negotiation, began everywhere to give place to questions relating to colonies and commerce. Charles Butler observed, that this to any 'considerably lessened the influence of religion on politics.' Burn's Remainsences, vol. i p. 181

¹¹⁻ The fact of the Thirty Years' War being I religious contest, formed the basis of one of the charges which the church party brought against Richelieu; and an author who wrote in 1634 'nontroit being au long que l'alliance du roy de France avec les pratectats étoit contraire aux intérêts de la religion catholique, parce de la guerre des Provinces Unies, at celle d'Allemagne étoient des guerres de religion.' Benorst, Hist. de l'Edu de Nantes, vol. ii. p 336.

but, when they possessed abilities, they were openly rewarded. In addition to their appointments to civil offices, many of them were advanced to high military posts; and Europe beheld, with astonishment, the armies of the king of France led by heretical generals. Rohan, Lesdiguières, Chatillon, La Force, Bernard de Weimar, were among the most celebrated of the military leaders employed by Louis XIII.; and all of them were Protestants, as also were. some younger, but distinguished, officers, such as Gassion, Rantzau, Schomberg, and Turenne. For now, nothing was beyond the reach of men who, half a century earlier, would, on account of their heresies, have been persecuted to the death. Shortly before the accession of Louis XIII., Lesdiguières, the ablest general among the French Protestants, was made marshal of France. 115 Fourteen years later he same high dignity was conferred upon two other Protestants, Chatillon and La Force; the former of whom is said to have been the most influential of the schismatics. 146 Both these appointments were in 1622;137 and, in 1634, still greater scandal was caused by the elevation of Sully, who, notwithstanding his notorious heresy, also received the staff of marshal of France. 116 This was the work of Richelieu, and it gave serious offence to the friends of the church; but the great statesman paid so little attention to their clamour, that, after the civil war was concluded, he took another step equally obnoxious. The Duke de Rohan was the most active of all the enemies of the established church, and was looked up to by the Protestants as the main support of their party. He had

According to a contemporary, he received this appointment without having asked for it . 'sans être à la cour ni l'avoir demandé.' Mem. de Fontenay Marcutt, vol i. p. 70. In 1622, even the lieutenants of Lesdiguières were Protestants: 'ses lieutenants, qui estant tous huguenoss.' Ibid. vol. 1 p. 538 These memoirs are very valuable in regard to political and military matters, their author having played a conspicuous part in the transactions which he describes.

"" It n'y avoit personne dans le parti huguenot si considérable

que lui. TALLEMANT DES RÉAUX, Historiettes, vol. v. p. 204.

¹¹¹ Biog. Unio vol xv. p. 247, BENGIST, Hist. de l'Edit de Nantes, vol. ii. p 400.

Additions to Sully, Chronomes Royales, vol. viii. p. 496; Sund-LEY'S Hist, of the Reformed Retigion in France, vol. in. p. 204.

taken up arms in their tayour, and, declining to abandon his religion, had, by the fate of war, been driven from France. But Richeheu, who was acquainted with his ability, cared little about his opinions. He, therefore, recalled him from exile, employed him in a negotiation with Switzerland, and sent him on foreign service, as commander of one of the armies of the king of France 119

Such were the tendencies which characterized this new state of things. It is hardly necessary to observe how beneficial this great change must have been; since, by it. men were encouraged to look to their country as the first consideration, and, discarding their old disputes. Catholic soldiers were taught to obey heretical generals, and follow their standards to victory. In addition to this, the mere social amalgamation, arising from the professors of different creeds mixing in the same camp, and righting under the same banner, must have still further aided to disarm the mind, partly by merging theological fends in a common, and yet a temporal, object, and partly by showing to each sect, that their religious opponents were not entirely bereft of human virtue; that they still retained some of the qualities of men; and that it was even possible to combine the errors of heresy with all the capabilities of a good and competent citizen. 120

But, while the hateful animosities by which France had long been distracted, were, under the policy of Richelieu, gradually subsiding, it is singular to observe that, though the prejudices of the Catholics obviously diminished, those of the Protestants seemed, for a time, to retain all their activity. It is, indeed, a striking proof

^{11°} CAPEFIGUE'S Richelieu, vol. ii. p. 57, Mem de Rohan, vol. i. pp. 66, 69, Mem. de Bassonpierre, vol. iii. pp. 324, 348, Mem. de Montglat. vol. i. p. 86; Le Vasson, Hist. de Louis XIII. vol. vii. p. 157, vol. viii. p. 294. This great rise in the fortunes of Rohan took place at different times between 1632 and 1633

¹⁷⁰ Late in the sixteenth century. Duplessis Morkey had to state, what was then considered by the majority of men an incredible paradox, 'que ce n'estoit pas chose incompatible d'estre bon huguenot et bon Françoys tout ensemble' DUPLESSIS, Mem. et Correspond. vol. i p. 146. Compare p. 213, vol ii pp 45, 46, 77, 677, vol. vil. p. 294, vol. xi. pp. 31, 68; interesting passages for the history of opinions in Franço.

of the perversity and pertinacity of such feelings, that it was precisely in the country, and at the period, when the Protestants were best treated, that they displayed most turbulence. And in this, as in all such cases, the cause principally at work was the influence of that class to which circumstances. I will now explain, had secured a temporary ascendency.

For, the diminution of the theological spirit had effected. in the Protestants a remarkable but a very natural result. The increasing toleration of the French government had laid open to their leaders prizes which before they could never have obtained. As long as all offices were refused to the Protestant nobles, it was natural that they should ching with the greater zeal to their own party, by whom alone their virtues were acknowledged But, when the principle was once recognized, that the state would reward men for their abilities, without regard to their religion, there was introduced into every sect a new element The leaders of the Reformers could not fail to feel some gratitude, or, at all events, some interest for the government which employed them; and the influence of temporal considerations being thus strengthened, the influence of religious ties must have been weakened. It is impossible that opposite feelings should be paramount, at the same moment, in the same mind. The further men extend their view, the less they care for each of the details of which the view is composed. Patriotism is a corrective of superstition; and the more we feel for our country, the less we feel for our sect. Thus it is, that in the progress of civilization, the scope of the intellect is widened; its horizon is enlarged; its sympathies are multiplied; and, as the range of its excursions is increased, the tenacity of its grasp is slackened, until, at length, it begins to perceive that the infinite variety of circum tances necessarily causes an infinite variety of opinions; that a creed which is good and natural for one man may be bad and unnatural for another; and that, so far from interfering with the march of religious convictions, we should be content to look into ourselves, search our own hearts, purge our own souls, soften the

evil of our own passions, and extirpate that insolent and, intolerant spirit, which is at once the cause and the effect of all theological controversy.

It was in this direction, that a prodigious step was taken by the French in the first half of the seventeenth century. Unfortunately, however, the advantages which arose were accompanied by serious drawbacks. From the introduction of temporal considerations among the Protestant leaders, there occurred two results of considerable importance. The first result was, that many of the Protestants changed their religion. Before the Edict of Nantes, they had been constantly persecuted, and had, as constantly, increased. 121 But, under the tolerant policy of Henry IV. and Louis XIII., they continued to diminish. 122 Indeed, this was the natural consequence, of the growth of that secular spirit which, in every country, has assuaged religious ammosities. For, by the action of that spirit, the influence of social and political views began to outweigh those theological views to which the minds of men had long been confined. As these temporal ties increased in strength, there was, of course, generated among the rival factions an increased tendency to assimilate: while, as the Catholics were not only much more numerous, but, in every respect, more influential, than their opponents, they reaped the benefit of this movement, and gradually drew over to their side many of their former That this absorption of the smaller sect into enemics. the larger, is due to the cause I have mentioned, is rendered still more evident by the interesting fact, that the change began among the heads of the party; and that it

¹⁷¹ See Beroist, Hist. de l'Edit de Nanten, vol. i pp. 10, 14, 18, De Thou, Hist. Unip., vol. iii pp. 181, 242, 357, 358, 543, 558, vol. iv. p. 155, Relat. des Ambassadeurs Venitiens, vol. i. pp. 412, 536, vol. ii. pp. 66, 74; Banke's Civil Wars in France, vol. i. pp. 279, 280, vol. ii. ps. 94.

¹²² Compare Hallam's Const. Hest vol. i. p. 173, with Raine. die Römischen Papste, vol. ii. pp. 477-479. In spite of the increase of population, the Protestants diminished absolutely, as well as relatively, to the Catholics. In 1598 they had 760 churches; in 1619 only 700. Smedlen's, Hist. of the Reformed Religion in France, vol. iii. pp. 46, 145. De Thou, in the preface to his History (vol. i. p. 320), observes, that the Protestants had increased during the wars carried on against them, but 'diminucient an numbre et an credit pendant la paix.'

was not the inferior Protestants who first abandoned their leaders, but it was rather the leaders who deserted their followers. This was because the leaders, being more educated than the great body of the people, were more susceptible to the sceptical movement, and therefore set the example of an indifference to disputes which still engrossed the popular mind. As soon as this indifference had reached a certain point, the attractions offered by the conciliating policy of Louis XIII. became irresistible; and the Protestant nobles, in particular, being most exposed to political temptations, began to alienate themselves from their own party, in order to form an alliance with a court which showed itself ready to reward their merits.

It is, of course, impossible to fix the exact period at which this important change took place. 123 But we may say with certainty, that very early in the reign of Louis XIII. many of the Protestant nobles cared nothing for their religion, while the remainder of them ceased to feel that interest in it which they had formerly expressed. Indeed, some of the most eminent of them openly abandoned their creed, and joined that very church which they had been taught to abhor as the man of sin, and the whore of Babylon. The Duke de Lesdiguières, the greatest of all the Protestant generals. 124 became a Catholic, and, as a reward for his conversion, was made constable of France 126 The Duke de la Tremouille adopted

¹²³ M. Banke has noticed how the French Protestant nobles fell off from their party; but he does not seem aware of the remote causes of what he deems a sudden apostssy: 'In dem nämlichem Momente trat nun auch die grosse Wendung der Dinge in Frankreich ein. Fragen wir, woher im Jahr 1621 die Verluste des Protestantismus hauptsächlich kamen, so war es die Entzweiung derselben, der Abfall des Adels.' RANKE, Die Päpste, vol. ii. p. 476. Compare a curious passage in Bemoist. Hist. de l'Edit de Nantes, vol. ii. p. 33, from which it appears that in 1611 the French Protestants were breaking into time parties, one of which consisted of 'les seigneurs d'éminente qualité.'

^{124 &#}x27;Le Plus illustre guerrier du parti protestant.' Sismondi, Hist. des Français, vol. xxii. p. 505. In the contemporary despatches of the Spanish ambassador, he is called 'l'un des huguenots les plus marquans, homme d'un grand poids, et d'un grand crédit.' CAPRPIQUE'S Hichelieu, vol. 1. p. 60. His principal influence was in Dauphiné. Benoist, Hist de l'Edit de Nastes, vol. 1. p. 236.

¹²⁵ Biog. Univ. vol. gxiv. p. 293, and a dry remark on his 'con-

the same course; 120 as also did the Duke de ia Meilleraye, 127 the Duke de Bouillon, 128 and a few years later the Marquis de Montausier. 129 These illustrious nobles were among the most powerful of the members in the Reformed communion; but they quitted it without compunction, sacrificing their old associations in favour of the opinions professed by the state. Among the other men of high rank, who still remained nominally connected with the French Protestants, we find a similar spirit. We find there lukewerm respecting matters for which, if they had been bor fifty years earlier, they would have laid down their lives. The Maréchal de Bouillon, who professed himself to be a Protestant, was unwilling to change his religion; but he so comported himself as to show that he considered its interests as subordinate to political considerations. 130 A similar remark has been made by the French historians concerning the Duke de Sully and the Marquis de Chatillon, both of whom, though they were

version' in Mer de Richelieu, vol. ii. p. 215, which may be compared with Fuerce de Initaire, vol. xviii. p. 132, and Babin, Hist. de Louis XIII vol. i. p. 195-197. Rohan (Mém vol. i. p. 228) plainly says, the duc de Lesdiquières, ayant hardé sa religion pour la charge de connétable de France'. See also p. 91, and Mém. de Montglat; vol. i. p. 37

- 126 SISMONDI Hist des Français, vol. xxiii p 67; LE VASSOB, Hist. de Louis XIII vol. v. pp. 809, 810, 865.

¹² Tallemant Des Réaux, *Historiettes*, vol. iii. p. 43. La Meilleraye was also a duke; and what is far more in his favour, he was a friend of Descartes. * *Biog. Univ.* vol. xxviii. pp. 452, 153.

128 Sismondt (*Hist des Français*, vol xxiii. p. 27) says, 'il abjura en 1637.' but according to Benoist (*Hist, de l'Edit de Nantes*, vol. ii p. 550) itawas in 1635.

127 TALLEMANT DES RÉAUX, Historiettes, vol. ni. p 245. Des Réaux, who saw these changes constantly happening, simply observes, notre marquis, voyant que sa religion étoit un obstacle à son dessein, en change.'

120 'Mettoit la politique avant la religion.' -SISMEMDI, Hist. des Françair, vol. mxii. p. 284. This was Henry Bouillon, whom some writers have confused with Frederick Bouillon. Both of them were dukes, but Henry, who was the father, and who did not actually change his religion, was the marshal. The following not oes of him will more than confusm the remark made by Sismondi: Mém. de Bassompierre, vol. i. p. 455; Memblan's Reformed Religion is France, vol. iii. p 39; Caphrique's Richelieu, vol i. p. 107; Le Vascor, Hist. de Louis XIII vol. ii. pp. 420, 467, 664, vol. iv. p. 519; Mém. de Richelieu, vol. p. 104. vol. iii. p. 259, Mém. de Lupiessis Mornay, vol. xi. p. 450, vol. xii. p. 79, 183, 263, 287, 345, 361, 412, 505.

members of the Reformed church, displayed a marked indifference to those theological interests which had formerly been objects of supreme importance. 181 The result was, that when, in 1621, the Protestants began their civil war against the government, it was found that of all their great leaders, two only, Rohan and his brother Soubise, were prepared to risk their lives in support of their religion. 132

Thus it was, that the first great consequence of the tolerating policy of the French government was to deprive the Protestants of the support of their former leaders, and, in several instances, even to turn their sympathies on the side of the Catholic church. But the other consequence, to which I have alluded, was one of far greater moment. The growing indifference of the higher classes of Protestants threw the management of their party into the hands of the clergy. The post, which was deserted by the secular leaders, was naturally seized by the spiritual leaders. And as, in every sect, the clergy, as a body, have always been remarkable for their intelerance of opinions different to their own, it followed that this change infused into the now mutilated ranks of the Protestants an acrimony not inferior to that of the worst times of the sixteenth century. 133 Hence it was, that by

¹³¹ BENOIST, Hist. de l'Édit de Nantes, vol. i. pp. 121, 258, vol. 11. pp. 5, 180, 267, 341, Caperioun's Richetieu, vol. 1. p. 267, Felica's Hist. of the Protestants of France, p. 206. Sully advised Henry IV., on mere political considerations, to become a Catholic; and there were strong, but I believe unfounded rumours, that he himself intended taking the same course. See Sully, (Economics Royates, vol. ii. p. 81, vol. vii. pp. 362, 363.

[&]quot;There were, among all the leaders, but the duke de Rohan and his brother the Duke de Soubise, who showed themselves disposed to throw their whole fortunes into the new wars of religion.' Failing Hist. of the Protestants of France, p. 241. For this, M. Felice, as usual quotes no authority; but Rohan himself says: 'C'est ce sui s'est passé en cetta seconde guerre (1626), où Rohan et Soubise out eu pour contraires tous les grands de la religion de France.' Mém. de Rohan, vol. i. p. 278. Rohan claims great merit for his religious aincerity; though from a passage in Mém. de Foatesay Marsuit, vol. i. p. 418, and another in Benoist, Hist. de l'Edit de Nouses, vol. ii. p. 173, one may be allowed to doubt if ne were so single-minded as it commonly supposed.

²³⁹ Sismondi notices this remarkable change; though he places it a few years earlier than the contemporary writers do: 'Depuis que

FRENCH INTELLECT FROM THE

a singular, but perfectly natural combination, the Protestants, who professed to take their stand on the right of private judgment, became, early in the seventeenth century, more intolerant than the Catholics, who based their religion on the dictates of an infallible church.

This is one of the many instances which show how superficial is the opinion of those speculative writers, who believe that the Protestant religion is necessarily more liberal than the Catholic. If those who adopt this view had taken the pains to study the history of Europe in its original sources, they would have learned, that the liberality of every sect depends, not at all on its avowed tenets, but on the circumstances in which it is placed, and on the amount of authority possessed by its priesthood. Protestant religion is, for the most part more tolerant than the Catholic, simply because the events which have given rise to Protestantism have at the same time increased the play of the intellect, and therefore lessened the power of the clergy. But whoever has read the works of the great Calvinist divines, and above all, whoever has studied their history, must know, that in the sixteenth and seveness teenth centuries, the desire of persecuting their opponent burnt as hotly among them, as it did among any of Catholics even in the worst days of the papal domining This is a mere matter of fact, of which any one utisfy himself, by consulting the original documents of these times. And even now, there is more superstition, more bigotry, and less of the charity of real religion, among the lower order of Scotch Protestants, than there is among the lower order of French Catholics. Yet for one intolerant

les grands seigneurs e'étoient éloignés des églises, c'étoient les ministres qui étoient devenus les chefs, les représentans et les démagogues des huguenotes et le apportoient dans leurs délibérations cette àpreté et cette inflexibilité théologiques qui semblent caractériser les prêtrès de toutes les religions, ét qui donnent à leurs haines une ameriume plus offensante.' Sismouri, Hist. des Français, vol. xxii. p. 37. Compare p. 478. In 1621, 'Echan lui-même voyait continuellement ses opérations contrariées pas le conseil-général des églises 'Lavallés, Mist. des Français, vol. li. p. 88. In the same year, M. Capefique (Richelieu, vol. i. p. 271) says. 'Le parti modéré cessa d'avoir action sur le prêche; la direction des forces huguenotes était passée dans les mains des ardents, conduits par les ministres.'

passage in Protestant theology, it would be easy to point out twenty in Catholic theology. The truth, however, is, that the actions of men are governed, not by dogmas, and text-books, and rubrics, but by the opinions and habits of "their contemporaries, by the general spirit of their age, and by the character of those classes who are in the ascendant. This seems to be the origin of that difference between religious theory and religious practice, of which theologians greatly complain as a stumbling-block and an evil. For, religious theories being preserved in books, in a doctrinal and dogmatic form, remain a perpetual witness, and, therefore, cannot be changed without incurring the obvious charge of inconsistency, or of heresy. But the practical part of every religion, its moral, political, and social workings, embrace such an immense variety of interests, and have to do with such complicated and shifting agencies. that it is hopeless to fix them by formularies: they, even in the most rigid systems, are left, in a great measure, to private discretion; and, being almost entirely unwritten, they lack those precautions by which the permanence of dermas is effectually secured. 134 Hence it is, that while the religious doctrines professed by a people in their national creed are region of their civilization, their religious practice is, the other hand, so pliant and so

¹²⁴ The church of Rome has always seen this, and on that account has been, and still is, very pliant in regard to morals, and very inflexible in regard to dogmas; a striking proof of the great sagacity with which her affairs are administered. In BLANCO WHITE'S Kridence against Catholicism, p. 48, and in Parr's Works, vol. vii. pp. 454, 455, there is an unfavourable and, indeed, an unjust notice of this poculiarity, which, though strongly marked in the Romish church, as by no means confined to it, but is found in every religious sect which is regularly organized. Locke, in his Letters on Toleration, observes, that the clergy are naturally more eager against error than against vice (Warks, vol. v. pp. 6, L, 241); and their preference of dogmas to moral truths is also mentioned by M. C. Comts, Traite of Legislat. vol. i p. 245; and is alluded to by Kant in his comparison of 'ein moralischer Katechismus' with a 'Religionskatechismus.' Die Meta-physik der Stien (Ethische Methodestehre), in Kany's Berke, vol. v. p. 321. Compare TEMPLE'S Observations upon the United Provinces, in Works of Sir W. TEMPLE, vol. i p. 154, with the strict adhesion to formularies noticed in WARD's Ideal Church, p. 358; and analogous cases in Mill's Hest, of India, vol. i. pp. 399, 400, and in Wilkingon's Ancient Egyptians, vol. iii. p. 87, also Coman's Notes on the United States, vol. ili pp. 256, 257,

capable of adaptation to social wants, that it forms one of the best standards by which the spirit of any age can be measured.

It is on account of these things, that we ought not to be surprised that, during many years, the French Protestants, who affected to appeal to the right of private judgment, were more intolerant of the exercise of that judgment by their adversaries than were the Catholics; although the Catholics, by recognizing an infallible church, ought, in consistency, to be superstitious, and may be said to inherit intolerance as their natural birthright. 135 Thus, while the Catholics were theoretically more bigoted than the Protestants 5 the Protestants became practically more bigoted than the Catholics. The Protestants continued to insist upon that right of private judgment in religion, which the Catholics continued to deny. Yet, such was the force of circumstances, that each sect, in its practice, contradicted its own dogma, and acted as if it had embraced the dogma of its opponents. The cause of this change was very simple. Among the French, the theological spririt, as we have already seen, was decaying; and the decline of the influence of the clergy was, as invariably happens, accompanied by an increase of toleration. But, among the French Protestants, this partial among the theological spirit had produced different consequences; because it had brought about a change of leaders, which threw the command into the hands of the clergy, and, by increasing their power, provoked a reaction, and revived those very feelings to the decay of which the reaction owed its origin. This seems to explain how it is, that a religion which is not protected by the government usually displays greater energy and greater vitality than one which is so protected. In the progress of society, the theological spirit first declines among the most educated classes; and then it is that the government can step in, as it does in England,

¹³⁶ Blanco White (Evidence against Catholicism, p. vi.) harshly says, 'sinAre Boman Catholics cannot conscientiously be tolerant' But he is certainly mistaken; for the question is one, not of sincerity,' but of consistency. A sincere Roman Catholic, may be, and often is, conscientiously tolerant, a consistent Roman Catholic, never

and, controlling the clergy, make the church a creature of the state; this weakening the ecclesiastical element by tempering it with secular considerations. But, when the state refuses to do this, the reins of power, as they fall from the hands of the upper classes, are seized by the clergy, and there arises a state of things of which the French Protestants in the seventeenth century, and the Irish Catholics in our own time, form the best illustration. In such cases, it will always happen, that the religion which is tolerated by the government, though not fully recognized by it, will the longest retain its vitality; because its priesthood, neglected by the state, must cling the closer to the people, in whom alone is the source of their power. 134 On the other hand, in a religion which is favoured and richly endowed by the state, the union between the priesthood and inferior laity will be less intimate; the clergy will look to the government as well as to the people; and the indifference of political views, of considerations of temporal expediency, and it it may be added without irreverence, the hopes of promotion, will secularize the ecclesiastical spirit, 127 and, according to the process I have already traced, will thus hasten the march of toleration.

These generalizations, which account for a great part of the present superstition of the Ifish Catholics, will also account for the former superstition of the French Protestants. In both cases, the government, disdaining the supervision of an heretical religion, allowed supreme authority

¹²⁶ We also see this very clearly in England, where the dissenting clergy have much more influence among their hearers than the clergy of the Establishment have among theirs. This has often been noticed by impartial observers, and we are now possessed of statistical proof that 'the great body of Protestant dissenters are more assiduous' in attending religious worship than churchmen are. See a valuable essay by Mr. Mann On the Statistical Position of Religious Bodies in England and Wales in Journal of Statist. Soc. vol. xviii, p. 152.

¹³⁷ Respecting the working of this in England, there are some shrewd remarks made by Le Blanc in his Lettres d'un Français, vol. 1 pp. 267, 268, which may be compared with Lord HOLLAND's Mem. of the Whig Party, vol. it. p. 253, where it is suggested, that in the case of complete emancipation of the Catholics, 'eligibility to workly honours and profits would somewhat abate the fever of religious seal.' On this, there are observations worth attending to in Lord Ocompany's Recoilections, Dublin, 1849, pp. 342, 343.

to fall into the hands of the priesthood, who stimulated the bigotry of men, and encouraged them in a hatred of their opponents. What the results of this are in Ireland, is best known to those of our statesmen, who, with unusual candour, have declared Ireland to be their greatest difficulty. What the results were in France, we will now endeavour to ascertain.

The conciliating spirit of the French government having drawn over to its side some of the most eminent of the French Protestants, and having disarmed the hostility of others," the leadership of the party fell, as we have already seen, into the hands of those inferior men, who displayed in their new position the intolerance characteristic of their Without pretending to write a history of the odious feuds that now arose. I will lay before the reader some evidence of their increasing bitterness; and I will point out a few of the steps by which the angry feelings of religious controversy became so inflamed, that at length they kindled a civil war, which nothing but the improved temper of the Catholics prevented from being as sanguinary as were the horrible struggles of the sixteenth century For, when the French Protestants became governed by men whose professional habits made them consider heresy to be the greatest of crimes, there naturally sprung up a missionary and proselytizing spirit, which induced them to interfere with the religion of the Catholics, and, under the old pretence of turning them from the error of their ways, revived those animosisies which the progress of knowledge tended to appease." And as, under such guidance. these feelings quickly increased, the Protestants soon learnt to despise that great Edict of Nantes, by which their liberties were secured; and they embarked in a dangerous contest, in which their object was, not to protect their own religion, but to weaken the religion of that very party to whom they owed a toleration, which had been reluctantly conceded by the prejudices of the age.

It was stipulated, in the Edict of Nantes, that the Protestants should enjoy the full exercise of their religion; and this right they continued to possess until the reign of Louis XIV. To this there were added several other pri-

wileges, such as no Catholic Government, except that of krance, would then have granted to its heretical subjects. But these things did not satisfy the desires of the Protestant clergy. They were not content to exercise their own religion, unless they could also trouble the religion of others. Their first step was, to call upon the government to limit the performance of those rights which the French Catholics had long revered as embleus of the national faith. For this purpose, directly after the death of Henry IV? they held a great assembly at Saumur, in which they formally demanded that no Catholic processions should be allowed in any town, place, or castle occupied by the Protestants. 138 As the government did not seem inclined to countenance this monstrous pretension, these intolerant sectaries took the law into their own hands. They not only attacked the Catholic processions wherever they met them, but they subjected the priests to personal insults, and even endeayoured to prevent them from administering the sacrament to the sick. If a Catholic clergyman was engaged in burying the dead, the Protestants were sure to be present, interputing the funeral, turning the ceremonies into ridicule, and attempting, by their clamour, to deaden the voice of the minister, so that the service performed in the church should not be heard. 139 Nor did they always confine themselves even to such demonstrations as these. For, certain towns having been, perhaps imprudently, placed under their control, they exercised their authority in them with the most wanton insolence. At La Rochelle, which

138 (Les processions catholiques seraient interdites dans toutes les places, villes et châteaux occupés par ceux de la religion.' Gapa-rioun's Richelieu, vol. i. p. 39.

¹³⁹ Of these facts we have the most unequivocal proof, for they were not only stated by the Catholice in 1633, but they are recorded, without being denied, by the Protestant historian Beneist: On y accusoit les Béformes d'injurier les prêtres, quand ils les voyoient passer; d'empêcher les processions des Catholiques; l'administration des eacremens aux majades; l'interrement des morts avec les cérémonies accoutumées; . . . que les Réformes s'étoient empares des cloches en quelques lieux, et en d'autres se servoient de celles des Catholiques pour avertir de l'heure du prêche, qu'ils affectoient de faire du bruit autour des églises pendant le service, qu'ils tournoient en décision les cérémonies de l'église romaine. Bayorst, Hist. de l'Edit de Mutes, vol. ii. pp. 433, 434; see also pp. 149, 150.

for importance was the second city in the kingdom, they! would not permit the Catholics to have seven a single church in which to celebrate what for centuries had been the sole religion of France, and was still the religion of an enormous majority of Frenchmen. 140 This, however, only formed part of a system by which the Protestant clergy hoped to trample on the rights of their fellow-subjects. In 1619, they ordered in their general assembly at Loudun. That in none of the Protestant towns should there be a sermon preached by a Jesuit, or indeed by any ecclesiastical person commissioned by a bishop. 141 In another assembly, they forbade any Protestant even to be present at a baptism, or at a marriage, or at a funeral, if the ceremony was performed by a Catholic priest. 142 And, as if to cut off all hope of reconciliation, they not only vehemently opposed those intermarriages between the two parties, by which, in every Christian country, religious animosities have been softened, but they publicly declared, that they would withhold the sacrament from any parents whose children were married into a Catholic family. 143 Not, however, to accumulate unnecessary evidence, there is one other circumstance worth relating, as a proof of the spirit with which these and similar regulations were enforced. When Louis XIII., in 1620, visited Pau, he was not only treated with indignity, as being an heretical prince, but he found that the Protestants had not left him a single church, not one place, in which the king of France, in his own territory, could perform those devotions which he believed necessary for his future salvation, 146

^{140 &#}x27;On pouvait dire que La Rochelle était la capitalé, la saint temple du calvinisme; car on ne voyait là aucune église, aucune cérémonte papiste. Capariour's Richetieu, vol. i. p. 342

¹⁴¹ Mém: de Richelieus, vol. ii. p. 100. For other and similar evidence, see DUPLESSES MORNAY, Mémoires, vol. xi. p. 244; SULLY, Economies Royales, vol. vii. p. 164; BENOIST, Hist. de l'Edit de Nantes, vol. ii. pp. 79, 233, 27%.

¹⁴² Quick's Synodicon in Gallia, vol. ii. p. 196.

¹⁴³ Fox a striking instance of the actual enforcement of this intolerant regulation, see Quick's Synodicen in Gallia, vol. ii. p. 344.

¹⁶ BASIR, Hin. de Louis XIII. vol. ii. p. 124; Mem. de Bichelieu, vol. ii. pp. 109, 116; FELICE'S Hist. of the Protestants of France, p. 238.

This was the way in which the French Protestants, influenced by their new leaders, treated the first Catholic government which abstained from persecuting them: the first, which not only allowed them the free exercise of their religion, but even advanced many of them to offices of trust and of honour. 143 All this, however, was only of a piece with the rest of their conduct. They, who in numbers and in intellect formed a miserable minority of the French nation, claimed a power which the mojority had abandoned, and refused to concede to others the toleration they themselves enjoyed. Several persons who had joined their party now quitted it, and returned to the Catholic church; but for exercising this undoubted right, they were insulted by the Protestant clergy in the grosses manner, with every term of opprobrium and abuse. 146 For those who resisted their authority, no trestment was considered too severe. In 1612, Ferrier, a man of some reputation in his own day, having disobeved their injunctions, was ordered to appear before one of their synods. The gist of his offence was, that he had spoken contemptuously of ecclesiastical assemblies; and to this there were, of course, added those accusations against his moral conduct, with which theologians often attempt to blacken the character of their opponents. 147 Readers of ecclesiastical history are too familiar with such charges to attach any importance to them; but as, in this case, the accused was tried by men who were at once his prosecutors, his enemics, and his judges, the result was easy to anticipate. In 1613 Ferrier was excommunicated, and the excommunication was publicly proclaimed in the church of Nimes. In this sentence, which is still extant, he is declared by

²⁴⁵ In 1625, Howell writes that the Protestants had put up an inscription on the gates of Montauban, 'Roy sans foy, ville sans peur.' Howell's Letters, p. 178.

¹⁴⁶ Sometimes they were called dogs returning to the vomit of popery, sometimes they were swin wallowing in the mire of idelatry.

OUICE'S Superiora is Gallia, vol. i, no. 385, 398.

QUICK'S Synodicon in Gallia, vol. i. pp. 385, 398.

147 It is observable, that on the first occasion (QUICK's Synodicon, vol 1. p. 362) nothing is said of Ferrier's immorality; and on the next occasion (p. 449) the synod complains, among other things, that the hath most licenticusly invelghed against, and satirically lamphoned, the occlesiastical assemblies.

the clergy to be 'a scandalous man, a person incorrigible, impenitent, and ungovernable.' We, therefore, they add, 'in the name and power of our Lord Jesus Christ, by the conduct of the Holy Ghost, and with authority from the church, have cast, and do now cast and throw him.out of the society of the faithful, that he may be delivered up unto Satan.' 148

That he may be delivered up unto Satan! This was the penalty which a handful of clergymen, in a corner of France, thought they could inflict on a man who dared to despise their authority. In our time such an anathema would only excite derision; 149 but, early in the seventeenth century, the open promulgation of it was enough to ruin any private person against whom it might be directed. And they whose studies have enabled them to take the measure of the ecclesiastical spirit will easily believe that, in that age, the threat did not remain a dead letter. The people, inflamed by their clergy, rose against Ferrier, attacked his family, destroyed his property, sacked and gutted his houses, and demanded with loud cries, that the 'traitor Judas' should be given up to them. The unhappy man with the greatest difficulty effected his escape; but though he saved his life by flying in the dead of the night, he was obliged to abandon for ever his native town, as he dared not return to a place where he had provoked so active and so implacable a party. 150

¹⁴⁸ See this frightful and improus document, in Quick's Synodicon, vol. i. pp. 448-450.

The notion of theologians respecting excommunication may be seen in Mr. Palmer's entertaining book, Treatiss on the Church, vol. i. pp. 64-67, vol. ii. pp. 299, 800; but the opinions of this engaging writer should be contrasted with the indignant language of Vattel, Le Droit des tiens, vol. i. pp. 177, 178. In England, the terrors of excommunication fell into contempt towards the end of the seventeenth century. See Life of Archbishop Sharpe, edited by Newcome, vol. i. p. 216: compare p. 363; and see the mournful remarks of Dr. Monkada in his Eccles. Hist. vol. ii. p. 79; and Sir Philip Warwick's 178, pp. 175, 176.

iso On the treatment of Ferrier, which excited great attention as indicating the extreme lengths to which the Protestants were prepared to go, see Mém. de Richeiteu, vol. i. p. 177; Mem. de Pontchartrain, vol. i pp. 5, 6, 12, 29, 32; Mém. de Duplessis Mornay, vol. xii. p. 317, 333. 341, 350, 389, 399, 480; FELICE'S Hist. of the Protestants of France, p. 237; Biog. Unic., vol. xiv p. 140, TALLEMANT DES RÉAUX, Historiettes.

Into other matters, and even into those connected with the ordinary functions of government, the Protestants carried the same spirit. Although they formed so small a section of the people, they attempted to control the administration of the crown, and, by the use of threats, turn all its acts to their own favour. They would not allow the state to determine what ecclesiastical councils it should recognize; they would not even permit the king to choose his own wife. In 1615, without the least pretence of complaint, they assembled in large numbers at Grenoble and at Nimes. 134 The deputies of Grenoble insisted that government should refuse to acknowledge the Council of Trent: 152 and both assemblies ordered that the Protestants should prevent the marriage of Louis XIII. with a Spanish princess. 158 They laid similar claims to interfere with the disposal of civil and military offices. Shortly after the death of Henry IV. they, in an assembly at Saumur, insisted that Sully should be restored to some posts from which, in their opinion, he had been unjustly removed. 164 In 1619, another of their assemblies at Loudun declared, that as one of the Protestant councillors of the parliament of Paris had become a Catholic, he must be dismissed; and they demanded that, for the same reason, the government of Lectoure should be taken from Fontrailles, he also having adopted the not infrequent example of abandoning his sect in order to adopt a creed sanctioned by the state. 155

vol. v. pp. 48-54. Mr Smedley, who refers to none of these authorities, except two passages in Duplessis, has given a garbled account of this riot. See his History of the Reformed Religion in France, vol. iii. pp. 119, 120.

⁽b) CAPEFIGUE'S Richelieu, vol. i. p. 123.

¹⁸² CERRITOUR, vol. i. p. 123, Barin, Hist. de Louis XIII., vol. i. p. 364; Brauter, Hist. de l'Edit de Nantes, vol. ii. p. 183; Mém. de Rohan, vol. l. p. 130.

is Caperigue's Richelieu, vol. i. p. 124; Mém. de Pontohagtrain, vol. ii. p 100; Lie Vassos, Hist. de Louis XIII, vol. ii. pp. 338, 334. The consequence was, that the king was obliged to send a powerful escort to protect he bride against his Protestant subjects. Mém, de Richelieu, vol. i. p. 274.

¹⁶¹ CAPEFIGUE'S Richelleu, vol. i. p. 38; BENOIST, Hist. do l'Edst de Nantes, vol. ii. pp. 28, 29, 63.

vol. ii. p 161. See a similar instance, in the case of Berger, in Ba-

By way of aiding all this, and with the view of exasperating still further religious animosities, the principal Protestant clergy put forth a series of works, which, for bitterness of feeling, have hardly ever been equalled, and which it would certainly be impossible to surpassion. The intense hatred with which they regarded their Catholic countrymen, can only be fully estimated by those who have looked into the pamphlets written by the French Protestants during the first half of the seventeenth century, or who have read the laboured and formal treatises of such men as Chamier, Drelincourt, Moulin, Thomson. and Vignier. Without, however, pausing on these, it will perhaps be thought sufficient if, for the sake of brevity, I follow the mere outline of political events. Great numbers of the Protestants had joined in the rebellion which, in 1615, was raised by Condé; 156 and, although they were then easily defeated, they seemed bent on trying the issueof a fresh struggle. In Béarn, where they were unusually numerous, 157 they, even during the reign of Henry IV., had refused to tolerate the Catholic religion; 'their fanatical clergy,' says the historian of France, 'declaring that it would be a crime to permit the idolatry of the mass." 198 This charitable maxim they for many years actively enforced, seizing the property of the Catholic clergy, and employing it in support of their own churches; 169 so that,

NOIST, Hist de l'Edit de Auster, voi in p 136, whom the Protestants sought to deprive because 'il avoit quitté leur religion.'

BAZIS, Hist. de Louis XIII, vol. 1. p. 381 Sismondi (Hist. der Français, vol. xxii. p. 349) says that they had no good reason for this, and it is certain that their privileges, so far from being diminished since the Ediot of Nantes, had been contirmed and extended.

¹²⁷ M. Felice (Hiet. of the Protestants of France, p. 237) says of Lower Navarre and Béarn, in 1617. 'Three-fourths of the populations, some say nine-tenths, belonged to the reformed communion.' This is perhaps overestimated; but we know, from De Thou, that they formed a majority in Béarn in 1506: 'Les Protestants y fassent en plus grand nombre que les Catholiques.' De Thou, Hiet. Univ. vol. v. p. 187.

^{136 &#}x27;Les ministres fanatiques déclaroient qu'ils ne pouvaient sans crime souffrir dans ce pays régénéré l'idolatrie de la messe.' Sismondi, Hist. des Français, vol. xxii. p. 415.

while in one part of the dominions of the king of France the Protestants were allowed to exercise their religion, they, in another part of his dominions, prevented the Cathelics from exercising theirs. It was hardly to be expected that any government would suffer such an anomaly as this; and, in 1618, it was ordered that the Protestants should restore the plunder, and reinstate the Catholics in their former possessions. But the reformed clergy, alarmed at so sacrilegious a proposal, appointed a public fast, and inspiriting the people to resistance, forced the royal commissioner to fly from Pau, where he had arrived in the hope of effecting a peaceful adjustment of the claims of the rival parties. 160

The rebellion thus raised by the zeal of the Protestants was soon put down; but, according to the confession of Rohan, one of the ablest of their leaders, it was the beginning of all their misfortunes. 161 The sword had now been drawn; and the only question to be decided was, whether France should be governed according to the principles of toleration recently established, or according to the maxims of a despotic sect, which, while professing to advocate the right of private judgment, was acting in a way that rendered all private judgment impossible.

Scarcely was the war in Béarn brought to an end, when the Protestants determined on making a great effort in the west of France. 182 The seat of this new struggle was Rochelle, which was one of the strongest fortresses in Europe, and was entirely in the hands of the Protestants, 183

BUCKLE. II.

¹⁴⁰ Bazin, Eist. de France sous Louis XIII, vol. ii. pp. 62-64. The pith of the question was, that 'l'édit de Nantes ayant donné pouvoir, tant aux cathofiques qu'sux huguenots, de rentrer partout dans leurs biens, les ecclésiastiques de Béarn démandèrent aussytost les leurs.' Mém. de Fontenay Mareuil, vol. i. p. 392.

^{161 &#}x27;L'affaire de Béarn, source de tous nos maux.' Mém., de Rokan, vol. i. p. 136; see also p. 183. And the Protestant Le Vassor says (Hist. de Louis XIII, vol. iii. p. 634): 'L'affaire du Béarn et l'assemblée qui se gonvoqua ensuite à La®Rochelle, sont la source véritable des malheurs des églises réformées de France sous le règne dont j'écris l'histoire.'

¹⁰⁰ On the connexion between the proceedings of Béarn and those of Rochelle, compare Mém. de Montglat, vol. i. p. 33, with Mém. de River, vol. ii. p. 113, and Mém. de Rokan, vol. i. p. 446.

who had grown wealthy, partly by their own industry, and partly by following the occupation of public pirates. 164 In this city, which they believed to be impregnable, 165 they, in December 1620, held a Great Assembly, to which their spiritual chiefs flocked from all parts of France. It was soon evident that their party was now governed by men who were bent on the most violent measures. Their great secular leaders were, as we have already seen, gradually falling off; and, by this time, there only remained two of much ability, Rohan and Mornay, both of whom saw the inexpediency of their proceedings, and desired that the assembly should peaceably separate. 166 But the authority of the clergy was irresistible; and, by their prayers and exhortations, they easily gained over the ordinary citizens, who were then a gross and uneducated body. 167 Under their influence, the assembly adopted a course which ren-

in France, vol. 1 p. 360); but, by the reign of Charles IX, the majority of the inhabitants were Protestants. See Dr Thou, Hist, Univ. vol. IV. p. 263, vol. v. p. 379, ad ann. 1562 and 1567.

16 Or, as M. Capefigne courteously puts it, 'les Rochelofs ne respectaient pas toujours les pavillons amis' Caperioue's Richelieu, vol. 1. p. 332. A delicate circumlocution, unknown to Mezeray, who says (Hist. de France, vol. iii. p. 426) in 1587, 'et les Rochelois, qui

par le moyen du commerce et de la piraterie, &c.

165 'Ceste place, que les huguenots tenoient quasy pour imprenable' Mem. de Fontenny Mareul, vol i p. 512. 'Cette orgueilleuse cité, qui se croyott imprenable' Mem. de Montglat, vol. i p. 45. Howell, who visited Rochelle in 1620 and 1622, was greatly struck by its strength: Howell's Letters, pp. 46, 47, 108. At p. 204, he calls it, in his barrbarous style, 'the chiefest propugnacle of the Protestants there.' For a description of the defence of Rochelle, see Dr. Thou. Hist. Juin. vol. vi pp. 615—617; and some details worth gonsulting in Mariana, Hist. de France, vol. ii. pp. 977—980.

166 Bazin, Hist de Louis XIII, vol. 11. p. 139; Sismondi, Hist, Tes Français, vol. xxii. pp. 480, 481. Rohan himself says (Mem. 301, 1. p. 446): 'je m'efforçai de la séparer.' In a remarkable letter, which Mornay wrote ten years before this, he shows his apprehensis of the evil-that would result from the increasing violence of Bis party; and he advises que nostre sale soit tempéré de prudence.' Mem. et Correspond, vol. xi. p. 122; and as to the divisions this caused among the Protestants, see pp. 134, 510, vol. xij. pp. 82, 255; and Sully, Ecoho-

mies Royales, vol. ix. pp. 350, 435.

first à Les seigneurs du parti, et surtout le sage Duplessis Mornay, firent ce qu'ils pureut pour engager les réformés à ne pas provoquer l'autorité royale pour des causse qui ne pouvoient justifier une guerre le parti avoit passé presque absolument aux bourgeois des villes et aux ministres qui se livroient aveuglément à leur fanatisme et à leur orgeuil, et qui étoient d'autant plus ap-

dered civil war inevitable. Their first act was an edic, by which they at once confiscated all the property belonging to Catholic churches. 168 They then caused a great seal to be struck; under the authority of which they ordered that the people should be armed, and taxes collected from them for the purpose of defending their religion. 160 Finally, they drew up the regulations, and organized the establishment of what they called the Reformed Churches of France and of Béarn; and, with a view to facilitate the exercise of their spiritual jurisdiction, they parcelled out France into eight circles, to each of which there was allotted a separate general; who, however, was to be accompanied by a clergyman, since the administration, in all its parts, was held responsible to that ecclesiastical assembly which called it into existence. 170

Such were the forms and pomp of authority assumed by the spiritual leaders of the French Protestants; men by nature destined to obscurity, and whose abilities were so despicable, that, notwithstanding their temporary importance, they have left no name in history. These insignificant priests, who, at the best, were only fit to mount the pulpit of a country village, now arrogated to themselves the right of ordering the affairs of France, imposing taxes upon Frenchmen, confiscating property, raising troops, levying war; and all this for the sake of prepagating a creed, which was scouted by the country at large as a foul and machievous heresy.

plaudis, qu'ils montroient plus de violence.' Sismondi, Hirt. des Franais, vol. xxii. p. 478.

^{168 &#}x27;On configua les biens des églises catholiques.' LAVALLÉE, Hist. des Françaisques, in. p. 85; and see Caperroue's Richetieu, vol. 1. p. 258.

^{*}Ils donnent des commissions d'armer et de faire des impositions sur le peuple, et ce sous leur grand sceau, qui étoit une Religion appuyée sur une croix, ayant en la main un livre de l'évangile, foulant aux pieds un vieux squelette, qu'es discient être l'église romaine. ** Mem. de Richélieu, vol. n. p. 120. M. Capefigue (Richélieu, vol. 1. 259) says that this seal still exists; but it is not even alluded to be late writer (Felice, Hist. of the Protestants of France, p. 340), was systematically suppresses every fact unfavourable to his owner of the protestants of the public that the protestants of the protestant

systematically suppresses every fact unfavourable to his own partys.

10 LE VASSOR, Hist. de Louis XIII, vol. iv. p. 157; Batrs, Hist.
de Louis XIII, vol. in. p. 145; BEROIST, Hist. de l'Edit de Nantes, vol.
11 pp. 353-355, CAPERIOC'S Richelieu, vol. i p. 258

In the face of these inordinate pretensions, it was evident that the French government had no choice, except to abdicate its functions, or else take arms in its own defence. 171 Whatever may be the popular notion respecting the necessary intolerance of the Catholics, it is an indisputable fact, that, early in the seventeenth century, they displayed in France a spirit of forbearance, and a Christian charity, to which the Protestants could make no pretence. During the twenty-two years which clapsed between the Edict of Nantes and the Assembly of Rochelle. the government, notwithstanding repeated provocations, never attacked the Protestants; 172 nor did they make any attempt to destroy the privileges of a sect which they were bound to consider heretical, and the extirpation of which had been deemed by their fathers to be one of the first duties of a Christian statesman.

The war that now broke out lasted seven years, and was uninterrupted, except by the short peace, first of Montpelier, and afterwards of Rochelle; neither of which, however, was very strictly preserved. But the difference in the views and intentions of the two parties, corresponded to the difference between the classes which governed them. The Protestants, being influenced mainly by the clergy, made their object religious domination. The Catholics, being led by statesmen, aimed at temporal advantages. Thus it was, that circumstances had, in France, so completely obliterated the original tendency of these two great sects, that, by a singular metamorphosis, the secular principle was now represented by the Catholics, and the theological principle by the Protestants. The authority of the clergy, and therefore the interests of superstition, were upheld by that very party which owed its origin to the diminution of both; they were, on the other hand, attacked by a party whose success had hitherto depended on the increase of both. If the Catholics tri-

112 Compare Mem. de Fontenay Mareuil, vol. il. p. 88, with PLASSAN,

Hist, de la Diplomatre Française, vol. ii. p. ?51.

¹⁷² Even Mosheim, who, as a Protestant, was naturally prejudiced in favour of the Huguenots, says, that they had established, 'imperium in impario;' and he ascribes to the violence of their rulers the work of 1821. Mosanzur's Eccles. Hist. vol. ii. pp. 237, 238.

umphed, the ecclesiastical power would be weakened; the Protestants triumphed, it would be strengthened. Of this fact, so far as the Protestants are concerned. I have just given ample proof, collected from their proceedings, and from the language of their own synods. And that the opposite, or secular principle, predominated among the Catholics, is evident, not only from their undeviating policy in the reigns of Henry IV. and Louis XIII., but also from another circumstance worthy of note. For, their motives were so obvious, and gave such scandal to the church, that the pope, as the great protector of religion, thought himself bound to reprehend that disregard of theological interests which they displayed, and which he considered to be a crying and unpardonable offence. In 1622. only one year after the struggle between the Protestants and Catholics had begun, he strongly remonstrated with the French government upon the notorious indecency of which they were guilty, in carrying on war against heretics, not for the purpose of suppressing the heresy, but merely with a view of procuring for the state those temporal advantages which, in the opinion of all pious men, ought to be regarded as of subordinate importance. 178

If, at this juncture, the Protestants had carried the day, the loss to France would have been immense, perhaps irreparable. For no one, who is acquainted with the temper and character of the French Calvinists, can doubt, that if they had obtained possession of the government, they would have revived those religious persecutions which, so far as their power extended, they had already attempted to enforce. Not only in their writings, but even in the edicts of their assemblies, we find ample proof of that

¹⁷³ See the paper of instructions from Pope Gregory XV. in the appendix to RANKE, Die Röm. Päpete, vol. iii. pp. 173,174 Die Hauptsache abez ist was er dem Könige von Frankreich vorstatien soll: 1, dass er ja nicht den Verdacht auf sich laden werde als verfolge er die Protestanten bloss aus Staatsinteresse. Baxin (dist. de Louis AIII, vol. ii. p. 329) says, that Richelien attacked the Huguenos aus staats aucune idée de persécution religieuse. See, to the same affact, Corperiour's Richelieu, vol. i. p. 274; and the candid admissions aucune in the Vassor, in his Hist. de Louis XIII, vol. v. p. 11.

meddling and intolerant spirit which, in every age, has characterized ecclesiastical legislation. Indeed, such a spirit is the legitimate consequence of the fundamental assumption from which theological lawgivers usually start. The clergy are tanget to consider that their paramount, duty is to preserve the purity of the faith, and guard it against the invasions of heresy. Whenever, therefore, they rise to power, it almost invariably happens, that they carry into politics the habits they have contracted in their profession; and having long been accustomed to consider religious error as criminal, they now naturally attempt to make it penal. And as all the European countries have, in the period of their ignorance, been once ruled by the clergy, just so do we find in the law-books of every land those traces of their power which the progress of knowledge is gradually effacing. We find the professors of the dominant creed enacting laws against the professors of other creeds, laws sometimes to burn them, sometimes to exile them, sometimes to take away their civil rights, sometimes only to take away their political rights. These are the different gradations through which persecution passes, and by observing which, we may measure, in any country, the energy of the ecclesiastical spirit. At the same time, the theory by which such measures are supported, generally gives rise to other measures of a somewhat different, though of an analogous character. For, by extending the authority of law to opinions as well as to acts, the basis of legislation becomes dangerously enlarged; the individuality and independence of each man are invaded; and encouragement is given to the enactment of intrusive and vexations regulations, which are supposed to perform for morals the service that the other class of laws performs for religion. Under pretence of favouring the practice of virtue, and maintaining the purity of society, men are troubled in their most ordinary pursuits, in the commonest occurrences of life, in their amusements, nay, even in the very dress they may be inclined to wear. That this is what has actually been done, must be known to whoever has looked into the writings of the fathers, into the canons of Christian councils, into the different systems

of ecclesiastical law, or into the sermons of the earlier clergy. Indeed, all this is so natural, that regulations conceived in the same spirit were drawn up for the government of Geneva by the Calvinist clergy, and for the · government of England by Archbishop Cranmer and his coadjutors; while a tendency, precisely identical, may be observed in the legislation of the Puritans, and to give a still later instance, in that of the Methodists. It is therefore not surprising that, in France, the Protestant clergy. having great power among their own party, should enforce a similar discipline. Thus, to mention only a few champles, they forbade any one to go to the theatre, or even to witness the performance of private theatricals. 174 They looked upon dancing as an ungodly amusement, and, therefore, they not only strictly prohibited it, but they ordered that all dancing-masters should be admonished by the spiritual power, and desired to abandon so unchristian a profession. If, however, the admonition failed in effecting its purpose, the dancing-masters, thus remaining obdurate, were to be excommunicated. 175 With the same pious care did the clergy superintend other matters equally important. In one of their synods, they ordered that all persons should abstain from wearing gay apparel, and should arrange their hair with becoming modesty. 176 In another synod. they forbade women to paint; and they declared, that if, after this injunction, any woman persisted in painting, she should not be allowed to receive the sacrament. 177 To their own clergy, as the instructors and shepherds of the flock, there was paid an attention still more scrupulous. The ministers of the word were permitted to teach Hebrew, because Hebrew is a sacred dialect, uncontaminated by profane writers. But the Greek language, which contains all the philosophy and nearly all the wisdom of antiquity, was to be discouraged, its study laid aside, its professorship suppressed. 178 And, in order that the mind

¹⁷⁴ Quick's Synodicon in Gallia, vol. i. p. 62. 175 Ibid. vol. i. pp. lvii. 17, 131, vol. ii. p. 174.

^{116 &#}x27;And both sexes are required to keep modesty in their hair, to loid, vol. i. p. 119.

¹¹⁷ Quick's Synodicon. vol. i. p. 185.

The synod of Alex, in 1620, says, 'A minister may at the same

might not be distracted from spiritual things, the study of chemistry was likewise forbidden; such a mere earthly pursuit being incompatible with the habits of the sacred profession. 179 Lest, however, in spite of these precautions. knowledge should still creep in among the Protectants. other measures were taken to prevent even its earliest approach. The clergy, entirely forgetting that right of private judgment upon which their sect was founded, became so anxious to protect the unwary from error, that they forbade any person to print or publish a work without the sanction of the church, in other words, without the sanction of the clergy themselves. 180 When, by these means, they had destroyed the possibility of free inquiry, and, so far as they were able, had put a stop to the acquisition of all real knowledge, they proceeded to guard against another circumstance to which their measures had given rise. For, several of the Protestants, seeing that under such a system, it was impossible ducate their families with advantage, sent their childin, to some af those celebrated Catholic colleges, where slone a sou education could then be obtained. But the ergy, so sode as they heard of this practice, put an end to it, by excommunicating the offending parents; 181 and to this there was added an order forbidding them to admit into their own private houses any tutor who professed the Catholic religion. 182 Such was the way in which the French Protestants were watched over and protected by their spiritual masters. Even the minutest matters were not beneath

time be professor in distinity and of the Hebrew tongue. But it is not seemly for him to profess the Greek also, because the most of his employment will be taken up in the expection of Pagan and profane authors, unless he be discharged from the ministry. Quick's Synodicon, vol. ii. p. 57. Three years later, the synod of Charenton suppressed altogether the Greek professorships, as being superfluous and of small profit. Ibid., yol. ii. p. 115.

179 The synod of St. Maixant, in 1609, orders that colloquies and

The symod of St. Maixant, in 1609, orders that "colloquies and symods shall have a watchful eye over those ministers evho singly chemistry, and grievously reprove and censure them.! Ibid. vo. 314:

¹⁸⁰ Ibid. Wol. i. pp. 140, 194, vol. ff. p. 110.

Joid, vol. t. pp. 1v. 235, 419, vol. ii. pp. 901, 509, 515. Compene Brangia, Hist. de l'Edit de Nantes, vol. ii. p. 473.
 Joid, vol. ii. p. 81.

the notice of these great legislators. They ordered that no person should go to a ball or masquerade; 163 nor ought any Christian to look at the tricks of conjurors, or at the famous game of goblets, or at the puppet-show; neither was hato be present at morris-dances; for all such amusements should be suppressed by the magistrates, because they excite curiosity, cause expense, waste time. 184 Another thing to be attended to, is the names that are bestowed in baptism. A child may have two christian names, though one is preferable. 166 Great care, however, is to be observed in their selection. They ought to be taken from the Bible, but they ought not to be Baptist or Angel; neither should any infant receive a name which has been formerly used by the Pagans. 186 When the children are grown oup, there are other regulations to which they must be subject. The clergy declared that the faithful must by no means let their hair grow long, lest by so doing they indulge in the luxury of 'lascivious curls.' 187 They are to make their garments in such a manner as to avoid 'the new-fangled fashions of the world:' they are to have no tassels to their dress: their gloves must be without silk and ribbons: the are to abstain from fardingales: they are to beware of wide sleeves. 188

Those readers who have not studied the history of ecclesiastical legislation, will perhaps be surprised to find, that men of gravity, men who had reached the years of

¹⁸⁸ QUICE'S Synodicon, vol. ii. p. 174.

^{184 &#}x27;All Christian magistrates are advised not in the least to suffer them, because 'it feeds foolish curiosity, puts upon unnecessary expenses, and wastes time.' Ibid. vol. 1. p. 194.

^{.100} This was a very knotty question for the theologians; but it was at length decided in the affirmative by the synod of Saumur: 'On the 13th article of the same chapter, the deputies of Poioton demanded, whether two names might be given a child at baptism? To which it was replied: 'The thing was indifferent; however, parants were advised to observe herein Christian simplicity.' Ibid. vol. i. 178.

¹⁸⁰ Ibid. vol. i. pp. xlvi. 25.
181 I quote the language of the synod of Castree, in 1826. Ibid.

vol. ii. p. 174.

186 'Ibid. vol. i. p. 165, vol ii. pp. 7, 174, 574, 583. In the same way, the Spanish clergy, early in the present century, attempted to the dress of women. See Doblado's Letter from Spain, pp. a. good illustration of the scelesiastical spirit, whether it be attitude or Protessant.

discretion, and were assembled together in solemn council; should evince such a prying and puerile spirit; that they should display such miserable and childish imbecility. But, whoever will take a wider survey of human affairs, will be inclined to blame, not so much the legislators, as the system of which the legislators formed a part. For as to the men themselves, they merely acted after their kind. They only followed the traditions in which they were bred. By virtue of their profession, they had been accustomed to hold certain views, and, when they rose to bower, it was natural that they should carry those views into effect; thus transplanting into the law-book the maxims they had already preached in the pulpit. Whenever, therefore, we read of meddling, inquisitive, and yexatious regulations imposed by ecclesiastical authority, we should remember, that they are but the legitimate result of the ecclesiastical spirit; and that the way to remedy such grievances, or to prevent their occurrence, is not by vainly labouring to change the tendencies of that class from whence they proceed, but rather by confining the class within its proper limits, by jealously guarding against its earliest encroachments. by taking every opportunity of lessening its influence, and finally, when the progress of society will justify so great a step, by depriving it of that political and legislative power which, though gradually falling from its hands; it is, even in the most civilized countries, still allowed in some degree to rain.

But, setting aside these general considerations, it will, at all events, be admitted, that I have collected sufficient evidence to indicate what would have happened to France, if the Protestants had obtained the upper hand. After the facts which I have brought forward, no one can possibly doubt, that if such a misfortune had occurred, the liberal and, considering the age, the enlightened policy of Henry IV. and Louis XIII. would have been destroyed, in order to make way for that gloomy and austene system, which, in every age and in every country, has been found to be the natural fruit of ecclesiastical power. To put, therefore, the question in its proper form, instead of saying that there was a war between hostile creeds, we should

rather say that there was a war between rival classes. It was a contest, not so much between the Catholic religion and the Protestant religion, as between Catholic laymen and Protestant clergy. It was a struggle between temporal interests and theological interests, — between the spirit of the present and the spirit of the past. And the point now at issue was, whether France should be governed by the civil power or by the spiritual power, — whether she should be ruled according to the large views of secular statesmen, or according to the narrow notions of a factious and intolerant priesthood.

The protestants having the great advantage of being the aggressive party, and being, moreover, inflamed by a religious zeal unknown to their opponents, might, under ordinary circumstances, have succeeded in their hazardous attempt; or, at all events, they might have protracted the struggle for an indefinite period. But, fortunately for France, in 1624, only three years after the war began, Richelieu assumed the direction of the government. had for some years been the secret adviser of the queenmother, into whose mind he had always inculcated the necessity of complete toleration. We When placed at the head of affairs, he pursued the same policy, and attempted in every way to conciliate the Protestants. The clerky of his own party were constantly urging him to exterminate the heretics, whose presence they thought polluted France. 190 But Richelieu aving only secular objects, refused to embitter the contest by turning it into a religious war. He was determined to chastise the rebellion, but he would not punish the heresy. Even while the war was raging,

¹⁰⁰ On his influence over her in and after 1616, see Le Vasson, Hist. de Louis XIII, vol. ii. p. 508. Mem. de Pontchartrain; vol. ii. p. 240; Mem. de Montglat, vol. 1. p. 23; and compare, in Mem. de Richelieu, vol. ii. pp. 198-200, the curious arguments which he put in her mouth respecting the impolicy of making war on the Protestants.

¹²⁰ In 1625, the Archbishop of Lyons wrote to Richelies, urging him 'assieger La Rochelle, et chaffer ou pour mieux dire, exterminer les huguenots, toute autre affaire cessante.' Bazin, Hiet, de Louis XIII, vol in p. 276 See also, on the anxiety of the cleany in the reign of Louis XIII to destroy the Protestants, Briotsty Hiet, de. Fedit de Nantes, vol in pp. 155, 165, 232, 245, 338, 378, 379, 227; Emmond Hist. des Français, vol. xxii. p. 485.

he would not revoke those edicts of toleration, by which the full liberty of religious worship was granted to the Protestants. And when they, in 1626, showed signs of compunction, or at all events of fear, he publicly confirmed the Edict of Nantes, 191 and he granted them peace; al though, as he says, he knew that by doing so, he should fall under the suspicion of those 'who so greatly affected the name of zealous Catholics.' 192 A few months afterwards, war again broke out: and then it was that Richelieu detarmined on that celebrated siege of Rochelle, which, if brownt to a successful issue, was sure to be a decisive blow against the French Protestants. That he was moved to this hazardous undertaking solely by secular considerations, is evident, not only from the general spirit of his preceding policy, but also from his subsequent conduct. With the details of this famous siege history is not concerned, as such matters have no value except to military readers. It is enough to say that, in 1628, Rochelle was taken; and the Protestants, who had been induced by their clergy 193 to continue to resist long after relief was hopeless, and who, in consequence, had suffered the most dreadful hardships, were obliged to surrender at discretion. 194 The privileges of the town were revoked, and its magistrates removed; but the great minister by whom these things were effected still abstained from that religious persecution to which he was urged. 195 He granted to the Pro-

¹⁹¹ He confirmed it in March 1626; FLASSAN, Hist, de la Diplomatie Française, vol. if. p. 399; and also in the preceding January. See Benoist, Hist. de l'Edit de Nantes, vol. ii. appendix, pp. 77, 81.

^{12 &#}x27;Čeux qui affectent autant le nom de zélés catholiques.' Mém. de Richelieu. vol. in. p. 16; and at p. 2, he, in the same year (1626), says, that he was opposed by those who had 'un trop ardent et précipité désir de ruiner les huguenots.'

¹⁹² Sismondi, Hist. des Français, vol. muiif. p. 66.

¹⁹⁴ On the sufferings of the inhabitants, see extract from the Dupuis Mas. In Caperiour's Richetieu, vol. i. p. 351. Fontensy Mareuil, who was an eye-witness, says, that the besieged, in some instances, ate their own children; and that the burial-grounds were guarded, to prevent the corpses from being dug up and turned into food. Mem. de Fontessay Mareuit, vol. ii p 119.

^{&#}x27;22 And in which he would most assuredly have been supported by Louis XIII.; of whom an intelligent writer says: 'Il étoit plein de piété et de zèle pour le service de Didn et pour la grandeur de l'église; et sa plus sensible joie, en prenant La Rochelle et les autres

testants the toleration which he had offered at an earlier period, and he formally conceded the free exercise of their public worship. 196 But, such was their infatuation; that because he likewise restored the exercise of the Catholic religion, and thus gave to the conquerors the same liberty that he had granted to the conquered, the Protestants murmured at the indulgence; they could not bear the idea that their eves should be offended by the performance of Popish rites. 187 And their indignation waxed so high, that the next year they, in another part of France, again rose in arms. As, however, they were now stripped of their principal resources, they were easily defeated; and, their existence as a political faction being destroyed, they were, in reference to their religion, treated by Richelieu if the same manner as before. 198 To the Protestants generally he confirmed the privilege of preaching and of performing the other ceremonies of their creed. 199 To their leader, Rohan, he granted an amnesty, and, a few years afterwards, employed him in important public services. After this, the hopes of the party were destroyed: they again rose in arms, nor do we find any mention of them until a much later period, when they were barbarously persecuted by Louis XIV. 200 But from all

places qu'il prit, fut de penser qu'il chasseroit de son royaume les hérétiques, et en il purgeroit par cette voie des différentes religions qui gâtent et infectent l'église ga Dieu.' Mém. de Motteville, vol. 1. p. 425, edis. Petitot, 1834.

100 BARIN, Hist. de Louis XIII, vol. 11. p. 423, Sismondi, Hist. des Français, vol. 32411. p. 77; Caperique's Richelleu, vol i. p. 357; Mem.

de Fontenay Mareuil, vol. ii. p. 122.

197 'Les huguenots murmuraient de voir le rétablissement de l'église romaine au sein de leur ville.' CAPEFIGUE'S Richelieu, vol. i.

188 Dès, qu'il ne s'agit plus d'un parti palitique, il concéda, comme à La Rochelle, la liberté de conscience et la culté de prêche. CAPE-rique's Richelieu, vol. i. p. 381. Compare de DLEY's Higt. of the Reformed Religion in France, vol. ili. p. 201, with Mém. de Richelieu, vol. iv. p. 484.

found in Quick's Synodicon, vol. i. pp. xcvi.-ciii., and in Bagroist, Hist. de l'Edit de Nontes, vol. ii. appendix, pp. 92—98; and a commentary on it in Bazin, Hist. de Louis XIII, vol. iii. pp. 36—38. M. Bazin, unfortunately for the reputation of this otherwise valuable work, never quotes his authorities.

300 In 1633, their own historian says: 'les Réformez ne faisoient

such intolerance Richelieu sedulously abstained; and having now cleared the land from rebellion, he embarked in that vast scheme of foreign policy, of which I have already given some account, and in which he clearly showed that his proceedings against the Protestants had not been caused by hatred of their religious tenets. For, the same party which he attacked at home, he supported abroad He put down the French Protestants, because they were a turbulent faction that troubled the state, and wished to suppress the exercise of all opinions unfavourable to themselves. But so far from carrying on a crusade against their religion, he, as I have already observed, encouraged it is er countries; and, though a bishop of the Catholic cha the did not hesitate, by treaties, by money, and by for of arms, to support the Protestants against the House of Austria, maintain the Lutherans against the Emperor of Germany, and uphold the Calvinists against the King of Spain.

I have thus endeavoured to draw a slight, though, I trust, a clear outline, of the events which took place in France during the reign of Louis XIII, and particularly during that part of it which included the administration of Richeliau. But such occurrences, important as they are, only formed a single phase of that larger development which was now displaying itself in nearly every branch of The national intellect. They were the mere political expression of that bold and sceptical spirit which cried havoc to the prejudices and superstitions of men. For, the government of Richelieu was successful, as well as progressive; and no government can unite these two qualities, unless its measures harmonize with the feelings and temper of the age. Such an administration, though it facilitates progress, is not the cause of it, but is rather its measure and symptom. The cause of the progress lies far deeper, and is governed by the general tendency of the time. And as the different tendencies observable in successive generations depend on the difference in their knowledge, it is

plus de party.' Brhoist, Hist. de l'Edit de Nantes, vol. ji. p. 533. Compare Sir Thomas Haumer's account of France in 1648, in Burbury's Correspond of Hanner p. 309, Lond. 1839.

evident, that we can only understand the working of the tendencies, by taking a wide view of the amount and character of the knowledge. To comprehend, therefore, the real nature of the great advance made during the reign of Louis XIII., it becomes necessary that I should lay before the reader some evidence respecting those higher and more important facts, which historians are ant to neglect, but without which the study of the past is an idle and trivial pursuit, and history itself a barren field, which, bearing no fruit, is unworthy of the labour that is wasted on the cultivation of so ungrateful a soil.

It is, indeed, a very observable fact, that while Richelieu, with such extraordinary boldness, was secularizing the whole system of French politics, and by his disregard of ancient interests, was setting at naught the most ancient traditions, a course precisely similar was being pursued, in a still higher department, by a man greater than he; by one, who way express my own opinion, is the most profound compathe eminent thinkers France has produced. I speak of the Descartes, of whom the least that can be said is, the effected a revolution more decisive than has ever been brought about by any other single mind. With his mere physical discoveries we are not now concerned, because in this Introduction I do not pretend to trace the progress of science, except in those epochs which sindicate a new turn in the habits of national thought. But I may remind the reader, that he was the first who successfully applied algebra to geometry; 201 that he pointed out the important law of the sines; 202 that in an age in which entical instruments were

The statements of Huygens and of Isaac Vossius to the effect, that Descartes had seen the papers of Snell before publishing his discovery, are unsupported by any direct evidence; at least none of

²⁰¹ Thomas (Eloge, in Eurres de Descartes, vol. i. p. 32) says, 'cet instrument, c'est Descartes qui l'a créé; c'est l'application de l'algèbre a la géométrie.' And this, in the highest sense, is strictly true; for although Viets and two or three others, in the sixteenth century, had anticipated this step, we owe entirely to Descartes the magnificent discovery of the possibility of applying algebra to the gometry of curves, he being undoubtedly the first who expressed themsby algebraic equations. See MORTUCLA, Hist. des Mathémat. vol. 6. pp. 704, 705, vol. ii. p. 120, vol. iii. p. 64.

extremely imperfect, he discovered the changes to which light is subjected in the eye by the crystalline lens; 203 that he directed attention to the consequences resulting from the weight of the atmosphere; 204 and that he, more-

the historians of science, so far as I am aware, have brought forward any. So strong, however, is the disposition of mankind at large to depreciate great men, and so general is the desire to convict them of plagnarism, that this charge, improbable in itself, and only resting on the testimony of two envious rivals, has been not only revived by modern writers, but has been, even in our own time, spoken of as a well-established and notorious fact! The flimsy basis of this accusation is clearly exposed by M. Bordas Demoulin, in his valuable work Le Cartesianisme, Paris, 1943, vol. ii pp. 9-12, while, on the other side of the question. I refer with regret to Sir D Birkwstrak on the Progress of Optics, Second Report of British Association, pp. 309, 310, and to Whewell's Hist, of the Inductive Sciences, vol. ii pp. 379, 502, 503.

203 See the interesting remarks of Sprengel (Hist de la Medecine, vol. 1v. pp. 271, 272) and Eueres de Descartes, vol 1v pp 371 seq What makes this the more observable is, that the study of the crystalline lens was neglected long after the Death of Descartes, and no attempt made for more than a hundred years to complete his views by ascertaining its intimate structure. Thered, it is said (Thomson's Animal Chemistry, p. 512) that the cristian lens and the two humours were first analyzed in 1802 Compare Brow's Animal Chemistry, vol 11. pp. 419-421, HENLE. Traité d'Anatomie; vol. i. p. 357 LEPELLETIER. Physiologie Medicale, vol. iti p. 160, MAYO'S Human Physiol p 279 BLAINVILLE, Physiol comparee, vol iii pp. 325-328, none of whom refer to any analysis earlier than the nineteenth century. I notice this partly as a contribution to the history of our knowledge, and partly as proving how slow men have been in following Descartes, and in completing his views; for, as M. Blainville justly observes, the chemical laws of the lens must be understood, before we can exhaustively generalize the optical laws of the refraction, so that, in fact, the researches of Berzelius on the eye the complemental to those of Descartes. The theory of the limitation of the crystalline lens according to the descending scale of the animal kingdom, and the connexion between its development and a general increase of sensuous perception, seem to have been little studied; but Dr. Grant (Comparative Anatomy, p. 252) thinks that the lens exists in some of the rotifers: while, in regard to its origin, I find a curious statement in Müller's Physiology, vol. i. p. 450, that after its removal in mammals, it has been reproduced by its matrix, the capsule. (If this can be relied on, it will tell against the suggestion of Schwann, who supposes, in his Microscopical Researches, 1847, pp. 87, 88, that its mode of life in regetable, and that it is not 's secretion of its capsule.') As to its probable existence in the hydroson, see Erman Johns's Animal Kingdom. 1855, p. 96, 'regarded either as a crystalline lens, or an otolithe;' and as to its embryonic development, see Bundacu, Traité de Physiologie, vol. iii. pp. 435-438.

.204 Torricelli, first weighed the air in 1643. Brands's Chemistry, vol. i. p. 360; Luellu's Natural Philosophy, p. 419: but there is a light from Descartes, written as early as 1631, 'on il explique le phénomine

over, detected the causes of the rainbow, 205 that singular phenomenon, with which, in the eyes of the vulgar, some theological superstitions are still connected. 208 At the same time, and as if to combine the most varied forms of excellence, he is not only allowed to be the first geometrician of the age, 207 but by the clearness and admirable precision of his style, he became one of the founders of French prose. 208 And although he was constantly engaged in those lofty inquiries into the nature of the human mind, which can never be studied without wonder, I_had almost said can never be read without awe, he combined

de la suspension du mercure dans un tuyau fermé par en haut, en l'attribuant au poids de la colonne d'air élevée j'usqu'au delà des nues.' Bordas Demoults, le Cartémanisme, vol. 1. p 411 And Moutucla (Mist. des Mathemat vol 11. p 205) says of Descartes, nous avons des preuves que ce philosophe reconnut avant Torr cell la pesanteur de lair' Descartes himself says, that he suggested the subsequent experiment of Pascal. Eueres de Descartes, vol x pp. 344, 351

205 Dr Whewell, who has treated Descartes with marked injustice, does nevertheless allow that he is 'the genuine author of the explanation of the rambow' Hist, of the Induc Sciences vol. in pp 3 0, 384. See also Boxes's Works, vol. in p 189; Thomson's Hist of the Royal Society, p 36st Hallam's Lit. of Europe, vol in p 200, Europe de Descartes, vol. 1. pp 47, 44, vol v. pp. 265-244. On the theory of the rainbow as known in the present century, see KARMTE, Cause of Meteorology, pp 440-445, and FORBES on Meteorolo i, pp. 121-131, in Report of British Association for 1840. Compare La-Lin's N turit Philosophy, p. 531, POUILLET, Elémens de Physique, vol. ii. p. 785.

205 The Hebrew notion of the rambow is well known; and for the ideas of other nations on this suffect, see PRICHARD'S Pigu a' History of Mankind, vol. v. pp. 154, 17 Kamus's Services of the Hestory of Man, vol. iv. p. 252, Edinb. 1788, and Burdach's Physiologie, vol. v.

pp. 546, 547, Paris, 1839.

201 Thomus calls him 'le plus grand géomètre de son stècle.' Eurres de Descartes, vol. 1. p. 89. Sir W. Hamilton (Dis ussions on Philosophy. p. 271) says 'the greatest mathematician of the age,' and Montucla can find no one but Plato to compare with hom. On ne sauroit donner une idée plus juste de ce qu'a été l'époque de Descartes dans la géométrie moderne, qu'en la comparant à celle de Platon dans la géométrie ancienne. De même enfin que Platon propara par sa découverte celles des Archimède, des Apolionius, &c., on paut dire que Descartes a jetté les fondemens de celles qui illustreut aujourd'hui les Newtore les Leibnits, &c.' Mentucha, Hist. des Mathémat. vol. ii. p. 112.

208 Descartes joint encore à ses ausses titres, celui d'avoir été un des créateurs de notre langue.' Bieg. Unev. vol. xi. p. 154. Sir James Mackintosh (Dissert. on Ethical Philos. p. 186) has also noticed the interpret of Descartes in forming the style of French writers; and I think that M. Cousin has somewhere made a similar remark.

with them a long course of laborious experiment upon the animal frame, which raised him to the highest rank among the anatomists of his time. 209 The great discovery made by Harvey of the circulation of the blood, was accepted by most of his contemporaries; 210 but it was at once recognized by Descartes, who made it the basis of the physiological part of his work on Man. 211 He likewise adopted the discovery of the lacteals by Aselli, 212 which, like every great truth yet laid before the world,

Thomas says. Descartes cut aussi la gloire d'être un des premiers anatomistes de son siècle. Eurres de Descartes, vol. 1 p 55, see also p. 101. In 1639, Descartes writes to Mersenne (Eurres, vol. vin p. 100) that he had been engaged depuis onze aus' in studying comparative anatomy by dissection. Compare p. 174, and 401 i. pp. 175-154.

210 Dr. Whewell (Hist. of the Industree Sciences, vol. m. p 440) It was for the most part readily accepted by his countrymen, but that abroad it had to encounter considerable opposition' For this no authority is quoted, and yet one would be glad to know who told Dr. Whewell that the discovery was readily accepted. So far from meeting in England with ready acceptance, it was during many years almost universally denied. Aubrey was assured by Harvey that in consequence of his book on the Circulation of the Blood, he lost much of his practice, was believed to be crackbrained, and was opposed by 'all the physicians.' AUBREY'S Letters and Lives, vol. ii. p. 383 Dr. Willis (Life of Harvey, p xh., in Harvey's Works, edit. Sydeuham Society, 1847) says, 'Harvey's views were at first rejected almost universally ' Dr Elliotson (Human Physiology, p 194) says, 'His immediate reward was general ridicule and abuse, and a great diminution of his practice.' Broussais (Examen des Boctrines Medicales, vol. î. p. vii.) says, 'Harvey passa pour fou qua la annonga la découverte de la circulation. Finally, Sir William Temple, who belongs to the generation subsequent to Harvey, and who, indeed, was not born until some years after the discovery was made, mentions it in his works in such a manner as to show that even then it was not universally received by educated men. See two curious passages, which have escaped the notice of the historians of physiology, in Works of Sir W. Temple. vol. iri. pp. 293, 469, 8vo., 1814.

211 Taken by Descartes as the basis of his physiology, in his work on Man.' Whewell's Hist. of the Induc. Sciences, vol. iii. p. 441, Réné Descartes se déclara un des premiers en faveur de la doctrine de la circulation.' Remouard, Hist. de la Médecine, vol. ii. p. 168. See also Bordas Demoulin, le Certésianisme, vol. ii. p. 324; and Œuvres de Descartes, vol. i. pp. 68, 179, vol. iv. pp. 42, 449, vol. ix. pp. 159, 332. Compare Willie's Life of Harvey, p. xlv., in Harvey's Works.

212 'Les veines blanches, dites lactées, qu'Asellius a découvertes depuis peu dans le mésentère.' De la Formation du Fatus, sec. 40 la Eurres de Descartes, vol. iv. p. 482.

was, at its first appearance, not only disbelieved, but covered with ridicule. 213

These things might have been sufficient to rescue even physical labours of Descartes from the attacks constantly made on them by men who either have not studied his works, or else, having studied them, are unable to understand their merit. But the glory of Descartes, and the influence he exercised over his age, do not depend even on such claims as these. Putting them aside, he is the author of what is emphatically called Modern Builosophy. 214 He is the originator of that great system and method of metaphysics, which notwithstanding its errors, has the undoubted merit of having given a wonderful impulsetto the European mind, and communicated to it an activity which has been made available for other purposes of a different character Besides this, and superior to it, there is another obligation which we are under to the memory of Descartes. He deserves the gratitude of posterity, not so much on account of what he built up, as on account of what he pulled down. His life was one great and successful wastare against the prejudices and traditions of men. He was great as a creator, but he was far greater as a destroyer. In this respect he was the true successor of Luther, to whose labours his own were the fitting supplement. He completed what the great German reformer had left undone. 216 He bore to the old systems of philosophy precisely the me relation that Luther bore

²¹³ Even Harry denied it to the last. Sperngrl, Hist. de La Med. vol. iv pp. 203, 304. Compare Harvey's Works, edit. Sydeaham Soc. pp. 605, 614.

²¹⁴ M. Cousin (Hist. de la Philos, II. série, vol. 1. p. 39) says of Descartes, 'son premier ouvrage écrit en français est de 1637. C'est donc de 1637 que date la philosophie moderne.' See the same work, I. série, vol. iii. p. 77; and compare Strumart's Philos of, the Mind, vol. 1. pp. 14, 529, with Eloyr de Parent, in Œuvres de Fontenelle, Paris 1766, vol. v. p. 444, and vol. vi. p. 318: 'Cartésien, ou, si l'on veut, philosophe moderne.'

²¹⁰ Descartes avait établi dans le domaine de la pensée l'indépendance absolue de la raison; il avait déclare à la scholastique et à la théologie que l'esprit de l'homme ne pouvait plus relever que de l'évidence qu'il aurait obtenue par lui-même. Ce que Luther avait compancé dans la religion, le génie français si actif et si prompt l'important dans la philosophie, et l'on peut dire à la double gloire de l'Allemagne et de la France que Descartes est le fils ainé de Luther. Les-

to the old systems of religion. He was the great reformer and liberator of the European intellect. To prefer, therefore, even the most successful discoverers of physical laws. to this great innovator and disturber of tradition, is just as if we should prefer knowledge to freedom, and believe that science is better than liberty. We must, indeed, always be grateful to those eminent thinkers, to whose labours we are indebted for that vast body of physical truths which we now possess. But, let us reserve the full measure of our homage for those far greater men, who have not hesitated to attack and destroy the most inveterate prejudices: men who, by removing the pressure of tradition, have purified the very source and fountain of our knowledge, and secured its future progress, by casting off obstacles in the presence of which progress was impossible.216

It will not be expected, perhaps it will hardly be desired, that I should enter into a complete detail of the philosophy of Descartes: a philosophy which, in England at least, is rarely studied, and therefore, is often attacked But it will be necessary to give such an account of it as will show its analogy with the anti-theological policy of Richelieu, and will thus enable us to see the full extent of that vast movement which took place in France before the accession of Louis XIV. By this means, we shall be able to understand how the daring innovations of the great minister were so successful, since they were accompanied and reinforced by corresponding involved instance of the way in which the political history of every country is to be explained by the history of its intellectual progress.

In 1637, when Richelieu was at the height of his power, Descartes published that great work which he had

MINIBE, Philos, du Droit, vol. ii. p. 141. See also, on the philosophy of Descartes as a product of the Reformation, Ward's Ideal of a Christian Church, p. 498.

²¹⁴ For, as Turgot finely says, 'ce n'est pas l'erreur qui s'oppose aux progrès de la várité. Ce sont la mollesse, l'entêtement, Pessett de routine, tout ce qui porte à l'inaction.' Pensées en Œuvres de Égiro, yot, vol. ii. p. 343.

long been meditating, and which was the first open announcement of the new tendencies of the French mind. To this work he gave the name of a 'Method;' and Lassuredly, the method is the most alien to what is commonly called theology that can possibly be conceived. Indeed, so far from being theological, it is essentially and exclusively psychological. The theological method rests on ancient records, on tradition, on the voice of antiquity The method of Descartes rests solely on the consciousness each man has of the operations of his own mind. lest any one should mistake the meaning of this, he, in subsequent works, developed it at great length, and with unrivalled clearness. For his main object was to popularize the views which he put forward. Therefore, says Descartes, 'I write in French rather than in Latin, because I trust that they who only employ their simple and native reason, will estimate my opinions more fairly than they who only believe in ancient books.' 217 So strongly does he insist upon this, that almost at the beginning of his first work, he cautions his readers against the common error of looking to antiquity for knowledge; and he reminds them that 'when men are too curious to know the practices of past ages, they generally remain very ignorant of their own, 218

Indeed, so far from following the old plan of searching for truth in the records of the past, the great essential of this new philosophy is to wean ourselves from all such association and, beginning the acquisition of knowledge by the work destruction, first pull down, in order that afterwards we may build up. 219 When I, says Descartes, set forth in the pursuit of truth, I found that the best way

κ"4

²¹⁷ Et si j'écris en français, qui est la langue de mon pays, plutôt qu'en latin, qui est celle de mes précepteu s. c'est à cause que j'espère que ceux qui ne se servent que de leur raison naturelle toute pure, jugeront mieux de mes opinions que ceux qui ne croient qu'aux livres angiens.' Discours de la dethode sa Capres de Descortes, vol. i. pp. \$10, 211.

²¹⁰ Ibid, vol. i p 127

²¹⁰ Er fing also vom Zweifel an, un i ging durch denselben aur Gewissheit über.' TENNEMANN, Geschi bie der Philos. vol. x. p. 218. Compare Second Discours en Sorbonne, in Eugres de Turgot, vol. ii. p. 89.

was to reject every thing I had hitherto received, and' bluck out all my old opinions, in order that I might lay the foundation of them afresh: believing that, by this means, I should more easily accomplish the great scheme! of life, than by building on an old basis, and supporting myself by principles which I had learned in my youth, without examining if they were really true. 220 'I, therefore, will occupy myself freely and earnestly in effecting a general destruction of all my old opinions.' 221 For, if we would know all the truths that can be known, we must, in the first place, free ourselves from our prejudices, and make a point of rejecting those things which we have received, until we have subjected them to a new examination. 222 We, therefore, must derive our opinions, not from tradition but from ourselves. We must not pass judgment upon any subject which we do not clearly and distinctly understand; for, even if such a indement is correct, it can only be so by accident, not having solid ground on which to support itself. 223 But, so far are we from this state of indifference, that our memory is full of prejudices: 224 we pay attention to words rather than to things; 225 and being thus slaves to form, there are too many of us who 'believe themselves religious, when, in fact, they are bigoted and superstitions; who think themselves perfect because they go much to church, because they often repeat prayers, because they wear short hair. because they fast, because they give alms. These are the men who imagine themselves such friends od, that nothing they do displeases Him; men who, pretence of zeal, gratify their passions by committing the greatest crimes, such as betraying towns, killing princes, extermin-

²²⁰ Disc. de la Méthode, in Œuvres de Descartes, vol. i. p. 136.

²²¹ 'Je m'appliquerai sérieusement et avec liberté à détruire génément toutes mes anciennes opinions.' Méditations in Œueres de cartes, xol. i. p. 236.

²³² Principes de la Philosophie, part i. Sec. 75, in Eueres, de D. cartes, vol. iii. pp. 117, 118; and compare vol. ii. p. 417, where gives a striking illustration of this view.

²²² Meditations in Eurres de Descartes, vol. i. pp. 303, 304.

²²⁴ Nous avons rempli notre mémoire de baaucoup de préjugés.'
Principes de la Philes. part i, sec. 47. in Œuvres, vol. iii. p. 91.
225 Œucres, vol. iii, p. 117.

ating nations: and all this they do to those who will not change their opinions.' 226

These were the words of wisdom which this great acher addressed to his countrymen only a few years after they had brought to a close the last religious war that has ever been waged in France. The similarity of those views to those which, about the same time, were put forth by Chillingworth, must strike every reader, but ought not to excite surprise; for they were but the natural products of a state of society in which the right of private judgment, and the independence of the human reason, were first solidly established. If we examine this matter a little closer, we shall find still further proof of the analogy between France and England. So identical are the steps of the progress, that the relation which Montaigne bears to Descartes is just the mane as that which Hooker bears to Chillingworth same in reference to the difference of time, and also in reference to the difference of opinions. The mind of Hooker was essentially sceptical; but his genius was so restrained by the prejudices of his age, that, unable to discern the supreme authority of private judgment, he hampered it by appeals to councils and to the general voice of ecclesiastical antiquity: impediments which Chillingworth, thirty years later, effectually removed. In precisely the same way, Montaigne, like Hooker, was sceptical; but, like him, he lived at a period when the spirit of doubt was yet young and when the mind still trembled before the authority Church. It is, therefore, no wonder that even Monte who did so much for his age, should have hesitated respecting the capacity of men to work out for

^{276 4}Ce qu'on peut particulièrement remarquer en ceux qui, croyant être dévots, sont seulement bigots et superstitieux, c'est à dire qui, sous ombre qu'ils vont souvent à l'église, qu'ils récitent force prières, qu'ils portent les gheveux courts, qu'ils jeûnent, qu'ils donnent l'aumône, pensent être entièrement parfaits, et s'imaginent qu'ils sont si grands auss de Dieu, qu'ils "ne gauroient rien faire qui lui déplaise, et que tout ce que leur dicte leur passion est un bon zèle, bien qu'elle leur dicte quelquefois les plus grands crimes qui puissent être commis par des hommes, comme de trabir des villes, de tuer ses princes, d'exterminer des peuples entiers, pour cela seul qu'ils ne suivent pas leure opinions.' Les Passions de l'Ame, in Œueres de Descartes, vol. iv. pp. 194, 195.

themselves great truths; and that, pausing in the course that lay before him, his scepticism should often have assumed the form of a distrust of the human faculties. 227 Such shortcomings, and such imperfections, are merelan evidence of the slow growth of society, and of the impossibility for even the greatest thinkers to outstrip their contemporaries beyond a certain point. But, with the advance of knowledge, this deficiency was at length supplied; and, as the generation after Hooker brought forth Chillingworth, just so did the generation after Montaigne bring forth Descartes. Both Chillingworth and Descartes were eminently sceptical; but their scepticism was directed, not against the human intellect, but against those appeals to authority and tradition without which it had hitherto been supposed that the intellect could not safely proceed. That this was the case with Chillingworth, we have already seen. That it was likewise the case with Descartes, is, is possible, still more apparent; for that profound thinker believed, not only that the mind, by its own efforts, could root out its most ancient opinions, but that it could, without fresh aid, build up a new and solid system in place of the one which it had thrown down. 228

It is this extraordinary confidence in the power of the human intellect, which eminently characterizes Descartes, and has given to his philosophy that peculiar sublimity which distinguishes it from all other systems. So far from thinking that a knowledge of the external world is essential to the discovery of truth, he later may be a subjusted by the contract of th

²²⁷ As is particularly evident in his long chapter, headed 'Apologie de Raimond Sebond.' Essats de Montaigne, livre ii. chap. xii. Parie, 1843. pp. 270-382; and see Thunumann, Geschichte der Philos. vol. in. p. 455.

²²⁶ He very clearly separates himself from mest like Montaigne: 'Non que j'imitasse pour cela les sceptiques, qui ne doutent que pour douter, et assectent d'être toujours irrésolus; car, au contraire, tout mon dessein ne tendoit qu'a m'assurer, et à rejeter la terre mouvante etele sable pour trouver le roc ou l'argile.' Discours de la Méthède, in Œuvres de Descartes, vol. i. pp. 153, 154.

²²⁸ According to the view of Descartes, it was to be ignored, not denied. There is no instance to be found in his works of a denial

selves from the delusions of nature, and reject the evidence presented to our senses.230 For, says Descartes, nothing is certain but thought; nor are there any truths except those which necessarily follow from the operation of our own concionaness. Whe have no knowledge of our soul except as a thinking substance; 221 and it were easier for us to believe that the soul should cease to exist, than that it should cease to think. 232 And, as to man himself, what is he but the incarnation of thought? For that which constitutes the man, is not his bones, nor his flesh, nor his These are the accidents, the incumbrances, the impediments of his nature. But the man himself is the thought. The invisible me, the ultimate fact of existence, the mystery of life, is this: 'I am a thing that thinks.' This? therefore, is the beginning and the basis of our

of the existence of the external world, nor does the passage quoted from him by Mr Jobert (New System of Philos vol. ii pp 161, 162, Lond. 1849) at all justify the interpretation of that ingenious writer, who confuses certainty in the ordinary sense of the word with certainty in the Cartesian sense. A similar error is made by those who stanose that his 'Je pense, done je suis,' is an enthymeme; and having taken this for granted, the turn on the great philosopher, and accuse him of begging the question! Such critics overlook the difference between a logical process and a psychological one; and therefore they do not see that this famous sentence was the description of a mental fact, and not the statement of a mutilated syllogism. The student of the philosophy of Descartes must always distinguish between these two processes, and remember that each process has an order of proof peculiar to itself; or at all events he must remember that such was COUSIN, Descartes. Compare, on the Cartesian enthymeme, Cousin, Marie Reither, vol. iv. pp. 512, 513, with a note in Kritte Vernunft, Kant's Werke, vol. iv. pp. 323, 324.

20 Marie Reither Descartes, vol. i. pp. 220, 226; and

again in the Colorious et Reponses, Eurres, vol. ii. pp. 26, 246.

231 'Au lieu que, lorsque nous tâchons à connoître plus distinctement notre nature, nous pouvons voir que notre ame, en tant qu'elle est une substance distincte du corps, ne nous est connue que par cela seul qu'elle pense.' Œuvres de Descartes, vol. iv. p. 432. Compare vol. iii. p. 96. Principes de la Philosophie, part. i. sec. 53.

222 En sorte qu'il me seroit bien plus aisé de croire que l'ame cesseroit d'être quand on dit qu'elle cesse de penser, que non pas de concevos qu'elle soit sans pensée. Eueres de Descartes, vol. vili. p. 574. That 'the soul always thinks,' is a conclusion also arrived at by Berkeley by a different process. See his subtle argument, Principles of Human Knowledge, part i. sec. 98, in BERKELEY'S WORL, t. p. 128; and for a curious application of this to the theory of dreaming, see Burdach, Physiologie comme Science d'Observation, vol. v. pp. 205, 280.

knowledge. The thought of each man is the last element to which analysis can carry us; it is the supreme judge of every doubt; it is the starting-point for all wisdom." 33

Taking our stand on this ground, we rise, says Descartes, to the perception of the existence of the Deity. For, our belief in His existence is an irrefragable proof that He exists. Otherwise, whence does the belief arise? Since nothing can come out of nothing, and since no effect can be without a cause, it follows that the idea we have of God must have an origin; and this origin, whatever name we give it, is no other than God. 234 Thus, the ultimate proof of His existence is our idea of it. Instead, therefore, of saving that we know ourselves because we believe in God, we should rather say that we believe in God because we know ourselves 236 This is the order and precedence of things. The thought of each man is sufficient to prove His existence, and it is the only proof we can ever possess. Such, therefore, is the dignity and supremacy of the human intellect, that even this, the highest of all matters, flows from it, as from its sole source. ** Hence, our religion should not be acquired by the teaching of others, but should be worked out by ourselves; it is not to be borrowed from antiquity, but it is to be discovered by each man's mind; it is not traditional, but personal. is because this great truth has been neglected, that impiety has arisen. If each man were to content himself with that idea of God which is suggested by his own

²¹³ Œucres de Descartes, vol. 1. pp. 251, 252, 262, vol. ii. pp. 252, 283.

²³⁴ Ibid. vol. i. p. 419; and at p. 420. 'Or de tout cela on conclut très-manifestement que Dieu existe.' See also pp. 159-162, #350, 290, 291. But the simplest statement is in a letter to Mersenne (vol. viii. pp. 539): 'J'ai tiré la preuve de l'existence de Dieu de l'idée que je trouve en moi d'un être souversinement parfait.'

²³⁵ 'Ainsi, quoique, de ce que je suis, je conclue avec certitude que Dieu est, je ne puis réciproquement affirmer, de ce que Dieu est, que j'existe.' Regles pour la Direction de l'Esprit, in (Rucres, vol. xi. p. 274. See also Principes de la Philosophie, part i. sec. 7, vol. iii. p. 66.

²²⁶ On this famous argument, which it is said was also broached by Afiselm, see Kine's Life of Lorle, vol. ii. p. 133; the Benedictine Hist. Lit. de la France, vol. ix. pp. 417, 418; Mosamum's Eccles. Hist. vol. i. p. 239; and Codworth's Intellect. Syst. vol. iii. p. 383.

mind; he would attain to a true knowledge of the Divine Nature. But when, instead of confining himself to his, he mixes up with it the notions of others, his ideas become applexed; they contradict themselves; and, the composition being thus confused, he often ends by denying the existence, not, indeed, of God, but of such a God as that in whom he has been taught to believe. 227

The mischief which these principles must have done to the old theology is very obvious. 238 Not only were they fatal, in the minds of those who received them, to many of the common dogmas—such, for instance, as that of transubstantiation, 339—but they were likewise directly opposed to other opinions, equally indefensible, and far more dangerous. For Descartes, by founding a philosophy which rejected all authority except that of the human reason, 340 was, of course, led to abandon the study of final causes, 241—an old and natural superstition, by which, as

e²³⁷ 'Et certes jamais les hommes ne pourroient p'éloigner de la vraie connoissance de cette nature divine, s'ils vouloient seulement porter leur attention sur l'idée qu'ils ont de l'être souverainement parfait. Mais ceux qui melent quelques augres idées avec celle-là composent par ce moyen un flieu chimérique, en la nature duquel il y a des choses qui se contrarient, et, après l'avoir ainsi composé, ce n'est pas merveille s'ils nient qu'un tel dieu, qui leur est représenté par une fausse idée, existe.' Eucres de Inscartes, vol i. pp. 423, 424.

This is delicately but clearly indicated in an able letter from Arnaud, printed in Eurres de Iescartes, vol. in pp 1-36. see in particular pp 31, 34. And Duclos bluntly says 'Si depuis la révolution que Descartes a commencée, les théologiens se sont éloignés des philosophes, c'est pe ceux-ci ont paru ne pas respecter infiniment les théologiens. Thilosophie qui prenoit pour base le doute et l'examen devoit les attoucher.' Duclos, Memoires, vol. if p. 109.

21° On the relation of the Cartesian philosophy to the obstrine of transubstantiation, compare Palmen's Treatise on the Church, vol. ii. pp. 169, 170, with HALLAM'S Let. of Europe, vol. iii. p. 453; and the remark ascribed to Hobbes, in Aubery's Letters and Liess, vol. ii. p. 626. But Hobbes, if he really made this observation, had no right to expect Descartes to become a martyr.

²⁴⁰ 'Le caractère de la philosophie du moyen age est la soumission à une autorité autre que la raison. La philosophie moderne ne reconnatt que l'autorité de la raison C'est le cartésianisme qui a opéré cette révolution décisive.' Cousin, Hist. de la Philos. II. série, vol. i. pp. 258, 259.

24 'Nous rejetterons entièrement de notre philosophie la recherche des causes finales ' Principes de la Philos, part i. sec. 28, in Elwires de Descartes, vol. iii. p. 31. Sec also part iii. sec. 3, p. 182; and his reply to Gassendi, in **Elwires**, vol. ii. pp 230, 281. Compase Cousin.

whe shall hereafter sec, the German philosophers were long impeded, and which still hangs, though somewhat loosely. about the minds of men. 242 At the same time, by superseding the geometry of the ancients, he aided in weakening that inordinate respect with which antiquity was thenregarded. In another matter, still more important, he displayed the same spirit, and met with the same success. With such energy did he attack the influence, or rather the tyranny of Aristotle, that although the opinions of that philosopher were intimately interwoven with the Christian theology, 243 his authority was entirely overthrown by Descartes; and with it there perished those scholastic prejudices, for which Aristotle, indeed, was not responsible, but which, under the shelter of his mighty name, had, during several centuries, perplexed the understandings of mer., and retarded the progress of their knowledge. 244

Hist de la Philosophie, II série, vol. 11, p. 71, with Sprengel, Hist de la Medecine, vol. v. p. 203

242 Dr Whewell, for instance, says, that we must reject final causes in the inorganic sciences, but must recognize them in the organic ones, which, in other words, simply means, that we know less of the organic world than of the morganic, and that because we know less, we are to believe more, for here, as everywhere else, the smaller the science the greater the superstition Whewell's Philos, of the Inductice Sciences, 8vo 1847, vol i. pp 620, 627, 628, and his Hist of the Induc. Sciences, vol in pp 430, 431. If the question were to be decided by authority, it would be enough to appeal to Bacon and Descartes, the two greatest writers on the philosophy of method in the seventeenth century, and to Auguste Comte, who is admitted by the few persons who have mastered his Philosophia Positive, to be the greatest in our time. These profound and comprehensive thinkers have all rejected the study of final causes, which, as they have clearly seen, is a theological invasion of scientific rights, the injury which this study has wrought, and on the check is also given to the advance of our knowledge, see Robin et Vendert, Chimie Anat. Paris, 1853, vol i. pp. 489, 493, 494, vol. ii. p. 555; RENOUARD, Hist. de la Medecine, vol. i. pp. 232, 237; SPHENGEL, Hist. de la Medecine, vol. it. pp. 220; GEOFFROY SAINT HILAIRE, Hist. des Anomalies de l'Organisation, vol. ifi. pp. 485, 436; HERDER, Ideen sur Gesch. der Menschheit, vol. iii. p. 270; LAWRENCE'S Lectures on Man, p. 36, and BURDACH, Traité de Physiologie, vol. i. p. 190

223 'Anf das innigste verbunden mit der Theologie, nicht allein in den katholischen, sondern selbst auch in den protestantischen Ländern.' Tennemann, Gesch. der Philos. vol. ix p. 516 Déscartes, in a letter to Mersenne (Euvres, vol. vi. p. 73), writes, in 1629, 'La théologie, laquelle on a tellement assujettie à Aristote, qu'il est impossible d'expliquer une autre philosophie qu'il ne semble d'abord qu'elle soit contra la foi.' Dompare vol. vii. p. 344, vol. viii. pp. 281, 497.

244 Dr. Brown (Philosophy of the Mind, Edinburgh, 1838, p. 172) calls

These were the principal services rendered to civilization by one of the greatest men Europe has ever produced. The analogy between him and Richelieu is very striking. and is as complete as their relative positions would allow. The same disregard of ancient notions, the same contempt for theological interests, the same indifference to tradition, the same determination to prefer the present to the past, in a word, the same essentially modern spirit, is seen alike in the writings of Descartes, and in the actions of Richelieu. What the first was to philosophy, that was the other to politics. But, while acknowledging the merits of these minent men, if behoves us to remember that their success was the result, not only of their own abilities, but likewise of the general temper of their time. The nature of their labours depended on themselves; the way in which their labours were received, depended on their contemporaries. Had they lived in a more superstitious age, their views would have been disregarded, or, if noticed, would have been execrated as impious novelties. In the fifteenth, or early in the sixteenth century, the genius of Descartes and of Richelieu would have lacked the materials necessary to their work: their comprehensive minds would, in that state of society, have found no play; they would have awakened no sympathies; their bread would have been cast upon those waters which return it not again. it would have been well for them, if, in such a case, indifference were the only penalty with which they would be visited would have been well if they had not paid the forfeit becurred by many of those illustrious thinkers who have vainly attempted to stem the torrent of human credulity. It would have been well if the church had not risen in her wrath-if Richelieu had not been executed as a traitor, and Descartes burned as a heretic.

Descartes 'that illustrious rebel, who, in overthrowing the authority of Aristotle,' &c. See also DUVERNEY, Hist. de la Sorbosne, vol. ii. p. 192, CUTEN, Hist. de: Sciences. part ii. p. 532; and Locke's Works, vol. iii p. 48. This, I need hardly say, refers to the habit of appealing to Aristotle as if he were infallible, and is very different from that respect which is naturally felt for a man who was probably the greatest of all the ancient thinkers. The difference between the Aristotelian and Cartesian systems is touched on rather hastily in Cudworm's Intellect. Syst. vol. i. pp. 170, 171.

Indeed, the mere fact that two such men, occupying so conspicuous a place before the public eve, and enforcing views so obnoxious to the interests of superstition, should have lived without serious danger, and then have died peaceably in their beds-the mere fact that this should have happened, is a decisive proof of the progress which, during fifty years, had been made by the French nation. With such rapidity were the prejudices of that great people dving away, that opinions utterly subversive of theological traditions, and fatal to the whole scheme of ecclesiastical power, were with impunity advocated by Descartes, and put in practice by Richelieu. It was now clearly seen, that the two foremost men of their time could, with little or no risk, openly propagate ideas which, half a century before, it would have been accounted dangerous even for the most obscure man to whisper in the privacy of his own chamber.

Nor are the causes of this impunity difficult to understand. They are to be found in the diffusion of that sceptical spirit, by which, in France as well as in England, toleration was preceded. For, without entering into details which would be too long for the limits of this Introduction, it is enough to say, that French literature generally was, at this period, distinguished by a freedom and a boldness of inquiry, of which, England alone excepted, no example had then been seen in Europe. The generation which had listened to the teachings of Montaigne and of Charron, was now succeeded by another generation, the disciples, indeed, of those eminent men, but disciples who far outstripped their masters. The result was, that, during the thirty or forty years which preceded the power of Louis XIV., 245 there was not to be found a single Frenchman of note who did not share in the general feelingnothone who did not attack some ancient dogma, or san the foundation of some old opinion. This fearless temper was the characteristic of the ablest writers of that time: 246

²⁴⁶ That fi in 1661, when Louis XIV. first assumed the government

²⁴⁶ M. Barante (Tableau de la Litterature Française, pp. 26, 27) notices 'cette indépendance dans les idées, ce jugement audacieux de

but what is still more observable is, that the movement spread with such rapidity as to include in its action even those parts of society which are invariably the last to be affected by it. That spirit of doubt, which is the necessary precursor of all inquiry, and therefore of all solid improvement, owes its origin to the most thinking and intellectual parts of society, and is naturally opposed by the other parts: opposed by the nobles, because it is dangerous to their interests; opposed by the uneducated. because it attacks their prejudices.* This is one of the reasons why neither the highest nor the lowest ranks are fit to conduct the government of a civilized country; since both of them, notwithstanding individual exceptions, are, in the aggregate, averse to those reforms which the exigencies of an advancing nation constantly require. But, in France, before the middle of the seventeenth century, even these classes began to participate in the great progress; so that, not only among thoughtful men, but likewise among the ignorant and the frivolous, there was seen that inquisitive and incredulous disposition, which, whatever may be said against it, has at least this peculiarity, that, in its absence, there is no instance to be found of the establishment of those principles of toleration and of liberty, which have only been recognized with infinite difficulty, and after many a hard-fought battle against prejudices whose inveterate tenacity might almost cause them to be deemed a part of the original constitution of the human mind. 247

toutes choses, qu'on remarque dans Corneille, dans McRéray, dans Balzac, dans Sant-Réal, dans Lamothe-Levayer.' To these may be added Naudé, Patin, and probably Gassendi. Compare Hallam's Literat. of Ethrope, vol. in. pp. 364, 365, with McKintosh's Ethical Philos. p. 116, and Lettres de Patin, vol. in. p. 297, vol. ii. pp. 33, 186, 191, 242, 342, 490, 508, vol. iii. p. 87.

The increase of incredulty was so remarkable, as to give rise to a riduculous assertion, 'qu'il y avoit, plus de 50,000 Athées dans Paris vem l'an 1623.' Balllett Jugemens des Savans, Paris, 1722, 4to vol. i. p. 185. Baillet has no difficulty in rejecting this preposterous statement (which is also noticed in Coleridor's Literary Remains, vol. i. p. 305; where, however, there is apparently a confidion between two different periods); but the spread of scepticism among the appear ranks and courtiers, during the reign of Louis XIII. and the minority of Louis XIV., is attested by a great variety of evidence. See Mém.

It is no wonder if, under these circumstances, the speculations of Descartes and the actions of Richelieu should have met with great success. .The system of Descartes exercised immense influence, and soon pervaded nearly every branch of knowledge. 248 The policy of Richelieu was so firmly established, that it was continued without the slightest difficulty by his immediate successor was any attempt made to reverse it until that forcible and artificial reaction which, under Louis XIV., was fatal, for a time, to every sort of civil and religious liberty. The history of that reaction, and the way in which, by a counter-reaction, the French Revolution was prepared, will be related in the subsequent chapters of this volume; at present we will resume the thread of those events which took place in France before Louis XIV, assumed the government.

A few months after the death of Richeheu, Louis XIII. also died, and the crown was inherited by Louis XIV., who was then a child, and who for many years had no influence in public affairs. During his minority, the government was administered, avowedly by his mother, but in reality by Mazarin; a man who, though in every point inferior to Richelieu, had imbibed something of his spirit, and who, so far as he was able, adopted the policy of that great statesman, to whom he owed his promotion. ²⁴⁹ He, influenced partly by the example of his predecessor, partly

de Madame de Modeville, vol. in p. 52, Mem. de Retz, vol. i p. 266, Conrart. Mem. p. 235 note; Des Réaux, Historiettes, vol. vii. p. 143, Mem. de Brienne, vol. ii. p. 107 note

On the connexion between Richelieu and Mazarin, see Stamowor, Hist. des Français, vol. axiii. pp. 400, 530; and a curious, though per-

²⁴ Volumes might be written on the influence of Descartes, which was seen, not only in subjects immediately connected with his philosophy, but even in those apparently remote from it. Compare Broussals, Examen des Doctrines Medicales, vol. ii. pp. 55 seq; Lettres de Patin, vol. iii. p. 153; Sprengel. Hist de la Medecine. vol. iv. p. 238; Cuyier, Hist des Neiences, part ii pp. 237, 332, 352, 353. Stäudlin, Geschichte der theologischen Wissenschaften, vol. i. 263, Terremann, Gesch. der Philos. vol. x. pp. 285 seq.; Hurtus, de Rebus ad eum pertinentibus, pp. 35. 295, 296, 385-389, 3 Morneil's Eccles. Hist. vol. it. p. 2'8; Dacier, Rapport historique, p. 334, Lerlie's Nat. Philos, p. 121; Hoges, in Eugens de Fontencile, Paris, 1766, vol. v., pp. 94, 106, 137, 197, 234, 392, vol. vi, pp. 157, 318, 449; Thomson's Hist. of Chemistry, vol. i. p. 195; Quérard, France Lit. vol. iii. p. 273.

by his own character, and partly by the spirit of his age, showed no desire to persecute the Protestants, or to disturb them in any of the rights they then exercised.*** His first act was to confirm the Edict of Nantes; 251 and, towards the close of his life, he even allowed the Protestants again to hold those synods which their own violence had been the means of interrupting. 252 Between the death of Richelien and the accession to power of Louis XIV., there elapsed a period of nearly twenty years, during which Mazarin, with the exception of a few intervals, was at the head of the state; and in the whole of that time. I have found no instance of any Frenchman being punished for his religion. Indeed, the new government, so far from protecting the church by repressing heresy, displayed that indifference to ecclesiastical interests which was now becoming a settled maxim of French policy. Richelieu, as we have already seen, had taken the bold step of placing Protestants at the head of the royal armies: and this he had done upon the simple principle; that one of the tirst duties of a statesman is to employ for the benefit of the country the ablest men he can find, without regard to their theological opinions, with which, as he well knew, no government has any concern. But Louis XIII.. whose personal feelings were always opposed to the enlightened measures of his great minister, was offended by this magnanimous disregard of ancient prejudices; his piety was shocked at the idea of Catholic soldiers being commanded by hereties; and, as we are assured by a

haps, apocryphetanecdote in Tallemant Des Réaux, Historiettes, vol. 11. pp. 231, 232-241 1636 there was noticed 'l'étroite union' between Richelieu and Masarin, Lie Vassor, Hist. de Louis XIII, vol viii, part. if p. 187.

²⁰⁰ Mazarin n'avoit ni fanatisme ni esprit persécuteur.' Sismondi, Hist. des Français, vol. xxiv p. 531. That he did not persecute the Protestants is grudgingly confessed in Falica's Hist. of the Protestants of France, p. 292. See also Smedley's Reformed Religion in France, vol. 111. p. 292.

²⁵¹ He Confirmed it in July 1943. See BEROIST, Hist, de l'Edit de Nantes, vol. iii. appendix, p 3, and Quick's Synodicon in Gallia, vol. i. p. oili.

²⁸² In 1659 there was assembled the Synod of Loudun, the moderator of which said. 'It is now fifteen years since we had a natural synod.' QUICK'S Synodicon in Gallia, vol ii. p. 517.

well-informed contemporary, he determined to put an end to this scandal to the church, and, for the future, allow no Prestant to receive the staff of marshal of France. 253 Whener the king, if he had lived, would have carried his point, is doubtful; 254 but what is certain is, that, only four months after his death, this appointment of marshal was bestowed upon Turenne, the most able of all the Protestant generals.255 And in the very next year. Gassion, another Protestant, was raised to the same dignity; thus affording the strange spectacle of the highest military power in a great Catholic country wielded by two men against whose religion the church was never weary of directing her anathemas.256 In a similar spirit, Mazarin, on mere grounds of political expediency, concluded an intimate alliance with Cromwell, an usurper who, in the opinion of the theologians, was doomed to perdition, since he was soiled by the triple crime of rebellion, of heresy, and of regicide 257 Finally, one of the last acts of this

233 Brieune records the determination of the king, 'que cette diggenté ne sercit plus accordée à des protestants' Sismondi. Hist des François, vol. xxiv. p. 65

... He was so uneasy about the sin he had committed, that just' before his death he entreated the Protestant marshals to change their creed. 'Il ne voulut pas mourir sans avoir exhorté de sa propre bouche les maréchaux de la Foice et de Chatillon a se faire Catholiques.' BENOISI, Hist de l'Edit de Nantes, vol. ii. p. 612. The same circumstance se mentioned by Le Vassor, Hist, de Louis XIII, vol. x part ii p. 785.

25. Louis XIII died in May 1643, and Turenne was made marshal in the September following. LAVALLEE, Hist. des Français, vol. 111. pp. 148, 151

²³⁶ Sismondi (Hest des Français, vol. xxiv. p 65) makes the appointment of Gassion in 1644; according to Montglat (Mémoires, vol. 1 p 437) it was at the end of 1643. There are some singular anecdotes of Gassion in Les Historiettes de Tallemant des Rasia, vol. v. pp 167—180; and an account of his death in Mém de Mottenile, vol. ii. p. 290, from which it appears that he remained a Protestant to the last.

257 The Pope especially was offended by this alliance (Ranke, Die Papste, vol. in. p. 158, compared with Vaughan's Cromvell, vol. i. p. 343, vol. ii p. 124), and, judging from the language of Clarendom, the orthodox party in England was irritated by it. Clarendom's History the Rebellion, pp. 690, 700. Contemporary notices of this unique between the cardinal and the regicide, will be found in Mém. de Retz, vol. p. 349; Mém. de Montylat, vol. ii p. 478, vol. iii. p. 23; Lettres de Patit vol. ii. pp. 133, 302, 426, Marchand, Dict. Historique, vol. ii. p. 56; Mem. of Sir Philip Warwick, p. 377; Harris's Lives of the Stuarts, vol. iif. p. 393.

pupil of Richelieu's²⁵⁸ was to sign the celebrated treaty of the Pyrenees, by which ecclesiastical interests were seriously weakened, and great injury inflicted on him who was still considered to be the head of the church.²⁵⁹

But, the circumstance for which the administration of Mazarin is most remarkable, is the breaking out of that great civil war called the Fronde, in which the people attempted to carry into politics the insubordinate spirit which had already displayed itself in literature and in religion. Here we cannot fail to note the similarity between this struggle and that which, at the same time, was saking place in England. It would, indeed, be far from accurate to say that the two events were the counterpart of each other; but there can be no doubt that the analogy between them, is very striking. In both countries, the civil war was the arst popular expression of what had hitherto been rather's speculative, and, so to say, a literary scepticism. In both countries, incredulity was followed by rebellion, and the abasement of the clergy preceded the humiliation of the crown; for Richelieu was to the French church what Elizabeth had been to the English church. In both countries there now first arose that great product of civilization, a free press, which showed its liberty by pouring , forth those fearless and innumerable works which mark the activity of the age. 260 In both countries, the struggle

^{2.6} De Retz (Memoires, vol. 1 p. 59), who knew Richelieu, calls Mazarin 'son disciple' And at p 65 he adds, 'comme il marchoit sur les pas du cardinal de Richelieu, qui avoit achevé de détruire toutes les anciennes maximes de l'état.' Compare Mém. de Motteejlle, vol. il. p. 183 and Mem. de la Rochejoucauld, vol. 1. p 444

^{25&}quot; On the open affront to the Pope by this treaty, see RANKS, Die Papste, vol. ill., p. 159 'An dem pyrenaischen Frieden nähm er auch nicht einmal mehr einen scheinbaren Antheil: man vermied es seine Abgeordneten zuzulassen: kaum wurde seiner noch darin gedacht.' The consequences and the meaning of all this are well noticed by M. Ranke.

^{260 &#}x27;La presse jouissait d'une entière liberté pendant les troubles de la Fronde, et le public prenaît un tel intérêt aux débats politiques que les pamphlets se débitaient quelquefois au nombre de huit et dix mille exemplaires.' Sainte Aulaire, Hist. de la Fronde, vol. i. p. 299. Tallemant des Réaux, who wrote immediately after the Fronde, says (Historiettes, vol. iv. p. 74), 'Durant la Fronde, qu'en imprimoit tout.' And Omer Talon, with the indignation natural té a magistrate, mentions, that in 1649, 'toutes sortes de libelles et de diffamations se publicient hautement par la ville sans permission du magistrat.'

was between retrogression and progress; between those who clung to tradition, and those who longed for innovation; while, in both, the contest assumed the external form of a war between king and parliament, the king being the organ of the past, the parliament the representative of the present. And, not to mention inferior similarities there was one other point of vast importance in which these two great events coincide. This is, that both of them were eminently secular, and arose from the desire, not of propagating religious opinions, but of securing civil liberty. The temporal character of the English rebellion I have already neticed, and, indeed, it must be obvious to whoever has studied the evidence in its original sources. In France, not only do we find the same result, but we can even mark the stages of the progress. In the middle of the sixteenth century, and inmediately after the death of Henry III., the French civil wars were caused by religious disputes, and were carried on with the fervour of a crusade. Early in the seventeenth century, hostilities again broke out; but though the efforts of the government were directed against the Protestants, this was not because they were heretics, but because they were rebels, the object being, not to punish an opinion, but to control a faction. This was the first great stage in the history of toleration; and it was accomplished, as we have already seen, during the reign of Louis XIII. That generation passing

Mém. d'Omer Talon, vol. ii. p. 446. For further evidence of the great importance of the press in France in the middle of the seventeenth century, see Mem de Lenet, vol. i. p. 162; Mém. de Motteville, vol. ii. pp. 288, 289, Lettres de Patin, vol. i. p. 432, vol. ii. p. 517; MONTHIL, Hist. de distra États, vol. vii. p. 175.

In England, the Long Parliament succeeded to the Hoensing authority of the Star-chamber (Blackstork's Commentaires, vol. iv. p. 152); but it is evident from the literature of that time, that for a considerable period the power was in reality in abeyance. Both parties attacked each other freely through the press; and it is said, that between the breaking out of the civil war and the restoration, there were published from 30,000 to 50,000 pamphlets. Morgan's Phasnix Britannicus, 1731, 4to pp. iii. 557; Carlythe's Cromwell, vol. i. p. 4, Bouther's Commonplace Book, third series, p. 449. See also, on this great movement of the press, Barns's Account of the late Troubles, part. i. p. 78; Bulstron's Memoirs, p. 4; Howshi's Lettres, p. 334; Hust's Hist. of Newspapers, vol. i. p. 45; Clarridon's Hist. of the Rebellion, p. 81; Nichole's Let. Anec. vol. 1, pp. 88, 103.

away, there arose, in the next age, the wars of the Fronde: and in this, which may be called the second stage of the French intellect, the alteration was still more remarkable. For, in the mean time, the principles of the great sceptical thinkers, from Montaigne to Descartes, had produced their natural fruit, and, becoming diffused among the educated classes, had influenced, as they always will do, not only those by whom they were received, but also those by whom they were rejected. Indeed, a mere knowledge of the fact, that the most eminent men have thrown doubt on the popular opinions of an age, can never fail, in some degree, to disturb the convictions even of those by whom the doubts are ridiculed. 261 In such cases, none are entirely safe: the firmest belief is apt to become slightly unsettled; those who outwardly preserve the appearance of orthodoxy, often unconsciously waver, they cannot entirely resist the influence of superior minds, nor can they always avoid an unwelcome suspicion, that when ability is on one side, and ignorance on the other, it is barely possible that the ability may be right, and the ignorance may be wrong.

Thus it tell out in France. In that country, as in every other, when theological convictions dimmished, theological animosities subsided. Formerly religion had been the cause of war, and had also been the pretext under which it was conducted. Then there came a time when it ceased to be the cause; but so slow is the progress of society, that it was still found necessary to set it up as the pretext.²⁵² Finally, there came the great days of the

²⁶¹ Dugald Stewart (Philos of the Mind, vol. i. p. 357) says, 'Nothing can be more just than the observation of Fontenelle, that "the number of those who believe in a system already established in the world, does not, in the least, add to its credibility, but that the number of those who doubt of it, has a tendency to diminish it."' Compare with this Newman on Decelopment, Lond. 1845, p. 31; and the remark of Hylas in Berkeley's Works, edit 1843, vol. 1 pp. 151, 152, first dislogue

^{16t} Compare Caperious's Richelien, 'vol. 1. p. 293, with a remarkable passage in Mem de Rohan, vol. i. p. 317; where Rohan contrasts the religious wars he was engaged in during the administration of Richeliou, with those very different wars which had been waged in France a little earlier.

Fronde, in which it was neither cause nor pretext;²⁶³ and in which there was seen, for the first time in France, an arduous struggle by human beings avowedly for human purposes; a war waged by men who sought, not to enforce their opinions, but to increase their liberty. And, as if to make this change still more striking, the most eminent leader of the insurgents was the Cardinal de Retz, a man of vast ability, but whose contempt for his profession was notorious,²⁶⁴ and of whom a great historian has said, the is the first bishop in France who carried on a civil war without making religion the pretence ⁷²⁶

We have thus seen that, during the seventy years which succeeded the accession of Henry IV., the French intellect developed itself in a manner remarkably similar to that which took place in England. We have seen that, in both countries, the mind, according to the natural conditions of its growth, first doubted what it had long believed, and then tolerated what it had long hated. That this was by no means an accidental or capricious combination, is evident, not only from general arguments, and from

2.3 'L'esprit religieux ne s'était mélé en aucune manière aux querelles de la Fronde. Capefigue, vol n. p. 434 Lenet, who had great influence with what was called the party of the princes, says that he always avoided any attempt 'à faire aboutir notre parti à une guerre de religion'. Mem. de Lenet, vol n. p. 619. Even the people said that it was unimportant whether or not a man died a Protestant, but that if he were a partizan of Mazarin, he was sure to be damined. 'Ils disoient qu'étant mazarin, il falloit qu'il fût caminé'. Lenet, vol. I. p. 434

^{2e3} Indeed, he does not conceal this even in his memoirs. He says (Mem. vol 1 p 3), he had 'l'ame peut-être la moins ecclésiastique qui fût dans l'univers'. At p 13, he chagrin que ma profession ne laissoit pas de nourrir toujours dans le fonds de mon ame'. At p 21, 'je haissois ma profession plus que jamais'. At p. 48, 'le clergé, qui donne toujours l'exemple de la servitude, la préchoit aux autres sous le titre d'obéissance.' See also the remark of his great friend Joly (Mém de Joly, p 209, edit. Petitot, 1825); and the account given by Tallemant des Réaux, who knew De Retz well, and had travelled with him, Historiettes, vol. vii. pp 18-30. The same tendency is illustrated, though in a much smaller degree, by a conversation which Charles II, when in exile, held with De Retz, and which is preserved in Clarendon's Hist of the Rebelton, ep. 805, and is worth crasulting merely as an instance of the purely secular view that De Retz always took of political affairs.

2.5 'Cet homme singulier est le premier évêque en France qui ait fait une guerre civile sans avoir la religion pour prétexte.' Siècle de Louis XIV, in Œueres de Voltaire, vol. xix. p 261.

SIXTEENTH TO THE EIGHTEENTH CENTURY.

the analogy of the two countries, but also from another circumstance of great interest. This is, that the order of events, and as it were their relative proportions, were the same, not only in reference to the increase of toleration. but also in reference to the increase of literature and science. In both countries, the progress of knowledge bore the same ratio to the decline of ecclesiastical influence, although they manifested that ratio at different periods. We had begun to throw off our superstitions somewhat earlier than the French were able to do; and thus, being the first in the field, we anticipated that great people in producing a secular literature Whoever will take the pains to compare the growth of the French and English minds, will see that, in all the most important departments we were the first, I do not say in merit, but in the order of time. In prose, in poetry, and in every branch of intellectual excellence, it will be found, on comparison, that we were before the French nearly a whole generation; and that, chronologically, the same proportion was preserved as that between Bacon and Descartes. Hooker and Pascal, 266 Shakespeare and Corneille, Massinger and Racine, Ben Jonson and Moliere, Harvey and Pecquet. These emment men were all justly celebrated in their respective countries; and it would perhaps be myidious to institute a comparison between them. But what we have here to observe is, that among those who cultivated the same department, the greatest Englishman, in every instance, preceded the greatest Frenchman by many years. The difterence, running as it does through all the leading topics is far too regular to be considered accidental. And as few Englishmen of the present day will be so presumptuous as to suppose that we possess any native and inherent superiority over the French, it is evident that there must be some marked peculiarity in which the two countries differed, and which has produced this difference, not in their knowledge, but in the time at which their knowledge appeared. Nor does the discovery of this peculiarity require

^{*66} Hooker and Pascal may properly be classed together, as the two most sublime theological writers either country has produced; for Bossuet is as inferior to Pascal as Jeremy Taylor is inferior to Hooker.

much penetration. For, notwithstanding that the French were more tardy than the English, still, when the development had fairly begun, the antecedents of its success were among both people precisely the same. It is, therefore, clear, according to the commonest principles of inductive reasoning, that the lateness of the development must be owing to the lateness of the antecedent. It is clear that the French knew less because they believed more. 267 It is clear that their progress was checked by the prevalence of those feelings which are fatal to all knowledge, because, looking on antiquity as the sole receptacle of wisdom, they degrade the present in order that they may exaggerate the past, feelings which destroy the prospects of man, stifle his hopes, damp his curiosity, chill his energies, impair his judgment, and, under pretence of humbling the pride of his reason, seek to throw him back into that more than midnight darkness from which his reason alone has enabled him to emerge.

The analogy thus existing between France and England, is, indeed, very striking, and, so far as we have vet considered it, seems complete in all its parts. To sum up the similarities in a few words, it may be said, that both countries tollowed the same order of development in their scepticism, in their knowledge, in their literature, and in their toleration. In both countries, there broke out a civil war at the same time, for the same object, and, in many respects, under the same circumstances. In both, the insurgents, at first triumphant, were afterwards defeated and the rebellion being put down, the governments of the two nations were fully restored almost at the same moment: in 1660 by Charles II.; in 1661, by Louis XIV. 266 But there the similarity stopped. At this point there

¹⁷ One of the most remarkable men they have ever possessed notices this connexion, which he expresses conversely, but with equal truth: 'moins on sait, moins on doute, moins on a découvert, moins on voit ce qui reste à découvert Quand les hommes sont ignorans, il est aisé de tout savoir.' Insours en Sorbonne, in thucres de Turpot, vol. ii. pp. 65, 70.

²¹⁷ Mazafin, until his death in 1/61, exercised complete authority over Louis See Siecle de Louis XII, in Gueres de Voltaire, vol. xix, pp. 318, 319; and LAVALLÉE, Hist des Français, vol. iii, p. 195 so that, as Montglat says (Mem. vol. ii, p. 111), 'On dost appeler of

began a marked divergence between the two countries: 268 which continued to increase for more than a century, until it ended in England by the consolidation of the national prosperity, in France by a revolution more sanguinary, more complete, and more destructive, than any the world has ever seen. This difference between the fortunes of such great and civilized nations is so remarkable, that a knowledge of its causes becomes essential to a right understanding of European history, and will be found to throw considerable light on other events not immediately connected with it. Besides this, such an inquiry, independently of its scientific interest, will have a high practical value. It will show, what men seem only recently to have begun to understand, that, in politics, no certain principles havner yet been discovered, the first conditions of success are compromise, barter, expediency, and concession. It will show the utter helplessness even of the ablest rulers. when they try to meet new emergencies by old maxims. It will show the intimate connexion between knowledge and liberty; between an increasing civilization and an advancing democracy. It will show that, for a progressive nation, there is required a progressive polity; that within certain limits, innovation is the sole ground of security. that no institution can withstand the flux and movements of society, unless it not only repairs its structure, but also widens its entrance; and that, even in a material point of view, no country can long remain either prosperous or safe, in which the people are not gradually extending their power, enlarging their privileges, and, so to say, incorporating themselves with the functions of the state.

The tranquillity of England, and her freedom from civil war, are to be ascribed to the recognition of these great truths; ²⁷⁰ while the neglect of them has entailed upon

temps-là le commencement du règne de Louis XIV.' The pompous mainer in which, directly after the death of Mazarin, the king assumed the government, is related by Brienne, who was present. Mém. de Brienne, vol. ii. pp. 154-158.

²⁶⁹ By this 1 mean, that the divergence now first became clear to kevery observer, but the origin of the divergence dates from a much earlier period, as we shall see in the next chapter.

²⁷⁰ That is to say, their practical recognition, theoretically, they

BUCKLE, II.

PRENCH INTELLECT PROM THE

other countries the most woeful calamities. On this account, therefore, if on no other, it becomes interesting to ascertain how it was that the two nations we have been comparing should, in regard to these truths, have adopted views diametrically opposite, although, in other matters, their opinions, as we have already seen, were very similar. Or, to state the question in other words, we have to inquire how it was that the French, after pursuing precisely the same course as the English, in their knowledge, in their scepticism, and in their toleration, should have stopped short in their politics; how it was that their minds, which had effected such great things, should, nevertheless, have been so unprepared for liberty, that, in spite of the heroic efforts of the Fronde, they not only fell under the despotism of Louis XIV, but never even cared to reset it, and, at length, becoming slaves in then souls as well as in their bodies, they grew proud of a condition which the meanest Englishman would have spurned as an intolerable bondage.

The cause of this difference is to be sought in the existence of that spirit of protection which is so dangerous and vet so plausible, that it forms the most serious obstacle with which advancing civilization has to contend. This, which may truly be called an evil spirit, has always been far stronger in France than in England. Indeed, among the French, it continues, even to the present day, to produce the most mischievous results. It is, as I shall hereafter point out, intimately connected with Ithat love of centralization which appears in the machinery of their government, and in the spirit of their literature. It is this which induces them to retain restrictions by which their trade has long been troubled, and to preserve monopolies which, in our country, a freer system has effectually destroyed. It is this which causes there interfere with the natural relation between producers and consumers; to

are still denied by innumerable politicians, who, nevertheless, assist in carrying them into effect, fondly hoping that each innovation will be the last, and enticing men into reform under the pretext that by each change they are returning to the spirit of the ancient British constitution.

force into existence manufactures which otherwise would never arise, and which, for that very reason, are not required; to disturb the ordinary march of industry, and, under pretence of protecting their native labourers, diminish the produce of labour by diverting it from those profitable channels into which its own instincts always compel it to flow.

When the protective principle is carried into trade, these are its inevitable results. When it is carried into politics, there is formed what is called a paternal government, in which supreme power is vested in the sovereign. or in a few privileged classes. When it is carried into theology, it produces a powerful church, and a numerous clergy, who are supposed to be the necessary guardians of religion, and every opposition to whom is resented as an insult to the public morals. These are the marks by which protection may be recognized; and, from a very early period, they have displayed themselves in France much more clearly than in England. Without pretending to discover their precise origin, I will, in the next chapter, endeavour to trace them back to a time sufficiently remote to explain some of the discrepancies which, in this respect, existed between the two countries.

Note to p. 286. Descartes died in Sweden on a visit to Christina, so that, strictly speaking, there is an error in the text. But, this does not affect the argument because the works of Descartes, being eagerly read in France, and not being prohibited, we must suppose that his person would have been safe. had he remained in his own country. To burn a heretic is a more decisive step than to suppress a book, and as the French clergy were not strong enough to effect the latter, it is hardly likely that they could have accomplished the former.